

Public Proposals Report

on the Development of the

2012 National Green Building Standard (ICC 700)

October 7, 2011



*America's Housing Technology
and Information Resource*

Public Proposals Report
on the
Development of the
2012 Edition of the
National Green Building Standard™

COPYRIGHT © 2011
by
NAHB Research Center, Inc

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

This Public Proposal Report on the development of the 2012 National Green Building Standard™ is a copyrighted work owned by the NAHB Research Center, Inc (“NAHB Research Center”).

Trademarks: “NAHB Research Center,” “NAHB RC”, and the “NAHB Research Center” logo are trademarks of the NAHB Research Center, Inc.

The “National Green Building Standard” is a trademark of the National Association of Home Builders.

FOREWORD

This is the Public Proposals Report (PPR) on the development of the 2012 edition of the National Green Building Standard (NGBS - ICC 700). This report summarizes the steps of the Proposed Change phase of the development of the Draft Standard for the purpose of receiving public comment on the changes made to the 2008 edition of the NGBS, and the roster of the consensus committee at the time of acceptance of the proposed changes.

A formal “Call for Proposals” was released on November 15, 2011. The 84 day period for submitting Proposal Changes closed on February 6, 2011. It is noted that the NGBS is always open for comment, and Proposed Changes can be submitted at any time via web-based form posted at www.nahbrc.com/ngbs. Instructions for submitting Proposed Changes are provided with the form.

After the close of the “Call for Proposed Changes”, the proposed changes are grouped for review and recommendation by the seven task groups assembled to assist the Consensus Committee in taking Formal Action on all proposed changes. The task groups met in person and by conference call from late March, 2011 through early June. In all, 474 proposed changes were received from the public, and 107 proposed changes were developed by the task groups.

The Consensus Committee held a preliminary meeting on March 29-30, 2011 to review the schedule and other business matter for the development of the 2012 NGBS, and for the task groups to formally meet and begin their work. On June 13-17, 2011 public hearings were held at the National Housing Center in Washington, DC. The full Consensus Committee heard public testimony, reviewed the task group recommendations, and took Formal Action on each proposed change.

The Formal Ballot Period on the Formal Actions taken at the meeting started on August 1, 2011 and ended on August 31, 2011. All ballot comments were circulated from September 5, 2011 through September 20, 2011 to afford the voting members of the consensus committee an opportunity to respond, reaffirm or change their vote. All Committee Actions taken at the June public hearings were upheld through the ballot.

This PPR includes the following information on each proposed changes considered by the Consensus Committee:

- (1) The name of the submitter of the *proposed change*;
- (2) The entity represented;
- (3) The text of the *proposed change*;
- (4) The *Formal Action* taken by the *consensus committee*; and
- (5) Any *consensus committee* statement on the *Formal Action*.
- (6) Number of consensus committee members eligible to vote;
- (7) Number voting in the affirmative;
- (8) Identification of negative voters and stated reasons for each negative vote;
- (9) Identification of those who have abstained, and reasons for each abstention;
- (10) Identification of those who have not returned ballots.

Release of Draft Standard. Those Proposed Changes that were Approved or Approved As Modified by the Consensus Committee have been incorporated in the Draft Standard posted at www.nahbrc.com/ngbs. The changes shown in the Draft Standard are now open for public comment. Public comments are accepted through **November 7, 2011** via a web-based form available at www.nahbrc.com/ngbs. Instructions for submitting public comments are included with the web-based form.

Notification of Committee Action. The release of this Public Proposals Report (PPR) is considered notification to a submitter of a *proposed change* or a related *ballot comment* as to the *committee action* on the *proposed change*. Any *objection* contained in a *proposed change* is considered *resolved* unless a *public comment* is submitted in accordance with Section 4.4.5 of the NAHB Research Center "Procedures for Consensus Developed Standards" (Procedures - available at www.nahbrc.com/ngbs), or an appeal is filed in accordance with Section 5 of the Procedures.

Objections. The consideration of proposed changes and related ballot comments in accordance with Section 4.4.2.11 of the Procedures is considered an effort and attempt to resolve all expressed objections. The committee action and statement (reason) supporting the Formal Action reported in this PPR is notification to the submitter of a proposed change as to the reason for acceptance or rejection of the proposed change or comment. Those proposed changes or comments that are not supported by an affirmative action on the part of the consensus committee are considered non-persuasive.

Appeals. Persons who have directly and materially affected interests and who have been or will be adversely affected by any procedural action or inaction by the Secretariat with regard to the development of a proposed standard or the revision, reaffirmation or withdrawal of an existing standard, have the right to appeal. Appeals shall be based on compliance with or interpretation of the NAHB Research Center procedures. An appeal shall be submitted by registered mail to the Standards Coordinator no later than **November 8, 2011**. The appeal shall identify and address the original source of the objection. The appeal shall specify the cause of the appeal, the applicable section(s) of these procedures related to the appeal, and a proposed corrective action. The appeal shall be accompanied by a filing fee of \$500.00. This fee may be waived or reduced upon sufficient evidence of hardship. Appeals will be considered by the Appeals Panel at a hearing on the premises of the NAHB Research Center and shall be scheduled within 90 calendar days of receipt of the appeal by the Standards Coordinator. Please see the NAHB Research Center's Procedures for further information.

The following were the members of the Consensus Committee on the National Green Building Standard at the time of voting on the Proposed Changes shown in this Public Proposals Report.

Chair: Donald L. Pratt
 Vice Chair: Ray Tonjes
 Staff Liaison: Vladimir G. Kochkin

COMMITTEE MEMBER

REPRESENTATIVE

Air Barrier Association of America, Inc. (P)	Laverne Dagleish
Air-Conditioning, Heating and Refrigeration Institute (P)	Frank A. Stanonik
American Gas Association (P)	Ted Arthur Williams
	Paul Cabot (Alternate)
American Institute of Architects (U)	David S. Collins, FAIA
American Wood Council (P)	Kenneth Bland
	Sam W. Francis (Alternate)
Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers (P)	Matthew Brian Williams
BME Associates (U)	Bruce G. Boncke
BOMA International (U)	Ron Burton
C. F. Evans & Company (U)	Adrian Ross "Rusty" Ashley, Jr.
	Patrick Westbury (Alternate)
CECS of MI, LLC (U)	Donald L. Pratt
City of Denton, TX (G)	Kurt Spence Hansen
City of Keene, NH (G)	Medard Kopczynski
City of Longmont, CO (G)	Chris Allison
City of Scottsdale, AZ (G)	Anthony C. Floyd
ConSol (U)	Michael G. Hodgson
Edison Electric Institute (P)	Steven Rosenstock
Enterprise Community Partners, Inc. (G)	Emily Mitchell
	David Epley (Alternate)
Environmental Solutions Group (U)	Steven Armstrong
Forest City Land Group (U)	William Sanderson
GREENGUARD Environmental Institute (P)	Josh Jacobs
Habitat for Humanity International (U)	Matt Clark
	Mike Mongeon (Alternate)
Memphis Land Bank, Inc. (G)	Molly A. Beard
National Multi Housing Council (U)	Paula Cino
	Ron Nickson (Alternate)
North American Insulation Manufacturers Association (P)	Charles C. Cottrell
	Darrell K. Winters (Alternate)
NVR Inc. (U)	Christine A. Phillips
	Dan Simon (Alternate)
Plastic Pipe and Fittings Association (P)	Michael William Cudahy
Portland Cement Association (P)	Donn C. Thompson, AIA, CGP, LEED AP
Ray Tonjes Builder, Inc. (U)	Ray Tonjes
State of California – Dept. of Housing and Community Development (G)	Doug Hensel
State of New Jersey - Division of Codes and Standards (G)	Darren Molnar-Port

COMMITTEE MEMBER**REPRESENTATIVE**

Steel Framing Alliance (P)	Maribeth S. Rizzuto
Steve Easley & Associates (U)	Mark Nowak (Alternate)
U.S. Army (G)	Steve Easley
U.S. Department of Energy (G)	Deborah Reynolds
U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (G)	Robert Dewey
	Dana Bres, P.E.
	Mike Blanford (Alternate)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (G)	Lee S. Sobel
U.S.D.A. Forest Service - Forest Products Laboratory (G)	Michael A. Ritter
	Richard Bergman (Alternate)
Verdatek Solutions LLC (U)	Matthew Belcher
Vinyl Siding Institute, Inc. (P)	Matthew Dobson
	Jery Y. Huntley (Alternate)
Winchester Homes, Inc. (U)	Randall K. Melvin
Window & Door Manufacturers Association (P)	Jeff Inks

Producer Interest (P): Individuals assigned to the Producer Interest Category are those who represent the interests of an entity, including an association of such entities, which produces, installs or maintains a product, assembly or system subject to the provisions within the scope of the *consensus committee*. These entities include Distributor, Labor, Manufacturer, Material Association, Standards Promulgator, Testing Laboratory, and Utility.

User Interest (U): Individuals assigned to the User Interest Category are those who represent the interests of an entity, including an association of such entities, which is subject to the provisions or voluntarily utilize the provisions within the scope of the *consensus committee*. These entities include Builder, Contractor, Consultant, Applied Research Laboratory, Building Owner, Design Professional, Insurance Company, Private Inspection Agency, and Product Certification/Evaluation Agency.

General Interest (G): Individuals assigned to the General Interest Category are those who represent the interests of an entity, including an association of such entities, representing the general public or entities which promulgate or enforce the provisions within the scope of the *consensus committee*. These entities include Academia, Consumers, and Government Agencies.

Proposed Changes

P001	LogID 227	102.1 Applicability	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	The provisions of this Standard shall apply to design and construction of the residential portion(s) of any building not classified as an institutional use in all climate zones within the United States. This Standard shall also be used for subdivisions, building sites, <u>and the residential portions</u> of alterations, additions, renovations, mixed-use residential buildings, and historic buildings, where applicable. <u>The standard may also be applied to buildings located outside of the United States provided equivalent climate zone, radon zones, and termite zones are established.</u>		
Reason:	To clarify the statement as it applies to multifamily buildings where there may be common areas. We have had a number of inquiries about apply the standard outside of the US.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise the Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>The provisions of this Standard shall apply to design and construction of the residential portion(s) of any building not classified as an institutional use in all climate zones within the United States. This Standard shall also be used for subdivisions, building sites, <u>and the residential portions of</u> alterations, additions, renovations, mixed-use residential buildings, and historic buildings, where applicable.</p>		
Committee Reason:	This is an important clarification.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P002	LogID 139	101.3 Intent	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	101.3 Intent. This Standard shall establish practices for the design and construction of green residential buildings, building sites, <u>and subdivisions</u> , and renovation thereof.		
Reason:	The Green Renovation portion of the Standard is unworkable. We have certified several "Gut" rehabs but the remodeling is too tedious to use. It would be much better to have a separate document for renovations.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	There is a need to keep this provision. The requirements for remodeling and renovation are being reworked.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0		

	Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P003	LogID 557	102.2 Referenced Documents	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	The codes, standards, and other documents referenced in this Standard shall be considered part of the requirements of this Standard to the prescribed extent of each such reference. <u>The version of the codes, standard or other referenced documents shall be the version referenced in chapter 11.</u>
Reason:	Anytime there is an updated code or standard there are always questions regarding how the new versions impact this standard. Adding language to clarify the issues would be helpful.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P004	LogID 226	Entire Chapter 2	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	-------------------------	---

Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management
Proposed Change:	Review the entire set of definitions for consistency with 2012 I-codes. Where there is adifference try to use the exact definitions from the 2012 I-codes.
Reason:	Consistency with the I-code family will facilitate use of ICC 700.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	The definitions should be consistent with the 2009 I codes.
Committee Reason:	Consistent with the guidance of the Consensus Committee.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s)	

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P005	LogID TG1-2	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1		
Proposed Change:	Make definitions format consistent, currently some acronyms come first and the full definition second comes second and vice versa.		
Reason:	Consistency		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P006	LogID TG1-3	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1		
Proposed Change:	<p>AIR BARRIER.—Continuous layer or assembly of material(s) designed and constructed to control airflow between a conditioned space and an unconditioned space. The air barrier system is the primary air enclosure boundary that separates indoor (conditioned) air and outdoor (unconditioned) air.</p> <p><u>Material (s) assembled and joined together to provide a barrier to air leakage through the building envelope. An air barrier may be a single material, or a combination of materials.</u></p>		
Reason:	Make consistent with the 2009 IRC.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P007		LogID TG1-4	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1			
Proposed Change:	AIR HANDLER. A blower or fan used for <u>the purpose of</u> distributing supply air to a minimum of one room, space, or area.			
Reason:	Make consistent with 2009 I-Codes that use this definition.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P008		LogID TG1-5	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1			
Proposed Change:	ICF (INSULATING CONCRETE FORMS). Forms for poured concrete walls made of foam or other insulation in preformed blocks or panels that stay in place as a permanent part of the wall assembly. <u>A concrete forming system using stay-in-place forms of rigid foam plastic insulation, a hybrid of cement and foam insulation, a hybrid of cement and wood chips, or other insulating material for constructing cast-in-place concrete walls.</u>			
Reason:	Make consistent with the 2009 IRC.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P009		LogID TG1-6	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1			

Proposed Change:	MASS WALLS. Walls constructed of concrete block, concrete, insulated concrete form (ICF), masonry cavity, brick, earth (adobe, compressed earth block, rammed earth), and/or solid timber/logs, with a minimum of 50 percent of the required R-value on the exterior of the wall. <u>Masonry or concrete walls having a mass greater than or equal to 30 pounds per square foot (146 kg/m²), solid wood walls having a mass greater than or equal to 20 pounds per square foot (98 kg/m²), and any other walls having a heat capacity greater than or equal to 6 Btu/ft² °F [266 J/(m² · K)].</u>
Reason:	Make consistent with the 2009 IRC.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	In favor of P51.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P010	LogID TG1-1	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> Minor Component: Building materials or systems that are not considered major. Major Component: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>All structural members and systems.</u> <u>Building materials or systems that are typically applied as a part of over 50% of the surface area of the foundation, wall, floor, ceiling, or roof assemblies.</u> 		
Reason:	These definitions are necessary to support section 604.1 and possibly other sections. Impacts 604.1, 606.3.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Minor Component: Building materials or systems that are not considered major. Major Component: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All structural members and structural systems. Building materials or systems that are typically applied as a part of over 50% of the surface area of the foundation, wall, floor, ceiling, or roof assemblies. 		
Committee Reason:	Add clarification.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P011	LogID TG1-7	202 Defintions	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	--------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1
Proposed Change:	SHGC (SOLAR HEAT GAIN COEFFICIENT). The ratio of the solar heat gain entering the space through the fenestration assembly to the incident solar radiation. Solar heat gain includes directly transmitted solar heat and absorbed solar radiation that is then released into the space. A lower SHGC lowers the amount of transmitted solar energy into the space. <u>The ratio of the solar heat gain entering the space through the fenestration assembly to the incident solar radiation. Solar heat gain includes directly transmitted solar heat and absorbed solar radiation which is then reradiated, conducted, or conected into the space.</u>
Reason:	Make consistent with the 2009 IECC.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P012	LogID TG1-8	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	--------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1
Proposed Change:	SUBDIVISION. A tract of land divided into two or more sites. <u>The division of a tract, lot, or parcel of land into two or more lots, plats, sites, or other divisions of land.</u>
Reason:	Make consistent with the 2009 IZC.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P013	LogID TG2-1	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Common Area(s). Areas within a Site or Lot, Common Area(s) are predominantly open spaces which may contain non-residential structures, and consist of landscaping, recreational facilities, roadways and walkways, which are owned and maintained by an incorporated or chartered entity such as a homeowner's association or governmental jurisdiction.</u>		
Reason:	The term common area is used throughout Chapter 4 and a definition for such was deemed required for the sake of clarity.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> <u>Common Area(s). Areas within a Site or Lot, Common Area(s) are predominantly open spaces which may contain non-residential structures, and consist of non-residential structures, landscaping, recreational facilities, roadways and walkways, which are owned and maintained by an incorporated or chartered entity such as a homeowner's association or governmental jurisdiction.</u> <u>Areas of a multi-unit building that are outside the boundaries of a dwelling unit and are shared among or serve the dwelling units; including, but not limited to, hallways, amenity and resident services areas, parking areas, property management offices, mechanical rooms, and laundry rooms.</u>		
Committee Reason:	Modified to include multi-family per P53 as well as to improve clarity.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P014	LogID 244	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>CONSTRUCTED WETLAND. An artificial wetland, marsh or swamp created as a new or restored habitat for native and migratory wildlife, for anthropogenic discharge such as wastewater, stormwater runoff, or sewage treatment, for land reclamation after mining, refineries, or other ecological disturbances such as required mitigation for natural wetlands lost to a development.</u>		
Reason:	Constructed Wetland is not a commonly understood term except among industry experts.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			

Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P015	LogID 394	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Environmentally Sensitive Area. Areas within wetlands as defined by federal, state, or local regulations; areas of steep slopes; "Prime Farmland" as defined by the U.S. Department of Agriculture; areas of "critical habitat" for any federal or state threatened or endangered species, <u>areas defined by state or local jurisdiction as environmentally sensitive.</u>		
Reason:	The current definition would not recognize the Chesapeake Bay Critical area.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P016	LogID TG2-2	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> Existing Subdivision. An area of land defined as "Site" in this Chapter, that has received all development approvals and has been platted and all infrastructure is complete at time of application to the NGBS.		
Reason:	This was in response to comment 561 by Robert Hill. Defining "Existing Subdivision" will address his concern and will allow development that have been in some state of completion in the recent past to participate in the program, with a limit.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0		

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P017	LogID 205	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>FLOOD HAZARD AREA.</u> The greater of the following two areas:</p> <p>1. <u>The area within a flood plain subject to a 1-percent or greater chance of flooding in any year.</u></p> <p>2. <u>The area designated as a flood hazard area on a community's flood hazard map, or otherwise legally designated.</u></p>
Reason:	Add a definition for "flood hazard area" to be used in connection with proposals for Chapter 4 and Chapter 5 on avoidance of flood hazard areas.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proposed definition was not a clarification, 1% or greater chance of flooding is difficult to calculate. In addition, the consensus committee does not want to prohibit or limit construction of buildings in flood plains.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Dana Bres:</i> I feel the 1 percent concept is clear. I think we should take actions to discourage construction in flood plains
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P018	LogID 317	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Erin Ashley, NRMCA
Proposed Change:	HARDSCAPE. Stone, masonry, concrete, asphalt, wood <u>Asphalt, concrete, masonry, stone, wood</u> and other non-plant elements external to the building shell on a landscape.
Reason:	Examples of hardscape (i.e., concrete, stone, etc.) should be written in alphabetical order as to not imply preference for first material in list.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	

Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P019	LogID 395	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Hardscape. Stone, masonry, concrete, asphalt, wood (<u>including elevated decks</u>) and other non-plant elements external to the building shell on a landscape.		
Reason:	It was unclear if decks were intended to be included or not. The language should clarify this one way or the other.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Language is not necessary, it is intrinsically implied.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P020	LogID TG2-3	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> High Efficiency Lighting. Compact fluorescent lamps, LED, T-8 or smaller diameter linear fluorescent lamps, or lamps with a minimum efficacy of: 1) 60 lumens per watt for lamps over 40 watts; 2) 50 lumens per watt for lamps over 15 watts to 40 watts; and 3) 40 lumens per watt for lamps 15 watts or less.		
Reason:	Added to define activity in Section 405 and 505 where points are awarded for outdoor energy efficient lighting. This definition comes from the 2009 IECC.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0		

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Chris Allison:</i> <u>Spelling error, IECC definition is for High Efficacy Lamps</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P021 LogID 398 202 Definitions		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Infill lot. A vacant or underutilized lot that is serviced by two or more of the following: road, electrical power, sewer, or water and is bounded on at least 75% of the perimeter by previously developed areas or a lot that is part of an infill site provided the infill site is less than 25 acres.</u>	
Reason:	The original definitions did not provide clear guidance on how to consider multiple lots within an infill site. These changes are intended to make the definition more specific and to allow credit for lots within an infill site. The taks gorup should make the final determination on how large of an infill site can be subdivided into lots and the lots still earn the infill lot points.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Should not be constrained by a size restriction. Addressed with a new definition for INFILL (see P25).	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P022 LogID 245 202 Definitions		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	INFILL SITE. Vacant or underutilized land that includes two or more of the following: road, electrical power, sewer, or water. <u>INFILL LOT - is located in an area served by existing infrastructure and must include centralized water and sewer connections and the site boundaries should be 50% adjacent to development or active public parkland, is selected.</u>	
Reason:	A better more specific definition of infill is needed. The existing definition for infill was too broad and could be applicable to sites not really considered "infill" by industry experts.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Addressed with a new definition for INFILL (see P25).	
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41	

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P023	LogID 397	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Infill Site. Vacant or underutilized land that includes <u>is serviced by</u> two or more of the following: road, electrical power, sewer, or water <u>and is bounded on at least 75% of the perimeter by previously developed areas.</u>
Reason:	The original definition was too encompassing; a rural field bounded on one side with a road and an electric power line would qualify. An additional definition of an infill lot should also be added.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Addressed with a new definition for INFILL (see P25).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P024	LogID 63	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	-----------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Infill Site. Vacant or underutilized land that includes two or more of the following: road, electrical power, sewer, or water. <u>Also an infill site shall be surrounded on at least two of four sides with existing development that is 5 years or older.</u>
Reason:	Virtually any site could be considered "infill" by the existing definition.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Addressed with a new definition for INFILL (see P25).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P025	LogID TG2-4	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	Infill Site. A location including vacant or underutilized land that may apply to either a Site or a Lot includes two or more of the following: road, electrical power, sewer, or water and is located in an area served by existing infrastructure such as centralized water and sewer connections, roads, drainage, etc., and the site boundaries are adjacent to existing development on at least one side.		
Reason:	The current definition includes the term “site” which was confusing because Chapter 4 refers to Site and Chapter 5 refers to Lot Design. This term is meant to apply in both Chapters, so the term “location” is clearer. Also, the language has become more descriptive to create a stronger and more rigorous definition for an infill location.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P026	LogID 246	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>LANDSCAPE PRACTICE, LANDSCAPING - refers to any activity that modifies the visible features of an area of land and may include living elements, such as flora or fauna; natural elements such as terrain shape and elevation, or bodies of water; human elements such as structures, buildings, fences or other material objects created and/or installed by humans; and abstract elements such as the weather and lighting conditions.</u>		
Reason:	There is at present no definition for Landscape, Landscaping or Landscape Practice in the NGBS. A large percentage of points in both Chapters 4 and 5 are derived from Landscape planning, design and techniques. Therefore a definition is warranted.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> <u>LANDSCAPE PRACTICE, (LANDSCAPING). --refers to Any activity that modifies the visible features of an area of land and may include living elements, such as flora or fauna; natural elements such as terrain shape and elevation, or bodies of water; human elements such as structures, buildings, fences or other material objects created and/or installed by humans; and abstract elements such as the weather and lighting conditions.</u>		

Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P027	LogID 248	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	LOT. A single parcel of land generally containing one primary structure or use. Lot development, as defined, may include multiple ownership (such as with a condominium building) or multiple uses (such as with a mixed-use building). A lot is predominately represented by a single-family dwelling unit, a multi-family structure, or a retail, commercial or industrial <u>mixed-use building also containing offices and shops</u> . Lots maybe located in urban, suburban and rural/exurban locations. . A lot can be located within a site. (also see SITE)
Reason:	Greater specificity of what activities can occur on a lot and where geographically a lot can exist was needed, as these deviations can greatly affect the ability of a developer or builder to accrue points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> LOT. A single parcel of land generally containing one primary structure or use. Lot development, as defined, may include multiple ownership (such as with a condominium building) or multiple uses (such as with a mixed-use building). A lot is predominately represented by a single-family dwelling unit, a multi-family structure, or a retail, commercial or industrial <u>mixed-use building also containing offices and shops</u> . Lots maybe located in urban, suburban and rural/exurban <u>locations</u> . A lot can be located within a site. (also see SITE)
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P028	LogID 210	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	glynn rountree , NAHB
Proposed Change:	LOW-IMPACT DEVELOPMENT (LID). A storm water management approach that attempts to recreate the predevelopment of a site by using a lot level topography and landscape to deter storm water runoff and promote soil infiltration and recharge. Sometimes referred to as "green infrastructure" or by other names, LID includes the use of "green

	<u>roofs," "rain gardens," tree boxes, and infiltration devices or other means to contain or slow storm water runoff from impervious surfaces and allow it to seep into the ground.</u>
Reason:	LID nomenclature is confusing and used in different ways by different people. LID is expected to become much more prevalent in the U.S. because of new mandates or encouragement by the states and EPA as a way to improve water quality and other storm water issues. Providing a few examples of LID may help nonprofessionals to better understand what the term covers.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The language is subject to change on a regular basis and will not necessarily provide clarification as intended.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P029	LogID 249	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	LOW-IMPACT DEVELOPMENT (LID). A storm water management approach that attempts to recreate the predevelopment of a site by using a lot level topography and landscape to deter storm water runoff and promote soil infiltration and recharge. <u>Sometimes referred to as "green infrastructure" or by other names. LID includes the use of "green roofs," "rain gardens," tree boxes, and infiltration devices or other means to contain or slow storm water runoff from impervious surfaces and allow it to seep into the ground.</u>		
Reason:	LID nomenclature is confusing and used in different ways by different people. LID is expected to become much more prevalent in the U.S. because of new mandates or encouragement by the states and EPA as a way to improve water quality and other storm water issues. Providing a few examples of LID may help nonprofessionals to better understand what the term covers.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The language is subject to change on a regular basis and will not necessarily provide clarification as intended.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P030	LogID TG2-5	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	--------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	<u>Add new as follows:</u> Open Space. <u>An area of land or water that either remains in its natural state, is used for agriculture, or is otherwise free from intensive development.</u>
Reason:	This new definition was added by the Task Group in order to accept a proposal to add a criteria for “Open Space” in Chapter 4.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P031	LogID TG2-33	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<u>Add new as follows:</u> Red Field. <u>Real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by financial and/or physical distress. A red field site may include brownfields, abandoned sites, underutilized sites, financially under-performing (underwater) sites, and foreclosed real estate. Red field sites can be publicly or privately owned.</u>		
Reason:	A redfield is an economically distressed property that may be eligible for assistance through federal programs, and has been defined as such by the Federal Government. Priority for sustainability should be given to such sites and developers should be awarded accordingly.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	<i>(Secretariat note: The action on this proposed change has been negated by a subsequent action of rejecting P74 by the Committee. Therefore, definition of Redfield will not be included in the Draft Standard.)</i>		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P032	LogID 251	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> RURAL/EXURBAN. Rural or Exurban locations would be areas where residential density is less than 2 dwelling units per acre and/or more than 10 miles from an MSA defined central city.
Reason:	Geographic location of a site or lot within a region can affect the ability to accrue points differently. Therefore, there should be a point gradient based on geographic location, awarding more points for developers and Builders who build and develop in more difficult locations.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Will not specify criteria by suburban or rural locale. Lacks applicability, points being awarded solely for suburban or rural development may be perceived as "greenwashing".
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P033	LogID 408	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Site. Any area of land that is or will be developed into two or more parcels (<u>lots</u>) of land intended for multiple ownership, uses, or structures and designed to be part of an integrated whole such as a residential subdivision, mixed-use development, or master planned community. Site, as defined, generally contains multiple lots. (also see Lot)		
Reason:	<i>(Note: reason was not provided.)</i>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	This is redundant. Already defined as a LOT in definitions.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P034	LogID 252	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i>		

	SOFTSCAPE. Softscape refers to the elements of a landscape that comprise live, horticultural elements. Softscaping can include, flowers, plants, shrubs, trees, flower beds, etc. The term softscape stands in contrast to hardscape which represents inanimate objects of a landscape such as pavers, stones, rocks, etc.
Reason:	Softscape stands in contrast to the term “hardscape,” which represents inanimate objects of a landscape such as pavers, stones, rocks, etc. The term softscape should be added, as the term “hardscape” is currently defined in the standard.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This language is not metioned in the criteria (standard does not use this term)
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P035	LogID 253	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> SUBURBAN – Suburban locations are located outside of central cities, generally developed after 1945, consist of large tracts of single-use developments and generally have a residential density of less than 7 dwelling units per acre.		
Reason:	Geographic location of a site or lot within a region can affect the ability to accrue points differently. Therefore, there should be a point gradient based on geographic location, awarding more points for developers and Builders who build and develop in more difficult locations.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Will not specify criteria by suburban or rural locale. Lacks applicability, points being awarded solely for suburban or rural development may be perceived as “greenwashing”.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P036	LogID TG2-6	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i>		

	SWPPP (Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan). A site specific, written document report to identify required features specifically represented in the NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) Construction General Permit. The plan describes practices used to prevent stormwater pollution, including erosion and sediment controls and other good housekeeping practices, conservation techniques, and infiltration practices (where appropriate) and identifies procedures the operator implements to comply with all regulations in the construction general permit. This plan also includes mandatory inspection reports and may require additional guidelines or requirements depending on the state and local jurisdiction. Reports and plans must be assembled by a qualified individual.
Reason:	SWPPPs plans are the primary type of storm water plan required by regulators and are the primary tool from which to implement storm water management techniques.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> SWPPP (Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan). A site specific, written document report to identify required features specifically represented in the NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) Construction General Permit. The plan describes practices used to prevent stormwater pollution, including erosion and sediment controls and other good housekeeping practices, conservation techniques, and infiltration practices (where appropriate) and identifies procedures the operator implements to comply with all regulations in the construction general permit. This plan also includes mandatory inspection reports and may require additional guidelines or requirements depending on the state and local jurisdiction. Reports and plans must be assembled by a qualified individual.
Committee Reason:	Definitions cannot contain requirements.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P037 LogID 254 202 Definitions		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	<u>URBAN – Urban locations are located within central cities, generally developed prior to 1945, have a mix of land uses within ¼ mile distance, and generally have a residential density greater than 6-7 dwelling units per acre.</u>	
Reason:	Geographic location of a site or lot within a region can affect the ability to accrue points differently. Therefore, there should be a point gradient based on geographic location, awarding more points for developers and Builders who build and develop in more difficult locations.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	The Committee wants to include this definition, but will use entirely new language based on the US Census (see P38).	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P038	LogID TG2-7	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>“Urban” Can be defined as areas within a census designated census tract of 1,000 people per square mile or located within a Metropolitan Statistical Area primary city, as designated by the U.S. Census Bureau.</u>		
Reason:	This definition is necessary as there is a criterion proposed for selecting lots in urban locales.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> <u>Urban. Can be defined as Areas within a census designated census tract of 1,000 people per square mile or located within a Metropolitan Statistical Area primary city, as designated by the U.S. Census Bureau.</u>		
Committee Reason:	Editorial clarifications.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P039	LogID 255	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steven Orlowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>WASTEWATER - is any water that has been adversely affected in quality by anthropogenic influence. It comprises liquid waste discharged by domestic residences, commercial properties, industry, and/or agriculture and can encompass a wide range of potential contaminants and concentrations.</u>		
Reason:	Wastewater is mentioned throughout the standard, not just in reference to vertical development highlighted in Chapters 4 and 5, but also vertical construction addressed in Chapters 6 -10. Therefore, a definition is warranted to provide clarification to the verification process.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	This is only mentioned once in the criteria, it is not really necessary, for constructed wetland; in that instance the description was implied.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P040	LogID 256	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p>WILDLIFE HABITAT/CORRIDOR. An ecological or environmental area that is inhabited by a particular species of animal, plant, or other type of organism. It is the natural environment in which an organism lives or the physical environment that surrounds (influences and is utilized by) a species population.</p>		
Reason:	In Chapters 4 and 5, points are awarded for developers who preserve wildlife habitats on site, as well as provide on-site amenities to encourage urban wildlife. Therefore, it is pertinent to provide a definition to this term to help clarify the verification process.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P041	LogID 206	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<p>ICF (INSULATING CONCRETE FORMS). Forms for poured concrete walls made of foam or other insulation in preformed blocks or panels that stay in place as a permanent part of the wall assembly. A concrete forming system using stay-in-place forms of rigid foam plastic insulation, a hybrid of cement and foam insulation, a hybrid of cement and wood chips, or other insulating material for constructing cast-in-place concrete walls.</p> <p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p>STRUCTURAL INSULATED PANEL (SIP). <u>A structural sandwich panel that consists of a light-weight foam plastic core securely laminated between two thin, rigid wood structural panel facings.</u></p>		
Reason:	Adds definitions for insulated concrete forms and structural insulated panels. These definitions are connected to a proposal to revise Section 601.9 to clarify the systems that qualify for credit as "above grade wall systems".		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Definition of ICF is accepted without modifications.</i></p> <p><i>Revise proposed definition of SIP as follows (in red):</i></p> <p>SIP (STRUCTURAL INSULATED PANEL). <u>A structural sandwich panel that consists of a light-weight foam plastic core securely laminated between two thin, rigid wood structural panel facings; a structural panel that consists of light weight foam plastic and cold-formed steel sheet or structural cold-formed steel members; or other similar non-interrupted structural</u></p>		

	panels.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P042	LogID 338	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	Indigenous Regional Material. Material that is originated, produced, grows naturally, or occurs naturally in a region within 500 miles (804.7 km) of the construction site.		
Reason:	This proposal suggests “Regional” works better in a building-related standard for describing or encouraging the use of materials from a limited geographic area.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P043	LogID 94	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Michael Gardner, Gypsum Association		
Proposed Change:	INDIGENOUS MATERIAL. Material that is originated, produced, grows naturally, or occurs naturally in a region within 500 miles (804.7 km) of the construction site <u>if transported by truck or 1500 miles (2414 km) of the construction site if transported for not less than 80% of the total transport distance by rail.</u>		
Reason:	Most, if not all, other green building standards recognize the economic benefits and efficiencies of rail transport. ICC 700 should also. The percentage threshold for rail transport recognizes that most material that is shipped by rail has to be delivered by truck. The 1500 mile distance ceiling for rail transit is consistent with other green standards.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		

Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> INDIGENOUS MATERIAL. Material that is originated, produced, grows naturally, or occurs naturally in a region within 500 miles (804.7 km) of the construction site <u>if transported by truck or 1500 miles (2414 km) of the construction site if transported for not less than 80% of the total transport distance by rail or water.</u>
Committee Reason:	Water transport is approximately as efficient as rail.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Frank Stanonik: <u>This modification undermines the basic concept of indigenous which relates materials that are locally available and puts it in terms of how the material got to the site.</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P044	LogID 96	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Michael Gardner, Gypsum Association		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Cogeneration Energy Process. An energy process that consecutively generates useful thermal and electric energy from the same fuel source.</u> <u>Waste Heat. Heat discharged as a byproduct of one process to provide heat needed by a second process.</u>		
Reason:	Definitions are submitted in conjunction with a proposal to add cogeneration energy and waste heat to Section 606. The definition for waste heat is derived from the definition for waste-heat recovery on the “Terms of Environment” web page maintained by the Environmental Protection Agency. That definition is as follows: “Waste Heat Recovery: Recovering heat discharged as a byproduct of one process to provide heat needed by a second process.” www.epa.gov/glossary/wterms.html The definition for cogeneration energy process is derived from the same source and is based on the definition for cogeneration. That definition is as follows: “Cogeneration: The consecutive generation of useful thermal and electric energy from the same fuel source.” www.epa.gov/OCEPAt/terms/cterm.html		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Accept definition of Waste Heat without modification.</i> <i>Revise definition of Cogeneration as follows (in red):</i> <u>Cogeneration-Energy Process. An energy process that consecutively generates useful thermal and electric energy from the same fuel source.</u>		
Committee Reason:	Redundant – edit for clarification.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P045		LogID 388	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	Architectural Coatings. A coating (paint or stain <u>including primers</u>) recommended for field application to stationary structures and their appurtenances, to portable buildings, to pavements, or to curbs. The definition of architectural coating does not include adhesives and coatings recommended by the manufacturer or importer solely for shop applications.			
Reason:	The standard is not clear on how site applied primers should be considered. Language is needed to include primers. A technical expert will need to establish the appropriate VOC levels for primers in 901.8.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified			
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise the definition as follows:</i> ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS. A coating (paint or stain) recommended for field application to stationary structures and their appurtenances, to portable buildings, to pavements, or to curbs. The definition of architectural coating does not include adhesives and coatings recommended by the manufacturer or importer solely for shop applications. <u>A material applied onto or impregnated into a substrate for protective, decorative, or functional purposes. Such materials include, but are not limited to, paints, varnishes, sealers, and stains. An architectural coating is a material applied to stationary structures or their appurtenances at the site of installation. Coatings applied in shop applications, sealants and adhesives are not considered architectural coatings.</u>			
Committee Reason:	Align with CARB definition.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P046		LogID 393	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	Construction Waste Management Plan. A system of measures designed to reduce, reuse, and recycle <u>a substantial portion of the waste generated during construction and to properly dispose of the remaining waste.</u>			
Reason:	The original wording implied that to be considered a plan that all waste had to be recycled or reused.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified			
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Construction Waste Management Plan. A system of measures designed to reduce, reuse, and recycle <u>a substantial portion of</u> the waste generated during construction <u>and to properly dispose of the remaining waste.</u>			
Committee Reason:	Section 605.1 establishes percentages.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P047	LogID 396	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	INDIGENOUS MATERIAL. Construction Material (not product e.g. windows) that is originated, produced, grows naturally, or occurs naturally in a region within 500 miles (804.7 km)of the construction site		
Reason:	This definition needs to be clarified. Is it limited to materials (e.g. gravel, lumber, etc) or does is include products such as windows and cabinets. If products are included do the raw materials used to manufacture the products have to be from within the 500 mile radius? If the product is sold thru distribution how is that distance handled? The above suggestion is only one option. Products can also be included but the definition needs to be clear.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The current definition is clear. Also in favor of P43 and P42.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P048	LogID 403	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	See reason.		
Reason:	There are a wide variety of materials that might be considered permeable. A description or definition is needed to define how permeable a product should be to qualify as permeable for this standard.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	There is a definition of permeable. The current definition is adequate.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P049		LogID 404	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	Post Consumer Recycled Content.			
Reason:	Post & Pre consumer are not referenced in the Chapter 6 practices. Should these definitions be combined?			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified			
Modification of Proposed Change:	The definitions of pre- and post-consumer will be nested under recycled content as follows: Definition: Recycled Content Pre-consumer Post-consumer			
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P050		LogID TG3-3	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert De Vries, Nu Wool Company			
Proposed Change:	Resources containing post-consumer or pre-consumer (post-industrial) recycled content. POST-CONSUMER RECYCLED CONTENT. The proportion of recycled material in a product generated by households or by commercial, industrial, and institutional facilities in their role as end users of the product that can no longer be used for its intended purpose. This includes returns of material from the distribution chain. PRE-CONSUMER (POST-INDUSTRIAL) RECYCLED CONTENT. The proportion of recycled material in a product diverted from the waste stream during the manufacturing process. Pre-consumer recycled content does not include reutilization of material such as rework, regrind, or scrap generated in a process and capable of being reclaimed within the same process that generated it.			
Reason:	These definitions are from the IGCC and go into further detail of the different types of recycled content.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Both definitions are already in the Standard.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s)				

for Abstain:	
--------------	--

P051	LogID 399	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Mass Walls. Walls constructed of concrete block, concrete, insulated concrete form (ICF), masonry cavity, brick, earth (adobe, compressed earth block, rammed earth), and/or solid timber/logs, with a minimum of 50 percent of the required R-value on the exterior <u>side of the wall's centerline.</u>		
Reason:	Some of the examples cited in the original definition technically do not meet the definition. This change allows those examples to meet the definition.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> MASS WALLS. Walls constructed of concrete block, concrete, insulated concrete form (ICF), masonry cavity, brick, earth (adobe, compressed earth block, rammed earth), and/or solid timber/logs, with a minimum of 50 percent of the required R-value on the exterior of the wall. <u>Above-grade masonry or concrete walls having a mass greater than or equal to 30 pounds per square foot (146 kg/m²), solid wood walls having a mass greater than or equal to 20 pounds per square foot (98 kg/m²), and any other walls having a heat capacity greater than or equal to 6 Btu/ft² °F [266 J/(m² • K)] with a minimum of 50 percent of the required R-value on the exterior side of the wall's centerline.</u>		
Committee Reason:	Update the definition per the 2009 I-codes.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P052	LogID 259	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA		
Proposed Change:	Hydronic heater — <u>an indoor or outdoor appliance intended to supply hot water or steam for space heating, process heating, or power. (CSA B415)</u> <i><u>Note: Hydronic heaters can have a pressurized or atmospherically vented vessel containing a liquid heat transfer medium.</u></i>		
Reason:	It is essential for biomass hydronic heaters to allowed in this standard and this definition specifically will allow pressurized or atmospherically vented appliances.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Definition is confusing to HVAC industry. This is technically a boiler and this definition is not appropriate.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P053 LogID TG6-1 202 Definitions <i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>	
Submitter:	Paula Cino, National Multi Housing Council
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> Common Space, Interior or Exterior - Areas of a multi-unit building that are outside the boundaries of a dwelling unit and are shared among or serve the dwelling units; including, but not limited to, hallways, amenity and resident services areas, parking areas, property management offices, mechanical rooms and laundry rooms.
Reason:	A definition of common space is currently lacking from the Standard and is necessary to clarify compliance requirements for multi-unit buildings.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	The proposed language is withdrawn by the proponent based on committee's action on P13. As a result, the committee also voted to universally replace 'common space' with 'common area' throughout the Standard.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P054 LogID TG6-2 202 Definitions <i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Karen Welsh, UpStreet Architects
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new and revise as follows:</i> Mixed-Use Building: A building that incorporates a mixture of uses (e.g. residential, retail, commercial) in a single structure. Mixed Use Development: A project that incorporates a mixture of uses (e.g. residential, retail, commercial) in a single structure or on the same site.
Reason:	The separation of mixed-use development from mixed-use building establishes the framework necessary to specify compliance requirements for mixed-use buildings.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P055	LogID 400	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	------------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Multi-Unit Building. A building containing multiple dwelling units <u>and permitted as a multi-unit or multi-family building and not covered under the IRC.</u>
Reason:	There has been a lot of confusion regarding townhouses and do they qualify as multi-unit buildings. The situation is further compounded because some municipalities permit townhouses as multi-unit buildings. The suggested changes are an attempt to clarify the situation.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise the Standard as follows:</i> Multi-Unit Building. A building containing multiple dwelling units <u>and classified as R-2 under the ICC IBC.</u>
Committee Reason:	The Standard needs a more precise definition to facilitate multifamily compliance. Aligning the definition with the IBC ensures consistency in application, and avoids conflict with jurisdictional permitting processes.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P056	LogID 407	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Residential Portion of a Multi-unit or Mixed Development building.</u> The portion of the building that contains the elements of the dwelling unit.
Reason:	Need definition of this as it relates to multi-unit and mixed use buildings. There are practices that apply to a building (e.g. foundation) that may not be part of the "residential portion" of the building. The intent should be clarified.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Residential occupancies (including those in mixed occupancy buildings) are clearly defined by the ICC IBC. Further clarification of multifamily compliance requirements are addressed by P70.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P057	LogID 410	301.1 Environmental performance levels	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Environmental Performance Levels.
Reason:	Performance is difficult to define and measure for "environmental". I think this would be better to say Environmental Impact Level or Rating or some other word.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	Change "Environmental Performance Levels" to "Environmental Rating Levels" in the following: Table of Contents 301.1 301.1 Page 11 302.1 Page 11 303.1 Page 12 305.2.1 Page 13 305.4.3 Page 14
Committee Reason:	Committee felt the change would be relevant because "Performance" implies that you are somehow measuring how a home will perform which is not really what happens.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P058	LogID 145	301.2 Awarding of points	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	---------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Randall K. Melvin, Winchester Homes, Inc.
Proposed Change:	(1)The maximum number of points that can be awarded for each practice, <u>other than for a Regional Credit Multiplier, as referenced in item 4 below,</u> is noted for that practice. (4) <u>A Regional/Local Credit Multiplier factor of 2.0 may be applied to any given practice the governing jurisdiction shall deem to be regionally important to voluntarily encourage the selection and use of thoes practices. The multiplier shall not change the threshold points required for any given chapter or the performance level points required for the entire standard.</u>
Reason:	Provides a means of incentivising the selection of specific practices which are regionally or locally deemed to be of particular importance.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>In lieu of the proposed change, revise the Standard as follows:</i>

	<p>303.1 Green buildings.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>(4) In addition to the threshold number of points prescribed in Categories 1 through 6, the additional points prescribed in Category 7 shall be achieved from any of the categories. Where deemed appropriate by the Adopting Entity <u>and based on regional conditions</u>, additional points from Category 7 may be assigned to another category (or categories) to increase the threshold points required for that category (or categories). Points shall not be reduced by the Adopting Entity in any of the six other categories.</p>
Committee Reason:	<p>Committee believes that the multiplier would weaken the Standard, however agrees with the idea for regional conditions.</p> <p>The Committee believes that Adopting Entities already have flexibility in Section 303.1(4), however recommends the following clarification.</p>
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P059	LogID 146	301.2 Awarding of points	Final Formal Action: (Withdrawn)
Submitter:	Randall K. Melvin, Winchester Homes, Inc.		
Proposed Change:	Provide another scoring table and means of compliance with the standards which only addresses the building by decoupling it from the Lot Design, Preparation and Development Chapter Chapter 5 and reducing the required point requirements accordingly.		
Reason:	Encourages the use of the standard and construction of green building even in those situation where the lot may not qualify under chapter 5, or the substantiating information necessary to comply with chapter 5 is unknown or impractical for the builder to acquire.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Withdrawn by Proponent at the Concensus Committee Meeting on 06/16/11.		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P060	LogID 411	301.2 Awarding of points	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(3) The Adopting Entity shall allow new products and practices to be added where deemed to meet the intent of this Standard. Points assigned for any new product or practice shall be determined by the Adopting Entity.		

Reason:	The original section allows an adopting entity to modify the standard in ways that might result in widely varying certifications. If new practices or point values are added without removing other practices then the point balance will be altered. As an adopting entity this clause opens the door to many special requests and lobbying by special interests.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise the Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>301.2 Awarding of points. Points shall be awarded as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The maximum number of points that can be awarded for each practice is noted with that practice. (2) Point allocation for multi-unit buildings shall be as prescribed in Section 304. (3) The Adopting Entity shall allow new <u>and innovative</u> products and practices to be added where deemed to meet the intent of this Standard. Points assigned for any new product or practice shall be determined by the Adopting Entity. <u>A maximum of 20 points may be awarded at the discretion of the Adopting Entity for innovative products or practices. Innovative practices and products shall fall under Categories 1-6 from Table 303; however points shall only be assigned under Category 7. Point values shall be determined by comparing the innovative product or practice to a practice or product already described in the Standard. The applicant shall supply demonstrable, quantified data to support the innovative product or practice and to determine the practice's functional equivalent in the Standard to determine the points to be awarded.</u>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P061	LogID 68	303.1 Green buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>		
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM				
Proposed Change:	Revise Table 303				
		Bronze	Silver	Gold	Emerald
1	Lot..	50 all levels 39	66	93	119
2	Resource.	45 80 All Levels	79	113	140
3	Energy...	30	60	100	120
4	Water...	14 20	26 30	41 45	60
5	IE Q..	36- 50 Bronze and Silver	65	100- 90 Gold and Emerald	140
6	Education	8 10 All Levels	40	11	12
7	Additional	50 0	100- 120	100 170	100- 230
	Totals	240	400	545	640
Reason:	From bare lot subdivisions to acre wooded lots. There is too much variation across the country in some of the six sections of				

	the NGBS. By setting appropriate minimums in the Lot, Resource and Indoor Environmental Quality, then allowing Energy and Water (which are less subjective and more quantifiable) to get more stringent at higher levels and at the same time making category 7 Additional Points also get more stringent this will allow for a more flexible Standard that is more adaptable to different regions of the country. Also the Emerald Level should be slightly more attainable. (For example; In the southwest there are fewer practices available in Resource Efficiency than in other parts of the country)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The Committee believes that the progressive point threshold is unique to the Standard and makes sense. The suggested change opens it up to lots of subjectivity. Further, the Committee acknowledges that each of the TGs are working on the individual point assignments. In addition, the Committee believes that regional issues can be addressed elsewhere (see P58). Committee disagreed with not having any threshold requirements for silver, gold, and emerald rating levels.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P062	LogID 561	302.1 Site design and development	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Site Design and Development. The threshold points required for the environmental performance levels to qualify a new or existing subdivision as green under this standard		
Reason:	When the Standard was originally created it made sense to allow retroactive certifications but going forward it makes more sense to only allow certification of new developments		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Existing subdivision is acceptable language. It has been defined through new language (Comment P16). Subdivisions are often interrelated and they may have already built sections and committee did not want to exclude these projects.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P063	LogID 903	303.1 Green buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Williams, Buildinggreener LLC		

Proposed Change:	In Table 303 Water Efficiency the points should be doubled from 60 to <u>120</u> .
Reason:	All of the other categories except for operations are 120 or above. This could help water get more respect on a psychological level. Water efficiency as little in the way of incentives except at the municipal level with the price most people pay for it.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Options have been increased throughout Water Efficiency chapter by introducing new practices. The task group is working to reassign points systematically based on water savings.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P064	LogID 223	303.1 Green buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management		
Proposed Change:	Adjust the bronze, silver, gold, emerald points such that when combined with the changes in Chapter 7 the levels are roughly 10%, 20%,30% and 40% respectively above the 2012 IECC. The silver level should be about 50% more energy efficient than the 2006 IECC.		
Reason:	This is part of adapting ICC 700 to be an improvement relative to the newest version of the most commonly used model energy code (IECC). ICC 700 includes end uses outside the IECC, for example efficient appliances, which should be taken as a contribution to energy efficiency. HVAC and water heater efficiency should be included in the savings. Contributions from renewables and energy recaptured from waste should be included in the savings. If would be useful if one level, presumably silver, was about 50% more energy efficient than the 2006 IECC, as that level represents a target for a variety of uses.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	There is no actionable wording and the baseline for this chapter is the 2009 IECC.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P065	LogID 412	303.1 Green buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		

Proposed Change:	(3) In addition to Section 701, either Section 702 (Performance Path) or Section 703 (Prescriptive Path) shall be used to establish the threshold Performance Level under Category 3 (Energy Efficiency).
Reason:	It is not clear between this section and section 701.1.1 and 701.1.2 if the threshold level in Table 303 for Chapter 7 must be met only using points from 702 or 703 and not counting any points from 704. If the intent is to require the achievement level threshold points to come only from 702 or 703 then that should be part of 701.1.1 or 701.1.2. The current wording also ignores the alternate bronze path.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P066	LogID 67	303.1 Green buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	-----------------	------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(3) In addition to Section 701 either Section 702 (Performance Path) or Section 703 (Prescriptive Path) shall be used to establish the threshold performance level under Category 3 (Energy Efficiency). <u>Section 704 Points shall go to Category 7 (Additional Points). Section 704 Points shall not raise the level in Section 7 established by either 702 (Performance Path) or 703 (Prescriptive Path).</u>
Reason:	The Energy Section of the NGBS should be set by the actual energy efficiency of the project. Additional testing and the other items in section 704 while beneficial to quality control do not in themselves raise the energy efficiency of the project. I believe this was the original intent of the 2009 NGBS
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Chapter 7 was re-organized to have all energy-saving measures accounted for in Section 702 or Section 703 (see P412).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P067	LogID 413	303.1 Green buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
-------------------	-----------------------------------

Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(5) The non-residential portions of mixed use or multi-family buildings are not required to comply with the practices that the residential portion complies with except for practices that apply to the entire building such as foundation practices.</u>
Reason:	The original Standard was not clear on how non-residential portions of buildings are addressed. The committee should decide how non-residential portions of a multi-unit or a mixed use building should be treated.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	Committee agrees that clarification of multifamily compliance requirements is necessary. This is addressed by P70.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P068	LogID 414	304.1 Multi-unit buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	<p>For multi-unit buildings, points for the green building practices that apply to multiple units shall be credited once for the entire building. Where points are credited, practices shall be implemented in all units, as applicable. Where application of a prescribed practice allows for a different number of points for different units in a multi-unit building, the fewer number of points shall be awarded. <u>When non-mandatory practices are only applicable to certain units (e.g., only the top floor units are likely to have can lights penetrating the thermal envelope) points should not be awarded to the building for those practices. When mandatory practices are only applicable to certain units (e.g., only the ground floor units may have an attached garage) the mandatory practice is considered in compliance if all those units comply. Practices that apply to the building (e.g., landscaping) may be awarded independently of the units.</u></p> <p><u>Common areas of the building must meet all mandatory requirements but practices for points are not applicable to the common areas unless specifically noted in the practice.</u></p>		
Reason:	The original standard was not clear on how to handle some issues in multi-unit buildings. The committee is free to decide how to handle these issues but they need to be addressed.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:	Committee agrees that clarification of multifamily compliance requirements is necessary. This is addressed by P70.		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P069	LogID 904	304.1 Multi-unit	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Howard Fortunato, LandmarkJCM		
Proposed Change:	Individual units (aka condos) in multi-unit building should be eligible to alternately obtain individual certifications for each unit. As it stands now all condos in a building can only earn the same certification level, and only obtain that certification once the entire building is completed. This is inflexible as the first buyer in a building must wait till the last unit is completed, thus a marketing disincentive for the buyer for green built home. Also, not being able to obtain different certification levels within the same building removes the marketing ability to differentiate units in the building. After all, presumably not all units in Trump Tower are the same level of fit / finish / price. Being able to differentiate will assist in generating more condo green certifications		
Reason:	My reasoning is within the above. thank you for this opportunity to comment.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Unit-by-unit compliance confuses the building-wide focus of the Standard, and creates uncertain benefits where the green features of individual units can impact the environmental performance of adjoining units and common space. Moreover, this represents a departure from other well-established green building programs.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P070	LogID TG6-3	304.1 Multi-Unit Buildings	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Paula Cino, National Multi Housing Council		
Proposed Change:	304.1 Multi-unit buildings. <u>All residential portions of a building shall meet the requirements of this Standard and partial compliance shall not be allowed. Unless otherwise noted, all units and residential common spaces within a multi-unit building shall: 1) meet all mandatory requirements; and 2) achieve the threshold number of points required for the chosen environmental performance level in accordance with Table 303; and 3) achieve the same environmental performance level.</u> For multi-unit buildings, points for the green building practices that apply to multiple units shall be credited once for the entire building. Where points are credited, practices shall be implemented in all units, as applicable. Where application of a prescribed practice allows for a different number of points for different units in a multi-unit building, the fewer number of points shall be awarded.		
Reason:	This language clarifies the compliance requirements for multi-unit buildings, and explains that dwelling units and common areas must meet the same environmental performance requirements. This aligns the Standard with other well-established green building programs and standards (such as LEED, Green Communities and ASHRAE 189.1), which do not provide for separate treatment of residential common spaces. The limited cases where different compliance methods are necessary for common space and dwellings are best dealt with through notation in individual provisions.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41		

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P071	LogID 427	400.0 Intent (Site Design and Development)	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	400.0 Intent. This section applies to land development for the eventual construction of buildings or additions thereto that contain dwelling units. The rating earned under Section 303 based on practices herein, applies only to the site as defined in Chapter 2. The buildings on the site earn their own performance level by complying with the provisions of Section 303, 304, or 305.5, as applicable. <u>However, practices marked with "Ch5.xx.xx appropriate" automatically convey points for those practices in certified developments to the lot provided the builder does not do anything to preclude the intent of the practice.</u>
Reason:	There is significant confusion regarding which practices/points can convey from the development to the lot. It seems reasonable that the lot should get credit for the green practices done by the builder. This makes the lot more attractive to builders and thus more developers will follow the standard. But the appropriateness of the practices/points needs to be clearly defined by the task group and committee.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The "cross-pollination" of points between Chapters 4 and Chapters 5 is addressed on a case-by-case basis (see P145), and therefore this language is redundant and not necessary.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P072	LogID 257	401.1 Infill Site	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	401.1 Infill site. An infill site, is selected <u>which is located in an area served by existing infrastructure and must include centralized water and sewer connections and the site boundaries should be 50% adjacent to development or active public parkland, is selected.</u>
Reason:	An expanded definition of infill is needed so that the criteria is applicable to a true infill site. The existing definition for infill was too broad and could be applicable to sites not really considered "infill" by industry experts.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	

Committee Reason:	This is addressed by new infill definition (see P25).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P073	LogID 258	401.2 Greyfield Site	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<p>401.2 Greyfield site. A greyfield site, and or a EPA recognized brownfield site, is selected.</p> <p>401.3 Brownfield site. A brownfield site is selected.</p>		
Reason:	Greyfield sites and Brownfield sites are distinctly different entities and should be separated out as such in the criteria.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P074	LogID TG2-8	401.4 (add new)	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	401.4 A Red Field site is selected		
Reason:	A redfield is an economically distressed property that may be eligible for assistance through federal programs, and has been defined as such by the Federal Government. Priority for sustainability should be given to such sites and developers should be awarded accordingly.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Committee disagrees with inclusion of redfield sites in the Standard.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0		

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P075	LogID TG2-9	401.5 (add new)	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	--------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	<u>A site with an average slope calculation of less than 15% is selected.</u>
Reason:	This proposal awards developers that choose relatively flat sites, because these sites have less ecological impact on their surrounding areas due to their lack of topography, when developed.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P076	LogID 111	403.1 Natural Resources	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	--------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Anthony Floyd, City of Scottsdale
Proposed Change:	Make line items (1) and (2) mandatory.
Reason:	Local building departments already require sites plans to identify existng natural and manmade features. A natural resources inventory merely identifies the site's envornmental attribures. This is simple and straigh forward. As part of this inventory, priority site attributes and resources can be identified and made part of the site development plan. This is a prerequisite for beginning any green building project and should be mandatory for the National Green Building Standard.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Points will be revisited by the Committee.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P077 LogID 428 403.2 Building Orientation		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	403.2 Building orientation. A minimum of 75 percent of the building sites are designed with the longer dimension of the structure to face within 20 degrees of south <u>and appropriate covenants are included requiring builders to construct buildings which take advantage of that orientation.</u>	
Reason:	The benefit of site orinetaiton will only be realized if builders are required to take advantage of it.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	It is not appropriate for the Standard to require covenants in Chapter 4. This is a builder issue; also is redundant.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P078 LogID 272 403.2 Building Orientation		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter :	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	<p>403.2 Building orientation. A minimum of 75 percent of the building sites are designed with the longer dimension of the structure to face within 20 degrees of south.</p> <p>405.9 Site Design for Climate Conditions and Energy Efficiency.</p> <p>(1) <u>Solar Orientation – A minimum of 75 percent of the building lotswithin the site are designed with the longer dimension of structure to face within 20 degrees of south.</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Tree Plantings –</u></p> <p>a. <u>Plant Deciduous Trees to the east and west of a lot(s) to create shade.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Plant evergreens to the north and west to block winter winds.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Avoid plantings to the south.</u></p> <p>(3) <u>Heat Island Mitigation – The following is provided through site design in all common areas in the community site plan:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Shading of hardscaping: Shade is provided from existing or new vegetation (within five) years or from trellises or</u></p>	6

	<p><u>similar structures. Shade of hardscaping to be measured at summer solstice at noon.</u></p> <p><u>(b) Light colored hardscaping: Horizontal hardscaping materials are installed with a solar reflectance index of 29 or greater.</u></p> <p><u>(c) The use of open grid paving systems and open-graded aggregate systems that reduce hardscape.</u></p> <p><u>(d) Common area buildings, such a club houses and maintenance facilities, utilize light colored roofing, high reflectivity, or green roof technologies.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Lighting – Energy efficient lighting is used in the common open space areas and in private and public rights-of-way.</u></p> <p><u>(5) Alternative Energy Sources – Dedicating a common area within a community site plan for the installation of an alternative energy facility that would generate electricity for the community. An alternative energy facility may generate electricity using solar, wind or hydro technologies.</u></p>
Reason:	Consolidating all the criteria that relates to climate and energy into one section. Additionally, have added several criteria related to climate and energy efficiency that can be carried out on the lot or site by a builder or developer, and can also be done relatively easily and will have a credible green effect.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Language is unenforceable and issues with a community site plan (vs. a building site plan)
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment (s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment (s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment (s) for Abstain:	

P079	LogID 429	403.3 Slope Disturbance	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	<p>Slope disturbance. Slope disturbance is minimized by one or more of the following:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Points awarded only if there are developable steep slopes in the project area.)</p> <p>(1) The site has a slope of greater than 25% and all or a percentage of development on steep slopes is avoided.</p>		

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>(a)</td> <td>less than 25 percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b)</td> <td>25 percent to 75 percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c)</td> <td>greater than 75 percent</td> </tr> </table>	(a)	less than 25 percent	(b)	25 percent to 75 percent	(c)	greater than 75 percent
(a)	less than 25 percent						
(b)	25 percent to 75 percent						
(c)	greater than 75 percent						
	(2) <u>The site has a slope of greater than 25% and Hydrological/soil stability study for steep slopes is completed and used to guide the design of all buildings on the site.</u>						
	(3) <u>The site has a slope of greater than 25% and All or a percentage of roads are aligned with natural topography to reduce cut and fill.</u> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>(a)</td> <td>less than 25 percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b)</td> <td>25 percent to 75 percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c)</td> <td>greater than 75 percent</td> </tr> </table>	(a)	less than 25 percent	(b)	25 percent to 75 percent	(c)	greater than 75 percent
(a)	less than 25 percent						
(b)	25 percent to 75 percent						
(c)	greater than 75 percent						
	(4) <u>The site has a slope of greater than 25% and Long-term erosion effects are reduced by the use of terracing, retaining walls, landscaping, and restabilization techniques.</u> (5) <u>The site has not slopes greater than 25%</u> 10 points						
Reason:	We receive a number of questions regarding why a developer should be able to get up to 19 points just because the site has steep slopes when another developer may choose a flat site in order to avoid the adverse impact of slopes. Recognizing some credit for choosing a flat site would reduce this concern. The task group/committee should decide on the point value as well as any qualifications as to how much of the site must have a steep slope to earn points for this practice. It may also be worth considering merging this practice with 403.11						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject						
Modification of Proposed Change:							
Committee Reason:	Entirely new language has been developed to deal with this issue (see P80).						
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0						
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:							

P080	LogID TG2-10	403.3 Slope Disturbance	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<p>403.3 Slope Disturbance. Slope Disturbance is minimized by one or more of the following:</p> <p>(points awarded only if there are developable steep slopes in the project area)</p> <p>1) All or a percentage of development on steep slopes is avoided:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. Less than 25 percent</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. 25 percent to 75 percent</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">c. Greater than 75 percent</p> <p>(1) (2) Hydrological/soil suitability study for steep slopes is completed and used to guide the design of all</p>		

	<p>buildings on site.</p> <p>(2) (3) All or a percentage of roads are aligned with natural topography to reduce cut and fill.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Less than 25 percent b. 25 percent to 75 percent c. Greater than 75 percent <p>(3) (4) Long term erosion effects are reduced by the use of <u>clustering</u>, terracing, retaining walls, landscaping, and restabilization techniques.</p>
Reason:	This section has been re-worked to de-emphasize the steep slopes issue, while maintaining the integrity of practices that minimize soil disturbance. The issue of over emphasis on steep slopes created unforeseen and possibly unbalanced challenges for those developers owning flat, previously graded and infill sites that were seeking higher levels of green certification.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P081	LogID 128	403.4 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Anthony Floyd, City of Scottsdale		
Proposed Change:	Make line items (1) and (3) mandatory.		
Reason:	Soil exposed by construction activities is especially vulnerable to erosion. Soil erosion contributes to stormwater run-off pollutants and air borne particulates that make up air pollution. Most city and county authorities require a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan to minimize stormwater pollutant runoff. Based on the site inventory and an established site plan, it is simple to identify the limits of clearing and grading. Most jurisdictions already require a grading and drainage plan as part of civil engineering and building permit requirements. This process has long been established in the engineering and regulatory process around the country. This should be a prerequisite and therefore mandatory for the National Green Building Standard.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Entirely new language has been developed to deal with this issue (see P83). This practice does not need to be mandatory.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s)			

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P082	LogID 216	403.4 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB												
Proposed Change:	<p>403.4 Soil disturbance and erosion. Soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following: (also see Section 404)</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>(1) Construction activities are scheduled to minimize length of time that soils are exposed.</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Utilities are installed by alternate means such as directional boring in lieu of open-cut trenching. Shared easements or common utility trenches are utilized to minimize earth disturbance. Low ground pressure equipment or temporary matting is used to minimize excessive soil consolidation.</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Limits of clearing and grading are demarcated in the plan.</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) <u>Limit the soil disturbance to 10 percent of the total acreage of the project or 10 acres, whichever is greater</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) <u>Soil disturbances are properly stabilized within fourteen (14) days (7 days on steep slopes) after construction activity is completed for any portion of the project</u></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			(1) Construction activities are scheduled to minimize length of time that soils are exposed.	4	(2) Utilities are installed by alternate means such as directional boring in lieu of open-cut trenching. Shared easements or common utility trenches are utilized to minimize earth disturbance. Low ground pressure equipment or temporary matting is used to minimize excessive soil consolidation.	4	(3) Limits of clearing and grading are demarcated in the plan.	4	(4) <u>Limit the soil disturbance to 10 percent of the total acreage of the project or 10 acres, whichever is greater</u>		(5) <u>Soil disturbances are properly stabilized within fourteen (14) days (7 days on steep slopes) after construction activity is completed for any portion of the project</u>	
(1) Construction activities are scheduled to minimize length of time that soils are exposed.	4												
(2) Utilities are installed by alternate means such as directional boring in lieu of open-cut trenching. Shared easements or common utility trenches are utilized to minimize earth disturbance. Low ground pressure equipment or temporary matting is used to minimize excessive soil consolidation.	4												
(3) Limits of clearing and grading are demarcated in the plan.	4												
(4) <u>Limit the soil disturbance to 10 percent of the total acreage of the project or 10 acres, whichever is greater</u>													
(5) <u>Soil disturbances are properly stabilized within fourteen (14) days (7 days on steep slopes) after construction activity is completed for any portion of the project</u>													
Reason:	Proposed language will greater flexibility and options for soil erosion and sediment. It is important that all contractors and subcontractors are aware of alternatives to protect against wind or water erosion.												
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject												
Modification of Proposed Change:													
Committee Reason:	Entirely new language has been developed to deal with this issue (see P83).												
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0												
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:													
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:													
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:													

P083	LogID TG2-11	403.4 Soil disturbance and erosion	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<p>403.4 Soil disturbance and erosion. Soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following: (See also section 404): <u>A site Stormwater Pollution Prevention plan is developed in accordance with applicable stormwater construction general permits. The plan includes one or more of the following:</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>(3) Limits of clearing and grading are demarcated in the plan.</p>		
Reason:	It is worth specifying the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan as the plan of record as this is what is commonly used to specify stormwater management design and implementation.		
Committee Action	Accept		

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	New and amended language in 403.5 to address this concern (see P83).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P086	LogID 563	403.5 Storm Water Management	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(3) Permeable materials are selected/specified for <u>common area</u> roads, driveways, parking areas, walkways and patios.
Reason:	The current text is not clear if this is too apply only to areas finished by the developer or if is should also be required of any buildings on the lots in the development.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Common areas have been defined by new language (see P13)
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P087	LogID 218	403.5 Storm Water Management	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB										
Proposed Change:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>403.5 Storm water management. Storm water is managed using one or more of the following low-impact development techniques:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(1) Natural water and drainage features are preserved and used.</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) A storm water management plan is developed to minimize concentrated flows and simulate flows found in natural hydrology by the use of vegetative swales, French drains, wetlands, drywells, rain gardens, and similar features.</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Permeable materials are selected/specified for roads, driveways, parking areas, walkways, and patios.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) less than 25 percent</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </table>	403.5 Storm water management. Storm water is managed using one or more of the following low-impact development techniques:		(1) Natural water and drainage features are preserved and used.	6	(2) A storm water management plan is developed to minimize concentrated flows and simulate flows found in natural hydrology by the use of vegetative swales, French drains, wetlands, drywells, rain gardens, and similar features.	6	(3) Permeable materials are selected/specified for roads, driveways, parking areas, walkways, and patios.		(a) less than 25 percent	1
403.5 Storm water management. Storm water is managed using one or more of the following low-impact development techniques:											
(1) Natural water and drainage features are preserved and used.	6										
(2) A storm water management plan is developed to minimize concentrated flows and simulate flows found in natural hydrology by the use of vegetative swales, French drains, wetlands, drywells, rain gardens, and similar features.	6										
(3) Permeable materials are selected/specified for roads, driveways, parking areas, walkways, and patios.											
(a) less than 25 percent	1										

	(b) 25 percent to 75 percent	3
	(c) greater than 75 percent	5
	(4) <u>Storm water management features/structures should be designed for the reduction of nitrogen and phosphorus</u>	
	(a) less than 15 percent reduction	1
	(b) 15 percent to 50 percent reduction	3
	(c) greater than 50 percent reduction	5
Reason:	Urban stream syndrome is a result of storm water management that focuses primarily on reducing storm water flows and velocity, adding an optional requirement for nutrient reduction furthers the commitment of the builder to reduce pollution through proper best management practice selection.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P90.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P088	LogID 166	403.5 Storm Water Management	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Option 1: Stormwater management practices that manage rainfall on-site and prevent the off-site discharge from all storms up to and including the volume of the 95th percentile storm event. Maintain predevelopment (natural) runoff temperatures.</u></p> <p><u>Option 2: Conduct a hydrologic analysis that results in the design of a stormwater management system that maintains the pre-development (stable, natural) runoff hydrology of the site throughout the development or redevelopment process. Post construction runoff rate, volume, duration, and temperature shall not exceed predevelopment rates.</u></p>		
Reason:	The standard's practice on stormwater management is commendable for encouraging the use of low-impact development techniques. However, the practice does not go far enough to ensure that buildings do not have an overly harmful impact on the hydrology of the surrounding area. This section can be strengthened through the development of several additional practices. In place of or in addition to the existing, relatively prescriptive measures in 503.4 and 403.5, EPA recommends a stormwater management practice focusing more on outcomes.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p>Option 1: (4) Stormwater management practices that manage rainfall on-site and prevent the off-site discharge from all storms up to and including the volume of the 95th percentile storm event. Maintain predevelopment (natural) runoff temperatures.</p> <p>Option 2: (5) Conduct a hydrologic analysis is conducted that results in the design of a stormwater management system that maintains the pre-development (stable, natural) runoff hydrology of the site throughout the development or redevelopment</p>		

	<u>process. Post construction runoff rate, volume, and duration—and temperature shall do not exceed predevelopment rates.</u>
Committee Reason:	Concerns about the practicality in certain regions.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P089	LogID 169	403.5 Storm Water Management	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Stormwater management verification. Stormwater rate, volume and duration calculations shall be provided for pre- (stable, natural) and post- development for the 2, 10, 25, 50 and 100 year storm events in addition to other applicable state and local reporting requirements. Infiltration and evapotranspiration strategies and rainwater collection (where allowed) calculations shall be indicated. A long-term maintenance plan for stormwater management practices shall be provided.</u>		
Reason:	In support of the requirements that EPA suggested in a prior comment (ID# 166), we we recommend the above means of verification.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Computations are intrinsic to the bigger picture of infrastructure design and is part of development of stormwater plan .		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P090	LogID TG2-12	403.5 Stormwater Management	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	Storm water management design will include Storm water is managed using one or more of the following low impact development techniques: (2) A stormwater management plan is developed to minimize concentrated flows and simulate flows found in natural hydrology by the u Use of vegetative swales, French drains, wetlands, drywells, rain gardens, and similar <u>infiltration</u> features. (6) Storm water management features/structures are designed for the reduction of nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment.		

Reason:	This language places emphasis on the design aspect of stormwater management, to avoid confusion with implementation in Section 404. Also included is language proposed by Steve Orłowski-NAHB Comment 218 (P87) for subsection (4).
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P091	LogID 297	403.6 Landscape Plan	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management		
Proposed Change:	403.6 #4		
Reason:	This section assumes that no turf means lower water use. Probably true in many cases, but we can probably find a case where really low water turf, (eg buffalo grass) in some large percentage of area would use less water than some smaller or equal percentage of other plantings. Consider creating a list of low-water plants that are treated like almost like no-water, or at least low water.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	No specific proposed change submitted. No actionable item.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P092	LogID 432	403.6 Landscape Plan	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	A landscape plan is developed to limit water and energy use <u>in common areas</u> while preserving or enhancing the natural environment. Examples of techniques include, but are not limited to, one or more of the following:		
Reason:	The current text is not clear if this is to apply only to areas finished by the developer or if it should also be required of any buildings on the lots in the development.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This practice should only address common areas as this is the site chapter (not lot).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P093 LogID 433 403.6 Landscape Plan		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(9) An integrated <u>common area</u> pest management plan to minimize chemical use in pesticides and fertilizers is developed.	
Reason:	The current text is not clear if this is to apply only to areas finished by the developer or if it should also be required of any buildings on the lots in the development.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	This practice should only address common areas as this is the site chapter (not lot).	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P094 LogID 565 403.6 Landscape Plan		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	A landscape plan is developed to limit water and energy use while preserving or enhancing the natural environment <u>utilizing one or more of the following.</u> Examples may include but are not limited to, one or more of the following:	
Reason:	The original text suggests that an number of other options may be considered but this leaves open the question of how many points to award and does that mean other options are no longer available. Deleting other options makes nationwide application of the standard more consistent. It is also suggested that the task group consider adding clarification as to the extent of the practice that must be implemented to meet the practice. For example, (3) "Turf grass species, other vegetation, and trees ...". How many trees, how much other, does all the turf need to be native, and are these points appropriate for small townhouse lots that may not have any landscape area but a small flower bed in front.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		

Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P095	LogID 262	403.6 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	<p>403.6 Landscape Plan</p> <p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>(12) Trees that might otherwise be lost due to construction are transplanted to other areas on site or off site, using (ANSI certified?) tree-transplanting techniques to ensure a high rate of survival.</u></p> <p><u>(13) Greywater irrigation systems are used to water common areas. Greywater to be used for greywater irrigation shall conform to all criteria within Section 802.1.</u></p> <p><u>(14) Cisterns, rain barrels and similar tanks are structures designed to intercept and store runoff from rooftops. These systems may be above or below ground, and they may drain by gravity or be pumped. Stored water may be slowly released to a pervious area, and used for irrigation of lawn, trees and gardens located in common areas. X percent of site area must be irrigated by these means and demonstrated on the site plan.</u></p>
Reason:	These are additional practices that are common among industry experts and recognized as being “green.” This will afford builders and developers to achieve additional points by practicing some relatively easy yet very effective green practices.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows (in red):</i></p> <p><u>(11) Trees that might otherwise be lost due to site grading are preserved by the use of retaining walls or tree wells construction are transplanted to other areas on site or off site, using tree-transplanting techniques to ensure a high rate of survival.</u></p> <p><u>(12) Greywater irrigation systems are used to water common areas. Greywater used for irrigation conforms to all criteria within Section 802.1.</u></p> <p><u>(13) Cisterns, rain barrels, and similar tanks are structures designed to intercept and store runoff. These systems may be above or below ground, and they may drain by gravity or be pumped. Stored water may be slowly released to a pervious area, and used for irrigation of lawn, trees, and gardens located in common areas. X percent of site area is to be irrigated by these means and demonstrated on the site plan.</u></p> <p><i>(Secretariat note: percentage will be assigned as part of the points update process during public.)</i></p>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P096	LogID 172	403.6 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	(4) The percentage of all turf areas are limited as part of the landscaping: (a) 0 percent (b) greater than 0 percent to less than 25 20 percent (c) 25 20 percent to less than 50 40 percent (d) 50 40 percent to 75 60 percent
Reason:	EPA supports the inclusion of a practice restricting turf areas in landscaping, but the minimum target of 75 percent of all landscaping is too low. We recommend that the minimum instead be set at 60 percent, with one additional point awarded for every further 20 percent reduction.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P097	LogID 174	403.6 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	(9) An integrated pest management plan to minimize chemical use in pesticides and fertilizers is developed. An Integrated Pest Management plan is developed, implemented, and maintained that addresses both indoor and outdoor pest control. The plan must include the EPA's Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program four tiered approach to pest management: <u>1) Set action thresholds. Before taking any pest control action, IPM first sets an action threshold, the point at which pest populations or environmental conditions indicate that pest control action must be taken to avert a nuisance, health hazard, or</u>

economic threat.

2) **Monitor and Identify Pests.** IPM programs monitor and identify pests and the most appropriate course of action for a particular pest chosen. Monitoring and pest identification ensures that appropriate actions are taken. This could include some combination of prevention and control.

3) **Prevention.** The first line of defense in any IPM program is the prevention of conditions in or around a building or in an orchard that attract pests – sources of food, water, and shelter. IPM service providers use practices to prevent pests including, but not limited to:

a. Customer education including materials for non-English speakers and those with difficulty reading.

b. Providing customers with information about pest behavior and conditions, and that allow pests access to the site, food, water, and habitat, so that the customer can understand and participate in the pest management process;

c. Irrigation practices, the treatment or removal of plants attractive to pests, and physical changes to reduce pest access to structures;

d. Removal of pest habitat, sources of food and water, and breeding areas - keeping premises free of trash and overgrown vegetation, and diverting water away from a building or landscaping to avoid standing water;

e. Prevention of access to structures - sealing areas where pests enter the buildings (weatherization).

4) **Management.** Integration of Multiple Management Strategies and Tools

A variety of pest control strategies and tools are integrated into a comprehensive program to manage the pest. If identification, monitoring, and action thresholds indicate that pest management is required, and preventive methods are no longer effective or viable, management methods can be and should be employed. Management strategies may include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. Mechanical or physical controls including, but not limited to, traps, vacuuming, steam cleaning, or physical barriers;

b. Biological controls including the use of predators, parasitoids, or pathogens to control the pest; and,

c. If preventive measures along with the practices in paragraphs 'a' and 'b' directly above are insufficient to prevent or control pests, chemical controls may be used.

Note: Under an IPM program, management methods are evaluated based on effectiveness and relative risk. Those methods that are found to both be the most effective and pose the lowest risk are selected first. IPM combines two central methods for reduced-risk pest control:

a. Least Toxic Pest Management Options. These include use of physical controls, such as trapping, vacuuming, and steam cleaning.

b. Pesticides

Pest management is a group activity from the prevention and monitoring phase through the chemical usage decision. All stakeholders should be involved in the decision to use chemicals. For structural situations, this includes the IPM coordinator, pest management professionals, building managers, cleaning staff, etc. In agricultural situations, this includes the crop consultant/scout, grower, and, when appropriate, food processor.

Pest management plans should dictate action thresholds and a decision-making process for actions including pesticide selection. Universal notification (advance notice of not less than 72 hours under normal conditions and 24 hours in emergencies before a pesticide, other than a least-toxic pesticide, is applied in a building or on surrounding grounds that the building management maintains). Define emergency conditions. There are best management practices to follow if pesticides

	<p><u>are to be used:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · <u>read the label first.</u> · <u>choose the right chemical for a particular pest, and</u> · <u>have a clear understanding of the proper application rate and method – misuse can harm not only your health but also the environment.</u> <p><u>When a chemical control method is required within an IPM program, a biological pesticide should be considered first. Biopesticides are usually inherently less toxic than conventional pesticides and decompose quickly so they do not leave persistent chemical residues in the environment.</u></p> <p><u>Sometimes a conventional pesticide (synthetic materials that directly kill or inactivate a pest) may be needed for satisfactory pest control. Ideally, all pesticides are used in combination with other lower-risk non-chemical pest management practices. Even within conventional pesticides, there is a progression of best management practices:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · <u>Use baits and spot treatments are limit unnecessary exposure to chemicals.</u> · <u>Apply pesticides only as directed by the label.</u> · <u>Notify customers prior to pesticide applications - ideally, a 24 hour notice before for applications in or around any building landscape or structure.</u> · <u>In occupied structures, pest management professionals and/or IPM coordinators must clearly explain to the building occupants how to maintain safe interaction around the treated areas.</u> <p><u>Hire pest management professionals certified by an EPA Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program partner organization, such as the National Pest Management Association’s Green Pro, IPM Institute’s Green Shield, or other programs, as appropriate.</u></p>
Reason:	The IPM component of the standard's landscape plan (503.5.8; 403.6.9) can be improved in two main ways. First, NAHB should use more specific language to ensure that the IPM plan has a meaningful environmental impact. Secondly, the practice should require the use of pest control operators who are certified in IPM practices. We suggest the above language instead of the standard's current language on IPM.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is commentary language. EPA reference will be reviewed for inclusion in the relevant section in the NGBS Commentary.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P098	LogID 235	403.6 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA		

Proposed Change:	403.6(8) On-site tree trimmings or stump grinding of regionally appropriate trees are used to provide protective mulch during construction or as base for walking trails, and cleared trees are recycled as sawn lumber, or pulp wood, or biomass for Solid Fuel Burning Appliance as per Section 901.2.1(2) for on-site renewable energy.
Reason:	This is in support of the use of on-site renewable energy.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	Revise the Standard as follows: 403.6(8) On-site tree trimmings or stump grinding of regionally appropriate trees are used to provide protective mulch during construction or as base for walking trails, and cleared trees are recycled as sawn lumber, or <u>recycled use.</u>
Committee Reason:	The practice should allow for additional versatility.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> The addition of the language, " or other recycled uses" is appropriate; however it falls short in not specifically clarifying, "combustion for energy generation purposes" is included as a recycled use. Add a definition for recycling in Chapter 2 which clarifies "combustion for energy generation purposes" is an acceptable recycling practice or specifically include this clarification as part of this item.
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P099	LogID 434	403.7 Wildlife Habitat	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Measures are planned that will support wildlife habitat.		
Reason:	This could use some definition as what needs to be done (including to what extent) to meet the intent of this practice. Clarification is needed to distinguish what measures are needed for points in an urban setting compared to a rural setting.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	No specific action requested, unactionable item.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P100	LogID 435	403.9 Existing Buildings	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Existing building(s) and structure(s) is/are preserved, reused, modified, or disassembled for reuse or recycling of building		

	materials.
Reason:	Some guidance is needed to clarify the extent of preservation, reuse, etc. needed to qualify for this practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	No specific action requested, no actionable item.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P101 LogID 183 403.9 Existing Buildings		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · <u>Remove and replace lead piping in water systems intended to be preserved.</u> · <u>Replace existing drinking water plumbing materials that do not meet or exceed current health-based materials specifications, such as (but not only) NSF/ANSI 61.</u> · <u>Install plumbing materials compatible with the drinking water inflow to the structure without supplemental treatment under intended usage conditions, and which do not cause unhealthy water to be drawn by consumers.</u> · <u>Operate the internal DW system to minimize adverse water quality concerns (metals, microbial).</u> 	
Reason:	These additional considerations when re-using existing building (to be occupied and used by humans or domesticated animals) should be added in order to protect drinking water quality and reduce the resources required for water treatment.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	This is building code related, not land development related.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P102	LogID 436	403.10 Existing and Recycled Materials	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(Points awarded for every 10 percent of total building materials that are reused, deconstructed, and/or salvaged. <u>The percentage is calculated on either a volume or cost basis.</u>)
Reason:	Guidance is needed on how to calculate the percentage. The task group should determine a preference for volume or cost basis.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (Points awarded for every 10 percent of total building construction materials that are reused, deconstructed, and/or salvaged. <u>The percentage is consistently calculated on either a weight, volume, or cost basis.</u>)
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P103	LogID 437	403.11 Environmentally Sensitive Areas	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(1) Development does not impact an Environmentally sensitive areas are avoided.
Reason:	The original text is unclear if the entire site must be void of any sensitive areas or if the site can include sensitive area but the development activity must not impact these areas.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Poor language, it's impossible to not "impact" environmentally sensitive area.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P104 LogID 438 403.11 Environmentally Sensitive Areas		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(2) Compromised environmentally sensitive areas are mitigated or restored <u>beyond any government mandated mitigation.</u>	
Reason:	Some guidance should be provided as to how much restoration/mitigation is needed to meet the intent of this practice. Perhaps stating a percentage of the environmentally sensitive area on the site.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Language needs to remain as-is to be applicable in some regulatory environments; compensatory mitigation can be very extensive and therefore should be awarded.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P105 LogID 153 403.11 Environmentally Sensitive Areas		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	This section should be a mandatory requirement, not one that provides credits. (This proposed change is also being submitted for Section 503.8)	
Reason:	Locational considerations are fundamental to the definition of a green building. Moreover, the importance of environmentally sensitive areas to human health and the environment makes their protection essential in any standard that aims to promote increased environmental protection.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Environmentally Sensitive Areas are too numerous in some regions to avoid completely.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P106	LogID 156	403.11 Environmentally Sensitive Areas	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	<p>(1) Environmentally sensitive areas are avoided.</p> <p>(2) Compromised environmentally sensitive areas are mitigated or restored.</p> <p><u>(3) Buildings are not erected, and landscape improvements are not conducted, on land that is undeveloped or that has been developed only for agricultural purposes, and that is within a 100-year floodplain.</u></p>
Reason:	Locational considerations are fundamental to the definition of a green building. NAHB is notably weaker than other green building rating and certification systems on the issue of site sustainability, and in particular, in discouraging building on environmentally sensitive and valuable lands. NAHB has only one optional credit restricting building in sensitive areas, which nonetheless allows building if the area is to be mitigated or restored. With no specific requirements or definition for mitigation or restoration, nor with a means of enforcement for this provision, this practice is insufficient to guarantee protection of sensitive lands. This shortcoming is a major weakness in the standard. Sections 503.8 and 403.11 should be revised to correct this shortcoming.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	There are federal guidelines for construction in floodplains. Also see action and reason on P105.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P107	LogID TG2-13	403.11 Environmentally Sensitive Areas	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept
-------------	---------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	<p>(1) Environmentally sensitive areas <u>including steep slopes, prime farmland, critical habitats, and wetlands</u> are avoided- as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>≤ 25% of site undeveloped</u> b. <u>25% - 75% of site undeveloped</u> c. <u>≥ 75% of site undeveloped</u>
Reason:	The intent to is to emphasize that there should be minimized development on sites with all kinds of Environmentally Sensitive areas, not just steep slopes.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P108	LogID 164	403.12 Density	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>(4) The lot [or site] is within one-quarter mile of developed residential land with an average density of at least 8 units per acre.</u></p> <p><u>(5) The lot [or site] is adjacent to existing development with pre-project connectivity of at least 90 intersections/mile of any continuous segment equaling 25 percent of the project boundary. Areas excluded from the calculation shall be water bodies, parks larger than 1/2 acre, recreational facilities, public campuses (such as universities), airports, rail yards, areas preserved from development by codified law or prerequisites of the rating system, and land that cannot be developed due to a unique topographic or geologic condition (such as steep slopes). Street rights-of-way may not be excluded.</u></p>
Reason:	The standard provides points for densely-built projects in sections 503.9 and 403.12, as well as in several innovative practices for subdivisions in 405. EPA supports these practices, but recommends that NAHB go further by incentivizing buildings or subdivisions to be built adjacent to densely-built areas as well.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Too limiting. Would penalize for site being located within ¼ mile of single family development and rural areas. Inappropriate location.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P109	LogID 439	403.13 Mixed-use Development	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Mixed-use development is incorporated.
Reason:	Can adjacent mixed use also qualify here?
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	

Committee Reason:	Nothing is proposed. Not an actionable item. Also see P110.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P110	LogID 271	403.13 Mixed-use Development	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	403.13 405.8 Mixed-Use Development. Mixed-use development is incorporated. Sites 20 acres or less in size with boundaries adjacent to a minimum of two uses containing retail, services and employment may achieve the mixed-use points, given that a pedestrian network of sidewalks, pathways or plazas exist that connect a majority of lots within the site with the adjacent non-residential uses.
Reason:	Single uses, such as single-family residential, if designed properly can use adjacent, existing nonresidential uses to help build an overall mixed-use environment. Developers who design with this objective, within the proposed parameters, should be awarded points under this category.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> 403.13 405.8 Mixed-Use Development. (1) Mixed-use development is incorporated, or (2) for single-use sites 20 acres or less in size with boundaries adjacent to a minimum of two uses containing retail, services, and employment may achieve the mixed-use points, given that a pedestrian network of streets, sidewalks, pathways, or plazas exist that connect a majority of lots within the site with the adjacent non-residential uses.
Committee Reason:	Modify with the inclusion of “streets” and additional clarification to give points for multi-use and for single-use surrounded by mixed-use.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P111	LogID 219	404.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB						
Proposed Change:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>404.3 Soil disturbance and erosion. On-site soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(1) Limits of clearing and grading are staked out prior to construction.</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) “No disturbance” zones are created using fencing or flagging to protect vegetation and</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </table>	404.3 Soil disturbance and erosion. On-site soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following:		(1) Limits of clearing and grading are staked out prior to construction.	5	(2) “No disturbance” zones are created using fencing or flagging to protect vegetation and	4
404.3 Soil disturbance and erosion. On-site soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following:							
(1) Limits of clearing and grading are staked out prior to construction.	5						
(2) “No disturbance” zones are created using fencing or flagging to protect vegetation and	4						

	sensitive areas from construction vehicles, material storage, and washout.	
	(3) Sediment and erosion controls are installed and maintained.	5
	(4) Topsoil is stockpiled and covered with tarps, straw, mulch, chipped wood, vegetative cover, or other means capable of protecting it from erosion for later use to establish landscape plantings.	5
	(5) Soil compaction from construction equipment is reduced by distributing the weight of the equipment over a larger area by laying lightweight geogrids, mulch, chipped wood, plywood, OSB (oriented strand board), metal plates, or other materials capable of weight distribution in the pathway of the equipment.	4
	(6) Disturbed areas are stabilized within the EPA recommended 14-day period (<u>7 days on steep slopes</u>).	4
	(7) Soil is improved with organic amendments and mulch.	4
Reason:	Steep slopes have the greatest potential for erosion of soils and should be attended to in a more timely manner.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	7 days is too short a period of time and not based in any other criteria, could get cited by DEP.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P112	LogID TG2-14	404.3 Soil disturbance and erosion	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	On-site soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by <u>implementation of</u> one or more of the following:		
Reason:	The proposed language emphasizes the implementation, consistent with Section 404 - Construction.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P113 LogID 440 404.4 Wildlife Habitat		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(2) Open space is preserved as part of a wildlife corridor.	
Reason:	This probably needs a definition in Chapter 2.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Definition is not necessary.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P114 LogID 441 405.1 Driveways and Parking Areas		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Driveways or parking areas are shared.	
Reason:	It seems that in a site development all common area driveways and parking areas would be considered as shared. This needs more clarification.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	No specific language proposed. Also see P116.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P115 LogID 263 405.1 Driveways and Parking Areas		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	

Proposed Change:	405.1 Driveways and parking areas. <u>For attached or detached single-family homes</u> , driveways or parking areas are shared. In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements, <u>shared parking agreements are utilized to minimize parking spaces, and waivers are sought for reduced parking below code requirements.</u>
Reason:	This is only applicable to single-family homes since most multi-family developments have shared driveways and parking areas to begin with. For multi-family and mixed use projects, getting waivers from parking requirement if located near transit or shared parking agreements with neighboring uses can be an effective way to reduce parking areas, impervious surfaces and stormwater runoff.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P116.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P116	LogID TG2-15	405.1 Driveways and parking areas	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	Driveways or parking areas are shared. In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements <u>An environmental and green approach to shared parking and driveways is achieved through the removal of driveways, and utilization of on-street parking and the use of alleys (shared common area driveways) for rear-loaded garages.</u>
Reason:	This definition has been revised to add additional descriptors.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P117	LogID TG2-16	405.2 Street Widths	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	(1) Street pavement widths are the minimized per local code and are in accordance with Table 405.2. (2) <u>A waiver was secured by the developer from the local jurisdiction to allow for construction of streets below minimum width requirement.</u>
Reason:	Although a developer may not achieve the minimum widths required for points, it is worth awarding points for those that still received waivers from jurisdictions to build below minimum street width standards.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P118	LogID 442	405.3 Cluster Development	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(±)		
Reason:	Why have (1) if there is no (2)?		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P119.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P119	LogID 264	405.3 Cluster Development	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	Cluster development enables and encourages flexibility of design and development of land in such a manner as to preserve the natural and scenic qualities of the site and is implemented in accordance with the following: (1) Natural or scenic qualities of the site are preserved by utilizing an alternative method for the layout, configuration and design of lots, buildings		

	and structures, roads, utility lines and other infrastructure, parks, and landscaping.
Reason:	Consolidating this into one paragraph
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P120	LogID 443	405.4 Zoning	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	---------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(2) An increase in zoned use on <u>the sites</u> where environmental effects are minimized and infrastructure is readily available and adequate, while providing for reduced development on <u>environmentally sensitive areas within the sites</u> .
Reason:	The standard addresses one site at a time for land development. These changes clarify how to interpret this practice. It would be helpful to have some guidance on how much of an increase in zoned use is required to earn these points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P123.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P121	LogID 265	405.4 Zoning	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	---------------------	---

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	405.4 Innovative Zoning Techniques. Innovative zoning techniques are implemented in accordance with the following (4) Innovative zoning ordinances or local laws are used or developed for permissible adjustments to population density, area, height, <u>waiver</u> , open space, mixed-use, or other provisions for the specific purpose of open space, natural resource preservation or protection and/or mass transit usage. Other innovative zoning techniques may be considered on a case-by-

	case basis. (2) An increase in zoned use on sites where environmental effects are minimized and infrastructure is readily available and adequate, while providing for reduced development on sensitive sites. .
Reason:	Zoning in itself is not “innovative.” This amendment seeks to clarify what apart from zoning is actually innovative. It also aids waivers from zoning requirements as an innovative technique
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P123 and P124.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P122 LogID 270 405.4 Zoning		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	405.4 (3)Community-based Amenities (e.g., parks, plazas, mixed-use, open space) are provided that promote higher density living beyond code requirements <u>or promote walkability.</u>	
Reason:	It is unclear what is meant by “Beyond Code Requirement.” The term promote walkability has been added as a green benefit of amenities.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P124.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P123 LogID TG2-17 405.4 Zoning		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2	

Proposed Change:	(2) An increase in zoned use on sites where environmental effects are minimized and infrastructure is readily available and adequate, while providing for reduced development on sensitive sites. <u>An increase to the permissible density, area, height, use, or other provisions of a local zoning law for a defined green benefit.</u>
Reason:	This section has been completely re-written with more recognizable language so that the concept, which remains the same as the existing standard language, is more readily understood.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This language uses more recognizable language to clarify the intended practices.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P124	LogID TG2-18	405.4 Zoning	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	(3) Community-based amenities (e.g., parks, plazas, mixed-use, open space) are provided that promote higher density living beyond code requirements. Place-based amenities such as plazas, squares, and attached greens, located around civic, commercial, and mixed-use property are accessible by sidewalks, on-street parking, or provide for bike racks, for the purpose of promoting higher density living.		
Reason:	This language adds descriptors, emphasizes place rather than community, and also deletes the confusing language about density beyond code requirement.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P125	LogID 220	405.5 Wetlands	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	405.5 Wetlands. Constructed wetlands or other natural innovative wastewater <u>or storm water</u> treatment technologies are used.		7

Reason:	Constructed wetlands can also be used to treat stormwater pollution through reductions in water flow, velocity and pollutants.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P126	LogID 445	405.6 Mass Transit	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(1) All <u>residential lots in the site</u> is selected <u>are</u> within one-half mile (805 m) of pedestrian access to a mass transit system or within five miles of a mass transit station with available parking.		
Reason:	Criteria need to be established for determining the distance in the practice. For large site development some lots may be close enough while other lots are far away. The task group should decide if the distance should be measured from the closed community entrance, the closet boundary, the closest lot, the farthest lot, etc.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P129. It breaks out points: lower points for distance from boundary; higher points for distance from lots.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P127	LogID 267	405.6 Mass Transit	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<u>405.6 Mass Multi-Modal Transit Transportation</u>		
	(1) A site is selected <u>with a boundary</u> within one-half mile (805 m) of pedestrian access to a mass transit system or within five miles of a mass transit station with available parking.		
	(3) Bicycle Parking. Bicycle parking and racks shall be indicated on the site plan and constructed for mixed-use and/or		

	<p><u>multi-family buildings.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Bike share programs. Bike sharing programs participate with the developer, and their facilities are planned for and constructed.</u></p> <p><u>(5) Car sharing programs. Car sharing programs participate with the developer, and their facilities are planned for and constructed.</u></p>
Reason:	This section is about more than just public transportation, it also includes encouraging pedestrian and bicycle parking as well as carpooling and carsharing. Therefore the term “multi-modal “ is more applicable. Additional examples of multi-modal activities have been added to this sub-section.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p>405.6 Mass Multi-Modal Transit Transportation. Mass transit Multi-modal transportation access is provided in accordance with one or more of the following:</p> <p>(1) A site is selected <u>with a boundary</u> within one-half mile (805 m) of pedestrian access to a mass transit system or within five miles of a mass transit station with available parking.</p> <p>(2) Walkways, bikeways, street crossings, and entrances designed to promote pedestrian activity are provided. New buildings are connected to existing sidewalks and areas of development.</p> <p>(3) Bicycle Parking. <u>Bicycle parking and racks are indicated on the site plan and constructed for mixed-use and/or, multi-family buildings, and/or common areas.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Bike share programs. Bike sharing programs participate with the developer, and their facilities are planned for and constructed.</u></p> <p><u>(5) Car sharing programs. Car sharing programs participate with the developer, and their facilities are planned for and constructed.</u></p>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41</p> <p>Approve: 41</p> <p>Disapprove: 0</p> <p>Abstain: 0</p> <p>Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P128	LogID 162	405.6 Mass Transit	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	<p>405.6 Mass transit access is provided in accordance with one or more of the following:</p> <p>(1) A site is selected <u>within one-quarter mile (402 m) of pedestrian access to existing or planned bus or streetcar stops or one-half mile (805 m) of pedestrian access to</u> one-half mile (805m) of pedestrian access to a mass transit system or within five</p>		

	miles of a mass transit station with available parking, existing or planned bus rapid transit stops, passenger rail stations, ferry terminals, or tram terminals.
Reason:	NAHB's practice on proximity to mass transit (501.2; 405.6) offers points to projects located within ½ mile of pedestrian access to a mass transit system, or within five miles of a mass transit station with parking. Setting such a low threshold for proximity significantly reduces the expected environmental benefits of mass transit for the building project, namely, reduced emissions and other impacts from automobile-based transportation. Simply put, being located within five miles of a mass transit station provides very little basis to assume that residents will make use of the transit system on a regular basis, either for commuting or for non-work trips, as would be expected if the building project and the transit station were more closely co-located.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P127. The current ½ mile provision is appropriate for pedestrian access.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P129		LogID TG2-19	405.6 Mass Transit	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2			
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(2) A site is selected where all lots within the site are located within one-half mile (805 m) of pedestrian access to a mass transit system.</u>			
Reason:	There was concern by the Task Group that a site with a boundary within x distance to transit could still leave residential units much further from transit due to the distance between site boundary and actual units/lots. Therefore, higher points for developers locating actual lots within the distance requirements should be awarded.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P130		LogID TG2-20	403.12 Density	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	--	---------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	<i>Relocate as follows:</i> 405.7 403.12 Density. The average density on a net developable area basis is:
Reason:	Density is more applicable in the Innovative Practices section
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P131	LogID TG2-21	405.9 Open Space (New)	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	---------------------	-------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	Add new as follows: 405.9 Open Space. <u>A portion of the gross area of the community has been set aside as open space: 1 point for every 10% of the community set aside as open space, beyond local code requirement.</u>
Reason:	Additional points for Open Space, above code requirement should be awarded, given that often times open space requirements in itself create fairly green environments. Going above and beyond code should be awarded, if it can be demonstrated to the verifier.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Randall Melvin: It is appropriate to recognize green/open space; however, whether it is mandated by local code or not its environment benefit is the same and thus credit should be given accordingly. If a builder is providing green/open space, regardless of the reason, they should receive credit. Recommend modifying by eliminating "beyond local code requirement."
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P132 LogID TG2-22 405.10 Local Food Production (New)		Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> Local Food Production. A portion of the site is established as a community gardens, accessible to all residents of the site, to provide for local food production to residents or area consumers.	
Reason:	Local food production is becoming a growing demand as interest in organic food grows. It also is a popular amenity and lessens demand for mass agricultural products that may be grown using less than optimal environmental practices and reduces food transportation impacts as well.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Local Food Production Community Garden(s). A portion of the site is established as a community garden(s), accessible available to all-residents of the site, to provide for local food production to residents or area consumers.	
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P133 LogID 228 Add New Section		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management	
Proposed Change:	<p>Considerations should be given to incorporating a model green zoning ordinance in ICC700. The appendix should not be points-based; rather it should read like an ordinance. It should not be overly complex and should focus on a few key elements of green:</p> <p>Orienting lots and buildings such that 80-90% face north / south. There should be a provision for the zoning authority to deem this goal excessive for reasons of the local terrain, etc.</p> <p>Requiring all storm water to be input into an aquifer at either the building site or development level, perhaps up to the level of the 95th percentile rainfall event (rainfall event having a precipitation total greater than or equal to 95 percent of all rainfall events during a 24-hour period on an annual basis.) Use of local water features should be explicitly permitted, such as the use of runoff to supplement or create a local pond/lake. The stormwater management system shall not cause increased erosion or other drainage related damage to adjoining lots or public property.</p> <p>Requirements for pervious hardscape on most of the hardscape surfaces, probably including parts of streets such as gutters, curbs and sidewalks (can some streets be pervious?). Specify pervious as something like:</p> <p>Pervious and permeable pavement/hardscape. Pervious and permeable pavement/hardscape including open grid paving systems and open-graded aggregate systems shall have a percolation rate not less than 1.25 gallons per hour per square foot and shall have not less than 6 inches (152 mm) of open graded base below the pavement or pavers. Pervious and permeable pavement shall be permitted where the use of these types of hardscapes does not interfere with fire and emergency apparatus or vehicle or personnel access and egress, utilities, or telecommunications lines. Aggregate used shall be of uniform size.</p> <p>Requirements for "cool hardscape / pavements, including their application to streets. Something like:</p>	

	<p>Hardscapematerials. <i>Hardscape</i> materials in climatezones 1 through 5 shall have a minimum initial <i>Solar Reflectance</i> of 0.30 when determined in accordance with CRRC-1 or shading. Shading shall be permitted to be provided by elements of a building or other structures, based on the projected peak sun angle on the summer solstice. Shading shall be permitted to be provided by trees based on the projected ten-year canopy growth of trees actually in place.</p> <p>Exceptions: Pervious concrete pavements shall be deemed to comply with the criteria for solar reflectance and need not be tested.</p> <p>Requirements for (not allowances for) thinner streets, with provision to meet fire rules.</p> <p>Compliance with jurisdictional prohibitions against invasive species.</p> <p>Provision for, but not a requirement for, integration of local basic services into the development.</p> <p>Encouragement for bicycle and walking spaces in some form.</p> <p>Integration with park and/or wildlife spaces when reasonable.</p> <p>Reuse of existing structures / infrastructure / materials as is reasonable.</p> <p>Possible provisions for solar access, provided they do not conflict with the cool hardscape/shading requirements.</p> <p>Provision for a jurisdiction to integrate some level of protection/requirement for agricultural land, undeveloped land, infill lots, brownfield development, with the choice being left mostly to the jurisdiction.</p>
Reason:	A green zoning ordinance would be established at the level of the jurisdiction. Where such an ordinance exists it facilitates doing many things proposed in ICC 700. The cost of many decisions, such as how to lay out the streets is often very low in the planning stage, but prohibitive to change after the development is in place. For example orienting lots to be north/south is a very cost-effective way to improve performance. As it will not be appropriate for many jurisdictions and cannot be implemented for a single house, it should remain an appendix.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	All of these items are currently addressed in section 405 Innovative Practices. A Model Green Zoning Ordinance would be difficult to implement nationwide in a variety of climate zones (e.g. 95% requirement); inflexible. Model zoning should not be point based.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P134	LogID 207	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<p><u>403.14 Flood hazard areas.</u> The development of portions of sites located within flood hazard areas is avoided as follows:</p> <p>(1) Portions of sites located within a flood hazard area are avoided.</p>		

	-	
	<u>(2) Portions of sites located within areas subject to a 0.2% annual chance (500-year) flood are avoided.</u>	
Reason:	An important component of sustainable building is mitigation of natural hazards. This change proposes a credit for locating buildings and associated site developments outside of flood hazard areas. Two levels of credits are proposed; one for avoiding the standard Zone A, Coastal A Zones and V Zone areas, defined as those areas subject to a 1% annual flood risk (or the so-called "100-year floodplain"). An additional credit is proposed for avoiding areas subject to a 0.2% annual flood risk, or the so-called "500-year floodplain". This recognizes that flood damage often occurs outside of the standard flood hazard areas mapped by FEMA.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	The standard should not address Flood Hazard Areas in land development as construction can occur within these areas. Also the specifications in the proposed change will be difficult to calculate and meet. Flood zones are addressed by law and regulations and should not be part of the Standard.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P135	LogID 148	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Randall K. Melvin, Winchester Homes, Inc.		
Proposed Change:	Green Sapce A portion of the gross area of the community have been set aside as green space. 1 point for each 10% of the community set aside as green space		
Reason:	Encourages on-project green space		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P131.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P136		LogID 261	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB			
Proposed Change:	402.4 Builder Agreements. Developer requires builders purchasing lots to build the home to NGBS certified green community bronze level or equivalent.			
Reason:	A site developer can influence the type of structure being built within the community by requiring all builders to build to the NGBS standard or equivalent.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified			
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> 402.4 Builder Development Agreements. Developer requires builders purchasing purchaser(s) of lots to build the homes to a minimum NGBS certified green community building bronze level or equivalent through a developer agreement or equivalent.			
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P137		LogID 274	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB			
Proposed Change:	406 SITE MAINTENANCE 406.0 The developer takes measures to ensure the long term maintenance of the community will ensure its sustainability as a <u>certified green development/site.</u> 406.1 Homeowners Association - Prepare for the transition of the green practices and management of the site to eventual management by the homeowners association and/or third parties contracted to maintain and inspect facilities. 406.2 Sales Agents – Establish a training manual for sales agents selling lots and homes in the community about the value of sustainability and basic practices for buyers. 406.3 Education - Provide for Educational brochures or newsletters providing guidance to homeowners on green practices.			
Reason:	An additional section was needed to provide points to developers that map out a long term strategy for maintenance and education to ensure that the site is maintained as a sustainable community into the future. This is of critical importance once the developer exits the picture and the ownership and management is turned over to homeowners and the HOA.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	This item is not needed or appropriate for the Standard.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P138	LogID 160	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	<p>Water and Wastewater Infrastructure. Portions of a building site dedicated in perpetuity to open space or similar conservation uses do not have to be located within water and wastewater service areas, providing the open space has no existing development.</p> <p>Water and wastewater infrastructure do not pass through such open space portions of a project to serve land beyond the project outside of the service area.</p> <p>In addition, the lot <i>[or site]</i> complies with one of the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Option 1 – Existing Water & Wastewater Service: Locate the building on a site served by existing water and wastewater infrastructure; or . Option 2 – Planned Water & Wastewater Service: Locate the building within a legally adopted planned water and wastewater service area and provide new water and wastewater infrastructure for the project; or . Option 3: In Situ Water and Wastewater Service: Decentralized water or wastewater systems designed and operated so that they have no significant negative impact on ground water or surface water resources (water quality and quantity and habitat) and pose no significant risk to human health.
Reason:	Sections 501.2 and 405.6 consist of practices encouraging siting close to mass transit and other community resources. This is an important means to mitigate the detrimental transportation-related effects of urban sprawl. However, sprawl also has negative impacts from the expansion of water and wastewater infrastructure, which NAHB does not address. EPA recommends that NAHB add a practice to encourage builders to account for these impacts when siting projects and to specifically protect open space from infrastructure development.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	These concerns are addressed in other sections of the Standard. In addition, the wording recommended is unclear.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P139	LogID 167	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	Pollutant discharges. Projects that may generate pollutant loadings that cannot be attenuated by the processes of bio-infiltration or evapotranspiration shall provide additional water quality treatment measures and practices to significantly

	<u>reduce the probability of pollutants of concern entering surface or groundwaters.</u>
	<u>Projects that are located on brownfields, greyfields or other contaminated sites with pollution levels that do not allow for infiltration should use a combination of practices that evapotranspire and harvest and reuse stormwater. Contaminated sites shall be developed such that there is no interference with, or damage to, any response action at the site. Do not use coal tar sealants in any application exposed to stormwater.</u>
Reason:	The standard's existing practices focus specifically on stormwater flow (rates, volumes, etc.). However, NAHB's standard is silent with respect to protecting surface and groundwater quality by minimizing pollutant discharges. EPA would like to see the above requirements added to sections 403 and 503 to ensure the protection of surface and groundwater on building sites.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This proposed change would affect infill sites and would make it difficult to do any development on infill sites. NGBS assigns a high priority to infill sites.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P140 LogID 175 Add New Section		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	<p><u>Clean diesel.</u> <u>Contract documents obligate contractors to:</u></p> <p><u>(1) Create staging areas for waiting to load or unload materials that are located 100 ft (30 m) or more from any outdoor air intakes, operable openings, and hospitals, schools, residences, hotels, daycare facilities, elderly housing, and convalescent facilities.</u></p> <p><u>(2) Enforce idle reduction policies that limit unnecessary idling to no more than 5 - 15 minutes or to a shorter time as required by local laws.</u></p> <p><u>(3) Document implementation of maintenance plan that follows engine manufacturer specifications.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Provide emissions control technologies to all equipment not meeting EPA Tier 4 standards in order to reduce particulate matter (PM) and/or nitrogen oxides (NOx) from diesel engines by a minimum of 20% for 50% of the fleet used at the site. All aftermarket emissions control technologies must be verified by EPA or California Air Resources Board (CARB).</u></p> <p><u>(5) Document that all equipment uses Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel Fuel that meets ASTM specifications with sulfur levels less than or equal to 15 ppm shall be utilized for non-road diesel engines and equipment.</u></p> <p><u>(6) Submit a summary report that includes a copy of the idling/maintenance plan and enforcement policy, and for each piece of equipment: the equipment number, type and make; engine make, horse power and/or kilowatt hour; the emission control device, make, and model; and the type and source of fuel used.</u></p>	
Reason:	Diesel fuel combustion produces air emissions of NOx, PM, and hydrocarbons, with serious human health and environmental impacts. This is a widespread problem; air quality is significantly impaired for large segments of the U.S. due to PM and NOx pollution. EPA estimated that nonroad equipment was responsible for 24 percent of mobile source diesel NOx emissions and almost half of diesel PM2.5 in 2004. Despite the fact that construction equipment produces a substantial	

	portion of diesel emissions, this issue is not addressed by this standard. The impact of construction activity on air quality can be significantly reduced through a series of relatively simple, low-cost steps. Thus, the standard could add an emissions reduction package with little financial or technical burden to project developers. We recommend the above set of practices, which could be implemented jointly or individually.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Hard to enforce, would cause more harm by not minimizing site conservation by requiring staging areas; would make it difficult to build on infill sites. NGBS assigns a high priority to infill sites.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P141 LogID TG6-4 405.1 & 505.1 Driveways and Parking Areas		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Adrian Rusty Ashley, C.F. Evans & Co.	
Proposed Change:	<p>405.1 Driveways and parking areas. <u>Driveways and parking areas are minimized by one or more of the following:</u> (1) Driveways or parking areas are shared. In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements. 5 Points (2) <u>Multi-level parking garages are utilized to reduce the footprint of parking areas:</u> (a) <u>by 75 percent 4 Points</u> (b) <u>by 50 percent 2 Points</u></p> <p>505.1 Driveways and parking areas. <u>Driveways and parking areas are minimized by one or more of the following:</u> (1) Driveways or parking areas are shared. Waivers or variances from local development regulations are obtained to implement such practices, as applicable. In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements. 4 Points (2) <u>Multi-level parking garages are utilized to reduce the footprint of parking areas:</u> (a) <u>by 75 percent 4 Points</u> (b) <u>by 50 percent 2 Points</u></p>	
Reason:	Multi-level parking promotes an efficient use of land, while minimizing site and soil disruption, reducing impervious surface areas and limiting non-roof heat island effect. They also encourage greater pedestrian activity compared to surface parking lots, which can create gaps or barriers between buildings and street access.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> 405.1 Driveways and parking areas. <u>Driveways and parking areas are minimized by one or more of the following:</u> (1) <u>Driveways or Off-street</u> parking areas are shared <u>or driveways are shared.</u> (2) In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements. 5 Points (23) <u>Multi-level Structured</u> parking <u>garages are-is</u> utilized to reduce the footprint of <u>surface</u> parking areas: (a) <u>by 75 percent 4 Points</u> (b) <u>by 50 percent 2 Points</u> (a) <u>25% to less than 50% 2 points</u> (b) <u>50% to 75% 3 points</u></p>	

	<p><u>(c) greater than 75% 4 points</u></p> <p>505.1 Driveways and parking areas. Driveways and parking areas are minimized by one or more of the following:</p> <p>(1) <u>Driveways or Off-street</u> parking areas are shared <u>or driveways are shared</u>. Waivers or variances from local development regulations are obtained to implement such practices, as applicable.</p> <p>(2) In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements. 4 Points</p> <p>(23) <u>Multi-level Structured</u> parking <u>garages are is</u> utilized to reduce the footprint of <u>surface</u> parking areas:</p> <p><u>(a) by 75 percent 4 Points</u></p> <p><u>(b) by 50 percent 2 Points</u></p> <p><u>(a) 25% to less than 50% 2 points</u></p> <p><u>(b) 50% to 75% 3 points</u></p> <p><u>(c) greater than 75% 4 points</u></p>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Lee Sobel: 405.1 (3) Total project parking ratios are less than the local minimum requirements. 10 points.</i>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P142 LogID TG2-23 501.1 Lot		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new section as follows:</i> <u>(4) A redfield lot is selected.</u>	
Reason:	A redfield is an economically distressed property that may be eligible for assistance through federal programs, and has been defined as such by the Federal Government. Priority for sustainability should be given to such sites and developers should be awarded accordingly.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	The language is vague and not enforceable.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P143 LogID TG2-24 501.1 Lot		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2	

Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(5) A lot with an average slope calculation of less than 15% is selected.</u>
Reason:	This proposal awards developer's that choose relatively flat sites, because these sites have less ecological impact on their surrounding areas due to their lack of topography, when developed.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P144 LogID 69 501.1 Lot		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM	
Proposed Change:	<u>501.1 (4) Lot is in recognized Certified Sustainable subdivision (20 points)</u>	
Reason:	There is no reward for building in a certified sustainable subdivision. Other parts of Lot Design. Should be deleted that really only apply to a subdivision.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P145 which revised the section in a more comprehensive manner.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P145 LogID 487 501.1 Lot		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>				
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB					
Proposed Change:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>501.1 Lot. The lot is selected to minimize environmental impact by one or more of the following:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) An infill lot is selected.</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </table>		501.1 Lot. The lot is selected to minimize environmental impact by one or more of the following:		(4) An infill lot is selected.	4
501.1 Lot. The lot is selected to minimize environmental impact by one or more of the following:						
(4) An infill lot is selected.	4					

	(2) A greyfield lot or an EPA-recognized brownfield lot is selected.	5
	(1) Lot Selection in a green community. The Builder has selected a lot within an NGBS certified green community or equivalent on which to build. A Green Community has been developed to avoid steep slopes, avoid environmentally sensitive areas and avoid wildlife habitats, to name a few. <u>Though a prepared lot may not contain these features within its boundaries, additional points should be given to builders for selecting to build within a green community.</u>	4
	(2) Urban. An infill lot is selected in an Urban Location.	4
	(3) Suburban. An infill lot is selected in a suburban location.	4
	(4) Rural/Exurban. An infill lot is selected in a rural or exurban location.	4
	(5) Greyfield location. An infill lot is selected that is a greyfield.	5
	(6) Brownfield location. An EPA-recognized brownfield lot is selected.	5
	(3) Addition and Renovation Note: A renovation or addition project is implemented. (Points awarded for using an existing building and infrastructure.)	5
Reason:	The proposed changes allows builders the option of buying prepared, cleared and graded lots to receive additional points for developing in a green community whereas they may not be able to receive any points presently. Geographic location of a site or lot within a region can affect the ability to accrue points differently. Therefore, there should be a point gradient based on geographic location, awarding more points for developers and Builders who build and develop in more difficult locations. Also, the previous uses on a site or lot that is being redeveloped can also add difficulty to developing in a sustainable manner, and therefore additional points should be awarded accordingly.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise the Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>501.1 Lot. The lot is selected to minimize environmental impact by one or more of the following: <u>(1) The builder selects a lot within an NGBS certified green community or equivalent on which to build.</u> Points <u>4 for 4-star green community</u> <u>3 for 3-star green community</u> <u>2 for 2-star green community</u> <u>1 for 1-star green community</u></p> <p>(2) An infill lot is selected. 4 <u>6</u></p> <p>(3) A greyfield lot or an EPA-recognized brownfield lot is selected. <u>An infill lot is selected that is a greyfield.</u> 5 <u>8</u></p> <p>(4) An EPA-recognized brownfield lot is selected. 10</p>	
Committee Reason:	Infill as it relates to suburban areas is not a priority for the Standard as it is not common.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P146		LogID 448	501.2 Mass Transportation	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	(2) Walkways, street crossings, and entrances are designed to promote pedestrian activity are provided. New buildings are connected to existing sidewalks and areas of development. <u>Infrastructure in the community should be considered applicable to this practice.</u>			
Reason:	Chapter 5 is focused on the lot but lots typically do not have walkways, street crossings, etc. This change is intended to clarify the intent of the practice.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Lack of clarity in the proposed language.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P147		LogID 281	501.2 Mass Transportation	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB			
Proposed Change:	501.2 Multi Mass-Modal Transportation <u>(4) Bicycle Use. Bicycle use is promoted by building on a lot located within a community that has rights-of- way specifically dedicated to bicycle use in the form of paved paths or bicycle lanes. Infill lots located within 1/2 mile of a designated bicycle lane by the jurisdiction also receive credit.</u>			
Reason:	This section is about more than just public transportation, it also includes encouraging pedestrian and bicycle parking as well as carpooling and carsharing. Therefore the term “multi-modal “ is more applicable. Additional examples of multi-modal activities have been added to this sub-section.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P148		LogID 161	501.2 Mass Transportation	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency			
Proposed Change:	501.2 Mass transportation. A range of mass transportation choices are promoted by one or more of the following: (1) A lot is selected within one-half (805 m) <u>one-quarter mile (402 m)</u> of pedestrian access to <u>existing or planned bus or streetcar stops</u> or one-half mile (805 m) of pedestrian access to a mass transit system or within five miles (8046 m) of a mass transit station with provisions for parking. <u>existing or planned bus rapid transit stops, passenger rail stations, ferry terminals, or tram terminals.</u>			
Reason:	The practice on proximity to mass transit (501.2; 405.6) offers points to projects located within ½ mile of pedestrian access to a mass transit system, or within five miles of a mass transit station with parking. Setting such a low threshold for proximity significantly reduces the expected environmental benefits of mass transit for the building project, namely, reduced emissions and other impacts from automobile-based transportation. Simply put, being located within five miles of a mass transit station provides very little basis to assume that residents will make use of the transit system on a regular basis, either for commuting or for non-work trips, as would be expected if the building project and the transit station were more closely co-located.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	1/4 mile is too restrictive, the committee recommends retaining 1/2 mile.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P149		LogID 449	502.1 Project Team, Mission Statement and Goals	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	A knowledgeable team is established and team member roles are identified with respect go greenn lot design, preparation, and development. The project's green goals and objectives are written into a mission statement. <u>For lots without any environmentally sensitive areas, if the developer had a team established for this purpose with identified roles and a written goals, objective, and mission statement for the covenants for homes built in the community support mission, these points may be awarded to the home.</u>			
Reason:	There are two issues with this practice: (1) is the team's mission to focus strictly on the lot design & landscape or the entire project and (2) for builders building on developed lots in a community, this practice seems awkward especially if there are community covenants guiding/restricting what can be done on the lot.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Points are awarded for selecting a site in a certified development (P145). This proposed change would lead to double dipping.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0			

	Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Randall Melvin: Other than the need to remove the words "for the covenants" from Mr. Hill's proposal it is appropriate and necessary to approve as originally proposed if we want to allow builders to selectively use NGBS on individual lots in a community where the subdivision, not the individual lots, had a natural resources inventory completed, but the development itself was not NGBS certified.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P150	LogID 350	503.1 Natural Resources	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Anthony Floyd, City of Scottsdale		
Proposed Change:	Make line items (1) and (2) mandatory.		
Reason:	Local building departments already require sites plans to identify existng natural and manmade features. A natural resources inventory merely identifies the site's envornmental attribures. This is simple and straigh forward. As part of this inventory, priority site attributes and resources can be identified and made part of the site development plan. This is a prerequisite for beginning any green building project and should be mandatory for the National Green Building Standard.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	This proposed change would create complication for builders developing lots only and 403.1(1) in Site Development is proposed to become mandatory (see P76).		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P151	LogID 450	503.1 Natural Resources	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(1) A natural resources inventory is completed under the direction of a qualified professional. <u>For lots without any environmentally sensitive areas, if the developer conducted a natural resource inventory, and that information is made available to the builder, then these points may be awarded based on the development's natural resource inventory.</u>		
Reason:	It seems reasonable to give credit to the home when the activity has been done by the developer on a community wide basis.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Points are awarded by selecting a site in a certified development (see P145). This proposed change would lead to double dipping.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1		

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> By standard industry practice, subdivisions with many lots have natural resource inventories completed for the entire subdivision, not for the individual lots. If a builder wants to certify only select lots in a subdivision to the NGBS they will not have a natural resource inventory for these specific lots and thus it is appropriate and critical to the applicability of the NGBS to allow the subdivision natural resource inventory to be used for the specific lots seeking accreditation. Strongly recommend acceptance of Mr. Hill's original proposal and cannot stress enough the importance of this item to the consensus committee.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P152	LogID 451	503.1 Natural Resources	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	--------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(2) A plan is implemented to conserve the elements identified by the resource inventory as high priority resources. <u>For lots without any environmentally sensitive areas, if the developer conducted a natural resource inventory and the developer implemented a plan to conserve high priority resources, these points are available to the builder provided the builder does not do anything on the lot that violates the community plan.</u>
Reason:	For developed lots that do not have any sensitive areas, it seems reasonable that this could be done on a community wide basis.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Points are awarded by selecting a site in a certified development (see P145). This proposed change would lead to double dipping.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> By standard industry practice, subdivisions with many lots have natural resource inventories and implemented plans to conserve high priority resources are completed for the entire subdivision, not for the individual lots. If a builder wants to certify only select lots in a subdivision to the NGBS they will not have a natural resource inventory for these specific lots and thus it is appropriate and critical to the applicability of the NGBS to allow the subdivision natural resource inventory and implementatin plan to conserve high priority resources to be used for the specific lots seeking accreditation. Strongly recommend acceptance of Mr. Hill's original proposal and cannot stress enough the importance of this item to the consensus committee.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P153	LogID 452	503.1 Natural Resources	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	--------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(3) Items listed for protection in the resource inventory plan are protected under the direction of a qualified professional. <u>When the lot has no high priority resources on the lot itself, if during the construction of the development, the developer met this practice for the entire community, these points may be awarded.</u>

Reason:	It seems reasonable to give credit to the home when the activity has been done by the developer on a community wide basis.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Points are awarded by selecting a site in a certified development (see P145). This proposed change would lead to double dipping.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> For larger subdivision where resource inventories and, implementation and oversight are done on a subdivision wide basis but the builder only chooses to qualify specific lots to the NGBS approval of this item as Mr. Hill has submitted will be critical. Strongly recommend acceptance of Mr. Hill's original proposal and cannot stress enough the importance of this item to the consensus committee.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P154 LogID 453 503.1 Natural Resources		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(4) Basic training in tree or other natural resource protection is provided for the on-site supervisor. <u>If the builder's supervisor is responsible for the entire community and there are substantial trees or other natural resources in the community and the supervisor has the training required for this practice then these points can be awarded for any lot under the supervisor's control. If the lot specific supervisor has had this training and there are trees or other natural resources on or adjacent to the lot in such a way that the construction on the lot would potentially arm them, then these points are applicable. The points are not applicable if there are no trees or natural resources to protect.</u>	
Reason:	Clarification is needed as to when these points are appropriate.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Borrowed on the developer's point system. The existing language makes it clearer that points will be available for action specifically on the lot. The additional wording is not necessary and unclear.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Mr. Hill's proposal is appropriate and necessary to approve as originally proposed if we want to allow builders to selectively use NGBS on individual lots in a community where the subdivision itself was not NGBS certified but where inventories and practices are done on a community wide, not lot specific basis.	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P155		LogID 454	503.1 Natural Resources	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	(5) All tree pruning on-site is conducted by a Certified Arborist. <u>When the individual lot is treeless, if during the construction of the development the developer met this practice for trees throughout the entire community, these points may be awarded for the lot.</u>			
Reason:	Guidance is needed to understand when these points should be awarded.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:	Borrows on the developer's point system. The existing language makes it clearer that points will be available for action specifically on the lot. The additional wording is not necessary.			
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Mr. Hill's proposal is appropriate and necessary to approve as originally proposed if we want to allow builders to selectively use NGBS on individual lots in a community where the subdivision itself was not NGBS certified but where inventories and practices are done on a community wide, not lot specific basis.			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P156		LogID 455	503.1 Natural Resources	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	(6) Ongoing maintenance of vegetation <u>on the lot</u> during construction is in accordance with TCIA A300.			
Reason:	Provide clarification that this practice must be done on the lot rather than in the community.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified			
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (6) Ongoing maintenance of vegetation <u>on the lot</u> during construction is in accordance with TCIA A300 <u>or locally accepted best practices.</u>			
Committee Reason:	Add an option for local existing practices. The Standard prefers to yield to local practices where appropriate.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P157		LogID 70	503.1 Natural Resources	Final Formal Action: Reject
------	--	----------	-------------------------	-----------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Natural resources are conserved by one or more of the following: <u>Note: bare subdivision lots do not qualify for points in (1-6).</u>
Reason:	This clarifies what you can't earn when building on a bare lot.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Language is insufficiently clear, undefined; no definition of bare lot.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P158	LogID 71	503.1 Natural Resources	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(1) A natural resources inventory is completed under the direction of a qualified professional <u>or using an appropriate regional resource guide.</u>
Reason:	Sometimes it is easy to identify salvageable resources without the need to hire an additional professional, especially considering this is for one lot.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Lack of definition about "appropriate regional resource guide".
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P159	LogID 72	503.1 Natural Resources	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(3) Items listed for protection in the resource inventory plan are protected under the direction of a qualified professional <u>qualified personnel (or person).</u>
Reason:	This expands the scope of who could be qualified to protect resources including the contractor or owner.
Committee Action	Accept as Modified

from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (3) Items listed for protection in the resource inventory plan are protected under the direction of a qualified professional <u>a qualified personnel (or person)</u> .
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P160	LogID 73	503.1 Natural Resources	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(7) If a bare lot in a subdivision adjoins a landscaped common area, a protection plan from construction activities next to the common area is implemented. (5 points)</u>
Reason:	Allows points for a bare lot for implementing practices that save resources (another change submission suggests barring points in (1-6) of this section 503.1
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (7) If Where a bare lot in a subdivision adjoins a landscaped common area, a protection plan from construction activities next to the common area is implemented. (5 points)
Committee Reason:	"Bare lot" is not defined.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P161	LogID 456	503.2 Slope Disturbance	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	--------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(3) All or a percentage of roads and parking are aligned with natural topography to reduce cut and fill.

Reason:	This practice needs significant clarification. Most lots do not have roads but only drive ways. Parking on most single family lots is typically confined to the driveway. Some urban single family lots do not have driveways and use on street parking. If this practice is intended to apply only to multi-unit complexes it should be stated that way. If the practice is to be broadly applied to include roads in the development then guidance is needed on how to apply it (e.g. does the road in front of the house need to align with the topography or is it all roads in the community).
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (3) All or a percentage of roads, <u>driveways</u> , and parking are aligned with natural topography to reduce cut and fill.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P162	LogID 457	503.2 Slope Disturbance	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(4) Long-term erosion effects are <u>significantly</u> reduced through the design and implementation of terracing, retaining walls, landscaping, and <u>or</u> restabilization techniques.		
Reason:	This is to provide clarification that any of these practices may be used (not all are required) and to provide guidance on the improvement must be more than minimal. Further guidance on the extent of the improvement necessary would be very helpful.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (4) Long-term erosion effects are reduced through the design and implementation of terracing, retaining walls, landscaping, and <u>or</u> restabilization techniques.		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P163	LogID 74	503.2 Slope Disturbance	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	Slope disturbance is minimized by one or more of the following; (Points awarded only if there are developable steep slopes on the lot)		

Reason:	It makes no sense if steep slopes are avoided in the first place (this is just a single lot) As written this would encourage finding steep slopes for development when they should be left alone (think of L.A. in the rainy season)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P166.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P164		LogID 75		503.2 Slope Disturbance		Final Formal Action: Reject	
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM						
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> 503.2(1) <u>(d) A lot is chosen with no steep slopes (2 points)</u>						
Reason:	It makes no sense if steep slopes are avoided in the first place (this is just a single lot) (Two other related changes submitted)						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject						
Modification of Proposed Change:							
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P166.						
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0						
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:							

P165		LogID 76		503.2 Slope Disturbance		Final Formal Action: Reject	
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM						
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> 503.2(3) <u>(d) A lot is chosen with no steep slopes (2 points)</u>						
Reason:	It makes no sense if steep slopes are avoided in the first place (this is just a single lot)						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject						

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P166.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P166	LogID TG2-25	503.2 Slope disturbance	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	---------------------	--------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	Slope disturbance is minimized by <u>the use of terrain adaptive architecture including terracing, retaining walls, landscaping, and other re-stabilization techniques</u> one or more of the following. (Points awarded only if there are developable steep slopes on the lot.) (1) All or a percentage of development on steep slopes is avoided: — (a) less than 25 percent — (b) 25 percent to 75 percent — (c) greater than 75 percent (2) Hydrological/soil stability study for steep slopes is completed and used to guide the design of all buildings on the site. (3) All or a percentage of roads <u>driveways</u> and parking are aligned with natural topography to reduce cut and fill.
Reason:	This section has been re-worked to de-emphasize the steep slopes issue, while maintaining the integrity of practices that minimize soil disturbance. The issue of over emphasis on steep slopes created unforeseen and possibly unbalanced challenges for those developers owning flat, previously graded and infill sites that were seeking higher levels of green certification.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Slope disturbance is minimized by <u>the use of terrain adaptive architecture including terracing, retaining walls, landscaping, and/or other re-stabilization techniques</u> one or more of the following. (Points awarded only if there are developable steep slopes on the lot.) (1) All or a percentage of development on steep slopes is avoided: — (a) less than 25 percent — (b) 25 percent to 75 percent — (c) greater than 75 percent (2) Hydrological/soil stability study for steep slopes is completed and used to guide the design of all buildings on the site. (3) All or a percentage of roads <u>driveways</u> and parking are aligned with natural topography to reduce cut and fill.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s)	

for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P167	LogID 351	503.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Anthony Floyd, City of Scottsdale
Proposed Change:	Make lines items (1) and (3) mandatory.
Reason:	Soil exposed by construction activities is especially vulnerable to erosion. Soil erosion contributes to stormwater run-off pollutants and air borne particulates that make up air pollution. Most city and county authorities require a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan to minimize stormwater pollutant runoff. Based on the site inventory and an established site plan, it is simple to identify the limits of clearing and grading. Most jurisdictions already require a grading and drainage plan as part of civil engineering and building permit requirements. This process has long been established in the engineering and regulatory process around the country. This should be a prerequisite and therefore mandatory for the National Green Building Standard.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P217
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P168	LogID 458	503.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(1) Construction activities are scheduled to minimize <u>limit the</u> length of time that <u>unstabalized</u> soils are exposed to <u>14 days or less</u> .
Reason:	Clarification is needed define "minimize". 14 days is the EPA guideline.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	14 days guideline is likely not applicable to soil stabilization on a building site.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P169 LogID 460 503.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion <i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(2) <u>At least 75% of total length of the installed Utilities on the lot</u> are installed using one or more alternative means:
Reason:	Clarification is needed to define to what extent the installation must meet the practice in order to qualify for the points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P170 LogID 461 503.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion <i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(3) Limits of clearing and grading are demarcated on the <u>lot</u> plan.
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P171 LogID 77 503.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
---	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(3) Limits of clearing and grading are demarcated on the plan <u>(not awarded for bare lots)</u> .
Reason:	Hard to preserve what is not there or monitor on small subdivision lots that have been scraped bare.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	It may still be required to conduct grading on a bare lot.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P172	LogID 352	503.4 Storm Water Management	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Anthony Floyd, City of Scottsdale		
Proposed Change:	Make line item (2) mandatory.		
Reason:	Building permit authorities already require site surveys along with a proposed site plan and grading/drainage plan. Most city, town and county authorities have master stormwater surveys and plans to ensure public infrastructure and development will not adversely affect regional drainage paths. This process has long been established in the engineering and regulatory process around the country. A site stormwater management plan should be a prerequisite and therefore mandatory for the National Green Building Standard.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Too restrictive. P217 addresses this issue by including new language on Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P173	LogID 462	503.4 Storm Water Management	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Storm water is managed using one or more of the following low impact development techniques: <u>For lots in a development, the points for items (1), (2), and (3) may be awarded for the lot when there is a community storm water management plan implemented and the builder does not violate that plan with respect to water leaving the lot.</u>		

Reason:	This practice is difficult to meet when it is confined strictly to the lot. Allowing credit for coordinating with a site storm water management plan clarifies this practice while still meeting the intent.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P174	LogID 463	503.4 Storm Water Management	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	A storm water management plan...
Reason:	Is this intended to be a plan for during construction only or a plan that covers both construction and post construction?
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed change does not clearly define the issue.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P175	LogID 283	503.4 Storm Water Management	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	(4) <u>Green Roof</u> – A minimum of 50% of the roof is to be vegetated (green roof) <u>uses vegetated roof technology and shall be capable of withstanding the climate conditions of the jurisdiction and the micro climate conditions of the of the building site. Invasive plant species shall not be permitted and selected plants shall not add to the potential for fire hazard in the event of severe drought.</u>
Reason:	Greater specificity on green roof technology is needed. Also, this section should be with the term “green roof” so that it is more easily identified within the chapter.

Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise the Standard as follows:</i> (4) A minimum of 75 50 percent of the roof is vegetated (green roof) <u>using technology capable of withstanding the climate conditions of the jurisdiction and the microclimate conditions of the building site. Invasive plant species are not permitted.</u>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Frank Stanonik: This is too restrictive. The entire roof may not be available for placing vegetation on it because of other energy saving or green features that have been installed. This needs further work to address the roof space that is available for the installation of vegetation.</i>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P176	LogID 165	503.4 Storm Water Management	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(5) Option 1: Stormwater management practices that manage rainfall on-site and prevent the off-site discharge from all storms up to and including the volume of the 95th percentile storm event. Maintain predevelopment (natural) runoff temperatures.</u> <u>Option 2: Conduct a hydrologic analysis that results in the design of a stormwater management system that maintains the pre-development (stable, natural) runoff hydrology of the site throughout the development or redevelopment process. Post construction runoff rate, volume, duration, and temperature shall not exceed predevelopment rates.</u>
Reason:	The standard's practice on stormwater management is commendable for encouraging the use of low-impact development techniques. However, the practice does not go far enough to ensure that buildings do not have an overly harmful impact on the hydrology of the surrounding area. This section can be strengthened through the development of several additional practices. In place of or in addition to the existing, relatively prescriptive measures in 503.4 and 403.5, EPA recommends a stormwater management practice focusing more on outcomes.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> <u>(5) Option 1: Stormwater management practices that manage rainfall on-site and prevent the off-site discharge from all storms up to and including the volume of the 95th percentile storm event. Maintain predevelopment (natural) runoff temperatures.</u> <u>Option 2: (6) Conduct a hydrologic analysis that results in the design of a stormwater management system that maintains the pre-development (stable, natural) runoff hydrology of the site throughout the development or redevelopment process. Post-construction runoff rate, volume, and duration, and temperature shall cannot exceed predevelopment rates.</u>
Committee Reason:	Runoff temperature is an enforcement issue and is too restrictive.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P177	LogID 170	503.4 Storm Water Management	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> Stormwater management verification. Stormwater rate, volume and duration calculations shall be provided for pre- (stable, natural) and post- development for the 2, 10, 25, 50 and 100 year storm events in addition to other applicable state and local reporting requirements. Infiltration and evapotranspiration strategies and rainwater collection (where allowed) calculations shall be indicated. A long-term maintenance plan for stormwater management practices shall be provided.		
Reason:	In support of the requirements that EPA suggested in a prior comment (ID# 165), we we recommend the above means of verification.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is overly restrictive in requiring verification with complex calculations.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P178	LogID TG2-26	503.4 Storm water management	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	A storm water management design includes Storm water is managed using one or more of the following low-impact development techniques: (2) A storm water management plan is developed and implemented-Facilities that minimizes concentrated flows and simulates flows found in natural hydrology (e.g., by the use of vegetative swales, french drains, wetlands, drywells, and rain gardens), and similar infiltration features.		
Reason:	It is worth specifying the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan as the plan of record as this is what is commonly used to specify stormwater management design and implementation.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P179 LogID 465 503.5 Landscape Plan <i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	A landscape plan <u>for the lot</u> is developed to limit water and energy use while preserving or enhancing the natural environment.
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P180 LogID 466 503.5 Landscape Plan <i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(1) When a lot will be less than 50% turf, A plan is formulated to restore or enhance natural vegetation that is cleared during construction. Landscaping is phased to coincide with achievement of final grades to ensure denuded areas are quickly vegetated.
Reason:	For lots that are substantially all turf it seems inappropriate to award points for a plan to restore the natural vegetation.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (1) When Where a lot will be is less than 50% turf, A plan is formulated to restore or enhance natural vegetation that is cleared during construction. Landscaping is phased to coincide with achievement of final grades to ensure denuded areas are quickly vegetated.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P181	LogID 467	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(2) Turf grass species, other vegetation, and trees are selected <u>and specified on the lot plan</u> that are native or regionally appropriate for local growing conditions.		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Remove the words "on the lot plan" as long as they are specified in scopes for the entire community or elsewhere it is inappropriate to require it specifically be on the lot plan.		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P182	LogID 468	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(3) A The percentage of or all turf areas <u>that will be mowed</u> are limited <u>and shown on the lot plan</u> . <u>The percentage is based on the landscaped area of the lot not including the home footprint, hardscape, and any undisturbed natural areas.</u>		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (3) A The percentage of or all turf areas <u>that will is desgined to be mowed</u> are limited <u>and shown on the lot plan</u> . <u>The percentage is based on the landscaped area of the lot not including the home footprint, hardscape, and any undisturbed natural areas.</u>		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P183 LogID 469 503.5 Landscape Plan		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(4) Plants with similar watering needs are grouped (hydrozoning) <u>and shown on the lot plan.</u>	
Reason:	Clarify the practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Remove the words "on the lot plan" as long as they hydor zoning is specifed in scopes for the entire community or elsewhere it is inappropriate to require it specifically be on the lot plan.	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P184 LogID 471 503.5 Landscape Plan		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(5) Species and locations for trees <u>or tree planting of at least 3 trees</u> are identified <u>on the lot plan</u> that will provide summer shading of streets, parking areas, and buildings to moderate temperatures <u>within 5 years of completion of the building.</u>	
Reason:	Clarify the practice and to define the extent of implementation required.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (5) Species and locations for tree planting are identified that will provide summer shading of streets, parking areas, and buildings to moderate temperatures. <u>Summer shading by planting installed to shade a minimum of 30% of building walls. To conform to summer shading, the effective shade coverage is the arithmetic mean of the shade coverage calculated at 10 am for eastward facing walls, noon for southward facing walls, and 3 pm for westward facing walls on the summer solstice five years after planting.</u>	
Committee Reason:	The design of the shading to reduce energy load is established as 50% of building walls. The requirements for hardscape shading (streets, parking and driveways) are removed as they are already covered in Sections 403.6 and 505.2. The criteria for measurement of shading based on ASHREA 189.1 are used.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 39 Disapprove: 2 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> We have way over complicated things. The standard should be simple, flexible and user friendly and we have been way too perscriptive and restrictive with our propose amendment. Better to use the original 2008 standard language for this item.	

	<u>Lee Sobel: (5) Species and locations for trees or tree planting of at least 3 trees are identified on the lot plan that are no less than five inches in diameter, that are intended to provide summer shading of streets, parking areas, and buildings to moderate temperatures..</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P185	LogID 472	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(6) Vegetative wind breaks or channels are designed <u>to protect the lot</u> as appropriate for local conditions.		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (6) Vegetative wind breaks or channels are designed <u>to protect the lot and immediate surrounding lots</u> as appropriate for local conditions.		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P186	LogID 473	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(7) On-site (<u>or community generated</u>) tree trimmings or stump grinding of regionally appropriate trees are used to provide protective mulch <u>on the lot</u> during construction, and cleared trees are recycled as sawn lumber or pulp wood.		
Reason:	Clarify the practice. There have also been a number of requests to allow trees to be used as firewood as an alternative to sawn lumber or pulp wood. If the task group has an opinion on this, additional clarification would be useful.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (7) On-site (<u>or community generated</u>) tree trimmings or stump grinding of regionally appropriate trees are used to provide protective mulch <u>on the lot</u> during construction, and cleared trees are recycled as sawn lumber- or , pulp wood, <u>mulch, or other recycled use.</u>		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	Randall Melvin: The addition of the language, " or other recycled uses" is appropriate; however it falls short in not specifically clarifying, "combustion for energy generation purposes" is included as a recycled use. Add a definition for recycling in Chapter 2 which clarifies "combustion for energy generation purposes" is an acceptable recycling practice or		

	specifically include this clarification as part of this item.
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P187	LogID 78	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	A landscape plan is developed to limit water and energy use while preserving or enhancing the natural environment. <u>(If "front" only or "rear" only plan is implemented only 1/2 the points (rounding down to a whole number) are allowed for the practices (1-6) in section 503.5.)</u>		
Reason:	Many builders landscape the front only and leave the rear to the home owner. Partial credit should be allowed for this practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P188	LogID 79	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(3) (e) No landscape plan is implemented but zoning, covenants, or deed restrictions limit turf to 25%. (1 pt)</u>		
Reason:	Zoning or covenants that are implemented later by the home owner will still reap sustainable benefits.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:	A covenant is too permanent and could lead to devalue of lot, unnecessary encumbrance.		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P189	LogID 171	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	<p>(3) A percentage or all turf areas are limited.</p> <p>(a) 0 percent</p> <p>(b) greater than 0 percent to less than 25 20 percent</p> <p>(c) 25 20 percent to less than 40 50 percent</p> <p>(d) 50 40 percent to 75 60 percent</p>		
Reason:	EPA supports the inclusion of a practice restricting turf areas in landscaping, but the minimum target of 75 percent of all landscaping is too low. We recommend that the minimum instead be set at 60 percent, with one additional point awarded for every further 20 percent reduction.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P190	LogID 173	503.5 Landscape Plan	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	<p>(8) An integrated pest management plan is developed to minimize chemical use in pesticides and fertilizers.</p> <p><i>Replace with the following (not underlined for clarity):</i></p> <p>An Integrated Pest Management plan is developed, implemented, and maintained that addresses both indoor and outdoor pest control. The plan must include the EPA's Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program four tiered approach to pest management:</p> <p>1) Set action thresholds. Before taking any pest control action, IPM first sets an action threshold, the point at which pest populations or environmental conditions indicate that pest control action must be taken to avert a nuisance, health hazard, or economic threat.</p> <p>2) Monitor and Identify Pests. IPM programs monitor and identify pests and the most appropriate course of action for a particular pest chosen. Monitoring and pest identification ensures that appropriate actions are taken. This could include some combination of prevention and control.</p>		

3) Prevention. The first line of defense in any IPM program is the prevention of conditions in or around a building or in an orchard that attract pests – sources of food, water, and shelter. IPM service providers use practices to prevent pests including, but not limited to:

- a. Customer education including materials for non-English speakers and those with difficulty reading.
- b. Providing customers with information about pest behavior and conditions, and that allow pests access to the site, food, water, and habitat, so that the customer can understand and participate in the pest management process;
- c. Irrigation practices, the treatment or removal of plants attractive to pests, and physical changes to reduce pest access to structures;
- d. Removal of pest habitat, sources of food and water, and breeding areas - keeping premises free of trash and overgrown vegetation, and diverting water away from a building or landscaping to avoid standing water;
- e. Prevention of access to structures - sealing areas where pests enter the buildings (weatherization).

4) Management. Integration of Multiple Management Strategies and Tools

A variety of pest control strategies and tools are integrated into a comprehensive program to manage the pest. If identification, monitoring, and action thresholds indicate that pest management is required, and preventive methods are no longer effective or viable, management methods can be and should be employed. Management strategies may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Mechanical or physical controls including, but not limited to, traps, vacuuming, steam cleaning, or physical barriers;
- b. Biological controls including the use of predators, parasitoids, or pathogens to control the pest; and,
- c. If preventive measures along with the practices in paragraphs ‘a’ and ‘b’ directly above are insufficient to prevent or control pests, chemical controls may be used.

Note: Under an IPM program, management methods are evaluated based on effectiveness and relative risk. Those methods that are found to both be the most effective and pose the lowest risk are selected first. IPM combines two central methods for reduced-risk pest control:

- a. Least Toxic Pest Management Options. These include use of physical controls, such as trapping, vacuuming, and steam cleaning.
- b. Pesticides

Pest management is a group activity from the prevention and monitoring phase through the chemical usage decision. All stakeholders should be involved in the decision to use chemicals. For structural situations, this includes the IPM coordinator, pest management professionals, building managers, cleaning staff, etc. In agricultural situations, this includes the crop consultant/scout, grower, and, when appropriate, food processor.

Pest management plans should dictate action thresholds and a decision-making process for actions including pesticide selection. Universal notification (advance notice of not less than 72 hours under normal conditions and 24 hours in emergencies before a pesticide, other than a least-toxic pesticide, is applied in a building or on surrounding grounds that the building management maintains). Define emergency conditions. There are best management practices to follow if pesticides are to be used:

- read the label first,
- choose the right chemical for a particular pest, and

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · have a clear understanding of the proper application rate and method – misuse can harm not only your health but also the environment. <p>When a chemical control method is required within an IPM program, a biological pesticide should be considered first. Biopesticides are usually inherently less toxic than conventional pesticides and decompose quickly so they do not leave persistent chemical residues in the environment.</p> <p>Sometimes a conventional pesticide (synthetic materials that directly kill or inactivate a pest) may be needed for satisfactory pest control. Ideally, all pesticides are used in combination with other lower-risk non-chemical pest management practices. Even within conventional pesticides, there is a progression of best management practices:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Use baits and spot treatments are limit unnecessary exposure to chemicals, · Apply pesticides only as directed by the label, · Notify customers prior to pesticide applications - ideally, a 24 hour notice before for applications in or around any building landscape or structure. · In occupied structures, pest management professionals and/or IPM coordinators must clearly explain to the building occupants how to maintain safe interaction around the treated areas. <p>Hire pest management professionals certified by an EPA Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program partner organization, such as the National Pest Management Association’s Green Pro, IPM Institute’s Green Shield, or other programs, as appropriate.</p>
Reason:	The IPM component of the standard's landscape plan (503.5.8; 403.6.9) can be improved in two main ways. First, NAHB should use more specific language to ensure that the IPM plan has a meaningful environmental impact. Secondly, the practice should require the use of pest control operators who are certified in IPM practices. We suggest the above language instead of the standard’s current language on IPM.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Consistent with the action on P97 in Chapter 4 Section 403.6. This level of detail belongs in the commentary. The wording of the current item is sufficient.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P191 LogID 237 503.5 Landscape Plan <i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>	
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA
Proposed Change:	(7) On-site tree trimmings or stump grinding of regionally appropriate trees are used to provide protective mulch during construction, <u>or as a base for walking trails</u> , and cleared trees are recycled as sawn lumber, or pulp wood <u>or biomass for Solid Fuel Burning Appliance as per Section 901.2.1(2) for on-site renewable energy.</u>
Reason:	This is in support of the use of on-site renewable energy

Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (7) On-site tree trimmings or stump grinding of regionally appropriate trees are used <u>on the site</u> to provide protective mulch during construction or for landscaping, and cleared trees are recycled as sawn lumber or pulp wood.
Committee Reason:	Burning is inconsistent with the intent of this section. This section addresses landscaping.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P192 LogID 475 503.6 Wildlife Habitat		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Measures are planned that will support wildlife habitat. <u>The measures to support wildlife habitat should be commensurate with the size and surroundings of the lot. Points are available for lots when community space supports wildlife habitat. The minimum support measures should include at least 2 of the following: area for shelter, natural food source, and natural water source.</u>	
Reason:	Additional guidance is needed to clarify the extent and types of measures that are appropriate and required for various types of lots.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P193.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P193 LogID 285 503.6 Wildlife Habitat		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	Measures are planned that will support wildlife habitat. (1) <u>Plants and gardens that will encourage wildlife, such as bird and butterfly gardens.</u>	

	<p>(2) <u>Inclusion of a certified “backyard wildlife” program</u></p> <p>(3) <u>Lots are adjacent to wildlife corridors, fish and game parks, or preserved areas and are designed to be respectful of this relationship.</u></p> <p>(4) <u>Outdoor lighting techniques are utilized to be respectful of wildlife.</u></p>
Reason:	In Chapters 4 and 5, points are awarded for developers who preserve wildlife habitats on site, as well as provide on-site amenities to encourage urban wildlife. Therefore, it is pertinent to provide a definition to this term to help clarify the verification process.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p>Measures are planned that will support wildlife habitat <u>and include at least two of the following:-</u></p> <p>(1) <u>Plants and gardens that will encourage wildlife, such as bird and butterfly gardens.</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Inclusion of a certified “backyard wildlife” program</u></p> <p>(3) <u>Lots are adjacent to wildlife corridors, fish and game parks, or preserved areas and are designed to be respectful ofwith regard for this relationship.</u></p> <p>(4) <u>Outdoor lighting techniques are utilized to be respectful ofwith regard for wildlife.</u></p>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41</p> <p>Approve: 41</p> <p>Disapprove: 0</p> <p>Abstain: 0</p> <p>Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P194	LogID 478	503.7 Mixed Use Development	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	The building on the lot contains Mixed-use development is incorporated. These points are intended for buildings that contain mixed use in the building. The points for a mixed use community are awarded in 501.2(3).
Reason:	This practice is often confused with mixed use development in 501.2(3). This change clarifies that this practice applies only to buildings that have the mixed use within the building.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> Mixed use development is incorporated. The lot contains a mixed-use building.
Committee Reason:	

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Frank Stanonik: This proposal changes the intent of this provision from covering a development to covering a building. Section 501.2(3) addresses transportation not the construction of a mixed use development.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P195	LogID 479	503.8 Environmentally Sensitive Areas	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(1) Environmentally sensitive areas are avoided. <u>The lot does not contain any environmentally sensitive areas that are disturbed by the construction.</u>		
Reason:	This change clarifies that a lot without any sensitive areas or a lot that has sensitive areas but those areas are not disturbed can meet this practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P196	LogID 480	503.8 Environmentally Sensitive Areas	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(2) Compromised environmentally sensitive areas are mitigated or restored. <u>These points are available only if the lot has a compromised environmentally sensitive area on the lot. These points are not available if the sensitive area is damaged during construction of the building. If the sensitive area is damaged by the developer (and the developer is not the builder) or if the sensitive area is otherwise less than pristine, these points may be awarded if the builder makes significant restoration efforts. Points cannot be claimed for mandatory mitigation or restoration of federally-protected sensitive areas unless the mitigation or restoration is greater than that which was required through the federal permit process.</u>		
Reason:	This practice needs to make it clear that to get the points any restoration or mitigation must go above and beyond and government mandated efforts and any damage caused by the builder.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed wording is commentary and not appropriate for the Standard. It is also ambiguous and unenforceable.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P197	LogID 154	503.8 Environmentally Sensitive Areas	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	This section should be a mandatory requirement, not one that provides credits. (This proposed change is also being submitted for Section 403.11)		
Reason:	Locational considerations are fundamental to the definition of a green building. Moreover, the importance of environmentally sensitive areas to human health and the environment makes their protection essential in any standard that aims to promote increased environmental protection.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Environmentally Sensitive Areas are too numerous in some regions to avoid completely.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P198	LogID 157	503.8 Environmentally Sensitive Areas	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	(1) Environmentally sensitive areas are avoided. (2) Compromised environmentally sensitive areas are mitigated or restored. <u>(3) Buildings are not erected, and landscape improvements are not conducted, on land that is undeveloped or that has been developed only for agricultural purposes, and that is within a 100-year floodplain.</u>		
Reason:	Locational considerations are fundamental to the definition of a green building. NAHB is notably weaker than other green building rating and certification systems on the issue of site sustainability, and in particular, in discouraging building on environmentally sensitive and valuable lands. NAHB has only one optional credit restricting building in sensitive areas, which nonetheless allows building if the area is to be mitigated or restored. With no specific requirements or definition for mitigation or restoration, nor with a means of enforcement for this provision, this practice is insufficient to guarantee protection of sensitive lands. This shortcoming is a major weakness in the standard. Sections 503.8 and 403.11 should be revised to correct this shortcoming.		

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The Standard should not prohibit building in 100-year floodplains and should continue to provide points for restoration of floodplains.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P199 LogID 569 503.9 Density		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	The average density <u>on the lot</u> on a net developable area basis is:	
Reason:	Clarify that the density is based on the individual lot rather than a community wide average.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P200 LogID 163 503.9 Density		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>(4) The lot [or site] is within one-quarter mile of developed residential land with an average density of at least 8 units per acre.</u></p> <p><u>(5) The lot [or site] is adjacent to existing development with pre-project connectivity of at least 90 intersections/mile of any continuous segment equaling 25 percent of the project boundary. Areas excluded from the calculation shall be water bodies, parks larger than 1/2 acre, recreational facilities, public campuses (such as universities), airports, rail yards, areas preserved from development by codified law or prerequisites of the rating system, and land that cannot be developed due to a unique topographic or geologic condition (such as steep slopes). Street rights-of-way may not be excluded.</u></p>	

Reason:	The standard provides points for densely-built projects in sections 503.9 and 403.12, as well as in several innovative practices for subdivisions in 405. EPA supports these practices, but recommends that NAHB go further by incentivizing buildings or subdivisions to be built adjacent to densely-built areas as well.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proposed change is too limiting for both density and number of intersections. It is also too restrictive to topography and would require additional disturbance of the lot.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P201	LogID 481	504.1 Onsite Supervision	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	On-site supervision and coordination is provided during clearing, grading, trenching, paving <u>on the lot</u> , and installation of utilities <u>on the lot</u> to ensure that specified green development practices are implemented. (also see Section 503.3).		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P202	LogID 482	504.2 Trees and Vegetation	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(1) Fencing or equivalent is installed to protect <u>all trees</u> and other vegetation <u>on the lot or adjacent to the lot that might be disturbed by the construction</u> .		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action	Reject		

from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Builder has no control over the adjacent lot.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P203	LogID 483	504.2 Trees and Vegetation	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(2) Trenching, significant changes in grade, and compaction of soil and critical root zones in <u>all</u> "tree save" areas <u>as shown on the lot plan</u> are avoided.		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P204	LogID 484	504.2 Trees and Vegetation	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(3) Damage to designated existing trees and vegetation is mitigated during construction through pruning, root pruning, fertilizing, and watering <u>and these trees and vegetation are healthy at the completion of the project.</u>		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Term "healthy" is too vague and it does not help clarify the practice.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P205 LogID 80 504.2 Trees and Vegetation Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified	
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Designated trees and vegetation are preserved <u>on the building lot or adjoining "open" space</u> by one or more of the following:
Reason:	More clearly defines what points are awarded for. Protecting next door neighbors trees should be standard practice and not awarded points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Designated trees and vegetation are preserved <u>on the building lot or adjoining "open" space</u> by one or more of the following:
Committee Reason:	Developer does not have control over adjoining lot.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P206 LogID 485 504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion Final Formal Action: Accept	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(1) Limits of clearing and grading are staked out <u>on the lot</u> .
Reason:	Additional consideration should be given to dealing with small urban lot where the lot line and the clearing limits are likely to be one in the same.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P207	LogID 486	504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(2) "No disturbance" zones are created using fencing or flagging to protect vegetation and sensitive areas <u>on the lot or immediately adjacent to the lot</u> from construction activity.
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (2) "No disturbance" zones are created using fencing or flagging to protect vegetation and sensitive areas <u>on the lot or immediately adjacent to the lot</u> from construction activity.
Committee Reason:	Developer does not have control over adjacent lot(s).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P208	LogID 488	504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(3) Sediment and erosion controls are installed <u>on the lot</u> and maintained in accordance with the storm water pollution prevention plan, where required.
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P209	LogID 489	504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(4) Topsoil (<u>from either the lot or the community development</u>) is stockpiled and stabilized for later use <u>and used</u> to establish landscape plantings <u>on the lot</u> .		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (4) Topsoil (from either the lot or the community site development) is stockpiled and stabilized for later use <u>and used</u> to establish landscape plantings <u>on the lot</u> .		
Committee Reason:	The modification generalizes the proposed change to apply to the site and not just the community.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P210	LogID 490	504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(5) Soil Compaction from construction equipment is reduced by distributing the weight of the equipment over a larger area (laying lightweight geogrids, mulch, chipped wood, plywood, OSB, metal plates, or other materials capable of weight distribution in the pathway of the equipment). <u>This must be done for all heavy equipment used on the lot throughout the construction process.</u>		
Reason:	The commentary appears to limit the need for any of thee 504.3 sub-practices to areas outsideof the limits of clearing and grading. If that is the intent then the sub-practices should be clarified to make this clear.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	This section is already clear and the change creates confusion. In addition, it dilutes requirement already in text.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

for Abstain:	
--------------	--

P211 LogID 491 504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(6) Disturbed areas <u>on the lot</u> that are complete or to be left unworked for 21 days or more are stabilized within 14 days using methods as recommended by the EPA, or in the approved storm water pollution prevention plan, where required.	
Reason:	Clarify the practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P212 LogID 492 504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(7) Soil <u>for at least 50% of the landscaped area (including turf)</u> is improved with organic amendments and mulch <u>as recommended by a local landscaper</u> .	
Reason:	Clarify the practice and define the extent required.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Proposed change is consistent with the corresponding language in Chapter 4. Also, 50% is too low; "local landscaper" is undefined.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P213 LogID 493 504.3 Soil Disturbance and		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as</i>
---	--	---------------------------------------

Erosion		Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(8) <u>At least 75% of total length of the installed</u> Utilities <u>on the lot</u> are installed using one or more alternative means (e.g., tunneling instead of trenching, use of smaller equipment, use of low ground pressure equipment, use of geomats, shared utility trenches or easements).	
Reason:	Clarify and define the extent of the practice. How does this part of this practice relate to 504.3(5)? Should low ground pressure equipment be added to 504.3(5)?	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (8) At least 75% of total length of the installed Utilities <u>on the lot</u> are installed using one or more alternative means (e.g., tunneling instead of trenching, use of smaller equipment, use of low ground pressure equipment, use of geomats, shared utility trenches or easements).	
Committee Reason:	At the lot level, percentages are not appropriate or needed.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P214	LogID 83	504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	(1) Limits of clearing and grading are staked out.		
Reason:	Redundant Combine with similar points in 503.3 (3)		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	504.3 (1) is not redundant because Section 504 deals with construction and Section 503 deals with design.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P215	LogID 84	504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		

Proposed Change:	(3) Sediment and erosion controls are installed and maintained in accordance with the storm water pollution prevention plan, where required.
Reason:	Redundant Combine with similar points in 503.4 (2)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	504.3 (3) is not redundant because Section 504 deals with construction and Section 503 deals with design.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P216	LogID 85	504.3 Soil Disturbance and Erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	-----------------	---	---

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(8) Utilities are installed using one or more alternative means (e.g., tunneling instead of trenching, use of smaller equipment, use of low ground pressure equipment, use of geomats, shared utility trenches or easements).
Reason:	Redundant Combine with similar points in 503.3 (2)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	504.3 (8) is not redundant because Section 504 deals with construction and Section 503 deals with design.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P217	LogID TG2-27	504.3 Soil disturbance and erosion	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	---------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2
Proposed Change:	504.3 Soil disturbance and erosion <u>implementation</u>. On-site soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following <u>in accordance with the SWPPP or applicable plan</u> : (3) (1) Sediment and erosion controls are installed and maintained in accordance with the stormwater pollution prevention plan, where required. <u>(9) Inspection reports of stormwater BMPs are available.</u>
Reason:	This language places emphasis on the implementation aspect of stormwater management, to avoid confusion with implementation in Section 404. Also, it is worth specifying the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan as the plan of record as

	this is what is commonly used to specify stormwater management design and implementation.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P218	LogID 495	505.1 Driveways and Parking	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Driveways or Off-street parking areas are shared <u>or driveways are shared for at least 50% of their length.</u> Waivers or variances from local development regulations are obtained to implement such practices, <u>as applicable if required.</u> In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements.
Reason:	Clarify and define the extent of the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Driveways or Off-street parking areas are shared <u>or driveways are shared for at least 50% of their length.</u> Waivers or variances from local development regulations are obtained to implement such practices, <u>as applicable if required.</u> In a multi-unit project, parking capacity is not to exceed the local minimum requirements.
Committee Reason:	50% does not provide design flexibility for various possible scenarios.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P219	LogID 318	505.2 Heat Island Mitigation	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Erin Ashley, NRMCA
Proposed Change:	Heat island mitigation. Any combination of the following strategies are provided for a minimum of 50 percent of the

horizontal surface area off the hardscape:	
(1) Shading of hardscaping: Shade is provided from existing or new vegetation (within five years) or from trellises. Shade of hardscaping is to be measured on the summer solstice at noon.	
(2) Light-colored hardscaping: Horizontal hardscaping materials are installed with a solar reflectance index of 29 or greater.	
(3) <u>Pervious Concrete: Horizontal hardscaping materials are installed with pervious concrete.</u>	
<u>(1) A minimum of 50% of the Horizontal Surface meets the strategies of 505.2</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>(2) 50% to 75% of the horizontal surface meets the strategies of 505.2</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>(3) 100% of the horizontal surface meets the strategies of 505.2</u>	<u>8</u>

Reason: For inclusion of pervious concrete: Pervious concrete should be included in the acceptable reflective materials sections under the heat island credit. The ASTM C1549 solar reflectance test and subsequent calculation of SRI in accordance with ASTM E1980 does not adequately capture the heat island effects of permeable pervious concrete due to their void structure. However, studies have shown that pervious concrete stores less energy, therefore less heat, when exposed to sun over an extended period of time. This heat is not reflected back to the environment resulting in lower external temperatures. Furthermore, moisture trapped within the voids allows the pavements to remain cooler via evaporation. For change in point values: The effect of increase in ambient temperatures in metropolitan areas is apparent when you compare the health of those who reside in the city versus those who reside in more rural areas. Compared to rural areas, cities experience higher rates of heat related illness and death. Heat islands, or areas of dark colored roofing and pavements where ambient temperature is increased, can exacerbate hot weather events or periods, which may cause heat stroke and lead to physical discomfort, heat stroke, organ damage and even death especially in vulnerable populations such as the elderly. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) says that excessive heat claims more lives in the United States each year than hurricanes, lightning, tornadoes, floods and earthquakes combined. Between 1979-1998, the CDC estimates that 7,421 deaths resulted from exposure to excessive heat in the U.S. By reducing the temperature of the pavements through the use of lighter color materials, one may be able to reduce the ambient temperature of our cities, therefore reducing the temperature exposure to its residents. The intent of this code is to provide the best sustainable measures to the general public. With the options for heat island mitigation provided in this credit, it is plausible to achieve the value of 75% or 100% without incurring significant costs; however, the savings in regards to energy, health and decrease in temperature will be measurable. Therefore, additional points should be awarded for these incremental achievements. References: Source: Haselback, L., Kevern, J.T., Hot Weather Comparative Heat Balances in Pervious Concrete and Impervious Concrete Pavement Systems. 2010 Haselback, L., and A. Gaither. Preliminary Field Testing: Urban Heat Island Impacts and Pervious Concrete. Proceedings NRMCA 2008 Concrete Technology Forum: Focus on Sustainable Development, Denver, CO, May 20-22, 2008 (CD-ROM). Kevern, J.T., Schaefer, V.R., and Wang, K. "Temperature Behavior of a Pervious Concrete System," National Transportation Research Board (TRB) Transportation Research Record 2009a edition. (accepted, publication info pending) www.eere.energy.gov/state_energy_program/project_brief_detail.cfm/pb_id=102 Accessed August 10, 2008 <http://www.climate-science.gov/Library/sap/sap4-5/sap4-5prospectus-final.htm> Accessed August 10, 2008.

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P221. Also, the proposed sliding scale does not agree with the charging language of the practice.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P220	LogID 497	505.2 Heat Island Mitigation	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Any combination of the following strategies are provided for a minimum of 50 percent of the horizontal surface area of the hardscape <u>on the lot</u> :
Reason:	Clarify practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P221	LogID 286	505.2 Heat Island Mitigation	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	<p>505.2 Heat island mitigation. Any combination of the following strategies are provided for a minimum of 50 percent of the horizontal surface area of the hardscape:</p> <p>(1) Shading of hardscaping; Shade is provided from existing or new vegetation (within five years) or from trellises. Shade of hardscaping is to be measured on the summer solstice at noon.</p> <p>(2) Light colored hardscaping: Horizontal hardscaping materials are installed with a solar reflectance index of 29 or greater.</p> <p>(3) <u>Green Roof Roof – A minimum of 50% of the roof is to be vegetated uses vegetated roof technology and shall be capable of withstanding the climate conditions of the jurisdiction and the micro climate conditions of the of the building site. Invasive plant species shall not be permitted and selected plants shall not add to the potential for fire hazard in the event of severe drought.</u></p> <p>(4) <u>Landscaping Coverage, excluding all impervious surfaces and, including lawns, softscape gardens, and tree canopies:</u> 40 – 50%</p>

	50 – 60%
	60 – 75%
	Above 75%
Reason:	Points are awarded to the developer for green roof technology respective of storm water management. However, points should also be awarded for utilizing green roof technology as well as landscaping in place of “hardscape” for heat island mitigation, as the installation of horizontal “softscape” is proven to reduce the urban heat island effect, not through reflectivity, but through lower heat absorption.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>505.2 Heat island mitigation. Any combination <u>One or more</u> of the following strategies are provided for a minimum of 50 percent of the horizontal surface area of the hardscape:</p> <p>(1) Shading of hardscaping; Shade is provided from existing or new vegetation (within five years) or from trellises. Shade of hardscaping is to be measured on the summer solstice at noon.</p> <p>(2) Light colored hardscaping: Horizontal hardscaping materials are installed with a solar reflectance index of 29 or greater.</p> <p>(3) <u>Permeable hardscaping materials are installed.</u></p>
Committee Reason:	Proposed new language for (4) suggests sliding scale, but this conflicts with the main requirement of 50%. Green roofs are covered in P222.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P222	LogID TG2-28	505.2 Heat island mitigation	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2		
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>(3) Roofs: Not less than 75 percent of the surface of the roof shall meet one or a combination of the following methods.</u></p> <p><u>(a) Minimum initial Solar Reflectance Index of 78 for a low-sloped roof (a slope less than or equal to 2:12) and a minimum initial Solar Reflectance Index of 29 for a steep-sloped roof (a slope of more than 2:12)</u></p> <p><u>(b) Vegetated roof capable of withstanding the climate conditions of the jurisdiction and the micro climate conditions of the building site. Invasive plant species shall not be permitted and selected plants shall not add to the potential for fire hazard in the event of severe drought.</u></p>		
Reason:	Green Roofs can also assist in reducing heat island mitigation		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i>		

Proposed Change:	(3) Roofs: Not less than 75 percent of the surface of the roof shall meet one or a combination of the following methods. (a) Minimum initial Solar Reflectance Index of 78 for a low-sloped roof (a slope less than or equal to 2:12) and a minimum initial Solar Reflectance Index of 29 for a steep-sloped roof (a slope of more than 2:12) (b) Vegetated roof capable of withstanding the climate conditions of the jurisdiction and the micro climate conditions of the building site. Invasive plant species shall not be permitted and selected plants shall not add to the potential for fire hazard in the event of severe drought. Roof is vegetated using technology capable of withstanding the climate conditions of the jurisdiction and the microclimate conditions of the building site. Invasive plant species are not permitted.
Committee Reason:	Updated to align with language in Chapter 4.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Frank Stanonik: The added text is already covered under storm wate rmanagement. Also, it conflicts with P175 which only requires 50% of the roof to be covered.</i>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P223		LogID TG2-29		505.3 (New)		Final Formal Action: Reject	
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2						
Proposed Change:	<u>The installation of high efficiency lighting located on the exterior of the home or within the lot.</u>						
Reason:	Language is consistent with Section 405 criteria.						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject						
Modification of Proposed Change:							
Committee Reason:	This is an energy efficiency item and is covered in Chapter 7.						
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0						
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:							

P224		LogID TG2-30		503.9 Density		Final Formal Action: Accept	
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2						
Proposed Change:	Relocate existing Section 503.9 to new Section 505.3.						
Reason:	This practice is more applicable in the Innovative Practices Section 505.						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept						
Modification of Proposed Change:							
Committee Reason:							

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P225 LogID TG2-31 503.7 Mixed-use development		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2	
Proposed Change:	Relocate existing Section 503.7 to new Section 505.4.	
Reason:	This practice is more applicable in Innovative Practices, and would be consistent with Mixed-Use language location in Chapter 4.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P226 LogID TG2-32 505.6 Local food production		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
	(New)	
Submitter:	Bruce Boncke, Task Group 2	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>A portion of the site is established as community gardens, accessible to all resident(s) of the lot, to provide for local food production to resident(s) or area consumers.</u>	
Reason:	Local food production is becoming a growing demand as interest in organic food grows. It also is a popular amenity and lessens demand for mass agricultural products that may be grown using less than optimal environmental practices and reduces food transportation impacts as well.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Local food production Community Garden(s) . A portion of the site lot is established as a community garden(s), accessible available to all resident(s) of the lot, to provide for local food production to resident(s) or area consumers.	
Committee Reason:	Proposed change is revised to align with P132.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41	

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P227	LogID 208	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB
Proposed Change:	<p><u>503.10 Flood hazard areas. The development of portions of lots located within flood hazard areas is avoided as follows:</u></p> <p>(1) <u>Portions of lots located within a flood hazard area are avoided.</u></p> <p>-</p> <p>(2) <u>Portions of lots located within areas subject to a 0.2% annual chance (500-year) flood are avoided.</u></p>
Reason:	An important component of sustainable building is mitigation of natural hazards. This change proposes a credit for locating buildings and associated site developments outside of flood hazard areas. Two levels of credits are proposed; one for avoiding the standard Zone A, Coastal A Zones and V Zone areas, defined as those areas subject to a 1% annual flood risk (or the so-called "100-year floodplain"). An additional credit is proposed for avoiding areas subject to a 0.2% annual flood risk, or the so-called "500-year floodplain". This recognizes that flood damage often occurs outside of the standard flood hazard areas mapped by FEMA.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	There are ways to build on a floodplain and still be green. Local jurisdictions determine whether or not construction can occur in a flood plain.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P228	LogID 149	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Randall K. Melvin, Winchester Homes, Inc.
Proposed Change:	<p><u>Green Space</u> <u>A portion of the gross area of the community/subdivision in which the lot resides has been set aside as green space.</u> <u>1 pt for each 10% of the community/subdivision set aside in green space</u></p>
Reason:	Encourages green space within community/subdivision
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Green space is already well addressed in the Standard. Also addressed by P131.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P229	LogID 288	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	<u>505.3 Lot Design for Climate Conditions and Energy Efficiency.</u> <u>(1) Tree Plantings – Plant Deciduous Trees to the east and west of a lot(s) to create shade</u> <u>(2) Plant evergreens to the north and west to block winter winds</u> <u>(3) Avoid plantings to the south.</u> <u>(4) Locate an alternative energy facility that would generate electricity for the home on the lot. An alternative energy facility may generate electricity using solar, wind or hydro technologies.</u> <u>(5) The installation of energy efficient lighting located on the exterior of the home or within the lot.</u>
Reason:	Consolidating all the criteria that relates to climate and energy into one section. Additionally, have added several criteria related to climate and energy efficiency that can be carried out on the lot or site by a builder or developer, and can also be done relatively easily and will have a credible green effect.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Energy efficieny is not addressed in this chapter.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P230	LogID 159	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
-------------------	--

Proposed Change:	<p><u>Water and Wastewater Infrastructure.</u> Portions of a building site dedicated in perpetuity to open space or similar conservation uses do not have to be located within water and wastewater service areas, providing the open space has no existing development.</p> <p>Water and wastewater infrastructure do not pass through such open space portions of a project to serve land beyond the project outside of the service area.</p> <p>In addition, the lot <i>[or site]</i> complies with one of the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Option 1 – Existing Water & Wastewater Service:</u> Locate the building on a site served by existing water and wastewater infrastructure; or - <u>Option 2 – Planned Water & Wastewater Service:</u> Locate the building within a legally adopted planned water and wastewater service area and provide new water and wastewater infrastructure for the project; or - <u>Option 3: In Situ Water and Wastewater Service:</u> Decentralized water or wastewater systems designed and operated so that they have no significant negative impact on ground water or surface water resources (water quality and quantity and habitat) and pose no significant risk to human health.
Reason:	Sections 501.2 and 405.6 consist of practices encouraging siting close to mass transit and other community resources. This is an important means to mitigate the detrimental transportation-related effects of urban sprawl. However, sprawl also has negative impacts from the expansion of water and wastewater infrastructure, which NAHB does not address. EPA recommends that NAHB add a practice to encourage builders to account for these impacts when siting projects and to specifically protect open space from infrastructure development.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	These concerns are addressed in other sections of the Standard. In addition, the wording recommended is unclear.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P231 LogID 168 Add New Section		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	<p><u>Pollutant discharges.</u> Projects that may generate pollutant loadings that cannot be attenuated by the processes of bio-infiltration or evapotranspiration shall provide additional water quality treatment measures and practices to significantly reduce the probability of pollutants of concern entering surface or groundwaters.</p> <p>Projects that are located on brownfields, greyfields or other contaminated sites with pollution levels that do not allow for infiltration should use a combination of practices that evapotranspire and harvest and reuse stormwater. Contaminated sites shall be developed such that there is no interference with, or damage to, any response action at the site. Do not use coal tar sealants in any application exposed to stormwater.</p>	
Reason:	The standard's existing practices, as well as the additional practices suggested above, focus specifically on stormwater flow (rates, volumes, etc.). However, NAHB's standard is silent with respect to protecting surface and groundwater quality by minimizing pollutant discharges. EPA would like to see the above requirements added to sections 403 and 503 to ensure the protection of surface and groundwater on building sites.	

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This proposed change would affect infill lots and would make it difficult to do any development on infill lots. NGBS assigns a high priority to infill lots.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P232	LogID 176	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	<p>Clean diesel. <u>Contract documents obligate contractors to:</u></p> <p><u>(1) Create staging areas for waiting to load or unload materials that are located 100 ft (30 m) or more from any outdoor air intakes, operable openings, and hospitals, schools, residences, hotels, daycare facilities, elderly housing, and convalescent facilities.</u></p> <p><u>(2) Enforce idle reduction policies that limit unnecessary idling to no more than 5 - 15 minutes or to a shorter time as required by local laws.</u></p> <p><u>(3) Document implementation of maintenance plan that follows engine manufacturer specifications.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Provide emissions control technologies to all equipment not meeting EPA Tier 4 standards in order to reduce particulate matter (PM) and/or nitrogen oxides (NOx) from diesel engines by a minimum of 20% for 50% of the fleet used at the site. All aftermarket emissions control technologies must be verified by EPA or California Air Resources Board (CARB).</u></p> <p><u>(5) Document that all equipment uses Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel Fuel that meets ASTM specifications with sulfur levels less than or equal to 15 ppm shall be utilized for non-road diesel engines and equipment.</u></p> <p><u>(6) Submit a summary report that includes a copy of the idling/maintenance plan and enforcement policy, and for each piece of equipment: the equipment number, type and make; engine make, horse power and/or kilowatt hour; the emission control device, make, and model; and the type and source of fuel used.</u></p>
Reason:	Diesel fuel combustion produces air emissions of NOx, PM, and hydrocarbons, with serious human health and environmental impacts. This is a widespread problem; air quality is significantly impaired for large segments of the U.S. due to PM and NOx pollution. EPA estimated that nonroad equipment was responsible for 24 percent of mobile source diesel NOx emissions and almost half of diesel PM2.5 in 2004. Despite the fact that construction equipment produces a substantial portion of diesel emissions, this issue is not addressed by this standard. The impact of construction activity on air quality can be significantly reduced through a series of relatively simple, low-cost steps. Thus, the standard could add an emissions reduction package with little financial or technical burden to project developers. We recommend the above set of practices, which could be implemented jointly or individually.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Hard to enforce, would cause more harm by not minimizing lot conservation by requiring staging areas; would make it difficult to build on infill lots. NGBS assigns a high priority to infill lots.

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P233 LogID 127 Entire Chapter 5		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM	
Proposed Change:	See reason to adjust Table 303 Points Also see suggested change to table 303 submitted	
Reason:	There is too much variation across the country, Availability of lots goes from small bare to large vegetated and the variance of points to be gained does not correlate to how green a project is. Rather than a different point requirement for each Level there should be a threshold level set and then allow all points above the threshold to go to "Additional Points from any category" which can go up as the levels go up.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	The Committee believes that the progressive point threshold is unique to the Standard and makes sense. The suggested change opens it up to lots of subjectivity. Further, the Committee acknowledges that each of the TGs are working on the individual point assignments. In addition, the Committee believes that regional issues can be addressed elsewhere (see P58). Committee disagreed with not having any threshold requirements for silver, gold, and emerald rating levels.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P234 LogID 182 Other (include section number and title below)		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	<u>Consider the design of the interconnection of a new structure (or complex/neighborhood of structures) with the existing municipal drinking water system such that dead-ends and low-flow situations are eliminated or minimized by the configuration of the water flow, location of isolation and flow control valves, and the sizing of the distribution mains.</u>	
Reason:	To protect water quality and reduce resources needed for water treatment, add this language as an innovative practice under 505.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of		

Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Design of municipal water systems is outside the scope of the Standard. Municipalities would normally not allow design of a system like this.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P235	LogID 910	Entire Chapter 5	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Greg Washington, Courtyard Construction, Inc., self
Proposed Change:	See Reason statement below.
Reason:	We certified a home that in most chapters achieved gold level or better...However, in Chapter 5, we were not able to collect enough points to go beyond bronze...Therefore, we only achieved a bronze level certification for the overall project... The issue was the fact we were building the home in an established subdivision...There was no slope, trees or water to protect, etc....Since the home was built on a site with little to no environmental issues at risk, we was unable to collect any points for it... It seems there may be a slight disconnect here...We obviously want homes to reach their highest potential of certification...However, the way the program is in its current state, potentially creates a possible disincentive for builders to reach for anything higher than bronze in all categories, if you are only certified to the lowest common denominator... We did the best we could for the price point we were trying to hit and are pleased with the product we put out... A lot of our homes are built in subdivisions and this issue will come up again...We would like to be able to reach a higher level of certification, but will likely be unable to with Chapter 5... Thanks for listening...
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	No specific change proposed. Not an actionable item. Also, addressed in other proposed changes.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P236	LogID TG1-9	801.3 Food waste disposers	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	--------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Matt Dobson, Task Group 1
Proposed Change:	801.3 Food waste disposers. A minimum of one food waste disposer is installed at the primary kitchen sink. <i>Add new section:</i> <u>610.2 Food waste disposers. A minimum of one food waste disposer is installed at the primary kitchen sink.</u>
Reason:	The credit is premised on three big ideas: 1) that the disposition of food scraps – typically 15% of household waste – is a

	<p>compelling environmental issue; 2) that modern wastewater treatment plants are well-equipped to convert food scraps into liquid resources – producing clean water, fertilizer products and renewable energy (biogas); and, 3) that food waste disposers – long accepted by U.S. municipalities – are now regarded as an essential environmental management tool that initiates the process of diverting food scraps from environmentally damaging landfills into beneficial resources.</p> <p>The original credit was supported by substantial independent research from around the world, which is available upon request. Since its adoption, disposers have achieved recognition by the USGBC, and new research (including a peer-reviewed life cycle assessment), policy statements, and actions by additional independent entities – including cities and wastewater utilities – collectively underscores the efficacy of the credit. I have attached a page of these highlights to underscore the overall concern about food scraps and the effective role played by food waste disposers in responding to that challenge.</p> <p>The efficacy of the original food waste disposer credit has not been challenged on its merits. Task Group felt that Section 801.3 was a valid item in the standard based on information provided on reduction of consumer waste associated with the technology. After a review of the standard it seemed that if it was not appropriate under the water efficiency section than section 610.2 seemed to be a good place for this item.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P237	LogID 353	601 Quantity of Construction Materials and Waste	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	<i>[Secretariat note: no change is submitted]</i>		
Reason:	The standard should provide guidance on how many times points can be obtained for the same material. For example, if points were awarded in one practice could the builder also receive points for 610 if the product was manufactured in a ISO 14000 facility?		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The Standard does not restrict the number of points for the same material.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s)			

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P238 LogID 136 601.1 Conditioned Floor Area <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
Submitter:	Peter Stone, Pacific SBS
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Exception: For homes with no mechanical system for heating or cooling as allowed by code, Conditioned Floor Area shall mean interior space used for everyday living that has finished walls to the same degree as the majority of interior living space.</u>
Reason:	For this to be a National Standard, it needs to take into account the minority as well. Hawaii homes are still often built with no mechanical cooling or heating systems because we don't need them. The IRC definition of conditioned floor area reads: "The horizontal projection of the floors associated with the conditioned space." Conditioned space is defined as: "For energy purposes, space within a building that is provided with heating and/or cooling equipment or systems capable of maintaining, through design or heat loss/gain, 50°F (10°C) during the heating season and 85°F (29°C) during the cooling season, or communicates directly with a conditioned space. For mechanical purposes, an area, room or space being heated or cooled by any equipment or appliance." These homes inherently meet the intent of the credit since they have no mechanical systems. But the mandatory condition in 601.1(5) would be hard to enforce with this definition.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P240.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P239 LogID 150 601.1 Conditioned Floor Area <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
Submitter:	Randall K. Melvin, Winchester Homes, Inc.
Proposed Change:	Delete section 601.1 in its entirety and replace with a exterior wall area to interior cubic volume air leakage adjustment factor in the energy chapter. .
Reason:	Trying to equate floor area per person as a variable in determining how green/efficient a home should be built is inappropriate for several the followin reasons: 1. House sizes and bedroom counts do not consistently correlate with the number of people living in them and the number of people living in them at any moment is always subject to change. 2.The average number of people living in a home of any given size, or bedroom count, may vary by demographics.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The building size is important to green building. This practice encourages smaller homes and use of fewer resources.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> For any given home or situation this items is based on social perception rather than scientific fact. All else being equal, on a sq./ft basis, smaller homes are less, not more, energy efficient than larger homes as they have less cubic feet of interior volume per exterior sq./ft. of surface area. As 6 people living in a 3000 sq./ft. home (1 person per 500 sq./ft.) is more efficient than 1 person living in a 1000 sq./ft. home, it is unscientific and inappropriate for this standard to reward the smaller home for being greener when we have no idea how many people are going to be living in any home at any given time.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P240 LogID 354 601.1 Conditioned Floor Area		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Conditioned floor area, as defined by ICC IRC (<u>including any passively conditioned space</u>) and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765, is limited. Dwelling unit size is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation.	
Reason:	There have been questions from Hawaii about living space that does not need any mechanically conditioning.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise the Standard as follows:</i> Conditioned Finished floor area of a dwelling unit, as defined by ICC IRC and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765, is limited. Dwelling unit size Finished floor are is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned finished floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation.	
Committee Reason:	Committee agreed with the issue, but offers an alternative language.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P241 LogID 87 601.1 Conditioned Floor Area		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM	
Proposed Change:	Conditioned floor area, as defined by ICC IRC and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765 is limited. Dwelling unit size is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation. (1) less than or equal to 1,000 sq ft 45 <u>12</u> (2) less than or equal to 1,500 sq ft 42 <u>9</u> (3) less than or equal to 2,000 sq ft 9 <u>6</u> (4) less than or equal to 2,500 sq ft 6 <u>0</u> (5) Greater than 4,000 <u>2,500</u> sq ft Mandatory (For every 100 sq ft over 4,000 <u>2,500</u> sq ft. one point is to be added in Table 303, Category 7 for each performance level)	
Reason:	The average floor area of a home is under 2,500 sq ft yet the ANSI awards points for oversized homes. This makes no sense for a Green Building Standard. The revised points and mandatory requirement are changed here to reflect more sustainable	

	and resource efficient construction.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Point allocation and house size trigger are adequate.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P242	LogID 187	601.2 Material Usage	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------	--

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<p>Building code compliant Structural systems are designed or advanced framing construction techniques are implemented that <u>reduce and</u> optimize material usage.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Points awarded for each system or framing technique implemented.)</p> <p><u>(a) Optimum value engineering is used for wood-frame construction</u></p> <p><u>(b) The minimum member, element or component size necessary for strength and stiffness in accordance with structural design standards is selected for each beam, girder, joist, header, column, and wall in the building.</u></p> <p><u>(c) Performance-based structural design is used to optimize lateral force-resisting systems.</u></p> <p><u>(d) Higher-grade or higher-strength materials than those necessary for strength and stiffness in accordance with structural design standards are used for the structural elements and components in the building.</u></p>	3	9 Points Max
Reason:	<p>Clarifies the credit for material usage. The main point of the credit is to promote the use of advanced framing techniques (optimum value engineering) for wood construction and to encourage “right-sizing” of structural elements. In other words, to encourage designers to select the minimum size needed for each beam, column, stud, etc. to support the required loads. So, for example, each header would be designed for the actual tributary load it supports, instead of using one header size based on the maximum span and load condition for every header. Two additional options are provided to expand use of the credit. Performance-based structural design (PBSD) is uses a combination of advanced modeling and engineering design techniques and laboratory testing to justify that the performance of an alternative structural system meets that of a system currently recognized by the code. Use of PBSD on larger projects is becoming popular in high-hazard areas to provide innovating lateral force-resisting systems that save on erection time, material use, and project cost. Secondly, a credit is provided for reducing material usage by going to a higher strength material than is required for the design, thus reducing member sizes. For example, using 50 ksi steel studs when 33 ksi studs would normally be used, or using 5000psi concrete when 3000psi concrete would normally be used.</p>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>Building code compliant Structural systems are designed or advanced framing construction techniques are implemented that <u>reduce and</u> optimize material usage.</p> <p>(Points awarded for each system or framing technique implemented.)</p> <p><u>(a) Minimum structural member or element sizes necessary for strength and stiffness in accordance with advanced framing techniques or structural design standards are selected.</u></p> <p><u>(b) Higher-grade or higher-strength of the same materials than commonly</u></p>	3	Max 9 points
		3	3

	<u>specified for structural elements and components in the building are used and element or component sizes are reduced accordingly.</u> <u>(c) Performance-based structural design is used to optimize lateral force-resisting systems.</u>	<u>3</u>
Committee Reason:	Modification is offered by the proponent.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P243		LogID 355	601.2 Material Usage	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	Building-code-compliant structural systems or advanced framing techniques are implemented that optimize material usage.			
Reason:	The standard should provide guidance to what extent of material savings is required to earn these points. Typically practices such as 2 stud corners and 24" OC framing are considered advanced framing but 24" OC save considerably more material than 2 stud corners. Also if another nonframing alternative (e.g. ICF) is suggested as meeting this practice how much of a savings in material is needed to qualify?			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P242.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P244		LogID 911	601.3 Building Dimensions and Layouts	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Dave Porter , PorterWorks, self			
Proposed Change:	add points for universal design features. i.e. hall way & door width, zero or low threshold shower stalls. home design incorporating aging in place features.			
Reason:	smart design allows for all users. Provides access. minimizes cost to remodel to later accommodate these features.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proposal is incomplete and lacks specificity. Addressed in P310.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P245	LogID 357	601.3 Building Dimensions and Layouts	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	<p>Building dimensions and layouts are designed to reduce material cuts and waste. This practice is used for a minimum of 8 the following areas:</p> <p>(1) floor area (<u>interior dimensions</u>)</p> <p>(2) wall area (<u>interior dimensions</u>)</p> <p>(3) roof area (<u>exterior dimensions</u>)</p> <p>(4) cladding or siding area (<u>exterior dimensions</u>)</p> <p>(5) Window/door and trim areas (<u>either interior or exterior dimensions</u>)</p>
Reason:	This practice need to be clarified. Very rarely can interior and exterior dimension both be such that full panels/sheets/ etc can be utilized without cutting. Providing guidance as to which dimension should control would be helpful.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposal imposes new unnecessary restrictions that are not intended by the section.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P246	LogID 88	601.3 Building Dimensions and Layouts	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	-----------------	--	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(3) roof area <u>3</u>

	(4) cladding or siding area 3 (5) Penetrations or trim area 1
Reason:	The Wall area saves the most materials with floor area being second. The remaining 3 categories are either redundant to the 1st two or hard to verify based on the finished materials used. It would be reasonable to add to the points in (1) & (2) in this section
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Roof area optimization is different from floor optimization due to varying roof pitches. Location of penetrations affects optimization.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P247	LogID 358	601.6 Stacked Stories	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Stories above grade are stacked, such as in 1½-story, 2-story, or greater structures. The area of the upper story is a minimum of 50 percent of the area of the story below, based on areas with a minimum ceiling height of 7 feet (2134 mm).
Reason:	The commentary implies that a ranch house with a walkout basement may comply. If this is intended it should be clarified in the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The current provisions of the NGBS are clear and provide sufficient information (see definition of story above grade). Commentary is outside of the scope of the review of the committee.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P248	LogID 225 (New)	601.x Low maintenance finish materials	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	----------------------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Matthew Dobson, Vinyl Siding Institute
-------------------	--

Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows</i></p> <p><u>Building materials or assemblies are utilized that do not require replacement or refinishing, other than cleaning, during the building service life.</u> <u>12 Points Max</u></p> <p>(1) <u>90 percent or more if the installed building material or assembly listed below: 5</u></p> <p><u>(points awarded for each material or assembly.)</u></p> <p>(2) <u>50 percent to less than 90 percent of the installed building material or assembly listed below:</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>2</u></p> <p><u>(points awarded for each material or assembly)</u></p> <p>(a) <u>pigmented, stamped, decorative, or final finish concrete or masonry</u></p> <p>(b) <u>trim not requiring replacement or refinishing</u></p> <p>(c) <u>window, skylight, and door assemblies not requiring paint or stain on the exterior and/or interior surfaces</u></p> <p>(d) <u>wall coverings or systems not requiring replacement or refinishing</u></p>
Reason:	<p>Reduced maintenance materials are typically prefinished products or products that don't require continuous maintenance throughout the building service life. This characteristic is considered a major aspect of sustainability by Life Cycle Analysis tools such as NIST BEES. This addition will also create consistency with other green codes currently in place including Section A5.406.1.2 of the 2010 draft California Green Building Standards Code and the ICC's National Green Building Standard. By adding this to section it will give users the ability to specify products that will not only minimize site environmental impact but also help to minimize the environmental impact for both use of materials and labor during the entire building service life. An Executive Summary of a scientific based reason submitted by Sustainable Solutions Corporation is below. To review completely the report please goto: http://www.vinylsiding.org/aboutsiding/why/sustainability/VSI_-_IGCC_Supporting_Information.pdf <i>(see Attachments file for a report on Life Cycle Installation and Maintenance Data.)</i></p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed change lacks clarity and definition.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P249	LogID 359	601.7 Site-applied finishing materials	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	<p>Building materials or assemblies listed below are utilized and that do not require additional site applied material for finishing <u>are incorporated in the building.</u></p> <p>(1) 90 percent or more of the installed building materials or assembly assemblies listed below:</p>		

	(Points awarded for each type (a-g) of material or assembly.)
	(2) 50 percent to less than 90 percent of the installed building material or assembly listed below:
	(Points awarded for each type (a-g) of material or assembly.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) pigmented, stamped, decorative, or final finish concrete or masonry (b) <u>Interior trim not requiring paint or stain</u> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) <u>exterior trim not requiring paint or stain</u> (ed) window, skylight, and door assemblies not requiring paint or stain on exterior and interior surfaces (de) <u>Interior wall coverings or systems not requiring paint or stain or other type of finishing application</u> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(f) <u>Exterior wall coverings or systems not requiring paint or stain or other type of finishing application</u> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(g) <u>pre-finished hardwood flooring</u>
Reason:	These changes are suggested to clarify how the practice should be interpreted. Also, it seems reasonable and consistent with the intent of the practice to add pre-finished hardwood to the list.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P250	LogID 91	601.7 Site-applied Finishing Materials	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	Building materials or assemblies are utilized that do not require additional site-applied material for finishing. (1) 90% 5pts <u>2pts</u> (2) 50% 2pts <u>1 pt</u>		
Reason:	Questionable point value on some of these items and what qualifies. (Isn't a roof finished after installation? Isn't it common for windows to qualify for these points in 99.9% of projects) This is not really a "value added" practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Points will be reviewed by Task Groups for the entire Standard after the practices have been updated.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Frank Stanonik: The comment presented valid reasons for lowering these point values.</i>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P251	LogID 188	601.8 Foundations	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<u>A foundation system that minimizes soil disturbance, excavation quantities and material usage, such as frost-protected shallow foundations, isolated pier and pad foundations, deep post-foundations, or helical piles and other similar foundation types, is selected, are</u> designed and constructed.		
Reason:	Clarifies the credit for foundation systems. The intent is to promote the use of foundation systems that require less soil disturbance and excavation or that require fewer materials, than traditional strip and spread footings. For example, through the use of rigid insulation a frost-protected shallow foundation is placed at a shallower bearing depth than normal strip footings, thus reducing the amount of disturbed areas (including layback) and the volume of excavated soils. Deep foundation systems (timber, concrete and steel piles) require minimal soil disturbance and, on a site with poor soil conditions, can be a more resource-efficient than wide strip and pad footings. Helical piles are another popular solution for lightly-loaded structures founded on poor soils.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> <u>A foundation system that minimizes soil disturbance, excavation quantities and material usage, such as frost-protected shallow foundations, isolated pier and pad foundations, deep post-foundations, post foundations, or helical piles and other similar foundation types, is selected, are</u> designed and constructed.		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P252	LogID 90	601.8 Foundations	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	Foundations, such as frost-protected shallow foundations, pier and pad foundations, <u>post-tension</u> foundations and other similar foundation types, are designed and constructed <u>as to reduce material over conventional monopour or footing, stem, slab foundations. (must be used on 50% or more of concrete slab area)</u>		
Reason:	Builder have claimed points here for only doing porches this way. Should only allow points if this is utilized for a substantial portion of the project		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> Foundations, such as frost-protected shallow foundations, pier and pad foundations, post foundations and other similar foundation types, are designed and constructed. <u>The foundation is used on 50 percent or more of the building footprint.</u>		
Committee Reason:	Also see P251.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P253	LogID 562	601.9 Above Grade Wall Systems	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Chris Schwind, Structural Insulated Panel Association
Proposed Change:	One or more of the following abovegrade wall systems that provide sufficient structural and thermal characteristics are used for a minimum of 75% of the gross exterior wall area of the building: (1) Adobe (2) Concrete and/or masonry (3) Logs (4) Rammed earth (5) <u>Structural insulated panels (SIPs)</u>
Reason:	Structural insulated panels (SIPs) are consistent with the intent of the credit by providing structure and insulation in a single component that conserves resources though simplified wall assembly and reduces the amount of labor/trades on the jobsite.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	SIPs do not meet the intent of this practice that covers solid, unitized materials that form a solid wall.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P254	LogID 189	601.9 Above Grade Wall Systems	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB
Proposed Change:	One or more of the following above grade wall systems that provide sufficient structural and thermal characteristics are used for a minimum of 75 percent of the gross exterior wall area of the building: (1) adobe (2) poured-in-place concrete or insulated concrete forms (ICF) and/or masonry

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (3) logs (4) rammed earth (5) load-bearing brick or concrete masonry units (CMU) (6) structural insulated panels (SIP)
Reason:	To clarify and expand the structural materials and products qualifying for the above-grade wall system credit. While ICFs and SIPs are not true “single-component systems” and do incorporate insulation, this insulation is supplied at the time of manufacture and under controlled plant conditions, as opposed to batt, rigid board, cellulose, spray-foam and other insulation that must be separately transported to and installed at the site. Thus, it makes sense for these products to be included under the above-grade wall systems. This is especially true of ICFs, which can be more economical and resource efficient than a flat, formed poured-in-place wall (since the foam is both insulation and form – a separate wood or metal form is not needed). It is noted that SIP construction would qualify for both this credit and 601.5 because it is a preassembled, panelized system.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed systems do not meet the intent of this practice that covers solid, unitized materials that form a solid wall.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P255		LogID 269	601.9 Above Grade Wall Systems	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Kenneth Bland, American Wood Council			
Proposed Change:	<u>(5) Structural Insulated Panels</u>			
Reason:	Structural insulated panels are an appropriate product for this list. The manufacturing and assembly of SIP structures is compatible with other assemblies recognized in this section.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	SIPs do not meet the intent of this practice that covers solid, unitized materials that form a solid wall.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P256		LogID 192	602.1 Exterior Doors	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	--	------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB
Proposed Change:	Provide a list of climate zones by state, county and territory similar to Table N1101.2 of the 2009 IRC or Table 301.1 of the 2009 IECC. Also, increase the size of Figure 6(1) to fill the entire page.
Reason:	Figure 6(1) is about a third to a half of the size of the equivalent figure in the IRC or IECC, making it very difficult for a user of ICC-700 to read. Therefore, we suggest not only expanding the figure, but adding a table of climate zones by state and county similar to the one in the IRC and IECC. Alternatively, delete Figure 6(1) and simply refer to the IECC or IRC, as the average user of ICC-700 does not need a separate map to apply Section 602.1.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Accept enlarging the map and including list of counties in an appendix.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P257	LogID 363	602.1 Exterior Doors	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Entries into the conditioned space from the outdoors at exterior door assemblies , inclusive of side lights, are covered by one of the following methods to protect the building from the effects of precipitation and solar radiation.		
Reason:	Thi change is suggested to clarify that entries into the garage (including the overhead door) are not included in this practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Proposed wording is more confusing than the current language.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P258	LogID 193	602.2 Roof Overhangs	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	Roof overhangs, based on inches of rainfall in Table 602.2, are provided over a minimum of 90 percent of 4		

exterior walls to protect the building envelope.

**Table 602.2
Minimum Roof Overhang for One- & Two-Story Buildings**

Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	Eave Overhang (Inches)	Rake Overhang (Inches)
Less than 20	12	12
> 20 and = 40 21 to 40	12	12
> 41 and = 70 41 to 70	18	12
> More than 70	24	12

(1) Average annual inches of rainfall are in accordance with Figure 6(2)

For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm

Also, replace Figure 6(2) with the attached map of annual mean total precipitation obtainable from the NOAA National Climatic Data Center (<http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov/climaps/prec0113.pdf>).

Reason: Figure 6(2) is too small and has too many categories to be readable by the average user of ICC-700. Even if the user goes to the source website (www.nationalatlas.gov), the source map does not contain county lines, so it is still very difficult, particularly in the Western states, to use the map to determine precipitation. Thus, we recommend replacing the existing map with the Annual Mean Total Precipitation map from the NOAA National Climatic Data Center (<http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov/climaps/prec0113.pdf>). This map is broken down into only nine zones, instead of seventeen, making it much easier to use. Also, symbols for greater than, less than, etc. are provided in Table 602.2, lest a user determine from an acceptable source that their average annual rainfall is, for example, 20.35 inches and becomes confused about which overhang length to pick.

Committee Action from Meeting: Accept as Modified

Modification of Proposed Change: *Revise Standard as follows:*

**Table 602.2
Minimum Roof Overhang for One- & Two-Story Buildings**

Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	Eave Overhang (Inches)	Rake Overhang (Inches)
Less than 20	12	12
21 to 40	12	12
>40+ to and =70	18	12
More than >70	24	12

(1) ~~Average~~ Annual mean total precipitation in inches of rainfall are in accordance with Figure 6(2)

For SI: ~~1 foot~~ 12 inches = 304.8 mm

Replace Figure 6(2) with the map of annual mean total precipitation from the NOAA National Climatic Data Center <http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov/climaps/prec0113.pdf>. (Also see Draft 1 for the map.)

Committee Reason:

Ballot Results on Committee Action: Eligible to vote: 41
Approve: 41
Disapprove: 0
Abstain: 0
Non-voting: 0

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve: *Randall Melvin:* Revised table still needs additional editing to reflect intent. First line (with appropriate use of symbols) should read less than or equal to 40" not equal to 40". Second line (with appropriate use of symbols) should read greater than 40" and less than or equal to 70" not equal to 70".

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P259	LogID 89	602.2 Roof Overhangs	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> 602.2 (2) Parapets on flat roof homes. <u>Enhanced water sealing is applied to the top of parapets on flat roof homes to seal against water leakage above and beyond standard practices.</u> (4 points)		
Reason:	on flat roof homes typical to the southwest the parapet is often the problem for water damage to the wall or exterior finish. An additional water sealant applied under the finish coat can stop or greatly reduce this problem just as an overhang can do for pitched room homes.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is related to flashing, not applicable to overhangs. The proposed change is incorporated as appropriate into P268 - see proposed section 602.12 (1) (d).		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P260	LogID 340	602.3 Foundation Drainage	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Building Enclosure Moisture Management Institute (BEMMI)		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new follows:</i>		
	<u>602.3 Exterior walls drainage. Where the exterior walls are constructed of materials that may be damaged by water or its freezing, the above-grade exterior walls of the building incorporate a drainage space in the wall assembly, minimum 3/16" (5 mm), designed to drain water from behind the exterior cladding.</u>		<u>5</u>
Reason:	Constructing exterior walls with a designed drainage space enhances the durability of the building. While various minimum "gap" values have been specified in published documents, 3/16" may be considered the minimum gap necessary for a water capillary break in the wall assembly. Five (5) points is suggested for this construction option in light of three (3) points offered for a roof drip edge, four (4) points offered for foundation drainage, and four (4) points offered for foundation waterproofing.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The durability provisions of the Standard are proposed to be revised per P268 and P270. The proposed change is incorporated as appropriate into P268.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P261	LogID 190	602.4 Drip Edge	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<u>Drip edge is installed at eaves and gable roof rake edges.</u>		3Mandatory
Reason:	The 2012 IRC introduces a requirement for drip edges when asphalt shingle roofing is provided. A similar requirement has been part of the IBC since 2000. One should not be able to get credits just for simply complying with the code minimum. This change also corrects terminology.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is incorporated as appropriate into P268.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P262	LogID 191	602.6 Finished Grade	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	Finish grade at all sides of a building is sloped to provide a minimum of 6 inches (150 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the edge of the building. Where lot lines, walls, slopes, or other physical barriers prohibit 6 inches (152 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm), the final grade is sloped away from the edge of the building at a minimum slope of 5 percent and the water is directed to drains or swales to ensure drainage away from the structure.		Mandatory
	<u>602.6.1 Finished grade at all sides of a building is sloped to provide a minimum of 6 inches (150 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the edge of the building. Where lot lines, walls, slopes, or other physical barriers prohibit 6 inches (152 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm), the final grade is sloped away from the edge of the building at a minimum slope of 2 percent.</u>		Mandatory

	<u>602.6.2 The final grade is sloped away from the edge of the building at a minimum slope of 5 percent.</u>
	<u>602.6.3 Water is directed to drains or swales to ensure drainage away from the structure.</u>
Reason:	The requirements for finished grade are revised to coordinate with the 2009 IRC. The 5% requirement for drainage on constrained sites was reduced to 2% and the requirement to direct water to drains or swales was deleted. This was done because the higher drainage requirements were deemed unnecessary for areas of low annual rainfall and because on some sites the combination of a 5% slope coupled with a sloped drain or swale (which the IRC provisions required) would create an excessively steep slope which would be difficult to maintain and possibly create unsafe conditions. The 5% slope and the drain/swale requirement are proposed here as additional credits which can be selected in areas of high rainfall or questionable drainage where the practices make sense.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows:</i> Assign 1 point to 602.6.2 and 1 point to 602.6.3.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P263 LogID 194 602.7 Termite Barrier		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	Increase the size of Figure 6(3) to fill the entire page.	
Reason:	Figure 6(3) is about a third to a half of the size of the equivalent figure in the IRC and IBC, making it very difficult for a user of ICC-700 to read. Therefore, we suggest expanding the figure. Alternatively, delete Figure 6(3) and simply refer to the IRC or IBC. The jurisdiction is already required by the IRC to provide the climatic and geographic design criteria specified in Table R301.2(1) of the IRC, including termite damage potential. Thus a user of ICC-700 does not need a separate map to apply Section 602.7.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

for Abstain:	
--------------	--

P264 LogID 365 602.7 Termite Barrier <i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Continuous physical foundation termite barrier used with or without low toxicity treatment <u>or with no chemical treatment</u> is installed in geographical areas that have subterranean termite infestation potential determined in accordance with Figure 6(3).
Reason:	This suggested change is intended to clarify the fact that points are not awarded if this is used in conjunction with a high toxicity treatment. Figure 6(3) covers most of the US. Should this practice be limited to only certain termite zones. Is it appropriate to use a termite barrier in the heavy termite zone without some type of chemical treatment?
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P265 LogID 366 602.10 Ice Barrier <i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	In areas where there has been a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a backup of water, an ice barrier is installed in accordance with the ICC IRC or IBC at roof eaves <u>of pitched roofs</u> and extends at a minimum of 24 inches (610 mm) inside the exterior wall line of the building.
Reason:	Clarify the practice. It would also be helpful if "history of ice forming" could be qualified with a climate zone map or other criteria. In areas where this is a potential problem the common building practices may have evolved to the points where there is no longer a history of the problem.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Chris Allison:</i> <u>Refer to IRC Figure R301.2(1) for the areas required to have ice barriers by this standard</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P266	LogID 195	602.12 Flashing	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	Flashing details are shown on plans and flashing is installed at all of the following locations, as applicable: (1) around exterior fenestrations, skylights and doors (2) <u>at roof valleys</u> (3) <u>at deck, balcony, porch or stair to building intersections</u> (4) <u>at roof-to-wall intersections, and at roof-to-chimney intersections, and at wall-to-chimney intersections.</u> (5) <u>under and at ends of masonry, wood, or metal copings and sills</u> (6) <u>above projecting wood trim</u> (7) <u>at built-in roof gutters</u> (8) a drip cap is provided above windows and doors that are not flashed or protected by covering in accordance with Section 602.1 (5)	6	
	<i><u>Addition Note: Section 602.12 applies to the new construction portion of additions.</u></i>	0 Additional Points	
	<i><u>Renovation Note: Section 602.12 applies to renovations that involve removal and replacement of roof or wall cladding, addition or removal and replacement of windows, doors or skylights, and demolition/reconfiguration of exterior walls.</u></i>	0 Additional Points	
Reason:	Adds or revises the detailed list of flashing locations to better match IRC Section 703.8 and IBC Section 1507.8. Provides an Addition Note and a Renovation Note so these activities can qualify when an addition is constructed or a renovation is done.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is incorporated as appropriate into P268.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P267	LogID 368	602.12 Flashing	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Flashing details are shown on <u>the</u> plans and flashing is installed at all of the following locations, as applicable:		
	(1) around exterior fenestrations, skylights and doors (2) roof valleys (3) deck/balcony to building intersections (4) at roof-to-wall intersection and at roof-to-chimney intersections (5) a drip cap is provided above windows and doors that are not flashed or protected by covering in accordance with Section 602.1		
Reason:	(5) appears to says that some times it is appropriate to not flash windows or doors if there is a drip cap. The committee may want to re-consider this.		
Committee Action	Reject		

from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is incorporated as appropriate into P268.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P268	LogID TG3-16	602.12 Flashing	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Easley, Task Group 3				
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new section as follows:</i> <u>Architectural features that increase the potential for the water intrusion are avoided:</u> <u>1. No roof configurations that create horizontal valleys in roof design. 2pts</u> <u>2. No recessed windows and architectural features that trap water on horizontal surfaces. 2pts</u> <u>3. All horizontal ledgers are sloped away to provide gravity drainage as appropriate for the application. Mandatory</u></p> <p><i>Delete current Section 602.12 and replace with the following:</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td> <p>602.12 Flashing. Flashing is provided to minimize water entry into wall and roof assemblies and to direct water to exterior surfaces or exterior water-resistive barriers for drainage. Flashing details are provided in the construction documents and are in accordance with the fenestration manufacturer's instructions, the flashing manufacturer's instructions, or as detailed by a registered design professional.</p> <p><u>(1) Flashing are installed at all of the following locations, as applicable:</u> <u>(a) around exterior fenestrations, skylights and doors</u> <u>(b) at roof valleys</u> <u>(c) at deck, balcony, porch or stair to building intersections</u> <u>(d) at roof-to-wall intersections, at roof-to-chimney intersections, at wall-to-chimney intersections, and at parapets.</u> <u>(e) at ends of and under masonry, wood, or metal copings and sills</u> <u>(f) above projecting wood trim</u> <u>(g) at built-in roof gutters</u> <u>(h) 602.4 Drip edge. drip edge is installed at eaves and gable roof rake edges.</u></p> <p><u>(2) All window head and jamb flashing are self-adhered flashing complying with AAMA 711-07.</u></p> <p><u>(3) Pan flashing is installed at sills of all exterior windows and doors</u></p> <p><u>(4) Seamless, preformed kickout flashing, or prefabricated metal with soldered seams is provided at all roof-to-wall intersections. The type and thickness of the material used for roof flashing including but not limited kickout and step flashing is commensurate with the anticipated service life of the roofing material.</u></p> <p><u>(5) A rainscreen wall design is used for exterior wall assemblies</u> <u>(a) a system designed with minimum 1/4" inch air space exterior to the water-resistive barrier, vented to the exterior at top and bottom of the wall and integrated with flashing details.</u> <u>OR</u> <u>(b) either a cladding material or a water-resistive barrier with enhanced drainage, meeting 75% drainage efficiency requirement of ASTM E2273.</u></p> <p><u>(6) A drip cap is provided above windows and doors that are not flashed or protected by covering in accordance with Section 602.1.</u></p> <p><u>(7) Through wall flashing is installed at transitions between wall cladding materials, or wall construction types.</u></p> <p><u>(8) Flashing is installed at expansion joints in stucco walls.</u></p> </td> <td> <p><u>Mandatory</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2 maximum</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>1</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> </td> </tr> </table>			<p>602.12 Flashing. Flashing is provided to minimize water entry into wall and roof assemblies and to direct water to exterior surfaces or exterior water-resistive barriers for drainage. Flashing details are provided in the construction documents and are in accordance with the fenestration manufacturer's instructions, the flashing manufacturer's instructions, or as detailed by a registered design professional.</p> <p><u>(1) Flashing are installed at all of the following locations, as applicable:</u> <u>(a) around exterior fenestrations, skylights and doors</u> <u>(b) at roof valleys</u> <u>(c) at deck, balcony, porch or stair to building intersections</u> <u>(d) at roof-to-wall intersections, at roof-to-chimney intersections, at wall-to-chimney intersections, and at parapets.</u> <u>(e) at ends of and under masonry, wood, or metal copings and sills</u> <u>(f) above projecting wood trim</u> <u>(g) at built-in roof gutters</u> <u>(h) 602.4 Drip edge. drip edge is installed at eaves and gable roof rake edges.</u></p> <p><u>(2) All window head and jamb flashing are self-adhered flashing complying with AAMA 711-07.</u></p> <p><u>(3) Pan flashing is installed at sills of all exterior windows and doors</u></p> <p><u>(4) Seamless, preformed kickout flashing, or prefabricated metal with soldered seams is provided at all roof-to-wall intersections. The type and thickness of the material used for roof flashing including but not limited kickout and step flashing is commensurate with the anticipated service life of the roofing material.</u></p> <p><u>(5) A rainscreen wall design is used for exterior wall assemblies</u> <u>(a) a system designed with minimum 1/4" inch air space exterior to the water-resistive barrier, vented to the exterior at top and bottom of the wall and integrated with flashing details.</u> <u>OR</u> <u>(b) either a cladding material or a water-resistive barrier with enhanced drainage, meeting 75% drainage efficiency requirement of ASTM E2273.</u></p> <p><u>(6) A drip cap is provided above windows and doors that are not flashed or protected by covering in accordance with Section 602.1.</u></p> <p><u>(7) Through wall flashing is installed at transitions between wall cladding materials, or wall construction types.</u></p> <p><u>(8) Flashing is installed at expansion joints in stucco walls.</u></p>	<p><u>Mandatory</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2 maximum</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>1</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p>
<p>602.12 Flashing. Flashing is provided to minimize water entry into wall and roof assemblies and to direct water to exterior surfaces or exterior water-resistive barriers for drainage. Flashing details are provided in the construction documents and are in accordance with the fenestration manufacturer's instructions, the flashing manufacturer's instructions, or as detailed by a registered design professional.</p> <p><u>(1) Flashing are installed at all of the following locations, as applicable:</u> <u>(a) around exterior fenestrations, skylights and doors</u> <u>(b) at roof valleys</u> <u>(c) at deck, balcony, porch or stair to building intersections</u> <u>(d) at roof-to-wall intersections, at roof-to-chimney intersections, at wall-to-chimney intersections, and at parapets.</u> <u>(e) at ends of and under masonry, wood, or metal copings and sills</u> <u>(f) above projecting wood trim</u> <u>(g) at built-in roof gutters</u> <u>(h) 602.4 Drip edge. drip edge is installed at eaves and gable roof rake edges.</u></p> <p><u>(2) All window head and jamb flashing are self-adhered flashing complying with AAMA 711-07.</u></p> <p><u>(3) Pan flashing is installed at sills of all exterior windows and doors</u></p> <p><u>(4) Seamless, preformed kickout flashing, or prefabricated metal with soldered seams is provided at all roof-to-wall intersections. The type and thickness of the material used for roof flashing including but not limited kickout and step flashing is commensurate with the anticipated service life of the roofing material.</u></p> <p><u>(5) A rainscreen wall design is used for exterior wall assemblies</u> <u>(a) a system designed with minimum 1/4" inch air space exterior to the water-resistive barrier, vented to the exterior at top and bottom of the wall and integrated with flashing details.</u> <u>OR</u> <u>(b) either a cladding material or a water-resistive barrier with enhanced drainage, meeting 75% drainage efficiency requirement of ASTM E2273.</u></p> <p><u>(6) A drip cap is provided above windows and doors that are not flashed or protected by covering in accordance with Section 602.1.</u></p> <p><u>(7) Through wall flashing is installed at transitions between wall cladding materials, or wall construction types.</u></p> <p><u>(8) Flashing is installed at expansion joints in stucco walls.</u></p>	<p><u>Mandatory</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2 maximum</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>1</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p>				

	<p><u>Addition Note: Section 602.12 applies to the new construction portion of additions.</u></p> <p><u>Renovation Note: Section 602.12 applies to renovations that involve removal and replacement of roof or wall cladding, addition or removal and replacement of windows, doors or skylights, and demolition/reconfiguration of exterior walls.</u></p>	<p><u>0 Additional Points</u></p> <p><u>0 Additional Points</u></p>
Reason:	<p>The purpose of this change is to revise and improve the flashing provisions in the NGBS. This change has four primary components.</p> <p>First, the existing list of flashing locations in NGBS Section 602.12 is revised to match the locations where flashing is currently required by IRC Section 703.8 and IBC Section 1507.8. Since the updated NGBS will be using the 2009 I-Codes as a baseline, the requirement to provide flashing in these specified locations becomes mandatory.</p> <p>Second, the charging language is expanded to provide more details on how flashing is to be installed, the sources where the builder should be obtaining flashing details from, and where the details should be provided for the verifier to approve. This language is in part adapted from the existing 2009 IRC language for both wall and roof flashing, and from language approved for the 2012 IRC.</p> <p>Third, five above-code practices are identified as qualifying for points. Two of these (self-adhered flashing and drip caps) are existing practices in the NGBS. The reference to AAMA 711 for self-adhered flashing is added to match the IRC. Three additional practices are added: premolded or premanufactured kickout diverters at roof-to-wall intersections, through-wall flashing at cladding transitions, and rainscreen wall construction. It is noted that the 2012 IRC will contain a requirement for kickout flashing at roof-to-wall intersections. However, the IRC will permit kickouts to be field-fabricated or field-bent from standard roof flashing materials. The NGBS provision, if approved, will require prefabricated or premolded kickout diverters. Rainscreen walls are recommended when absorptive wall claddings are used on a building. Details for such walls can be found in the NAHB Research Center's December 2008 report "Improving Drainage and Drying Features in Certain Conditions: Rain Screen Designs for Absorptive Claddings". Through-wall flashing is currently required in the IRC for wood panel and horizontal lap siding, but its use is expanded here to all cladding transitions.</p> <p>Finally, an Addition Note and a Renovation Note are provided so these activities can qualify for points when an addition is constructed or a renovation is done.</p> <p>Note: Drip edges moved from 602.4</p>	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<p>Bruce Boncke: Points TBD</p> <p>Randall Melvin: Replace "or as detailed by a registered design professional" with "or as detailed by a design professional." It is not necessary to be a registered in order to develop and draw quality flashing details. In fact, registered design professionals often omitted these important details.</p> <p>Matthew Dobson: I agree with section 602.12(5) however this section also take into account and refer to the current requirements for rainscreening in the IBC and IRC under vented cladding provisions. The prescriptive 1/4" factor may not be the only determining factor.</p>	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Occupant recycling is facilitated by one or more of the following methods:
Reason:	Section 602 is focused on durability and maintenance. Having a recycling practice 602 seems out of place. It would be more appropriate in 604.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Make 602.14 a new standalone section 607 and renumber the remaining sections.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P270	LogID TG3-8	602 Enhanced Durability and Reduced Maintenance	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept
-------------	--------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Theresa Weston, DuPont Building Innovations																																																																																										
Proposed Change:	<i>Reorganize sections as follows:</i>																																																																																										
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">New Section #</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Title</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Current Section #</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602</td> <td>Enhanced Durability and Reduced Maintenance</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602</td> <td>Enhanced Durability and Reduced Maintenance</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.00</td> <td>Intent</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.00</td> <td>Intent</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01</td> <td>Moisture Management - Building Envelope</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.01</td> <td>Capillary Breaks</td> <td style="text-align: center;">903.02</td> <td>Capillary Breaks</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.02</td> <td>Foundation Waterproofing</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.11</td> <td>Foundation Waterproofing</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.03</td> <td>Foundation Drainage</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.03</td> <td>Foundation Drainage</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.04</td> <td>Crawlspaces</td> <td style="text-align: center;">903.03</td> <td>Crawlspaces</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.05</td> <td>Termite Barrier</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.07</td> <td>Termite Barrier</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.06</td> <td>Termite-resistant materials</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.08</td> <td>Termite-resistant materials</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.07</td> <td>Moisture Control Measures</td> <td style="text-align: center;">903.04</td> <td>Moisture Control Measures</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.08</td> <td>Water-Resistive Barrier</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.09</td> <td>Water-Resistive Barrier</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.09</td> <td>Flashing</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.12</td> <td>Flashing</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.10</td> <td>Exterior Doors</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01</td> <td>Exterior Doors</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.11</td> <td>Tile Backing Materials</td> <td style="text-align: center;">903.01</td> <td>Tile Backing Materials</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.12</td> <td>Roof Overhangs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.02</td> <td>Roof Overhangs</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.13</td> <td>Drip Edge</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.04</td> <td>Drip Edge</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.01.14</td> <td>Ice Barrier</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.10</td> <td>Ice Barrier</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.02</td> <td>Roof Surfaces</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.13</td> <td>Roof Surfaces</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.03</td> <td>Roof Water Discharge</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.05</td> <td>Roof Water Discharge</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.04</td> <td>Finished Grade</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.06</td> <td>Finished Grade</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">602.05</td> <td>Recycling</td> <td style="text-align: center;">602.14</td> <td>Recycling</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	New Section #	Title	Current Section #	Title	602	Enhanced Durability and Reduced Maintenance	602	Enhanced Durability and Reduced Maintenance	602.00	Intent	602.00	Intent	602.01	Moisture Management - Building Envelope			602.01.01	Capillary Breaks	903.02	Capillary Breaks	602.01.02	Foundation Waterproofing	602.11	Foundation Waterproofing	602.01.03	Foundation Drainage	602.03	Foundation Drainage	602.01.04	Crawlspaces	903.03	Crawlspaces	602.01.05	Termite Barrier	602.07	Termite Barrier	602.01.06	Termite-resistant materials	602.08	Termite-resistant materials	602.01.07	Moisture Control Measures	903.04	Moisture Control Measures	602.01.08	Water-Resistive Barrier	602.09	Water-Resistive Barrier	602.01.09	Flashing	602.12	Flashing	602.01.10	Exterior Doors	602.01	Exterior Doors	602.01.11	Tile Backing Materials	903.01	Tile Backing Materials	602.01.12	Roof Overhangs	602.02	Roof Overhangs	602.01.13	Drip Edge	602.04	Drip Edge	602.01.14	Ice Barrier	602.10	Ice Barrier	602.02	Roof Surfaces	602.13	Roof Surfaces	602.03	Roof Water Discharge	602.05	Roof Water Discharge	602.04	Finished Grade	602.06	Finished Grade	602.05	Recycling	602.14	Recycling		
New Section #	Title	Current Section #	Title																																																																																								
602	Enhanced Durability and Reduced Maintenance	602	Enhanced Durability and Reduced Maintenance																																																																																								
602.00	Intent	602.00	Intent																																																																																								
602.01	Moisture Management - Building Envelope																																																																																										
602.01.01	Capillary Breaks	903.02	Capillary Breaks																																																																																								
602.01.02	Foundation Waterproofing	602.11	Foundation Waterproofing																																																																																								
602.01.03	Foundation Drainage	602.03	Foundation Drainage																																																																																								
602.01.04	Crawlspaces	903.03	Crawlspaces																																																																																								
602.01.05	Termite Barrier	602.07	Termite Barrier																																																																																								
602.01.06	Termite-resistant materials	602.08	Termite-resistant materials																																																																																								
602.01.07	Moisture Control Measures	903.04	Moisture Control Measures																																																																																								
602.01.08	Water-Resistive Barrier	602.09	Water-Resistive Barrier																																																																																								
602.01.09	Flashing	602.12	Flashing																																																																																								
602.01.10	Exterior Doors	602.01	Exterior Doors																																																																																								
602.01.11	Tile Backing Materials	903.01	Tile Backing Materials																																																																																								
602.01.12	Roof Overhangs	602.02	Roof Overhangs																																																																																								
602.01.13	Drip Edge	602.04	Drip Edge																																																																																								
602.01.14	Ice Barrier	602.10	Ice Barrier																																																																																								
602.02	Roof Surfaces	602.13	Roof Surfaces																																																																																								
602.03	Roof Water Discharge	602.05	Roof Water Discharge																																																																																								
602.04	Finished Grade	602.06	Finished Grade																																																																																								
602.05	Recycling	602.14	Recycling																																																																																								

	903	Moisture Management: Systems & Operation	903	Moisture Management: Vapor, Rainwater, Plumbing, HVAC
	903.00	Intent	903.00	Intent
	903.01	Plumbing	903.05	Plumbing
	903.02	Duct Insulation	903.06	Duct Insulation
	903.03	Relative Humidity	903.07	Relative Humidity
Reason:	This reorganization is provided to improve clarity. It creates a single area in the standard for building envelope water management provisions. Additionally, water management provisions are organized from the base of the building to the top of the building – in the order in which they are usually constructed.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P271	LogID 196	603.1 Reuse of Existing Building	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	Existing buildings and structures are reused, modified, or deconstructed in lieu of demolition.		1
	(Points awarded for every 200 square feet (18.5 m²) of floor area.)		12 Points Max
	<i>Renovation Note: Section 603.1 applies to renovations of existing buildings and structures.</i>		<i>0 Additional Points</i>
Reason:	Obviously, any renovation or remodeling of an existing building or structure, or portion thereof, would qualify for points under Section 603.1. The structure of ICC-700, however, appears to require a specific note to indicate that the credit applies for renovation projects. Thus, we propose adding the applicable Renovation Note.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	These renovation and addition notes have been removed as part of P569.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s)			

for Abstain:	
--------------	--

P272 LogID 370 603.1 Reuse of Existing Building		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Existing Major elements of existing buildings and structures are reused, modified, or deconstructed <u>for later use</u> in lieu of demolition.	
Reason:	Clarify the practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Existing Major elements or components of existing buildings and structures are reused, modified, or deconstructed <u>for later use</u> in lieu of demolition.	
Committee Reason:	"Components" are added as that is the term defined in the Standard.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P273 LogID 371 603.2 Salvaged Materials		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Reclaimed and/or salvaged materials and components are used. The total material <u>value</u> and labor cost of salvaged materials is equal to or exceeds 1 percent of the total construction costs.	
Reason:	Clarify the practice. When using reclaimed or salvaged materials the actual cost may be significantly differnt from the value of the materials that they are replacing. This change is intended to clarify the practice. It would also be helpful to understand how to determine total construction costs. Does this just relate to the building or does it also included materials and labor for lot work and landscaping?	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P274	LogID 92	603.2 Salvaged Materials	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	Reclaimed and or salvaged materials and components are used. The total material and labor cost of salvaged materials is equal to or exceeds 1% of the total construction cost. 3 <u>18 points Max with 3 points per 1% cost of salvaged materials.</u>		
Reason:	There is much to be earned from using existing housing where the structure may be salvaged for much of the materials. 3 points is small reward for this valuable practice. This would also be a good incentive in the remodeled projects.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard by adding new language and revising points as follows:</i> <u>Points awarded per 1% of salvaged materials used based on the total construction cost. 3 1 point - 9 points max</u>		
Committee Reason:	It is excessive to award 18 point for re-use of 6% of building relative to other practices in the chapter.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	Bruce Boncke: Points TBD		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P275	LogID 373	603.3 Scrap Materials	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Facilitation for sorting and reuse of scrap building material (e.g., provide a central storage area or dedicated bins) <u>are provided on site and used during construction.</u>		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Off-site sorting is acceptable.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P276	LogID 303	604.1 Recycled-Content	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Nicole L. Villamizar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	604.1 Recycled content. Building materials with recycled content are used for two minor and/or two major components of		

	the building. <u>Examples of minor components include carpet, carpet pad, cabinetry and trim. Examples of major components include exterior sheathing, roofing materials, gypsum wallboard, or insulation.</u>
Reason:	NAHB does not define what is a "major" component and what is a "minor" component of a building. The proposed additions are an attempt to suggest what materials may qualify under each; however, NAHB should define these terms and provide different examples deemed appropriate by NAHB to clarify the intent of this section. Also, NAHB should clarify how it calculates total recycled content for each item as well as how the total percentages (25% vs. 50%) are achieved.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Definitons are added in Chapter 2 (see P10).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P277 LogID 312 604.1 Recycled Content <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
Submitter:	Nicole L. Villamizar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	Building materials with recycled content are used for two minor and/or two major components of the building. Selection of construction materials and products shall reflect a preference for materials and products containing recycled materials or made from recycled materials such that the recycled content shall constitute a minimum of 10%, based on cost or replacement value, of the total materials in the building project. <u>(1) The reuse of lumber, masonry units, such as brick, tile, stone and concrete block, conforming to the requirements specified in the International Building Code shall be recognized as recycled/recovered content.</u>
Reason:	Recycled content should be considered for more than two to four components of a building. Rather, it should be calculated based on the total materials used in the project.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The committee disagrees with lowering the bar for the minimum recycled content for an individual material from 25% to 10%.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P278		LogID 374	604.1 Recycled Content	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	Building materials with recycled content are used for two minor and/or two major components of the building.			
Reason:	The task group is encouraged to consider revising this practice to eliminate the pairing of materials. It would be more straight forward to adjust the table of points for a specific number of materials. Also it would be helpful to clarify if this practice is intended to apply strictly to materials such as insulation or does it also apply to products such as windows.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Addressed by adding definitions in Chapter 2 (see P10).			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P279		LogID TG3-4	604.1 Recycled Content	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert De Vries, Nu Wool Company			
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>Building materials with <u>post-consumer</u> recycled content are used for two minor and / or two major components of the building.</p> <p>Alternately pre-consumer (post-industrial) recycled content materials shall be allowed however the percent shall be halved for the purpose of determining points from table 604.1.</p>			
Reason:	<p>The NAHB GBS commentary references the FTC Part 260 but does not clearly define recycled content.</p> <p>Example one prevents a current misuse of the term “recycled” content.</p> <p>e) Recycled content: A recycled content claim may be made only for materials that have been recovered or otherwise diverted from the solid waste stream, either during the manufacturing process (pre-consumer), or after consumer use (post-consumer). To the extent the source of recycled content includes pre-consumer material, the manufacturer or advertiser must have substantiation for concluding that the pre-consumer material would otherwise have entered the solid waste stream. In asserting a recycled content claim, distinctions may be made between pre-consumer and post-consumer materials. Where such distinctions are asserted, any express or implied claim about the specific pre-consumer or post-consumer content of a product or package must be substantiated.</p> <p>It is deceptive to misrepresent, directly or by implication, that a product or package is made of recycled material, which includes recycled raw material, as well as used, ⁽⁵⁾ reconditioned and remanufactured components. Unqualified claims of recycled content may be made if the entire product or package, excluding minor, incidental components, is made from recycled material. For products or packages that are only partially made of recycled material, a recycled claim should be adequately qualified to avoid consumer deception about the amount, by weight, of recycled content in the finished product or package. Additionally, for products that contain used, reconditioned or remanufactured components, a recycled claim should be adequately qualified to avoid consumer deception about the nature of such components. No such qualification would be necessary in cases where it would be clear to consumers from the context that a product's recycled content consists of used, reconditioned or remanufactured components.</p> <p>Example 1: A manufacturer routinely collects spilled raw material and scraps left over from the original manufacturing process.</p>			

	After a minimal amount of reprocessing, the manufacturer combines the spills and scraps with virgin material for use in further production of the same product. A claim that the product contains recycled material is deceptive since the spills and scraps to which the claim refers are normally reused by industry within the original manufacturing process, and would not normally have entered the waste stream.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Pre-consumer recycling deserves the same number of points.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P280	LogID 375	605.0 Intent (Recycled Construction Waste)	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Waste generated during construction is recycled. All waste classified as hazardous shall be properly handled and disposed. <u>605.05 All waste classified as hazardous shall be properly handled and disposed. Mandatory (Points for 605 practices not awarded for hazardous waste removal.)</u>		
Reason:	It seems like an oversight not to require the proper disposal of hazardous waste.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Already adequately covered in the standard. Change not necessary.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P281	LogID 298	605.1 Construction Waste Management Plan	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Nicole L. Villamizar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	A construction waste management plan is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented with a goal of recycling or salvaging a minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste. For the purpose of this section,		

	<u>construction waste shall not include land clearing debris, excavated soils and fill and base materials such as, but not limited to, topsoil, sand and gravel. Land-clearing debris shall include trees, stumps, rocks, and vegetation.</u>
Reason:	Excluding land-clearing debris from the construction waste diversion requirement will ensure that valuable materials such as concrete, wood, and drywall are diverted. As an alternative, NAHB could revise the practices to apply the 50 percent threshold to construction and land-clearing waste individually as well as in combination.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Land-clearing waste should be included and its re-use should not be discouraged.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P282	LogID 299	605.1 Construction Waste Management	Final Formal Action:
Plan			Reject

Submitter:	Nicole L. Villamizar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	A construction waste management plan is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented with a goal of recycling or salvaging a minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste. <u>The Construction Waste Management Plan shall comply with all of the following:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>The on-site location where the collection, separation and storage of recyclable construction waste materials shall be indicated.</u> 2. <u>Materials to be diverted from disposal by efficient usage, recycling, reuse manufacturer’s reclamation, or salvage for future use or sale shall be specified. Identify the recycling facilities, reuse facilities, landfills and other reclamation and disposal entities to be used. Include name, location, and phone number for each. For landfills, include facility identification number.</u> 3. <u>The amount of materials to be diverted shall be specified.</u>
Reason:	The proposed changes clarify the requirements of section 605.1 and establish basic mechanisms for tracking and documenting compliance with the plan. “Identifying On-Site location where the collection, separation, and storage of construction waste.....” Identifying on-site location for the collection, separation and storage of construction waste materials ensures adequate space is available for meeting the diversion goal, and also ensures all parties involved in construction are aware of any separation and storage practices that may be required by local waste haulers and recyclers. “Materials to be diverted from disposal by efficient usage, recycling, reuse, manufacturer’s reclamation ... “ Material reuse achieves environmental benefits regardless of whether the material is reused on-site or off-site. Reuse by other building projects should therefore be recognized by the NAHB. Reclamation of used materials by manufacturers for refurbishment (if necessary) and resale represents another distinct means by which used materials can be diverted from landfills, thereby reducing reliance on virgin material and achieving other attendant environmental benefits. Reclamation by manufacturers should be recognized by NAHB along with recycling and reuse; furthermore, as with reuse by other building projects, reclamation should face the same requirement as other diverted materials of being identified in the Construction Materials and Waste Management Plan. “Identify the recycling facilities, reuse facilities, municipal solid waste landfills and other reclamation and disposal entities to be used...” EPA has found that identifying the recycling and other waste management facilities to be used before construction often leads to more effective waste diversion, by ensuring that building project managers have full information regarding available options and allowing them sufficient time to make alternative

	arrangements in case of unexpected complications. Furthermore, this requirement establishes a baseline mechanism that can be used for tracking and documenting material diversion. This will aid the Adopting Entity in gathering sufficient information over the course of construction to demonstrate and verify compliance with the 50 percent waste diversion goal. The amount of materials to be diverted shall be specified. This will aid in tracking and compliance.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Additional requirement and documentation will have the unintended consequence of discouraging recycling. The intent of the committee is for this item to be open and fluid and to encourage creativity through concept and performance rather than prescriptive provisions.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P283	LogID 300	605.1 Construction Waste Management Plan	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Nicole L. Villamizar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	605.1 should be made mandatory.
Reason:	The development of a construction waste management plan should be a mandatory requirement of the NAHB. Having a plan in place will encourage the Adopting Entity to consider all options for construction waste diversion to determine appropriate diversion targets.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This practice does not need to be mandatory. Builders are incentivized to minimize the amount of waste generated and disposed of at building sites.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P284	LogID 376	605.1 Construction Waste Management Plan	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
-------------------	-----------------------------------

Proposed Change:	A construction waste management plan is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented with a goal of recycling or salvaging a minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste.
Reason:	This practice should be clarified. Does it make sense to have 2 separate practices - one for construction waste and one for land clearing waste. sense the amount of land clearing waste can vary significantly depending on the lot. Also, if a builder minimizes the construction waste via panelized, precut, etc., it is more difficult to achieve 50%. Should this be characterized as tons/sqft to the dump parameter?
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> A construction waste management plan is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented with a goal of recycling or salvaging a minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P285	LogID 302	605.2 On-site Recycling	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Nicole L. Villamizar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	On-site recycling measures following applicable regulations and codes are implemented, such as the following: (a) <u>Construction and land-clearing waste</u> materials are ground or otherwise safely applied on-site as soil amendment or fill. A minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste is diverted from landfill.		
Reason:	Clarifies the intent of the requirement and encourages flexibility in the percentage of material recycled on-site.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change results in a practice that is too lenient.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P286	LogID 377	605.2 On-site Recycling	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(a) Materials are ground or otherwise safely applied on-site as soil amendment or fill. A minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste is diverted from landfill <u>through on-site recycling</u> .		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is redundant.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P287	LogID 238	605.2 On-site Recycling	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> (c) <u>Relevant clean (untreated) biomass material (lumber, posts, beams etc.) are set aside for Solid Fuel Burning Appliance as per Section 901.2.1(2) for on-site renewable energy.</u>		
Reason:	Clean biomass from construction can supply a large portion of the first years energy needs.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (c) Relevant Compatible clean (untreated) biomass material (lumber, posts, beams etc.) are set aside for combustion in if a Solid Fuel Burning Appliance as per Section 901.2.1(2) will be available for on-site renewable energy. <i>Add new definition as follows:</i> Solid Fuel-Burning Appliance. A chimney connected device that burns solid fuel designed for purposes of heating, cooking, or both.		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Michael Cudahy:</i> I preferred the original proposal language		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P288	LogID 379	606.1 Biobased Products	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	<p>606.1 Biobased products. The following biobased products are used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) certified solid wood in accordance with Section 606.2 (b) engineered wood (c) bamboo (d) cotton (e) cork (f) straw (g) natural fiber products made from crops (soy-based, corn-based) (h) products with the minimum biobased contents of the USDA 7 CFR Part 2902 (i) other biobased materials (<u>excluding non-certified wood</u>) with a minimum of 50 percent biobased content (by weight or volume) <p>606.1(1) Two types of biobased materials are used, each for more than 0.5 percent of the project's projected building material cost.</p>		
Reason:	<p>Clarification is needed between "products" vs materials in this practice. Can 2 products with engineered wood (e.g. OSB and LVL) meet the practice or can products with engineered wood count as only one material? To meet the cost % threshold can multiple products of the same material be combined?</p> <p>USDA7 CFR Part 2902 has varying requirements for biobased content. The percentage for foam insulation materials is only 7%. The task group may want to consider if this is appropriate and consistent with the overall expectation of 50%.</p>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Proposed change does not clarify the standard.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P289	LogID TG3-9	606.1 Biobased products	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Dennis Pitts, American Wood Council		
Proposed Change:	<p>606.1(1) Two types of biobased materials are used, each for more than 0.5 percent of project's projected building material cost. 3 1 point</p> <p>606.1(2) Two types of biobased materials are used, each for more than 1 percent of the project's projected building cost. 6 3 points</p> <p>606.1(3) For each additional biobased material used for more than 0.5 percent of the project's projected building material cost. 1 2 Points Max.</p>		
Reason:	<p>It seems illogical to always mandate the use of two biobased materials before any points are awarded. The use of a substantial amount of a single biobased material gains the designer nothing. This awards that situation. The points being proposed for 606.1(1) are open for discussion, but the idea is to award points per material. The points awarded in 606.1(2) is half of the 6 points awarded for the two materials currently required. 606.1(3) is deleted because each material used would gain some credit, with the maximum awarded for the section being 8 points as cited in 606.1.</p>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This proposal does not improve the current language and not consistent with the original intent.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> There is no reason or environmental benefit to requiring two different biobased material in order to receive credit under this item and the proponents proposal should be accepted accordingly. While use of certified domestic wood alone receives no credit, if foreign bamboo glued with high emitting resin is grown on a site where a working forest once stood or cotton grown where a forest once stood is added to the domestic wood the standard would provide credit. This is environmentally counter productive and contrary to the intent of the standard.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P290	LogID 381	607.1 Resource-Efficient Materials	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	<u>Optimized</u> Products containing fewer <u>raw</u> materials <u>but still meeting</u> are used to achieve the same end-use requirements as conventional products <u>are used for a major element of the building</u> , including but not limited to:		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	"Optimized" is ambiguous. Disagree with "raw". "But still meeting" does not help clarify. Should not be limited to major components.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P291	LogID 912	607.1 Resource-Efficient Materials	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Ed Whitby, Burnaby Manufacturing		
Proposed Change:	I suggest you include a credit for installing a gas pipe to the patio area so that homeowners can hook-up their patio appliances to the gas that is already piped in to the home. The credit should only be given if the pipe is properly finished with a gas outlet box and not left stubbed out so that the owner knows what it is for and the reason it is there.		
Reason:	The transportation of portable propane bottles for refilling creates a large impact on the creation of co2's as well as the fact that natural gas creates about 15% less co2's when burned will have an impact in metropolitan areas especially on the carbon footprint. Wherever possible the use of natural gas will reduce carbon footprint thus the true value of a green program and it's		

	benefit to everyone becomes apparent. Please contact me to clarify the points. Thank you very much ed
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proposed change is incomplete. Not actionable.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P292	LogID 93	607.1 Resource-Efficient Materials	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	<u>(4) 2 coat synthetic stucco vs 3 coat cement stucco (3 points)</u>		
Reason:	Additional resource efficient material added		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Not clearly more resource efficient.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P293	LogID 339	608.1 Indigenous Materials	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	608 Indigenous-Regional Materials 608.1 Indigenous-Regional materials. Indigenous-Regional materials are used for major elements of the building.		
Reason:	This proposal suggests “Regional” works better in a building-related standard for describing or encouraging the use of materials from a limited geographic area.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		

Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> 608 Indigenous-Regional Materials 608.1 Indigenous-Regional materials. Indigenous-Regional materials are used for major elements <u>or components</u> of the building.
Committee Reason:	Align with the defined term.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P294	LogID 320	609.1 Life Cycle Analysis	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Erin Ashley, NRMCA		
Proposed Change:	<p>609.1 Life Cycle analysis. A more environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tool compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards that compare the environmental impact of building materials, assemblies, or the whole building.</p> <p>(1) <u>The Life Cycle analysis shall follow the guidelines set for in ANSI/ASHRAE/USGBC/IES Standard 189.1 – 2009 Section 9.5.1.1: The building alternative chosen for the project shall have a 5% improvement over the other building alternative assessed in the LCA in a minimum of two impact categories. The impact categories are: Land use, resource use, climate change, ozone layer depletion, human health effects, ecotoxicity, smog, acidification and eutrophication.</u></p> <p>(2) <u>The service life of the buildings shall not be less than 75 years.</u></p>		15 Points Max
	(1) per product/system comparison		3
	(2) whole building LCA analysis		15
Reason:	The LCA section as written is ambiguous at best. The ANSI/ASHRAE/USGBC/IES Standard 189.1 – 2009 sets a defined methodology for performing a life cycle assessment that is applicable to all buildings and provides information and detailed instruction on how to best perform an LCA. It is recommended that this methodology be provided in this section so that the user is provided with some guidance and a metric for performance.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposal restricts user to one life cycle analysis method that is not universally accepted. Proponent does not explain why the current language is not adequate. Service life of 75 years is not appropriate. ASHRAE 189.1 is not a residential standard.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			

Ballot Comment(s)
for Abstain:

P295

LogID 266

609.1 Life Cycle Analysis

Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified

Submitter:

Kenneth Bland, American Wood Council

Proposed Change:

~~609.1 Life Cycle Assessment. Points are awarded in accordance with either 609.1.1 or 609.1.2 Life Cycle Analysis. A more environmental preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tool that embodies data methods compliant with ISO 14044 .~~

~~609.1.1 Two products with the same intended use are compared based on life cycle assessment and the product with a 15% improvement in fossil fuel consumption and global warming potential are used. Per product/system comparison. 3 points per comparison (15 points max.)~~

~~609.1.2 Whole Building Assembly LCA (15 points max.)~~

~~An assembly is selected for the project that has environmental impact measures that are better than a functionally comparable assembly. Points are awarded based on the number of assemblies that improve upon environmental impact measures by 15%. The assemblies considered shall include all structural elements, insulation, and wall coverings:~~

1. exterior walls
2. roof/ceiling
3. interior wall
4. intermediate floors

~~The reference service life of the building shall be 60 years~~

~~The full life cycle, from resource extraction to demolition and disposal, including but not limited to on-site construction, maintenance and replacement, and material and product embodied acquisition, process and transportation energy, shall be assessed.~~

~~Exception: Electrical and mechanical equipment and controls, plumbing products, fire detection and alarm systems, elevators and conveying systems shall not be included in the assessment.~~

<u>Item</u>	<u>Environmental Impact</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>Fossil fuel consumption</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>Global warming potential</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>Acidification potential</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>Eutrophication potential</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>Ozone depletion potential</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>Smog potential</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>Resource Depletion</u>

	8	<u>Human Health Respiratory Effects</u>															
	POINTS:																
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Environmental Impact Measures Exceeded by 15%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>4</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>6</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2 assemblies</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>10</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>3 assemblies</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>15</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>4 assemblies</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>20</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>25</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Environmental Impact Measures Exceeded by 15%				<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2 assemblies</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>3 assemblies</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4 assemblies</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>
Environmental Impact Measures Exceeded by 15%																	
	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>															
<u>2 assemblies</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>															
<u>3 assemblies</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>															
<u>4 assemblies</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>															
Reason:	<p>Providing points for the selection of products or building assemblies based on ISO 14044 compliant methods is important. The current section is revised to award points for products or building assemblies that are selected based upon the criteria proposed. There are a number of LCA tools that can be used to compare products according to 609.1.1. The environmental impacts are limited to fossil fuel consumption and global warming potential, due to the limited nature of this approach. The points available are unchanged. Section 609.1.2 is introduced and is a variation of the whole building LCA approach currently recognized by the standard. The intent is for the user to rely on a tool or analysis program to quantify the cradle to grave environmental impacts of assemblies. A comparison is made between two assemblies and the assembly used must be a minimum of 15% better in 4 of the environmental impact measures. Additional points are awarded for up to 4 assembly comparisons across 6 environmental impact measures. There are tools available online that use data according to the criteria established in ISO 14044. The environmental impact measures are consistent with current practice. The 15% increase is considered a reasonable target based upon criteria in other standards.</p>																
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified																
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard by replacing 609 Life Cycle Analysis with the following (not underlined for clarity):</i></p> <div style="background-color: black; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> 610 LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS </div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1052 1292 1251" style="padding: 5px;"> 610.1 Life cycle analysis. A life cycle analysis (LCA) tool is used to select environmentally preferable products or assemblies, or an LCA is conducted on the entire building. Points are awarded in accordance with 6010.1.1, 610.1.2(1), or 610.1.2(2). Only one method of analysis may be utilized. A reference service life for the building is to be 60 years for any life cycle analysis tool. Results of the LCA are reported in the manual required in Section 1003.1(1) of this standard in terms of the environmental impacts listed in this practice and it states if operating energy was included in its preparation. </td> <td data-bbox="1292 1052 1521 1251" style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">15 Points Max</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1272 1292 1335" style="padding: 5px;"> 610.1.1 Whole-building life cycle analysis. A whole-building LCA is performed using a life cycle assessment and data compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards. </td> <td data-bbox="1292 1272 1521 1335" style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1356 1292 1472" style="padding: 5px;"> 610.1.2 Life cycle analysis for a product or assembly. An environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of an LCA tool that incorporates data methods compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards that compare the environmental impact of products or assemblies. </td> <td data-bbox="1292 1356 1521 1472" style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">10 Points Max</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1493 1292 1629" style="padding: 5px;"> (1) Two products with the same intended use are compared based on LCA and the product with a 15% improvement in fossil fuel consumption and global warming potential is used. <div style="text-align: right;">(Points awarded per product/system comparison.)</div> </td> <td data-bbox="1292 1493 1521 1629" style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">2 10 Points Max</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1650 1292 1921" style="padding: 5px;"> (2) An assembly is selected for the project that has environmental impact measures that are better than a functionally comparable assembly. The full life cycle, from resource extraction to demolition and disposal (including but not limited to on-site construction, maintenance and replacement, material and product embodied acquisition, and process and transportation energy), is assessed. The assemblies considered include all structural elements, insulation, and wall coverings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) exterior walls (b) roof/ceiling (c) interior walls or ceilings (d) intermediate floors </td> <td data-bbox="1292 1650 1521 1921" style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">Points per Table 610.1.2(2) 10 Points Max</td> </tr> </table>		610.1 Life cycle analysis. A life cycle analysis (LCA) tool is used to select environmentally preferable products or assemblies, or an LCA is conducted on the entire building. Points are awarded in accordance with 6010.1.1, 610.1.2(1), or 610.1.2(2). Only one method of analysis may be utilized. A reference service life for the building is to be 60 years for any life cycle analysis tool. Results of the LCA are reported in the manual required in Section 1003.1(1) of this standard in terms of the environmental impacts listed in this practice and it states if operating energy was included in its preparation.	15 Points Max	610.1.1 Whole-building life cycle analysis. A whole-building LCA is performed using a life cycle assessment and data compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards.	15	610.1.2 Life cycle analysis for a product or assembly. An environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of an LCA tool that incorporates data methods compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards that compare the environmental impact of products or assemblies.	10 Points Max	(1) Two products with the same intended use are compared based on LCA and the product with a 15% improvement in fossil fuel consumption and global warming potential is used. <div style="text-align: right;">(Points awarded per product/system comparison.)</div>	2 10 Points Max	(2) An assembly is selected for the project that has environmental impact measures that are better than a functionally comparable assembly. The full life cycle, from resource extraction to demolition and disposal (including but not limited to on-site construction, maintenance and replacement, material and product embodied acquisition, and process and transportation energy), is assessed. The assemblies considered include all structural elements, insulation, and wall coverings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) exterior walls (b) roof/ceiling (c) interior walls or ceilings (d) intermediate floors 	Points per Table 610.1.2(2) 10 Points Max					
610.1 Life cycle analysis. A life cycle analysis (LCA) tool is used to select environmentally preferable products or assemblies, or an LCA is conducted on the entire building. Points are awarded in accordance with 6010.1.1, 610.1.2(1), or 610.1.2(2). Only one method of analysis may be utilized. A reference service life for the building is to be 60 years for any life cycle analysis tool. Results of the LCA are reported in the manual required in Section 1003.1(1) of this standard in terms of the environmental impacts listed in this practice and it states if operating energy was included in its preparation.	15 Points Max																
610.1.1 Whole-building life cycle analysis. A whole-building LCA is performed using a life cycle assessment and data compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards.	15																
610.1.2 Life cycle analysis for a product or assembly. An environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of an LCA tool that incorporates data methods compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards that compare the environmental impact of products or assemblies.	10 Points Max																
(1) Two products with the same intended use are compared based on LCA and the product with a 15% improvement in fossil fuel consumption and global warming potential is used. <div style="text-align: right;">(Points awarded per product/system comparison.)</div>	2 10 Points Max																
(2) An assembly is selected for the project that has environmental impact measures that are better than a functionally comparable assembly. The full life cycle, from resource extraction to demolition and disposal (including but not limited to on-site construction, maintenance and replacement, material and product embodied acquisition, and process and transportation energy), is assessed. The assemblies considered include all structural elements, insulation, and wall coverings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) exterior walls (b) roof/ceiling (c) interior walls or ceilings (d) intermediate floors 	Points per Table 610.1.2(2) 10 Points Max																

Exception: Electrical and mechanical equipment and controls, plumbing products, fire detection and alarm systems, elevators, and conveying systems are not included in the assessment.

The environmental impact measures to be considered are chosen from the following:

- (a) Fossil fuel consumption
- (b) Global warming potential
- (c) Acidification potential
- (d) Eutrophication potential
- (e) Ozone depletion potential
- (f) Human health respiratory effects potential from particulates

(Points are awarded based on the number of assemblies that improve upon environmental impact measures by 15%.)

**Table 610.1.2(2)
Assembly LCA**

	4 Measures	6 Measures
	POINTS	
2 Assemblies	3	6
3 Assemblies	4	8
4 Assemblies	5	10

Committee Reason: The revised proposed change incorporates P296.

Ballot Results on Committee Action:
Eligible to vote: 41
Approve: 38
Disapprove: 2
Abstain: 1
Non-voting: 0

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:
Bruce Boncke: I approve of the concept and decision of the committee, but disagree that specific points were part of the approval. Points should be listed as TBD and subject to full committee review of the overall points system.
Matthew Dobson: 610.1.2 Just focusing on fossil fuel consumption and global warming potential for material selection, when other parts of this section are more broad doesn't make sense. This section should be corrected in the comment phase and include other items like acidification, eutrophication, ozone depletion, and human health respiratory effects. Consistency is important so there are not biases created by only considering parts and pieces of an LCA model. A full range of impact categories under the TRACI model should be considered.

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:
Donn Thompson: This proposal fails to include reference to inclusion of the environmental impact of operating energy as part of a complete life cycle assessment. Any LCA that does not include all impacts, including operating energy, over the full life cycle results in comparative analyses that lead to incomplete conclusions that can result in the selection of products that will not result in the desired environmental benefits over the full life of the structure.
Paragraph 3 under 609.1.2 should be changed as follows to include reference to the inclusion of impacts from the energy consumed by the structure during the operation phase of the life cycle: "The full life cycle, from resource extraction to demolition and disposal, including but not limited to on-site construction, maintenance and replacement, and material and product embodied acquisition, process, ~~and~~ transportation, and complete operation phase energy, shall be assessed."
Maribeth Rizzuto: LCA as described in this proposed change misquides individuals to believe they are selecting environmentally preferred products or assemblies when in fact they may be doing just the opposite. Currently LCA s do not account tfor serveral impact measures due to a lack of consensus on the part of LCA practioners on how to account for those measures. Even though the ISO standards require that all impacts that affect a particular product or system be accounted for LCA tools today ignore the impacts. Until such time as all environmental impacts can be included and until the LCA tools become completely transparent there is too much room for gamesmanship on the part of those conducting the LCA. An LCA will not tell a designer or building owner what product or assembly is the most cost effective or performs the best - furthermore it will only create more confusion in the marketplace due to the subjective nature of the exercise. No two LCA tool are the same or yeild the same result.

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:
Jeff Inks:
We are supportive of the use LCA as a tool but only when provisions ensure that LCA's performed on two different products

	<p>that are being compared are done so in an equivalent or consistent way. That should hold true for all building materials. Simply providing that they be performed in accordance ISO 14044 does not accomplish this. Determining whether one product is truly environmentally preferable to another for the same intended use cannot be fairly or reliably achieved without verifiable equivalency or consistency in the method used to generate the LCA data on which the determination is made.</p> <p>This issue needs much further discussion by the committee.</p>
--	---

P296	LogID 95	609.1 Life Cycle Analysis	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	-----------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Michael Gardner, Gypsum Association
Proposed Change:	<p><u>609.0 Intent.</u> A Life Cycle Analysis tool is used to select environmentally preferable products or assemblies, or a Life Cycle Analysis is conducted on the entire building. (15 Points Max.)</p> <p><u>609.1 Life cycle analysis for a product or assembly.</u> A more An environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tool compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards standard that compares the environmental impact of building materials or assemblies. , or the whole building. (3 points per product/system to 15 points maximum)</p> <p><u>609.2 Whole building life cycle analysis.</u> A whole building life cycle analysis is performed using a a Life Cycle Assessment tool compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standard. (15 points)</p>
Reason:	Section 609 is confusing and needs to be split into two sections so that it can separately address individual product and whole building LCA methods. Suggested modification is intended to clarify that the user has two options: 1) use an LCA to evaluate products or assemblies or 2) analyze the entire building using an LCA. Proposed modification is not intended to effect any technical change to the section or the point scale.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P295.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P297	LogID 315	609.1 Life Cycle Analysis	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Rob Pickett, Log Homes Council
Proposed Change:	609.2 Carbon sequestration. Materials are used that remove carbon from the atmosphere and contain that carbon in use.

	<p><u>(1) Floor construction</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Wood joist, truss, I-joist or other engineered wood framing and OSB or plywood decking – 2 pts</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Steel joist and OSB or plywood decking – 1 pt</u></p> <p>(c) <u>Timber or glulam joist with plank decking -- 2 pts</u></p> <p><u>(2) Exterior wall construction</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Wood or engineered wood framing and OSB or plywood sheathing – 2 pts</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Steel stud and OSB or plywood sheathing – 1 pt</u></p> <p>(c) <u>Wood or fiber-cement siding or stucco finish – 1 pt</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Solid wood walls (log walls in compliance with ICC400) – 10 pts</u></p> <p>(e) <u>Timber frame or post and beam -- 5 pts</u></p> <p>(f) <u>Wood based Structural Insulated Panel (SIP) – 3 pts</u></p> <p><u>(3) Interior wall construction</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Wood or engineered wood framing -- 1 pt</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Wood paneling -- 1 pt</u></p> <p>(c) <u>Solid wood structure (e.g., log walls in compliance with ICC400) – 4 pts</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Timber frame or post and beam -- 3 pts</u></p> <p><u>(4) Roof construction</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Wood joist, truss, I-joist or other engineered wood framing and OSB or plywood decking – 2 pts</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Steel joist and OSB or plywood decking – 1 pt</u></p> <p>(c) <u>Timber or glulam joist with plank decking -- 2 pts</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Wood shake/shingle roofing – 1 pt</u></p> <p>(e) <u>Full length metal roof panel – 1 pt</u></p> <p><u>(5) Fenestration</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Vinyl frame – 1 pt</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Clad wood or primed wood frame – 2 pt</u></p>
Reason:	This change provides recognition of the benefit of building materials relative to the CO2 emitted or stored by those materials. It does not take into account the transportation of material to the site, the construction process itself (e.g., precut, prefabricated), end of life disposal (recycling or salvage value), or on-going operation (owner education). As reported in USING WOOD PRODUCTS TO MITIGATE CLIMATE CHANGE: A REVIEW OF EVIDENCE AND KEY ISSUES FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (Jan. 2004 collaborative report between the Climate Change Programme, the Environmental Economics Programme and the Forestry and Land Use Programme at the International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED), and the Edinburgh Centre for Carbon Management (ECCM). Hannah Reid, Saleemul Huq, James MacGregor, Duncan Macqueen and James Mayers work at IIED. Laurel Murray frequently works on a temporary basis at IIED. Richard Tipper and Aino Inkinen work at ECCM.), "Promotion of wood products can act as a greener alternative to more fossil-fuel intensive materials. Substituting a cubic metre of wood for other construction materials (concrete, blocks or bricks) results in the significant average of 0.75 to 1 tonne of CO2 savings."
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P295.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P298	LogID 383	609.1 Life Cycle Analysis	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	A more environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tool compliant with ISSO 14044 or other recognized standards that compare the environmental impact of <u>at least two approaches for</u> building materials, assemblies, or the whole building.		

Reason:	Clarify the practice. It would be helpful if specifically acceptable LCA tools were listed.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P295.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P299	LogID TG3-6	609.1 Life cycle analysis	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Maribeth Rizzuto, Steel Framing Alliance		
Proposed Change:	Delete the section in its entirety.		
Reason:	Life cycle assessment is a complicated methodology that involves subjective parameters governed by the persons conducting the assessment. The necessary input data is unreliable and often consists of assumptions that are rarely assembled in a consistent manner. The data itself relies on industry averages and overlooks local and regional circumstances. While LCA was originally developed for internal use by product manufacturers to make improvements on specific internal processes it has morphed into a process to attempt to compare products and processes that are far from comparable.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Committee supports the concept of LCA. This is a science based approach to determining environmental outcomes. It is already recognized in the Standard and used by some users of the standard. In addition, it is an alternative to material resource credits. Also see P295 for revised practice.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 1 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	<p><i>Jeff Inks:</i></p> <p>We are supportive of the use LCA as a tool but only when provisions ensure that LCA's performed on two different products that are being compared are done so in an equivalent or consistent way. That should hold true for all building materials. Simply providing that they be performed in accordance ISO 14044 does not accomplish this. Determining whether one product is truly environmentally preferable to another for the same intended use cannot be fairly or reliably achieved without verifiable equivalency or consistency in the method used to generate the LCA data on which the determination is made.</p>		

	This issue needs much further discussion by the committee.
--	--

P300 LogID TG3-7 609.1 Life cycle analysis		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Maribeth Rizzuto, Steel Framing Alliance	
Proposed Change:	Replace 609.1 with the following: <u>609.1 – Conduct a Life Cycle Assessment compliant with ISO 14044 for the whole building.</u> <u>whole building LCA analysis</u> 3 points	
Reason:	Conduct a whole building LCA and delete products and assemblies. Remove the points for products and assemblies comparison and reduce the points for the whole building LCA from 15 to 3. Materials and assemblies represent a small fraction of the total environmental impact of that building. The building as a whole, its disposal, reuse, and the energy used during the life of that building should be considered.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Committee supports the concept of LCA for assemblies and materials with the current point allocations. Also see P295 for revised provisions.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P301 LogID 384 610.1 Manufacturer's Environmental Management System Concepts		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Product manufacturer's operations and business practices include environmental management system concepts, and the production facility is <u>registered to ISO 14001 certified</u> or equivalent. The aggregate value of building products from <u>registered ISO 14001 certified</u> or equivalent production facilities is 1 percent or more of the estimated total building materials cost.	
Reason:	Clarify the practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0	

	Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P302	LogID TG3-17	610.1 Manufacturer’s environmental management system concepts	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	---------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Josh Jacobs, GREENGUARD Environmental Institute
-------------------	---

Proposed Change:	<p>610.1 Manufacturer’s environmental management system concepts. <u>(a) Product manufacturer’s operations and business practices include environmental management system concepts, and the production facility is ISO 14001 certified or equivalent. The aggregate value of building products from ISO 14001 certified or equivalent production facilities is 1 percent or more of the estimated total building materials cost. (1 point awarded per percent.)</u></p> <p><u>(b) The aggregate value of building products used in the building that is from UL 880 certified manufacturers is 1 percent or more of the estimated total building materials cost. (1 point awarded per percent)</u></p>
-------------------------	--

Reason:	<p>The proposed standard is aligned with the overall tenants of the existing 610.1. The standard is in consensus development and is available to the public. The standard touches on the following areas of sustainability for a product manufacturer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sustainability Governance: including sustainability strategic planning, board oversight, internal stakeholder engagement, ethics policies, and creating the infrastructure and fostering the behaviors that create a culture of sustainability 2. Environment: including product stewardship, sustainable resource use, environmental management systems, energy efficiency and carbon management, materials optimization, facilities and land use, habitat restoration, and waste prevention 3. Work Force: including professional development, workplace integrity, employee satisfaction and retention, workplace safety, and employee health and well-being 4. Customers and Suppliers: including fair marketing practices, product safety, customer support and complaint resolution, and sustainable supply chain management, monitoring and improvement 5. Community Engagement and Human Rights: including community impact assessment, community investment, and human rights issues <p>Each domain includes prerequisites, core indicators, and leadership indicators, for a total of 1,000 possible points across all domains. Additional innovation points are available to recognize exceptional performance beyond these requirements.</p>
----------------	---

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
---------------------------------------	--------

Modification of Proposed Change:	
---	--

Committee Reason:	The reference standard has not been finalized at this time.
--------------------------	---

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
--	---

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
---------------------------------------	--

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
--	--

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P303 LogID TG3-19 610.1 Manufacturer's environmental management system concepts		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Jeff Carrier, Carpet and Rug Inst	
Proposed Change:	Recognition of ANSI accredited sustainability standards to achieve this credit. Standards such as NSF/ANSI 140 (Sustainability Assessment for Carpet) and NSF/ANSI 332 (Sustainability Assessment for Resilient Floor Coverings) provide easy recognition of the most sustainable floorcoverings. They are verifiable, accurate and broad-based standards developed in a consensus process	
Reason:	Ease of implementation, verifiable and accurate. The most strict and comprehensive assessment of floorcoverings in use today. It is credible, third-party verified, and simple to locate in the market.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P305.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P304 LogID TG3-5 610.2 (New)		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Bill Freeman, Resilient Floor Covering Institute	
Proposed Change:	Building product has received third party certification in accordance with a published ANSI sustainability assessment standard. Standards including ANSI/NSF 140 Sustainable Carpet Assessment and ANSI/NSF 332 Sustainability Assessment for Resilient Floor Coverings provide thorough communication of information that is verifiable, accurate and not misleading about the environmental and social aspects associated with the production and use of building materials.	
Reason:	<p>The addition of Section 610.2 would provide points for building materials which have received third party certification under an ANSI consensus based sustainability standard. These standards have been developed after several years of development and large investment. Following ANSI guidelines to insure balanced representation in the development of sustainability assessment standards, these committees contained representatives from federal and state agencies in addition to users and producers. As a result of the publication of these sustainability standards several state and federal agencies are currently developing plans to use certification to these standards as a requirement in their purchasing requirements.</p> <p>Incorporating an opportunity for points in the National Green Building Standard under Innovative Practices for third party certification of ANSI sustainability standards would enhance the standard's credibility and provide an incentive for building products manufacturers to undergo a sustainability assessment of building materials used in the construction of new residential homes.</p>	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P305.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P305	LogID TG3-18	610.2 (New)	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	---------------------	--------------------	--

Submitter:	Josh Jacobs , GREENGUARD Environmental Institute
Proposed Change:	<u>610 .2 Sustainable Products – 10 Points Max</u> One or more of the following products are used. Certification third-party agency is ISO Guide 65 accredited. (a) 50% or more of carpet installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to NSF/ANSI 140 – 5 points (b) 50% or more of resilient flooring installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to NSF/ANSI 332 – 5 points (c) 50% or more of the insulation installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to EcoLogo CCD-016 – 5 points (d) 50% or more of wall coverings installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to NSF/ANSI 342
Reason:	Single attribute traits allow us to see valuable snapshots of a products impact on certain areas of the environment and they bring value to a building standard such as this one, but many product manufacturers and sustainability purchasers/experts are looking to multi-attribute standards as a way to show that a product, in total, addresses the triple bottom line of sustainability. Referencing these standards and awarding points would allow the homes built to this standard to show that some of the products chosen to build the building have been looked at in terms of their overall sustainable impact. The different levels and percentage represent the growing level of sustainable impacts – therefore the higher the achievement the less of the material that is needed to achieve the points. As of right now, these are the available standards which have been developed or are being developed in a consensus manner and are available to the public. As more of these standards come on-line, the NAHB Committee should look at each and assess their validity for this standard.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> <u>610 .2 Sustainable Products – 10 4 Points Max</u> One or more of the following products are used for at least 30% of the floor or wall area of the entire dwelling unit, as applicable. Certification third-party agency is ISO Guide 65 accredited. (a) 50% or more of carpet installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to NSF/ANSI 140 – 5 1 points (b) 50% or more of resilient flooring installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to NSF/ANSI 332 – 5 1 points (c) 50% or more of the insulation installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to EcoLogo CCD-016 – 5 1 points (d) 50% or more of interior wall coverings installed (by square feet) is third-party certified to NSF/ANSI 342 1 point
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	Bruce Boncke: Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Frank Stanonik: The addition of the 30% requirement in the amended proposal has not been justified.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P306	LogID 558	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB
-------------------	--------------------

Proposed Change:	602.15 Wind resistance.	-
	602.15.1 Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, impact-resistant glazing, and high-wind-resistant wall and roof coverings are installed.	Mandatory
	602.15.2 Where not required by the ICC IRC or IBC, impact-resistant glazing is installed.	2
	602.15.3 High-wind-resistant or impact-resistant entry doors or garage doors are installed.	2
	602.15.3 High-wind-resistant or impact-resistant wall claddings are installed.	2
	602.15.4 High-wind-resistant or impact-resistant roof coverings are installed.	2
	602.15.5 The building is constructed in accordance with an approved above-code wind mitigation program (e.g. IBHS Fortified or My Safe Florida Home)	4
	<i>Addition Note: Section 602.15 applies to the new construction portion of additions.</i>	0 Additional Points
	<i>Renovation Note: Section 602.15 applies to renovations that involve replacement of windows, doors or roof coverings.</i>	0 Additional Points
	Reason:	To provide credits for incorporating voluntary wind mitigation practices into the construction of the building. Impact-resistant doors, windows and roof coverings reduce damage caused by wind-borne debris during hurricanes and other high-wind events. Also, many roof covering and wall cladding products can be manufactured and installed to resist high winds. However, these products frequently carry a substantial initial cost, so their use is only required in certain areas of the country such as the Gulf and Atlantic coastlines where there is a demonstrated cost benefit or where insurance discounts or other incentives are available to offset the initial cost. A builder should be able to opt to enhance the durability of his building by providing these voluntary practices, in lieu of using other resource efficiency practices or other green practices which may carry a higher cost or work against mitigation. Credits are also proposed for building to a specific mitigation program (e.g. IBHS Fortified), which may limit a builder's ability to use other credits (e.g. overhangs).
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Outside of the scope of the Standard. Sustainability attributes are not clearly defined. The use of impact resistant glazing in areas that do not require this may not be resource efficient.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Donn Thompson: Homes that are built to a higher level of disaster resistance or resilience are more sustainable. Builders working in locations with greater potential for natural disaster from wind damage should be incentivized to use strategies that result in homes more capable of resisting damage from high winds, reducing the environmental impact of having to replace devastated or damaged materials. Third party mitigation standards like those referenced in this submission provide the detail that the Consensus Committee has indicated it desires to fully support this provision. This new section should be included in the updated Standard.</i>	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P307	LogID 559	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	602.15 Seismic resistance.		

	<u>602.15.1</u> Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, seismic-resistant construction is provided.	Mandatory
	<u>602.15.2</u> Provide additional structural sheathing at exterior walls, hold-downs at ends of perforated shear walls or ends of shear wall and braced wall segments.	2
	<u>602.15.3</u> Avoid irregular building configurations (e.g. L-, T- or U-shaped plans, offset shear or braced walls, split-level floors).	2
	<u>602.15.4</u> Provide continuous reinforcing in foundations supporting light-frame walls.	2
	<u>602.15.5</u> Avoid construction of buildings on a steep slope or where the building will be partially supported on cut and partially supported on fill.	2
	<u>602.15.5</u> The building is constructed in accordance with an approved above-code seismic mitigation program (e.g. IBHS Fortified)	4
	<i>Addition Note: Section 602.15 applies to the new construction portion of additions.</i>	0 Additional Points
	<i>Renovation Note: Section 602.15 applies to renovations. Additional points shall be awarded as follows:</i>	
	(a) <u>Anchorage of walls to foundations is provided to bring an existing building up to current code requirements.</u>	2 Additional Points
	(b) <u>Bracing of cripple walls is provided to bring an existing building up to current code requirements.</u>	2 Additional Points
	(c) <u>Existing unreinforced masonry chimneys and masonry veneer walls are reinforced and anchored to the building.</u>	2 Additional Points
Reason:	To provide credits for incorporating voluntary seismic mitigation practices into the construction of the building. These practices are effective in reducing damage due to an earthquake, yet may carry a substantial up-front cost, particularly for retrofitting an existing building. A builder should be able to opt to enhance the durability of his building by providing these voluntary practices, in lieu of using other resource efficiency practices or other green practices which may carry a higher cost or work against mitigation. Credits are also proposed for building to a specific mitigation program (e.g. IBHS Fortified), which may limit a builder's ability to use other credits.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Outside of the scope of the Standard. Sustainability attributes are not clearly defined.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Donn Thompson:</i> Homes that are built to a higher level of disaster resistance or resilience are more sustainable. Builders working in locations with greater potential for natural disaster from earthquake should be incentivized to use strategies that result in homes more capable of resisting damage from seismic events, reducing the environmental impact of having to replace devastated or damaged materials. Third party mitigation standards like those referenced in this submission provide the detail that the Consensus Committee has indicated it desires to fully support this provision. This new section should be included in the updated Standard.	
Ballot Comment(s)		

for Abstain:

P308 LogID 560 Add New Section Final Formal Action: Reject

Submitter:	Gary Ehrlich, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	<u>602.15 Flood resistance.</u>	
	<u>602.15.1</u> Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, flood-resistant construction is provided.	<u>Mandatory</u>
	<u>602.15.2</u> The entire building is constructed using flood damage-resistant materials.	<u>2</u>
	<u>602.15.3</u> The building is constructed with its lowest floor at least one foot above the elevation required by the building code or adopted by the jurisdiction, whichever is higher	<u>2</u>
	<u>602.15.4</u> The building is constructed on an open foundation system (pile foundations or isolated piers).	<u>2</u>
	<u>602.15.5</u> The building is constructed in accordance with an approved above-code flood mitigation program (e.g. IBHS Fortified)	<u>4</u>
	<i>Addition Note: Section 602.15 applies to the new construction portion of additions.</i>	<i>0 Additional Points</i>
	<i>Renovation Note: Section 602.15 applies to renovations. The additional points apply only to renovations, including repairs, where the total cost does not exceed 40% of the market value of the building.</i>	<i>4 Additional Points</i>
	Reason:	To provide credits for incorporating voluntary flood mitigation practices into the construction of the building. These practices are effective in reducing damage in a flood event that exceeds the mapped base flood elevations or that extends beyond a mapped flood hazard area. In some cases, particularly for adding “freeboard” (elevation above the mapped base flood elevation) these practices can earn a homeowner discounts on their NFIP policy. Also, additional points over and above the basic mitigation practices are proposed for electing to upgrade an existing building to current requirements for flood resistance when the cost of the repair or improvement falls below the mandatory 50% of market value threshold. A builder should be able to opt to enhance the durability of his building by providing these voluntary practices, in lieu of using other resource efficiency practices or other green practices which may carry a higher cost or work against mitigation. Credits are also proposed for building to a specific mitigation program (e.g. IBHS Fortified), which may limit a builder’s ability to use other credits.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Outside of the scope of the Standard. Sustainability attributes are not clearly defined.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Donn Thompson:</i> Homes that are built to a higher level of disaster resistance or resilience are more sustainable. Builders working in locations with greater potential for natural disaster from flooding should be incentivized to use strategies that result in homes more capable of resisting damage from flood water, reducing the environmental impact of having to replace devastated or damaged materials. Third party mitigation standards like those referenced in this submission provide the detail that the Consensus Committee has indicated it desires to fully support this provision. This new section should be included in the updated Standard.	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P309	LogID 97	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Michael Gardner, Gypsum Association		
Proposed Change:	<p>606.4 Manufacturing heat. Waste heat or heat created by a cogeneration energy process is used to generate a minimum of 25 percent of the total heat required to manufacture a major component of the building.</p> <p>2 points per product. Maximum of 6 points total.</p>		
Reason:	The use of waste heat recovery systems in manufacturing is increasing. To reduce overall fuel and energy use, plants using kilns, dryers, furnaces, and ovens in a manufacturing process recirculate clean exhaust heat into a separate part of the manufacturing process using specialized equipment and techniques. Waste heat can also be transferred from an adjacent facility. Similar to the recognition provided to renewable and combustible waste energy sources, the environmental benefits of waste heat recovery systems and their use in a manufacturing process should be recognized by ICC 700. The proposed language also acknowledges the benefit of using heat generated by a cogeneration energy process in manufacturing. Definitions for waste heat and cogeneration energy process have been submitted to Section 202 in a separate proposal.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	This is a standard practice. Also it is difficult for designers and builders to identify products that are manufactured using this process.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Relatively common practice or not, manufacturing heat/cogeneration is an environmentally beneficial practice which should be rewarded accordingly. Proponents proposal should be approved.		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P310	LogID TG3-21	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Randy Melvin, Winchester Homes		
Proposed Change:	<p>6xx.1 Universal Design Elements. Dwelling incorporates one or more of the following universal design elements. 10 Points Max</p> <p><u>Any no-step entrance into the dwelling which is accessible from a substantially level parking or drop-off area via a accessible path which has no vertical jumps or other obstruction of more than 1-1/2" in height, whose pitch does not exceed 1 in 12 and which provides a minimum 32" wide clearance into the dwelling. 3 Points</u></p> <p><u>Minimum 36" wide accessible route from the no-step entrance into at least one visiting room in the dwelling and into at least one full or half bathroom which has a minimum 32" clear door width and a 30"X48" clear area inside the bathroom including clearance from the door swing. 3 Points</u></p> <p><u>Minimum 36" wide accessible route from the no-step entrance into at least one bedroom which has a minimum 32" clear door width. 3 Points</u></p> <p><u>Blocking or equivalent installed in the accessible bathroom walls for future installation of grab bars at commode and bathing fixture, if applicable. 1 Point</u></p> <p><u>Note: Allowance for reasonable construction tolerances shall be provided</u></p>		
Reason:	Dwellings incorporating elements of universal design are less likely to require renovations/modification as they age in place thereby conserving resources.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i>		

Proposed Change:	<p>6xx.1 Universal Design Elements. Dwelling incorporates one or more of the following universal design elements. 10 Points Max</p> <p><u>Any no-step entrance into the dwelling which is accessible from a substantially level parking or drop-off area (no more than 2%) via an accessible path which has no vertical jumps-individual change in elevation or other obstruction of more than 1-1/2" in height, whose pitch does not exceed 1 in 12 and which provides a minimum 32" wide clearance into the dwelling. 3 Points</u></p> <p><u>Minimum 36" wide accessible route from the no-step entrance into at least one visiting room in the dwelling and into at least one full or half bathroom which has a minimum 32" clear door width and a 30"X48" clear area inside the bathroom including clearance from outside the door swing. 3 Points</u></p> <p><u>Minimum 36" wide accessible route from the no-step entrance into at least one bedroom which has a minimum 32" clear door width. 3 Points</u></p> <p><u>Blocking or equivalent installed in the accessible bathroom walls for future installation of grab bars at commode and bathing fixture, if applicable. 1 Point</u></p> <p><u>Note: Allowance for rReasonable construction tolerances shall be provided are allowed.</u></p>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<p><i>Donn Thompson:</i> This section lacks sufficient detail to ensure a safe environment for disabled occupants. For example, the "no step entrance" obstruction height should be limited to only 1/2" in conformance with accepted accessibility standards and guidelines, there is no reference to limiting the cross slope of the sloping path, no curb barriers for any open drops, no provision for maximum run of 1 in 12 ramping, no landings.</p> <p>Incomplete provisions like this are not appropriate and have no place in this document particularly when attempting to address the safety and effective living environment for the disabled.</p> <p>These provisions should be more fully investigated by qualified individuals possessing the expertise to offer a more complete outline of appropriate universal design provisions that will successfully accommodate the needs of disabled occupants.</p> <p>This section should not be included in the Standard.</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P311	LogID 86	Entire Chapter 6	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	See revised Table 303 in P61.		
Reason:	Resource Efficiency points vary widely based on the area of the country being built in. It would be more practical to set a threshold for this section. Then allow extra points be required that could come from any section. (see proposed changes in section 303.1 Table 303		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The Committee believes that the progressive point threshold is unique to the Standard and makes sense. The suggested change opens it up to lots of subjectivity. Further, the Committee acknowledges that each of the TGs are working on the individual point assignments. In addition, the Committee believes that regional issues can be addressed elsewhere (see P58). Committee disagreed with not having any threshold requirements for silver, gold, and emerald rating levels.		
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P312	LogID 129	Entire Chapter 6	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Revise table 303 to address the "reason" below See also suggested change to table 303 submitted seperately (<i>see P61 from Steve Hale</i>)
Reason:	Resource efficiency is important. This category is, however more subjective than Energy Efficiency and Water Efficiency. Rather than assign these subjective points different point requirements for each level there should be established a minimum threshold for this category and all points achieved above that level should go to the additional points category which can go up at each higher level attained. This is a suggestion for Chapters 4, 5, 6, 9 and 10.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The Committee believes that the progressive point threshold is unique to the Standard and makes sense. The suggested change opens it up to lots of subjectivity. Further, the Committee acknowledges that each of the TGs are working on the individual point assignments. In addition, the Committee believes that regional issues can be addressed elsewhere (see P58). Committee disagreed with not having any threshold requirements for silver, gold, and emerald rating levels.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P313	LogID 564'	Entire Chapter 6	Final Formal Action: see P568
-------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
Proposed Change:	See P568. Duplicative item.
Reason:	
Committee Action from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P314	LogID 224	Other (include section number and title below)	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management
Proposed Change:	ICC 700 needs to incorporate or be clear on how to avoid moisture / durability problems associated with specifying higher levels of insulation, much more air tight structures, and effectively lowering the amount of air moved to meet the much reduced heating and cooling loads. This may result in specification of construction details that are prohibited or required. It may require a better specification of what makes effective ventilation, beyond simply specifying a rate. It may require separating the conditioned air delivery, ventilation, and humidity controls functions; such that they may or may not be met by the same equipment. It may include dealing with bulk moisture (usually rain), air movement, vapor movement and thermal flows.
Reason:	We are about to run a massive experiment fueled by changes in the IECC and presumably pushed further by the ICC 700. Higher levels of insulation, airtight structures, and many changes in construction details will markedly change water, moisture, and heat flows in residences. The new energy and water changes can be accomplished, but likely involve new constraints and considerations. One example of a big change is "airtight" residences. Current practice in new construction often produces air tightness levels of about the 7 ACH50. The new 2012 IECC cuts the airflow by more than half by specifying a maximum of 3 ACH50 for most of the US. Intentionally or not, some residences may be much tighter as builders try to ensure the code minimum is met so they are not surprised by ACH test results. The ICC 700 also gives points for tested ACH50's even tighter than the new IECC. Other major changes could affect water, air, and thermal flows in new residences.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	There is no specific or substantive proposed change provided. Committee disagrees that ICC-700 does not provide information on building's moisture performance.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P315	LogID TG6-5	601.7 Site-applied finishing materials	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	--------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Adrian Rusty Ashley, C.F. Evans & Co.
Proposed Change:	Building material or assemblies are utilized that do not require additional site-applied materials for finishing. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. 90 percent or more of the installed building material or assembly listed below: (Points awarded for each material of assembly.) 5 Points. 7. 50 percent to less than 90 percent of the installed building material or assembly listed below: (Points awarded for each material of assembly.) 2 Points. 8. In a multi-unit project, 50 percent to less than 90 percent of the installed building material or assembly listed

	<p><u>below:</u> (Points awarded for each material of assembly.) 3 Points.</p> <p>9. <u>In a multi-unit project, 35 percent to less than 50 percent of the installed building material or assembly listed below:</u> (Points awarded for each material of assembly.) 2 Points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pigmented, stamped, decorative, or final finish concrete or masonry 2. <u>Interior trim not requiring paint or stain</u> 3. <u>Exterior trim, siding, or panels not requiring paint or stain</u> 4. <u>Window, skylight, and door assemblies not requiring paint or stain on exterior and/or interior surfaces</u> 5. <u>Window, skylight, and door assemblies not requiring paint or stain on interior surfaces</u> 6. <u>Interior wall coverings or systems not requiring paint or stain or other type of finishing application</u> 7. <u>Exterior wall coverings or systems not requiring paint or stain or other types of finishing application</u> 8. <u>Pre-finished hardwood flooring.</u>
Reason:	This proposal clarifies the eligibility of various materials and assemblies, and better aligns the provision for use in multifamily projects. The inclusion of additional tiers for multi-unit compliance reflects certain design and structural characteristics in multifamily projects that make higher installation percentages unworkable or significantly more costly. For example, other code requirements limit how high brick veneer may be used on a building without the use of additional structural support.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard by adding new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>(3) 35 percent to less than 50 percent of the installed building material or assembly listed below:</u> (Points awarded for each material or assembly.) 1 Point.</p>
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is aligned with revisions approved in P249.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41</p> <p>Approve: 40</p> <p>Disapprove: 1</p> <p>Abstain: 0</p> <p>Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Emily Mitchell:</i> We disapprove of this measure and suggest that site-applied finishing materials should not be incentivized for points. This classification of site-applied finishing materials does not take into account any of the qualities that are associated with the particular composition or manufacturing processes of the material of assembly.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P316	LogID TG6-6	602.13 Roof surfaces	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Paula Cino, National Multi Housing Council		
Proposed Change:	<p>A minimum of 90 percent of roof surfaces, <u>not used for roof penetrations and associated equipment, on-site renewable energy systems such as photovoltaics or solar thermal energy collectors, or rooftop decks, amenities and walkways,</u> are constructed of one or both of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) products that are in accordance with the ENERGY STAR cool roof certification or equivalent (2) a green (landscaped) roof system 		
Reason:	This proposed change clarifies that common roof obstructions and renewable energy features are not part of the roof surfaces calculation. This addition brings this provision in line with other green building metrics, like ASHRAE 189.1, which acknowledge that portions of the roof area may not be suitable or available for green features.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p>A minimum of 90 percent of roof surfaces, not used for roof penetrations and associated equipment, on-site renewable energy</p>		

	<p><u>systems such as photovoltaics or solar thermal energy collectors, or rooftop decks, amenities and walkways</u>, are constructed of one or both of the following:</p> <p>(1) products that are in accordance with the ENERGY STAR cool roof certification or equivalent (2) a green (landscaped)<u>vegetated</u> roof system</p>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P317	LogID 209	701.1 Mandatory Requirements	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Brian Ng, EPA		
Proposed Change:	ENERGY STAR Qualified New Homes should be the minimum threshold for any home complying with the NAHB Green Building Standard (e.g., same as in USGBC's LEED for Homes and EarthCraft) . Given the importance of energy efficiency in labeling homes 'green', it would be a major shortcoming for any green label that did not ensure homes met this minimum level already used on nearly 25% of new homes constructed in the U.S.		
Reason:	As stated above, given the importance of energy efficiency in labeling homes 'green', it would be a major shortcoming for any green label that did not ensure homes met this minimum level already used on nearly 25% of new homes constructed in the U.S.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	There is no actionable wording proposed. EnergyStar is accepted as an alternative compliance path for the Bronze level, not as a minimum requirement.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P318	LogID 304	701.1 Mandatory Requirements	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA		
Proposed Change:	The building shall comply with either Section 702 (Performance Path) or Section 703 (Prescriptive Path). Items listed as “mandatory” in Section 701.4 apply to both the Performance and Prescriptive Paths. <u>The building shall also be required to comply with the 2012 IECC.</u>		
Reason:	The IECC is the national model energy code for residential construction, and is developed by one of NAHB’s partners in the		

	NGBS process. Any green home, at a minimum, should also be required to meet the most recent version of the IECC. The NGBS Committee should ensure that the NGBS requirements do not conflict with IECC requirements in jurisdictions that adopt both the latest IECC and the NGBS. The 2012 IECC is expected to be published sometime in the middle of 2011, and it will be the relevant model energy code for residential construction when the NGBS update is published.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This creates potential for confusion when using wording such as comply and the intent is unclear. In addition the 2009 IECC is the baseline for this standard.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P319 LogID 305 701.1.1 Minimum Performance Path Requirements		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA	
Proposed Change:	A building complying with Section 702 shall <u>be required to meet all of the following: (a) the building shall comply with all of the requirements of the 2012 IECC; (b) the building thermal envelope shall be required to meet or exceed the requirements of section 402 of the IECC; (c) the building shall exceed the baseline minimum performance required by the IECC 2012 IECC by 15 percent, and (d) the building shall include a minimum of two practices from Section 704.</u>	
Reason:	This proposal requires that when the performance path is selected, the building must comply with the 2012 IECC in general as well as specifically meet or exceed the thermal envelope criteria of the 2012 IECC. This approach will ensure that a green home has at least a reasonable level of energy performance from the thermal envelope. Since thermal envelope measures like insulation typically have a long useful life and directly affect comfort and other building performance issues, it is important that green homes have a reasonable minimum level of thermal envelope performance. This approach also echoes the requirements of Energy Star Homes Version 3.0. Homes built to the performance path of Energy Star 3.0 are required to meet or exceed the prescriptive thermal envelope requirements of the 2009 IECC, which was the most recent version of the IECC published at the time. The 2012 IECC is expected to be published sometime in the middle of 2011, and it will be the relevant model energy code for residential construction when the NGBS update is published.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	This creates potential for confusion when using wording such as comply and the intent is unclear. In addition the 2009 IECC is the baseline for this standard.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s)		

for Abstain:	
--------------	--

P320 LogID 329 701.1.1 Minimum Performance Path Requirements		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)	
Proposed Change:	A building complying with Section 702 shall exceed the baseline minimum performance required by the ICC IECC by 15 at least 10 percent, and shall include a minimum of two practices from Section 704.	
Reason:	In order to maintain credibility as the residential “green” standard and consistency with the commercial green code (IgCC) this standard should aim to be more efficient than the most recent edition of the National Model Energy Code (2012 IECC). This proposal assumes the reference to the IECC in this standard is updated to the 2012 IECC. In that light, a 10% improvement over the IECC is consistent with the revisions recently approved for the Green code for buildings outside the scope of this standard (the International Green Construction Code). In the event the reference to the IECC is updated to the 2009 IECC in this standard, then this section should require a 25% improvement over the 2009 IECC.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	15% is appropriate as a minimum threshold above 2009 IECC.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P321 LogID 498 701.1.1 Minimum Performance Path Requirements		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	A building complying with Section 702 shall exceed the baseline minimum performance required by the ICC IECC by 15 percent, and shall include a minimum of two practices from Section 704.	
Reason:	This practice seems inconsistent with 303.1(3). Was it intended that to achieve Emerald that the home had to exceed the IECC by 60% or is 15% acceptable as long as 120 points are achieved in Chapter 7?	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Section 303.1(3) is deleted (see P65).	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P322 LogID 306 701.1.2 Minimum Prescriptive Path Requirements		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA	
Proposed Change:	A building complying with Section 703 shall <u>also be required to exceed the prescriptive requirements of the 2012 IECC, including sections 402, 403 and 404 of the IECC, and shall</u> obtain a minimum of 30 points from Section 703, and shall include a minimum of two practices from Section 704.	
Reason:	This proposal clarifies that when the prescriptive path for the NGBS is selected, the building must meet or exceed the requirements of the prescriptive path of the 2012 IECC as well. This approach will provide consistency with compliance under NGBS and the IECC. This approach will ensure that a green home has at least a reasonable level of energy performance from the thermal envelope. Since thermal envelope measures like insulation typically have a long useful life and directly affect comfort and other building performance issues, it is important that green homes have a reasonable minimum level of thermal envelope performance. It also echoes similar requirements contained in Energy Star Homes Version 3.0. For example, homes built to the prescriptive path of Energy Star 3.0 are required to meet or exceed the prescriptive insulation requirements of the 2009 IECC, which was the most recent version of the IECC published at the time. The 2012 IECC is expected to be published sometime in the middle of 2011. As a result, the 2012 IECC will be the relevant model energy code for residential construction when the NGBS update is published.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	2009 IECC is the baseline for the Standard. 2009 IECC is the latest IECC code in publication and the energy chapter requires savings of 15% for the Bronze.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P323 LogID 330 701.1.2 Minimum Prescriptive Path Requirements		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)	
Proposed Change:	A building complying with Section 703 shall obtain a minimum of <u>20</u> 30 points from Section 703, and shall include a minimum of two practices from Section 704, <u>and comply with the ICC IECC.</u>	
Reason:	In order to maintain credibility as the residential “green” standard and consistency with the commercial green code (IgCC) this standard should aim to be more efficient than the most recent edition of the National Model Energy Code (2012 IECC). This proposal assumes the reference to the IECC in this standard is updated to the 2012 IECC. In that light, a minimum of 20 points from Section 703 appears to be consistent with the performance path revisions recently approved for the Green code for buildings outside the scope of this standard (the International Green Construction Code), and appears to be consistent with other proposed revisions to the minimum performance path requirements. Also, this prescriptive path should require compliance to the IECC as a baseline for energy performance. In the event the reference to the IECC is updated to the 2009 IECC in this standard, then this section should require 40 points over the 2009 IECC.	
Committee Action	Reject	

from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	2009 IECC is baseline and the minimum mandatory requirements are to the IECC. It is also confusing to have to comply and exceed this item.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P324	LogID 232	701.1.3 Alternative Bronze Level Compliance	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management		
Proposed Change:	The new Energy Star requirements need to be compared with the new 2012 IECC and what will become the new ICC 700 to see if the assumption that Energy Star exceeds code by 10%(?) is still correct such that Energy Star can be deemed to be at least bronze.		
Reason:	This optional section was conceived as a convenience for those who had done the work to get an Energy Star approval, and were only targeting the lowest level of ICC 700 for energy. Energy Star and the IECC have both been upgraded significantly. It is not clear how Energy Star will compare to the new levels in the ICC 700.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Rejected in favor of P327.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P325	LogID 331	701.1.3 Alternative Bronze Level Compliance	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	701.1.3 Alternative bronze level compliance. As an alternative, any building that qualifies as an ENERGY STAR Qualified Home or equivalent achieves the bronze level for Chapter 7.		
Reason:	Revisions to the ENERGY STAR for Homes 3.0 are still being finalized. Until finalized and analyzed for energy saving equivalency it is not appropriate to include this option. Once the ENERGY STAR for Homes equivalency is determined, this section could be re-introduced into this standard with appropriate requirements for equivalent performance.		

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Energy Star 3.0 has been established and will be in affect January 1, 2012. In addition, the program is defined and version 3.0 specs are published. This is addressed in P327.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P326 LogID 499 701.1.3 Alternative Bronze Level Compliance		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	As an alternative, any building that qualifies as an ENERGY STAR Qualified Home or equivalent achieves the Bronze Level for Chapter 7.	
Reason:	Does ES require ES light fixtures/bulbs? If so, should points for these also be awarded in 704?	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	This is rejected in favor of P327. Also, proposed change P412 relocates lighting to Sections 702 and 703.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P327 LogID TG5-10 701.1.3 Alternative bronze level compliance		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Amy Schmidt, The Dow Chemical Company	
Proposed Change:	As an alternative, any building that qualifies as an ENERGY STAR Qualified Home or equivalent demonstrates compliance using RESCHECK or equivalent with the 2012 IECC achieves the bronze level for Chapter 7.	
Reason:	The addition of the 2012 IECC as an acceptable compliance option for energy efficiency makes sense for several reasons: 1. States adopting the 2012 IECC as the mandatory statewide energy code will not have two conflicting sets of energy requirements for buildings. 2. ICC codes should be consistent. The 2012 IBC and IRC both use the 2012 IECC as the energy requirements, the draft IGCC uses the 2012 IECC as its baseline, and the draft ICC-400 standard for log homes also incorporates the energy	

	efficiency requirements of the 2012 IECC. These codes will all be published before ICC-700 2012. 3. The 2012 IECC is comparable to, or better than, Energy Star v. 3.0 in many ways. The 2012 IECC is widely accepted as being 15% more efficient than the 2009 IECC. The inclusion of the national model energy code – the IECC -- will add the most widely recognized and nationally vetted energy code.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> As an alternative, any building that qualifies as an ENERGY STAR <u>Version 3.0</u> Qualified Home or equivalent <u>demonstrates compliance using RESCHECK or equivalent</u> with the 2012 IECC <u>or Chapter 11 of the 2012 IRC</u> achieves the bronze level for Chapter 7.
Committee Reason:	Edited for specific version of energy star. Chapter 11 of IRC is listed as an equivalent option.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P328	LogID 81	701.2 Emerald Level Points	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Rich Backus, Timber Ridge Craftsmen, Inc.		
Proposed Change:	Incorporate Passive House energy standard, as outlined in my email.		
Reason:	Please review my email on this topic. - <i>See Attachments file for supporting documents.</i>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Insufficient evidence to evaluate compared to Emerald level. In addition, there is no specific action item. There is also not sufficient information provided that this would meet the Emerald level.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P329	LogID 134	701.4 Mandatory Practices	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Li Ling Young, Vermont Energy Investment Corp		
Proposed Change:	In the way that ENERGY STAR labeling can be used to show compliance for Mandatory measures in chapter 7 if the building is pursuing the Alternative Bronze-level Compliance, have ENERGY STAR labeling be an alternate compliance path for the mandatory measures for all buildings, even if they are pursuing a level higher than Bronze.		

Reason:	Performance testing involved in showing ENERGY STAR compliance is a better indicator of successful air sealing than the mandatory measures. Energy modeling (in the performance path) and prescriptive insulation requirements (in the prescriptive path) in ENERGY STAR version 3 ensure whole-building performance at the level of IECC 2009. Verification for ENERGY STAR labeling is duplicative of the mandatory measures in chapter 7. Allowing ENERGY STAR labeling to substitute for the mandatory measures in chapter 7 will not result in a lower performing building.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Energy star is already alternative compliance. Mandatory items are aligned with 2009 IECC. In addition, non-actionable item because there is no proposed language.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P330	LogID 184	701.4.1.1 Heating And Cooling Load Calculations	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Dan Buuck, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	701.4.1.1 Space heating and cooling system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent.	Mandatory
	<i>Addition and Renovation Note: Section 701.4.1.1 is mandatory for both additions and renovations where new HVAC equipment is installed.</i>	Mandatory
		0 Additional Points
	<i>Addition and Renovation Note: The additional points for Section 701.4.1.1 apply to additions or renovations that include one or both of the following: (1) a change to heating and cooling loads</i>	2 Additional Points
Reason:	Editorial change to item (1).	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P331		LogID TG5-13	701.4.1.1 Manual J	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Don Prather, ACCA			
Proposed Change:	Space heating and cooling system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent, <u>and installed in accordance with the ANSI/ACCA 5 QI-2010 (HVAC Quality Installation Specification).</u>			
Reason:	Reason for addition: When the NGBS was first developed this standard was being developed on a parallel time track. The ANSI/ACCA 5 QI-2010 is now an HVAC industry recognized minimum standard for the design and installation of HVAC equipment and as such should be the minimum standard for any higher than minimum requirements in the National Green Building Standard. ANSI/ACCA 5 QI-2007 was first released in 2007 and has been successfully implement in numerous utility sponsored programs.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	The QI is difficult to verify as there is currently no certification or checklist for the ACCA QI. Also, too restrictive. Therefore, the Committee decided that the QI should not be a mandatory item required for all homes seeking compliance with the Standard. The QI is proposed for inclusion in the Standard as part of proposed change P333.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Frank Stanonik: This proposal to promote quality installations should be accepted. By the time this edition is finalized progress will be made to address the implementation of QI. P33 addresses only design, not installation of systems.			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P332		LogID 239	701.4.1.2 Radiant or Hydronic Load Calculations	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA			
Proposed Change:	Where installed as a primary heat source in the building, radiant or hydronic space heating system is designed using industry-approved guidelines (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H-22, <u>EPA Hydronic Heater Voluntary Program</u> , or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations).			
Reason:	It is essential to have a certification program for biomass hydronic heaters to allow for clean-burning alternative systems.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified			
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> Where installed as a primary heat source in the building, radiant or hydronic space heating system is designed using industry-approved guidelines (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H-22 AHRI I=B=R, EPA Hydronic Heater Voluntary Program , or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations).			
Committee Reason:	The intent of this section is to size units. This section can be used for biomass or any unit.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P333	LogID TG5-14	701.4.1.2 Radiant or hydronic heating system	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Don Prather, ACCA
Proposed Change:	Where installed as a primary heat source in the building, radiant or hydronic space heating system is designed using industry-approved guidelines <u>and standards</u> (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H22, <u>AHRI I=B=R, ANSI/ACCA 5 QI-2010</u> , or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations).
Reason:	AHRI I=B=R has replaced GAMA H22 which is no longer available. ANSI/ACCA 5 QI-2010 has requirements for the design and installation of hydronic systems in it.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P334	LogID 500	701.4.2.1 Ducts are Sealed	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Ducts are sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9 to reduce leakage.
Reason:	The code only requires UL 181 on duct board. Is the intent to require either UL 181 or mastic on all types of duct work?
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> Ducts are <u>air sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9 to reduce leakage. All duct sealing materials are rated to UL 181A or UL 181B specifications and are used in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.</u>
Committee Reason:	The revised language is based on the ACCA Standard 5 HVAC quality installation specification.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P335	LogID 913	701.4.3 Insulation and Air Sealing	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	JOHN STAPLETON, NANO GREEN INSULATING PAINT, SELF		
Proposed Change:	Change "R" factor reference to WmK. "R" not changed since 1960's WmK would accomodate new NANO TECHNOLOGY PAINT INSULATION.		
Reason:	THREE COATS OF PAINT EQUALS R -30 BUT IS NOT ALLOWED UNDER THE IRS CODE FOR A TAX CREDIT. SAVINGS OF 20% TO 40% ARE RECORDED BY HOMEOWNERS.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	ASTM C518 already reports in reference in W/mK. In addition, W/mK is not included in the Standard.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P336	LogID 332	701.4.3.1 Insulation and Air Sealing - General	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	Insulation and air sealing is in accordance with the following: (1) Insulation. Insulation is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and or local code, as applicable. (2) <u>Air sealing. Shafts (duct shaft, piping shaft/penetrations, flue shaft)- The building thermal envelope shall be sealed to comply with ICC IECC, Section 402.4. Openings to unconditioned space are fully sealed with solid blocking or flashing and any remaining gaps are sealed with caulk or foam. Fire-rated sealing materials collars and caulking are installed where required.</u>		
Reason:	In order to maintain credibility as the residential "green" standard and consistency with the commercial green code (IgCC) this standard should, minimally, be at least as efficient as than the most recent edition of the National Model Energy Code (2012 IECC). In this "general" section for mandatory requirements for this standard, much more than shafts should be sealed. The 2012 IECC addresses air sealing requirements of the building envelope. This proposal would ensure this vital energy savings practice would be mandatory in both the performance path and prescriptive path. If desired the air sealing requirements in the IECC could be added to this section.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362 (where mandatory air sealing is aligned with 2009 IECC).		
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P337	LogID 333	701.4.3.2 Floors, Foundations, Crawlspace	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)
Proposed Change:	(1) Floors. (including insulated floors above garages and cantilevered floors) (a) Insulation is installed to maintain permanent contact with the underside of the subfloor decking, enveloping any attached ductwork <u>and plumbing</u> within the thermal envelope without compression or — air gaps in the insulation . This practice does not apply to ducts or other mechanical equipment that is adjacent to the underside of the subfloor. (b) Batt and loose-fill insulation is held in place by permanent attachments or systems in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions <u>and shall not be compressed or create air gaps</u> . (2) Crawlspace. Where insulated, crawlspace wall insulation is permanently attached to the walls. Exposed earth in unvented crawlspaces is covered with continuous vapor retarder with overlapping joints that are taped <u>or otherwise sealed</u> masticed .
Reason:	Proposed revisions in (1) are to include requirements to enclose plumbing in floor insulation requirements, and editorially revising the language for improved understanding. The proposed revisions in (2) adds the requirement for overlapping joints in the vapor retarder and allowing sealing of the joints by methods other than tape.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362 (where mandatory items are aligned with 2009 IECC).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P338	LogID 334	701.4.3.3 Walls	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)
Proposed Change:	(1) Windows and doors. <u>Windows and doors are sealed to comply with Section 701.4.3.1(2).</u> Caulking, gasketing, adhesive flashing tape, foam sealant, or weatherstripping is installed forming a complete air barrier. Renovation Note: Existing windows and doors are <u>sealed to comply with Section 701.4.3.1(2).</u> weather stripped and sealed. (2) Band joist and rim joists. Band and rim joists <u>shall comply with above grade exterior wall insulation and air sealing requirements in ICC IECC</u> are insulated and air sealed. Renovation Note: Existing uninsulated rim and/or band joists are insulated <u>to comply with above grade exterior wall requirements in ICC IECC.</u> (3) Between foundation and sill plate bottom plate.

	<p>(a) Sill sealer or other material that will expand and contract is installed between foundation and sill plate.</p> <p>(b) Caulk, <u>foam sealant</u>, or the equivalent is installed to seal the bottom plate of exterior walls.</p> <p>Renovation Note: Existing perimeter sill plates <u>and bottom plates</u> are sealed.</p> <p>(4) Skylights and knee walls. Skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to <u>comply with above grade exterior wall requirements in ICC IECC, the same level as the exterior walls.</u></p> <p>Renovation Note: Existing skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to <u>comply with above grade exterior wall requirements in ICC IECC.</u></p> <p>(5) Exterior architectural features. <u>ICC IECC Code</u> required building envelope insulation and air sealing are not disrupted at exterior architectural features such as stairs and decks.</p>
Reason:	In order to maintain credibility as the residential “green” standard and consistency with the commercial green code (IgCC) this standard should, minimally, aim to be at least as efficient as the most recent edition of the National Model Energy Code – the 2012 IECC. The proposed revisions in (1) refer back to the General requirements of sealing the building thermal envelope per the requirements of the IECC, as otherwise proposed by XPSA. The requirements for band joints and rim joists in (2) are proposed to be revised to reduce ambiguity by explicitly requiring insulation and sealing to comply with the IECC. The proposed revisions in (3) include foam sealant as an alternative for sealing the bottom plate and adds bottom plates to the renovation note. The proposed revisions in (4) clarify these walls are required to be insulated to the same requirements of other exterior walls. To reduce ambiguity, the IECC is proposed to be explicitly referenced in (5).
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362 (where mandatory items are aligned with 2009 IECC). Also, the remodeling provisions in their entirety are revised per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P339	LogID 502	701.4.3.3 Walls	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(4) Skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls. <u>Knee wall insulation has air barrier on all 6 sides.</u>		
Reason:	Knee wall insulation with out something to prevent air movement on the back side loses significant R-value.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (4) Skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls. <u>Knee wall insulation has is enclosed air barrier on all 6 sides and has an air barrier between the insulation and unconditioned space.</u>		
Committee Reason:	Make language more similar to the code and specify that the insulation is enclosed as well as the location of the air barrier.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P340	LogID 344	701.4.3.3 Walls	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Vollstedt, HERS-NM, LLC		
Proposed Change:	<p>(4)-Skylights and knee walls. Skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls. Skylight shaft walls. Skylight shaft walls are to be air sealed and insulated to at least the minimum R-values specified for walls in Table 402.1.1 in IECC 2006.</p> <p><u>Knee walls. Knee walls are to be air sealed and insulated to at least the same R-value as the conditioned to ambient exterior wall with the least R-value.</u></p>		
Reason:	Because skylight shaft walls are a small area relative to other wall areas, the shafts are generally between conditioned spaces and at least partially insulated attic areas, and it is difficult to insulate skylight shaft walls the same as exterior walls, I believe a little more leniency should be allowed. With respect to specifying the insulation level of knee walls, because there may be numerous exterior wall configurations, I believe saying the least insulated value provides more clarity.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Skylights and knee walls are addressed in P362; the knee walls are also modified in P339.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P341	LogID TG5-12	701.4.3.7 Rim/band joist (New)	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Amy Schmidt, DOW		
Proposed Change:	<u>Rim/Band joists are insulated to the same level as above grade walls.</u>		
Reason:	This is currently implied in the code but not clarified. As a result many rim/band joists are under insulated in the field. This clarification to the “green” standard is needed in order to bring additional clarity and integrity to its intent.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	For the performance approach, you can achieve equivalent performance without specifically identifying what to do with the rim area.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Christine Phillips:</i> I don't agree that an "equivalent performance" can be achieved if the rim joist is ignored. REMDesign software used for the performance approach takes the rim joist insulation into affect and in the higher climate zones it can greatly affect the result of this analysis.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P342	LogID TG5-23	701.4.4 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Jeff Inks, Fenestration & Lighting Working Group		
Proposed Change:	<p>701.4.4 Fenestration Thermal Building Envelope</p> <p>701.4.4.1 Prescriptive Path: NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with shall meet or exceed the requirements of ENERGY STAR for windows version 5.0, or Table 402.1.1 of the 2012 IECC equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a <u>combined total</u> maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.</p> <p>Performance Path: <u>NFRC-certified windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) shall meet or exceed the requirements of Table 402.1.1 of the 2009 IECC. Decorative fenestration elements with a combined total maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.</u></p> <p>701.4.4.2 Prescriptive and Performance Path: Ceiling, floor, and wall insulation levels shall meet or exceed 2009 IECC levels.</p>		
Reason:	<p>Additional concerns were by some members of the WG that mandatory fenestration requirements based on the 2009 IECC are inadequate.</p> <p>This proposal is an alternative to proposal 307 for TG consideration to make the minimum fenestration requirements consistent with ES Homes v. 3.0 that the WG is presented for TG consideration.</p>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is moving away from the 2009 IECC baseline. Rejected in favor of P374.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P343	LogID 307	701.4.4.1 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA		
Proposed Change:	NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC <u>of</u> windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in shall not exceed the values listed in <u>accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1.</u> Decorative fenestration elements with a <u>combined total</u> maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m ²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.		

Table 701.4.4.1

Fenestration Specifications

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1	0.50	0.25
1 and 2	0.65 0.40	0.40 0.25
3	0.40 0.35	0.40 0.25
4 to 8	0.35 0.32	Any 0.40
5 to 8	0.30	Any
Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)		
1 to 3	0.75 0.70	0.40 0.30
2	0.65	0.30
3 4 to 8	0.60 0.55	Any 0.30
4	0.55	0.40
5 to 8	0.55	Any

Addition and Renovation Note:

Section 701.4.4.1 is mandatory for both additions and renovations where new windows are installed.

Reason:

First, this proposal corrects an omission in the fenestration requirements for additions and renovations. Although nearly every mandatory practice under Section 701.4 of the 2008 NGBS applies to additions and renovations, Section 701.4.4 is silent on window requirements for additions and renovations. Where an addition or renovation includes the installation or replacement of windows, it is reasonable to require that these windows meet the same mandatory requirements as in new construction. Second, this proposal updates the window efficiency requirements to Energy Star Version 5.0 or the 2012 IECC, whichever is more efficient. This proposal will ensure that the window requirements of the NGBS will not conflict with the 2012 IECC. The approach is consistent with the approach taken in the last version of the NGBS and will also continue to ensure that energy efficient fenestration is required for green homes.

Committee Action from Meeting:

Accept as Modified

Modification of Proposed Change:

Revise proposed change as follows (in red):

701.4.4.1 NFRC-certified (or equivalent) U-factor and SHGC of windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in shall not exceed the values listed in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a combined total maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.

Table 701.4.4.1

Fenestration Specifications

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1	0.50	0.25
1 and 2	0.65 0.40	0.40 0.25
3	0.40 0.35	0.40 0.25
4 to 8	0.35 0.32	Any 0.40
5 to 8	0.30	Any
-	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 to 3	0.75 0.70	0.40 0.30
2	0.65	0.30
3 4 to 8	0.60 0.55	Any 0.30

Proposed Change:	<p>NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC of windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.</p> <p>Table 701.4.4.1 Fenestration Specifications</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Climate Zone</th> <th>U-factor</th> <th>SHGC</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 and 2</td> <td>0.65 <u>0.60</u></td> <td>0.40 <u>0.27</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td><u>0.40</u> <u>0.35</u></td> <td><u>0.40</u> <u>0.30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 to 8</td> <td>0.35 <u>0.32</u></td> <td>Any <u>0.40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>5 to 8</u></td> <td><u>0.30</u></td> <td><u>Any</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 and 2 to 3</td> <td>0.75 <u>0.70</u></td> <td>0.40 <u>0.30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 4 to 8</td> <td>0.60 <u>0.57</u></td> <td>Any <u>0.30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>4</u></td> <td><u>0.55</u></td> <td><u>0.40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>5-8</u></td> <td><u>0.55</u></td> <td><u>Any</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Climate Zone	U-factor	SHGC	1 and 2	0.65 <u>0.60</u>	0.40 <u>0.27</u>	3	<u>0.40</u> <u>0.35</u>	<u>0.40</u> <u>0.30</u>	4 to 8	0.35 <u>0.32</u>	Any <u>0.40</u>	<u>5 to 8</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>Any</u>	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)			1 and 2 to 3	0.75 <u>0.70</u>	0.40 <u>0.30</u>	3 4 to 8	0.60 <u>0.57</u>	Any <u>0.30</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>0.40</u>	<u>5-8</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>Any</u>
Climate Zone	U-factor	SHGC																													
1 and 2	0.65 <u>0.60</u>	0.40 <u>0.27</u>																													
3	<u>0.40</u> <u>0.35</u>	<u>0.40</u> <u>0.30</u>																													
4 to 8	0.35 <u>0.32</u>	Any <u>0.40</u>																													
<u>5 to 8</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>Any</u>																													
Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)																															
1 and 2 to 3	0.75 <u>0.70</u>	0.40 <u>0.30</u>																													
3 4 to 8	0.60 <u>0.57</u>	Any <u>0.30</u>																													
<u>4</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>0.40</u>																													
<u>5-8</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>Any</u>																													
Reason:	In 2010, new ENERGY STAR for Windows, Doors and Skylights criteria came into effect. My proposed revision of Table 701.4.4.1 would ensure equivalence with these new criteria, which can be viewed at http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=windows_doors.pr_anat_window . Since I could not create a table in the proposed change field, I also submit a Word document with the same text, table and proposed changes via email.																														
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject																														
Modification of Proposed Change:																															
Committee Reason:	The minimum fenestration specifications are based on 2009 IECC. The proposed Energy Star 5.0 requirements exceed the baseline of the Standard. Rejected in favor of P374.																														
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0																														
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:																															
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:																															
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:																															

P345	LogID 345	701.4.4.1 Fenestration	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Vollstedt, HERS-NM, LLC		
Proposed Change:	ADD AT END OF NARRATIVE, BEFORE THE TABLE: <u>Alternatively, evidence that the overall UA (weighted average U-factor based on total fenestration area) for the fenestration is not greater than the U-factors shown in Table 701.4.4.1 may be submitted to demonstrate compliance with this section.</u>		
Reason:	Homeowners and builders often prefer, for example, to use a custom-built front entry door for their homes. This fenestration may not comply but if the overall fenestration performance is very good, then we should allow this kind of exception.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			

Committee Reason:	There is an exception for glazing area to address this, 15 square feet or 10% that is existing in the 2009 IECC. In addition, the language is unenforceable.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P346	LogID 346	701.4.4.1 Fenestration Specifications	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steve Vollstedt, HERS-NM, LLC		
Proposed Change:	Move Section 701.4.4.1 to Section 703.		
Reason:	Consider moving this fenestration requirement to Section 703, the Prescriptive Path section. If a project can achieve acceptable energy reductions and a sufficiently low HERS index by applying other energy reduction practices, then these fenestration requirements should not be required for a project which is following the Performance Path.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Move to 703.3.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P347	LogID 213	701.4.4.1 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Thomas Culp, Aluminum Extruders Council		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Exception: Fenestration in residential buildings fourstories or more in height above grade, hotels, and motels shall meet requirements of Chapter 5 of the IECC.</u>		
Reason:	The IBC, IRC, IECC, ASHRAE 90.1, ASHRAE 90.2, and ASHRAE 189 standards all draw a consistent line between residential building types, with detached homes and apartment buildings three stories or less on one side, and highrise residential buildings, hotels, and motels on the other side. This is because each group has very significant differences in construction and energy performance. It would be prudent for the NGBS to also be consistent with this dividing line. Nevertheless, I understand the committee would like the NGBS to apply as widely as possible, and do not wish to limit the NGBS scope. However, if this is the case, the committee must at least recognize and account for the very different construction methods and materials used in highrise residential buildings, hotels, and motels as compared to detached homes and lower apartment buildings. In this particular section, the draft NGBS is making a mandatory requirement for fenestration to meet the current Energy Star criteria. As specifically stated in the program requirements from the U.S. DOE and EPA, the Energy Star Windows program only applies to residential buildings "that are three stories or less in height", and specifically		

	does not apply to highrise residential buildings, hotels, or motels. [see attached ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Residential Windows, Doors, and Skylights – Version 5.0] The design loads, durability requirements, and resulting heavy commercial and architectural grade products are significantly different. This is clearly recognized by different prescriptive criteria in Chapter 4 and Chapter 5 of the IECC, ASHRAE 90.1, and ASHRAE 90.2. Applying a mandatory requirement in conflict with Energy Star program requirements is not appropriate, and in the worst case, could cause significant specification and construction problems. To fix this problem, the mandatory requirement has been modified to specify that as a baseline, fenestration in these building types must meet the requirements of chapter 5 of the IECC. These criteria were significantly advanced for the 2012 IECC, and are very stringent yet accounts for heavy commercial and architectural grade products. Furthermore, credit for even better performance will be encouraged through section 702 or 703. (See Attachments file for ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Residential Windows, Doors, and Skylights – Version 5.0.)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This section is moved from 701 (mandatory) to 703 and is only addressed in the prescriptive path (see P347). Buildings 4 or more stories are not treated separately in other parts of the Standard. Hotels and motels are covered under commercial building. In addition, these specifications can be met in both low-rise and high-rise buildings. Structural requirements are addressed by building code.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P348	LogID TG5-20	701.4.4 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Jeff Inks, Fenestration & Lighting Working Group		
Proposed Change:	<p>701.4.4.1 703.3.1 NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC of windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in shall not exceed the values listed in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1/703.3.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a <u>combined total</u> maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.</p> <p>Renovation Note: Section 701.4.4.1 703.3.1 is mandatory for both additions and renovations where new windows are <u>installed</u>.</p>		
Reason:	<p>This proposal reflects the collective TG action on proposals 307, 346 & 138 to :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the language in 701.4.4.1 and add the new renovation note. Base the mandatory minimum requirements on the 2009 IECC prescriptive requirements from IECC Table 402.1 Moving section 701.4.4.1 to section 703. Establish ENERGY STAR 5.0 as the “1st Tier” enhanced values in Table 703.3.1(a) <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addition and renovation note should be amended to replace “windows” with “fenestration” Language to 703.3.1 needs to be amended further to reference enhanced tables. 		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Need to make it clear that these minimum requirements pertain to the prescriptive path only
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P349	LogID TG5-21	701.4.4.1 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Jeff Inks, Fenestration & Lighting Working Group
-------------------	--

Proposed Change:		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Table 701.4.4.1 703.3.1</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3">Fenestration Specifications</th> </tr> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Climate Zones</th> <th>U-Factor</th> <th>SHGC</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2">Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1 and 2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.65</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.40 0.30</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>2</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.65</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.40</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.40 0.30</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">4 to 8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.35</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Any</td> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1 to 3 & 2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.75</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.40 0.30</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>3</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.65</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">4 to 8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.60</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Any</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Table 701.4.4.1 703.3.1			Fenestration Specifications			Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)		1 and 2	0.65	0.40 0.30	<u>2</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.30</u>	3	0.40	0.40 0.30	4 to 8	0.35	Any	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)			1 to 3 & 2	0.75	0.40 0.30	<u>3</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.30</u>	4 to 8	0.60	Any
Table 701.4.4.1 703.3.1																																					
Fenestration Specifications																																					
Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC																																			
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)																																				
1 and 2	0.65	0.40 0.30																																			
<u>2</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.30</u>																																			
3	0.40	0.40 0.30																																			
4 to 8	0.35	Any																																			
Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)																																					
1 to 3 & 2	0.75	0.40 0.30																																			
<u>3</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.30</u>																																			
4 to 8	0.60	Any																																			

Reason:	<p>Concerns were raised by members of the WG that basing all fenestration values on 2009 IECC Table 402.1 prescriptive requirements results in window and door U-factor requirements in Zone 1 & 3 that are less stringent than the current requirements in the 2008 edition.</p> <p>The WG group felt that this should be reconsidered by the TG. This proposal addresses that concern by preserving the 2008 window and door U-factor requirements for Zones 1 & 3. All other values remain amended to be consistent with the 2009 IECC Table 402.1 as decided by the TG.</p>
----------------	---

Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
---------------------------------------	--------

Modification of Proposed Change:	
---	--

Committee Reason:	
--------------------------	--

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
--	---

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
---------------------------------------	--

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Either we should use the use the 2009 IECC as the baseline for prescriptive fenestration requirements or we should not be saying repeatedly elsewhere in this ballot that for "Committee Reasons" our minimum fenestration (prescriptive only) are based on 2009 IECC (see items P344, P342 as examples of were we defer to the 2009 IECC minimum fenestration requirements.) P349 deviates from the 2009 IECC fenestration requirements with minimal impacts for climate zones 1 and 3 and the confusion of doing this will out weigh any benefits. Recommend for the prescriptive path that minimum fenestration requirements be put back in alignment withthe 2009 IECC.
--	--

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P350	LogID 914	702.2 Energy Cost Performance Levels	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Tom Werst, GDS Associates, Inc, self		
Proposed Change:	<p>Remove the current discrete levels (1), (2), (3), and (4) and replace with the following:</p> <p><u>Points earned are determined by multiplying by 4 the percentage that the building exceeds the designated ICC IECC. (A specific IECC should be referenced)</u></p> <p><u>If it is decided to eliminate the prescriptive path, then wording such as the following could be added to section 702: “The Adopting Entity will designate the ICC IECC to be used based on a periodic review of those that are then available and how widely they have been adopted among the states.”</u></p>		
Reason:	<p>The current discrete steps and cap at 120 points are arbitrary and limit the ability of a builder to achieve additional points toward the “Additional Points from any category” requirement. This change would allow someone that is above one threshold, but not up to the next to still gain credit that can be applied to the additional point requirements. For example, someone with a home that is 45% better than the IECC and qualifies for Silver in all other areas, will have 60 points toward Silver in Energy Efficiency plus another 30 points toward the additional 100 required. The current system instead of saying – “great job, you went significantly beyond silver so here are some extra points” says “too bad, you didn’t make it to 50% better, so you are only getting 60 points”. There are no such discrete steps or caps for the prescriptive path, why have them for the performance path? Increasing the ratio of points to % above ICC IECC is an attempt to equalize the points that can be achieved via the performance path with those that can be achieved with the prescriptive path. The Energy Efficiency section of the NGBS currently has a gross bias in favor of the prescriptive path over the performance path. Prescriptive rating systems for energy efficiency are notoriously poor predictors of actual performance. It is currently A LOT easier to gain points using the prescriptive path than the performance path under the NGBS. This is the exact opposite to the way many building performance experts say it should be. A house is a system, and if you do a lot of things right, but fail miserably on others, your home is not going to be energy efficient. For example, you can use all kinds of advanced framing techniques, lots of insulation, great windows and doors, efficient appliances, super efficient furnace & DHW, insulate foundation and slab, but do a bad job air sealing or have very leaky duct work, and building performance will be poor. Or as above and also do a great job on air sealing and duct sealing, but undersize and poorly install a geothermal system and your electric bills will be through the roof due to electric resistance back-up kicking in. For example, for a building that I am performing both HERS Rating and Green Building Verification, which is well built with a well insulated and air sealed envelope, high efficiency mechanical equipment and no duct work: • HERS Rating of 62 = 38% better = Silver (if accepted) • IECC 2006 = 17.3% better = Bronze • Prescriptive Path = 138 points = Emerald + 18 points toward additional Given the above choices, what builder wouldn’t go the prescriptive path?? Besides being a poor predictor of true performance, the prescriptive path also requires more effort to verify, driving up verification costs. The current Section 702 is mute on which version ICC IECC to use, and provides no guidance on which one to select. It appears that the NGBS was written so that the current IECC(now, or soon to be 2009 in most states because IECC 2009 adoption is required to receive American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funding) is to be used for the performance path, so as the bar is raised with subsequent IECCs, buildings will need to be more energy efficient in order to achieve the same certification levels, which seems makes sense, at first blush. However, there is no such automatic raising of the bar for the prescriptive path. So as it gets more difficult to achieve a given level with the performance path, the few builders who might have chosen the performance path will quickly switch to the prescriptive path. If there are provisions for raising the bar on the performance path, there should be similar provisions for the prescriptive path. Since this would require significant review of the new IECC vs the prescriptive practices, this is best left to a revision of the NGBS. Since only raising the bar on the performance path will simply result in builders switching to the prescriptive path, doing so is at best futile, and at worst, counterproductive if the goal is to have more efficient homes built. Also, changing the basis of scoring under a particular version of the standard, rather than waiting until the next revision of ICC 700, will make it more difficult to compare buildings that have been measured against the standard – i.e. a newer Silver rated building under ICC700-2008 could perform better than a gold rated building under ICC700-2008 that was evaluated against an older IECC, creating confusion in the market. If it is decided to eliminate the prescriptive path, and allow the IECC that is being used to change without updating the version of the NGBS, then wording such as the following should be added to section 702 to provide guidance around which IECC to use: “The Adopting Entity will designate the ICC IECC to be used based on a periodic review of those that are then available and how widely they have been adopted among the states.”</p>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Rejected based on ease of use. Section 7 has been re-organized for consistency and clarity (P412). Committee disagree with the proposed approach for assigning points.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41		

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P351	LogID 335	702.2 Energy Cost Performance Levels	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)
Proposed Change:	702.2 Energy cost performance levels. Energy efficiency features are implemented to achieve energy cost performance that exceeds the ICC IECC by the following. A documented analysis using software in accordance with ICC IECC, Section 404, or ICC IECC Section 506.2 through 506.5, applied as defined in the ICC IECC, is required. (1) 10 45percent (2) 20 30-percent (3) 30 50-percent (4) 40 60 percent
Reason:	In order to maintain credibility as the residential “green” standard and consistency with the commercial green code (IgCC) this standard should aim to be more efficient than the most recent edition of the National Model Energy Code (2012 IECC). Assuming this standard updates the IECC reference to the 2012 IECC, these improvement targets should be revised in recognition of the significantly increased performance requirements of the 2012 IECC over the 2006 IECC.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The new baseline is 2009 IECC. The proposed thresholds are not appropriate for the 2009 IECC baseline.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P352	LogID 45	702.2 Energy Cost Performance Levels	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	-----------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Energy efficiency features are implemented to achieve energy cost performance that exceeds the ICC IECC by the following. A documented analysis using software in accordance with ICC IECC, Section 404, or ICC IECC Section 506.2 through 506.5, applied as defined in the ICC IECC, is required. 15 percent 30 30 percent 60 50 percent 100 60 percent 120 <u>Energy efficiency features are implemented to achieve a HERS index prior to adding alternative energy sources (such as PV) as follows.</u> HERS 80 30 pts

	<u>HERS 70 40 pts</u> <u>HERS 60 60 pts</u> <u>HERS 50 85 pts</u> add 3 points to 85 for each point below HERS 50 (These are suggested point ranges and could be modified by committee)
Reason:	This could replace or be a supplement to 702.2. The HERS index is tied to a standard reference. The IECC is a floating value such that it is hard to compare homes based on this differing reference. As an alternative the HERS index requirement could become more stringent in subsequent revisions based on a more stringent IECC. As program director for Build Green NM, I have certified well over 100 homes at the Silver and Gold levels yet most score in the 20% to 30% improvement based on the 2006 IECC. The HERS Index for these homes ranges from HERS 60 to HERS 49. Related to this, Section 704 should not count to raise the level of energy efficiency beyond level achieved in either 702 or 703. These points should go to the "extra" points needed.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The basis for energy efficiency in Chapter 7 is the 2009 IECC not the HERS index. The HERS index is not a good measure of energy efficiency above the 2009 IECC. It complicates the methodology and is based on the modified load calculation which is inconsistent with the code.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P353 LogID 347 702.2 Energy Cost Performance Levels <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
Submitter:	Steve Vollstedt, HERS-NM, LLC
Proposed Change:	SEE FOLLOWING COMMENT.
Reason:	Consider simplifying this requirement by awarding points based on energy performance improvements compared to the HERS index rather than energy cost performance improvements measured against the IECC. Many builders and homeowners can now at least generally understand the HERS index system, so why complicate it with the IECC stuff when the HERS index does substantially the same thing?
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The basis for energy efficiency in Chapter 7 is the 2009 IECC not the HERS index. The HERS index is not a good measure of energy efficiency above the baseline. It complicates the methodology and is based on the modified load calculation which is inconsistent with the code.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P354	LogID TG5-26	702.2 Energy Cost Performance	Final Formal Action: Reject
	Levels		

Submitter:	Sean Penrith, Earth Advantage Institute
Proposed Change:	Energy efficiency measures are installed to achieve the following Energy Performance Score (EPS) ratings: EPS 100 30 points EPS 75 60 points EPS 50 90 points EPS 25 120 points EPS 0 150 points
Reason:	<p>Energy Performance Score is a newly developed metric for calculating and communicating the energy use in new and existing residential buildings. In this scale, lower numbers mean less energy use. The streamlined assessment protocol requires fewer measurements than other modeling approaches while maintaining the same or better accuracy. An easy-to-use, online scoring tool allows raters to quickly calculate the score using only 23 data inputs. A robust web-based portal allows EPS to become the center of a results-based decision process that involves construction, sales, appraisal, and lending function. EPS can be used with new construction and existing homes. Research conducted by the Energy Trust of Oregon and Earth Advantage Institute shows the EPS scoring tool to be as accurate as other energy modeling software for new construction and more accurate for existing homes.</p> <p>Like other performance metrics, EPS allows complete flexibility in building design and material selection while encouraging innovation. EPS methodology includes active and passive solar, equipment efficiency, and building envelope features. Adopting the EPS rating would remove the need to reference a specific code version. Progress is expressed along a fixed scale, although adopting entities would have the flexibility to adjust point targets to reflect local climate and market conditions.</p> <p>Unique to all other rating and labeling approaches, EPS expresses the actual consumption of a building, which is the primary cause of environmental impact. Other ratings obscure the impact by adjusting for building size or climate. Ultimately, the goal of the NGBS is to reduce impact, so a direct measure is the fastest approach.</p> <p>An EPS rating could replace the current reference to percentage improvements compared to the IECC in Section 702.2 or it could offer a different performance path under Section 702.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The EPS is not based on the 2009 IECC which is the basis for the NGBS. In addition, this seems regional and has not been tested nationally yet. Furthermore, the metric is for existing buildings.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P355	LogID 309	703.1.1 Total Building Thermal Envelope	Final Formal Action: Reject
	UA		

Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA
Proposed Change:	Where the total building thermal envelope UA is less than required by ICC <u>the 2012 IECC</u> , Section 402.1.4, the total building thermal envelope UA is in accordance with Table 703.1.1. Where insulation is used to achieve these percentages, a third-party grading of the installation as achieving Grade 1 is required. A documented analysis is performed using <u>a version of REScheck version 4.0.1 or later that is based on the 2012 IECC</u> , or equivalent <u>compliance software</u> , based on a comparison to the ICC IECC, IRC, or IBC.
Reason:	This proposal updates the requirement to use the appropriate version of REScheck (or equivalent software) when calculating the Total UA improvement in the proposed design. While REScheck typically offers the option to calculate compliance according to earlier versions of the IECC, the NGBS should ensure that the program is keyed to the 2012 IECC to show compliance.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Rejected because 2009 IECC is baseline for Chapter 7.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P356	LogID 336	703.1.1 Total Building Thermal Envelope	Final Formal Action:
UA			Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	<p>Where the total building thermal envelope UA is less than required by ICC IECC, Section 402.1.4, <u>points may be awarded the total building thermal envelope UA is in accordance with Table 703.1.1. Percentage of UA improvement over the ICC IECC shall be verified with a compliance report generated using the most recent version of REScheck.</u> Where insulation is used to achieve these percentages, <u>insulation must achieve a Grade 1 installation as verified by a third-party grading of the installation as achieving Grade 1 is required.</u> A documented analysis is performed using REScheck version 4.0.1 or later, or equivalent, based on a comparison to the ICC IECC, IRC, or IBC.</p> <p>Renovation Note: The existing whole building thermal envelope UA is evaluated. One of the following is selected based on the evaluation.</p> <p>(1) If the overall thermal performance meets or exceeds the requirements of ICC IECC, Section 401.1.4, Section 703.1.1 applies to the renovation.</p> <p>(2) If the existing overall thermal performance is below the requirements of ICC IECC, Section 402.1.4, the overall thermal performance of the whole building thermal envelope UA is improved a minimum of the following:</p> <p>a. 15 percent b. 30 percent c. 45 percent, or meets the requirements of ICC IECC, Section 402.1.4</p>		
Reason:	This proposal editorially revises the first section for ease of use and understanding. The proposed revision in (2) c. recommends deleting language that conflicts with the statement in (2).		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Proposed language is rejected in favor of P359 and P569.		
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P357	LogID 348	703.1.1 Total Building Thermal Envelope	Final Formal Action:
UA			Reject

Submitter:	Steve Vollstedt, HERS-NM, LLC
Proposed Change:	SEE COMMENT BELOW.
Reason:	There is too much of a jump in points from achieving a 10% reduction to a 20% reduction. The table should be expanded to provide points for 11%, 12%, 13%, etc.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proposed change is too granular for the Standard. However, the table has been revised to include additional UA improvement ranges (see P359).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P358	LogID 915	703.1.1 Total Building Thermal Envelope	Final Formal Action:
UA			Reject

Submitter:	Raymond Fiehler, Retired, self
Proposed Change:	Revise frame/foundation connection for low rise residential construction. Frame/Foundation Change for Low Rise Residential For more than 100 years the template for low rise residential construction has been PLATFORM FRAMING. Platform framing is a building system dating back to the 19th century and still used today for most low rise commercial and residential construction. This system is intrinsically flawed and restrictive to innovation and is mostly responsible for the fragmentation endemic to residential construction. Basic elements of this system are shown in figure 1. The assembly order for walls in platform framing is such that services hardware and insulation can be added only after an open sided wall is installed, thus restricting innovative approaches. Additionally, please note the vulnerability for unwanted air infiltration. Seismic activity and distortions of the wooden components in the frame/foundation connection from temperature and humidity variations cause openings to develop around the building perimeter to allow unwanted air infiltration. Engineering design considerations to correct this basic flaw have never been addressed. For “net zero energy” to succeed, it is imperative that a flexible insulating gasket becomes part of this junction. Attaching a wooden component directly to a concrete foundation is simply bad engineering. Good engineering always requires an appropriate interface when dealing with dissimilar materials. Consider instead a revised system illustrated in figures 2 and 3. Modifying the frame/foundation connection, not only stop all

	<p>unwanted air infiltration it also leads to true panelized construction. By adopting this simplified connection, all envelope components including walls, roof and floor are factory produced and delivered to the job site for assembly by a trained work crew. Sprinkler plumbing, insulation and other service hardware are all pre-installed before delivery. Inter-connection of the various utilities imbedded in the panels is done after the envelope is complete and the assembly crew is gone (See figure 3)</p> <p>Advantages include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Simplifies and strengthens the load path between the roof and foundation 2. Provides a convenient electric wiring chase for all wiring. In addition to basic wiring, modern homes require high speed internet cables to connect the home to the outside world. This also includes wiring for heating control, surveillance cameras, computers, printers, music and home theater systems. 3. The closed cell, insecticide laced insulating gasket shown in the frame/foundation connection also provides an insect barrier. 4. Forms the basis for true panelized construction where all envelope components are factory produced in a factory controlled environment and assembled on the job site by a trained work crew. 5. Insulation: Study after study has shown cellulose insulation to be a far better than fiberglass. "On site" builders continue to use fiberglass for convenience. 6. Energy conservation. The new frame/foundation connection stops all unwanted air infiltration in the frame/foundation connection. 7. Moisture control: Controlling air infiltration also controls damaging moisture accumulation. 8. Load bearing strength. In this new configuration, loading is transferred away from framing studs to the panel skins. This reduces framing lumber requirements and increases load strength. 9. Quality. All components for this structure are factory produced in a controlled environment before they are delivered to the job site for assembly. 10. Resale value. Quality design and low energy usage will enhance the resale value of structures using this design. 11. Lower insurance rates. Time will show that these structures are less vulnerable to damage from natural forces. 12. Home comfort. This construction method results in reduced noise levels, and provides for homogeneous temperature distribution. 13. Blower door test. With proper fenestration management, this structure will comfortably pass all blower door tests throughout its' lifetime
Reason:	<p>Improve energy efficiency in residential homes.</p> <p>See Attachments file for supporting documents.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed construction practice is not prohibited by the Standard.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41</p> <p>Approve: 41</p> <p>Disapprove: 0</p> <p>Abstain: 0</p> <p>Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P359	LogID TG5-6	703.1.1 Total building thermal envelope UA	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5		
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Delete Section 703.1.1 (except addition and renovation notes) and replace with the following:</i></p> <p><u>The total building thermal envelope UA (sum of U-factor times assembly area) is in accordance with Table 703.1.2 and is less than or equal to the total UA resulting from the U-factors provided in Table 703.1.1. Where insulation is used to achieve the UA improvements, a third-party grading of the installation as achieving Grade 1 is required. Total UA is documented using REScheck or equivalent report and supplied to verify the baseline and the UA improvement.</u></p>		

Table 703.1.1
Equivalent U-Factors^a
(2009 IECC Table 402.1.3)

CLIMATE ZONE	FENESTRATION U-FACTOR	SKYLIGHT U-FACTOR	CEILING U-FACTOR	FRAME WALL U-FACTOR	MASS WALL U-FACTOR ^b	FLOOR U-FACTOR	BASEMENT WALL U-FACTOR	CRAWL SPACE WALL U-FACTOR ^c
1	1.2	0.75	0.035	0.082	0.197	0.064	0.36	0.477
2	0.65	0.75	0.035	0.082	0.165	0.064	0.36	0.477
3	.50	0.65	0.035	0.082	0.141	0.047	0.91	0.136
4 except Marine	.35	0.60	0.030	0.082	0.141	0.047	0.059	0.065
5 and Marine 4	.35	0.60	0.030	0.057	0.082	0.033	0.059	0.065
6	.35	0.60	0.026	0.057	0.060	0.033	0.050	0.065
7 and 9	.35	0.60	0.026	0.057	0.057	0.028	0.050	0.065

- a. Nonfenestration U-factors shall be obtained from measurement, calculation, or an approved source.
b. When more the half the insulation is on the interior, the mass wall U-factors is a maximum of 0.17 in Zone 1, 0.14 in Zone 2, 0.12 in Zone 3, 0.10 in Zone 4 except in Marine, and the same as the frame wall U-factor in Marine Zone 4 and Zones 5 through 8.
c. Basement wall U-factor of 0.360 in warm-humid locations.

Table 703.1.2
Improvement in Total Building
Thermal Envelope UA

Minimum UA Improvement	Climate Zone					
	1	2	3	4	5-6	7-8
	Points					
0 to < 5%	0	0	0	0	0	0
5% to <10%	5	6	7	8	9	9
10% to <15%	10	12	14	16	18	18
15% to <20%	15	18	21	24	27	27
=20%	20	24	28	32	36	36

Reason:	Insulation and air sealing is a mandatory requirement in the 2009 IECC and not restricted to the prescriptive path.								
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified								
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add the following to the proposed change:</i></p> <p>703.1.4 Radiant barriers A radiant barrier with an emittance of 0.05 or less is used. The product is tested in accordance with ASTM C-1371-98 or ASTM E408-71 (2002) and is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's installation specifications.</p> <table> <tr> <td>Climate Zones</td> <td>Points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1-3</td> <td>2 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>1 point</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5-8</td> <td>0 points</td> </tr> </table>	Climate Zones	Points	1-3	2 points	4	1 point	5-8	0 points
Climate Zones	Points								
1-3	2 points								
4	1 point								
5-8	0 points								
Committee Reason:	Radiant barriers are provided as an option for reducing cooling load in hot/warm climates.								
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0								
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<p>Bruce Boncke: Points TBD Jeff Inks:</p> <p>As a matter of clarification, the window and exterior door U-factor values in Climate Zone 1 should be 0.65, and 0.40 in CZ 3 to be consistent with approval of P349 which is the committee's intent.</p> <p>In addition, "FENESTRATION U-FACTOR" should either be revised to "WINDOWS and EXTERIOR DOORS U-</p>								

	FACTOR” or the following footnote should be added to the column heading: “The fenestration U-factor column excludes skylights.”
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Randall Melvin: We are inconsistent in allowing grade 2 insulation installations under P361 but not here. Recommend revising to allow grade 2 insulation as well as grade one.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P360	LogID 229	703.1.2 Insulation Installation Grades	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management
Proposed Change:	Delete descriptions of grade 2 and 3 insulation. Require grade 1 insulation as mandatory without points. Retain the inspection requirement. Add specifications for correctly installed foundation insulation. Remove Sections 703.1.2.3 and 703.1.2.4. Remove references to Grades 2 and 3 throughout 703.1.2. Remove Table 703.1.2. Relocate remaining 703.1.2 to Section 701.4 mandatory practices.
Reason:	The insulation and air sealing requirements of the 2012 IECC are strong enough that it is unlikely some grade 2 and 3 homes would even meet code, much less qualify as an exemplary residence. Insulation needs to be installed completely and correctly, otherwise the high levels of insulation being specified in new homes is compromised.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P371.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P361	LogID 337	703.1.2 Insulation Installation Grades	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	---	--

Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)
Proposed Change:	703.1.2 The insulation installation is graded by a third party and <u>must achieve a Grade 1 or Grade 2 installation</u> is in accordance with Sections 703.1.2.1, 703.1.2.2, 703.1.2.3, and/or 703.1.2.4 , as applicable. <u>(Grade 3 installations shall not be permitted.)</u> (Points not awarded in this section if already awarded under Section 703.1.1) <i>Delete Grade 3 from table 703.1.2.</i> 703.1.2.1 Both Grade 1 and Grade 2 installations are in accordance with the following: (1) <u>Grading applies to Grades</u> apply to cavity fill insulation, continuous rigid insulation, and any other field-installed insulation products. (2) Grading applies to ceilings, walls, floors, band joists, rim joists, conditioned attics, basements and crawlspaces.

	<p>except as specifically noted.</p> <p>(3) Inspection is conducted before insulation is covered.</p> <p>(2) Insulation is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and/or industry standards.</p> <p>(3)-(4) Wall cavity Air permeable insulation is enclosed on all six sides and is in substantial contact with the sheathing material on one or more sides (interior or exterior) of the cavity. <u>Air permeable insulation in ceilings is not required to be enclosed when the insulation is installed in substantial contact with the surfaces it is intended to insulate.</u></p> <p>703.1.2.2 Grade 1 installation is in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(1) <u>Cavity i</u>nsulation uniformly fills each cavity side-to-side and top-to-bottom, without substantial gaps or voids around obstructions (such as blocking or bridging).</p> <p>(2) <u>Cavity insulation, c</u>ompression or incomplete fill amounts to 2 percent or less, presuming the <u>compressed or incomplete areas are</u> compression or fill is a minimum of 70 percent of the intended fill thickness; occasional small gaps are acceptable.</p> <p>(3) Exterior rigid insulation has substantial contact with the structural framing members or sheathing materials and is tightly fitted at joints.</p> <p>(4) Cavity insulation is split, installed and/or fitted tightly around wiring and other services.</p> <p>(5) Exterior sheathing is not visible from the interior through gaps in the cavity insulation.</p> <p>(6) Faced batt insulation is permitted to have side-stapled tabs, provided the tabs are stapled neatly with no buckling, and provided the batt is compressed only at the edges of each cavity to the depth of the tab itself.</p> <p>(7) Where properly installed <u>and undamaged</u>, ICFs, SIPs, and other wall systems that provide integral insulation are deemed in compliance with the Grade 1 insulation installation requirements.</p> <p>(8) Grade 1 insulation meets or exceeds all requirements for Grade 2 insulation.</p> <p>703.1.2.3 Grade 2 installation is in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(1) A maximum of 2 percent of the surface area of insulation is missing. Compression or incomplete fill amounts to 10 percent or less, presuming the <u>compressed or incomplete areas are</u> compression or fill is a minimum of 70 percent of the intended fill thickness.</p> <p>(2) In conditioned basements or crawlspaces the following apply:</p> <p>(a) Insulation is installed in complete contact with the subfloor surfaces.</p> <p>(b) Floor insulation over vented or ambient conditions is enclosed on six sides.</p> <p>(3) Floor insulation over unconditioned basements is not required to be enclosed on six sides.</p> <p>(4) Ceiling insulation is not required to be enclosed when the insulation is installed in complete contact with the dry wall or plywood surfaces it is intended to insulate.</p> <p>(5) Eave baffles or equivalent construction is installed to prevent wind washing.</p> <p>(6) Installation with occasional installation defects is permitted: gaps around wiring, electrical outlets, plumbing and other intrusions; rounded edges or shoulders.</p> <p>703.1.2.4 Grade 3 installation is in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(1) Standard insulation installation not in accordance with Grade 1 or Grade 2 criteria.</p>
Reason:	The proposal suggests deleting Grade 3 insulation text from this standard as the language / requirements do not add incremental value to the standard. Changes also add clarity to the type of insulation to which the requirements apply.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p>703.1.2 The insulation installation is graded by a third party and <u>must achieve a Grade 1 or Grade 2 installation</u> is in accordance with Sections 703.1.2.1, 703.1.2.2, <u>and/or</u> 703.1.2.3, <u>and/or</u> 703.1.2.4, as applicable. <u>(Grade 3 installations are not permitted.)</u></p> <p>(Points not awarded in this section if already awarded under Section 703.1.1)</p> <p><i>Delete Grade 3 from table 703.1.2.</i></p> <p>703.1.2.1 Both Grade 1 and Grade 2 installations are in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(1) <u>Grading applies to</u> Grades apply to cavity fill insulation, continuous rigid insulation, and any other field-installed insulation products.</p> <p>(2) <u>Grading applies to ceilings, walls, floors, band joists, rim joists, conditioned attics, basements and crawlspaces,</u> except as specifically noted.</p> <p>(3) Inspection is conducted before insulation is covered.</p> <p>(2) Insulation is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and/or industry standards.</p>

	<p>(3)(4) Wall cavity Air permeable insulation is enclosed on all six sides and is in substantial contact with the sheathing material on one or more sides (interior or exterior) of the cavity. <u>Air permeable insulation in ceilings is not required to be enclosed when the insulation is installed in substantial contact with the surfaces it is intended to insulate.</u></p> <p>703.1.2.2 Grade 1 installation is in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(1) Cavity insulation uniformly fills each cavity side-to-side and top-to-bottom, without substantial gaps or voids around obstructions (such as blocking or bridging).</p> <p>(2) Cavity insulation, compression or incomplete fill amounts to 2 percent or less, presuming the <u>compressed or incomplete areas are compression or fill</u> is a minimum of 70 percent of the intended fill thickness; occasional small gaps are acceptable.</p> <p>(3) Exterior rigid insulation has substantial contact with the structural framing members or sheathing materials and is tightly fitted at joints.</p> <p>(4) Cavity insulation is split, installed and/or fitted tightly around wiring and other services.</p> <p>(5) Exterior sheathing is not visible from the interior through gaps in the cavity insulation.</p> <p>(6) Faced batt insulation is permitted to have side-stapled tabs, provided the tabs are stapled neatly with no buckling, and provided the batt is compressed only at the edges of each cavity to the depth of the tab itself.</p> <p>(7) Where properly installed <u>and undamaged</u>, ICFs, SIPs, and other wall systems that provide integral insulation are deemed in compliance with the Grade 1 insulation installation requirements.</p> <p>(8) Grade 1 insulation meets or exceeds all requirements for Grade 2 insulation.</p> <p>703.1.2.3 Grade 2 installation is in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(1) A maximum of 2 percent of the surface area of insulation is missing. Compression or incomplete fill amounts to 10 percent or less, presuming the <u>compressed or incomplete areas are compression or fill</u> is a minimum of 70 percent of the intended fill thickness.</p> <p>(2) In <u>un</u>conditioned basements or crawlspaces the following apply:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Insulation is installed in <u>complete</u>substantial contact with the subfloor surfaces.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Floor insulation over vented or ambient conditions is enclosed on six sides.</p> <p>(4)(3) Ceiling insulation is not required to be enclosed when the insulation is installed in <u>complete</u>substantial contact with the drywall or plywood surfaces it is intended to insulate.</p> <p>(5)(4) Eave baffles or equivalent construction is installed to prevent wind <u>washing</u>intrusion.</p> <p>(6)(5) Installation with occasional installation defects is permitted: gaps around wiring, electrical outlets, plumbing and other intrusions; rounded edges or shoulders.</p> <p>703.1.2.4 Grade 3 installation is in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(1) Standard insulation installation not in accordance with Grade 1 or Grade 2 criteria.</p>
Committee Reason:	"Undamaged" is redundant and covered by "properly installed." Updated item 703.1.2.3(2) for clarity.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<p>Randall Melvin: Under 703.1.2.1 item (4) it is essential to reinstate "Wall cavity " prior to Air permeable. Requiring air barrier on all 6 sides of rim and ceiling/attic insulation is highly impractical and severely restricts the types of insulation which could be used in these application to air impermeable materials only as it is not practical to install air barriers on all 6 sides of insulation in these rim and attic applications. Good air sealing and on 5 sides enclosure are ample in these rim and attic applications.</p> <p>Under item 703.1.2.3 (4) it is not possible to "prevent" wind intrusion. More realistic and accurate to say, "minimize or limit" wind intrusion.</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P362	LogID TG5-7	703.2 Insulation and air sealing	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5		
Proposed Change:	<i>Delete Section 703.2 Insulation and Air Sealing without substitution. Delete 701.4.3 Insulation and Air Sealing in its entirety and replace with the following:</i>		

701.4.3 Insulation and air sealing

701.4.3.1 Building Thermal Envelope – (IECC 402.4.1) The building thermal envelope shall be durably sealed to limit infiltration. The sealing methods between dissimilar materials shall allow for differential expansion and contraction. The following shall be caulked, gasketed, weather-stripped or otherwise sealed with an air barrier material, suitable film or solid material:

1. All joints, seams and penetrations.
2. Site-built windows, doors and skylights
3. Openings between window and door assemblies and their respective jambs and framing.
4. Utility penetrations.
5. Dropped ceilings or chases adjacent to the thermal envelope.
6. Knee walls.
7. Walls and ceilings separating a garage from conditioned spaces.
8. Behind tubs and showers on exterior walls.
9. Common walls between dwelling units.
10. Attic access openings.
11. Rim joist junction.
12. Other sources of infiltration.

701.4.3.2 – Air sealing and insulation Building envelope air tightness and insulation installation shall be demonstrated to comply with one of the following options given below.

(1) **Testing option.** Building envelope tightness and insulation installation shall be considered acceptable when tested air leakage is less than seven air changes per hour (ACH) when tested with a blower door at a pressure of 33.5 psf (50 Pa). Testing shall occur after rough in and after installation of penetrations of the building envelope, including penetrations for utilities, plumbing, electrical, ventilation and combustion appliances.

During testing:

10. Exterior windows and doors, fireplace and stove doors shall be closed, but not sealed;
11. Dampers shall be closed, but not sealed, including exhaust, intake, makeup air, backdraft and flue dampers;
12. Interior doors shall be open;
13. Exterior openings for continuous ventilation systems and heat recovery ventilators shall be closed and sealed;
14. Heating and cooling system(s) shall be turned off;
15. HVAC ducts shall not be sealed; and
16. Supply and return registers shall not be sealed.

(2) **Visual inspection option** Building envelope tightness and insulation installation shall be considered acceptable when the items listed in 701.4.3.6 applicable to the method of construction, are field verified.

701.4.3.3 – Fireplaces – New wood-burning fireplaces shall have gasketed doors and outdoor combustion air.

701.4.3.4 – Fenestration air leakage – Windows, skylights and sliding glass doors shall have an air infiltration rate of no more than 0.3 cfm per square foot (1.5 L/s/m²), and swinging doors no more than 0.5 cfm per square foot (2.6 L/s/ m²), when tested according to NFRC 400 or AAMA/WDMA/CSA 101/I.S.2/A440 by an accredited, independent laboratory and listed and labeled by the manufacturer.

Exceptions: Site built windows, skylights and doors

701.4.3.5 – Recessed lighting - Recessed luminaires installed in the building thermal envelope shall be sealed to limit air leakage between conditioned and unconditioned spaces. All recessed luminaires shall be IC-rated and labeled as meeting ASTM E 283 when tested at 1.57 psf (75 Pa) pressure differential with no more than 2.0 cfm (0.944 L/s) of air movement from the conditioned space to the ceiling cavity. All recessed luminaires shall be sealed with a gasket or caulk between the housing and the interior wall or ceiling covering.

701.4.3.6

COMPONENT	CRITERIA
Air barrier and thermal barrier	Exterior thermal envelope insulation for framed walls is installed in substantial contact and continuous alignment with building envelope air barrier. Breaks or joints in the air barrier are filled or repaired. Air-permeable insulation is not used as a sealing material. Air-permeable insulation is inside of an air barrier.
Ceiling/attic	Air barrier in any dropped ceiling/soffit is substantially aligned with insulation and

	<u>any gaps are sealed.</u> <u>Attic access (except unvented attic), knee wall door, or drop down stair is sealed.</u>
<u>Walls</u>	<u>Corners and headers are insulated.</u> <u>Junction of foundation and sill plate is sealed.</u>
<u>Windows and doors</u>	<u>Space between window/door jambs and framing is sealed.</u>
<u>Rim joists</u>	<u>Rim joists are insulated and include an air barrier.</u>
<u>Floors</u> <u>(including above-garage and cantilevered floors)</u>	<u>Insulation is installed to maintain permanent contact with underside of subfloor decking.</u> <u>Air barrier is installed at any exposed edge of insulation.</u>
<u>Crawl space walls</u>	<u>Insulation is permanently attached to walls.</u> <u>Exposed earth in unvented crawl spaces is covered with Class I vapor retarder with overlapping joints taped.</u>
<u>Shafts, penetrations</u>	<u>Duct shafts, utility penetrations, knee walls and flue shafts opening to exterior or unconditioned space are sealed.</u>
<u>Narrow cavities</u>	<u>Batts in narrow cavities are cut to fit, or narrow cavities are filled by sprayed/blown insulation.</u>
<u>Garage separation</u>	<u>Air sealing is provided between the garage and conditioned spaces.</u>
<u>Recessed lighting</u>	<u>Recessed light fixtures are air tight, IC rated, and sealed to drywall.</u> <u>Exception—fixtures in conditioned space.</u>
<u>Plumbing and wiring</u>	<u>Insulation is placed between outside and pipes. Batt insulation is cut to fit around wiring and plumbing, or sprayed/blown insulation extends behind piping and wiring.</u>
<u>Shower/tub on exterior wall</u>	<u>Showers and tubs on exterior walls have insulation and an air barrier separating them from the exterior wall.</u>
<u>Electrical/phone box on exterior walls</u>	<u>Air barrier extends behind boxes or air sealed-type boxes are installed.</u>
<u>Common wall</u>	<u>Air barrier is installed in common wall between dwelling units.</u>
<u>HVAC register boots</u>	<u>HVAC register boots that penetrate building envelope are sealed to subfloor or drywall.</u>
<u>Fireplace</u>	<u>Fireplace walls include an air barrier.</u>

Reason:	Reason: Insulation and air sealing is a mandatory requirement in the 2009 IECC and not restricted to the prescriptive path.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p><i>Delete Section 703.2 Insulation and Air Sealing without replacement. Delete 701.4.3 Insulation and Air Sealing in its entirety and replace with the following:</i></p> <p><u>701.4.3 Insulation and air sealing</u></p> <p><u>701.4.3.1 Building Thermal Envelope.</u> The building thermal envelope shall be durably sealed to limit infiltration. The sealing methods between dissimilar materials shall allow for differential expansion and contraction. The following shall be caulked, gasketed, weather-stripped or otherwise sealed with an air barrier material, suitable film or solid material:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. All joints, seams and penetrations.</u> <u>2. Site-built windows, doors and skylights</u> <u>3. Openings between window and door assemblies and their respective jambs and framing.</u> <u>4. Utility penetrations.</u> <u>5. Dropped ceilings or chases adjacent to the thermal envelope.</u> <u>6. Knee walls.</u> <u>7. Walls and ceilings separating a garage from conditioned spaces.</u> <u>8. Behind tubs and showers on exterior walls.</u> <u>9. Common walls between dwelling units.</u> <u>10. Attic access openings.</u> <u>11. Rim joist junction.</u> <u>12. Other sources of infiltration.</u> <p><u>701.4.3.2 Air sealing and insulation.</u> The compliance of the building envelope air tightness and insulation installation shall be demonstrated to comply with one of the following options given below in accordance with 701.4.3.2(1) or 701.4.3.2(2).</p> <p>(1) <u>Testing option.</u> Building envelope tightness and insulation installation shall be considered acceptable when tested air leakage is less than seven air changes per hour (ACH) when tested with a blower door at a pressure of 33.5 psf (50 Pa). Testing shall occur is conducted after rough in and after installation of penetrations of the building envelope, including penetrations for utilities, plumbing, electrical, ventilation and combustion appliances.</p>

During testing:

17. Exterior windows and doors, fireplace and stove doors shall beare closed, but not sealed;
18. Dampers shall beare closed, but not sealed, including exhaust, intake, makeup air, backdraft and flue dampers;
19. Interior doors shall beare open;
20. Exterior openings for continuous ventilation systems and heat recovery ventilators shall beare closed and sealed;
21. Heating and cooling system(s) shall beais turned off;
22. HVAC ducts shall are not be sealed; and
23. Supply and return registers shall are not be sealed.

(2) Visual inspection option. Building envelope tightness and insulation installation shall beare considered acceptable when the items listed in 701.4.3.65 applicable to the method of construction, are field verified.

~~701.4.3.3 Fireplaces—New wood burning fireplaces shall have gasketed doors and outdoor combustion air.~~

701.4.3.43 Fenestration air leakage. Windows, skylights and sliding glass doors shall have an air infiltration rate of no more than 0.3 cfm per square foot (1.5 L/s/m²), and swinging doors no more than 0.5 cfm per square foot (2.6 L/s/ m²), when tested according to NFRC 400 or AAMA/WDMA/CSA 101/I.S.2/A440 by an accredited, independent laboratory and listed and labeled by the manufacturer.

Exceptions: Site built windows, skylights and doors

701.4.3.54 Recessed lighting. Recessed luminaires installed in the building thermal envelope shall beare sealed to limit air leakage between conditioned and unconditioned spaces. All recessed luminaires shall beare IC-rated and labeled as meeting ASTM E 283 when tested at 1.57 psf (75 Pa) pressure differential with no more than 2.0 cfm (0.944 L/s) of air movement from the conditioned space to the ceiling cavity. All recessed luminaires shall beare sealed with a gasket or caulk between the housing and the interior wall or ceiling covering.

701.4.3.65

COMPONENT	CRITERIA
<u>Air barrier and thermal barrier</u>	<u>Exterior thermal envelope insulation for framed walls is installed in substantial contact and continuous alignment with building envelope air barrier.</u> <u>Breaks or joints in the air barrier are filled or repaired.</u> <u>Air-permeable insulation is not used as a sealing material.</u> <u>Air-permeable insulation is inside of an air barrier.</u>
<u>Ceiling/attic</u>	<u>Air barrier in any dropped ceiling/soffit is substantially aligned with insulation and anygaps are sealed.</u> <u>Attic access (except unvented attic), knee wall door, or drop down stair is sealed.</u>
<u>Walls</u>	<u>Corners and headers are insulated.</u> <u>Junction of foundation and sill plate is sealed.</u>
<u>Windows and doors</u>	<u>Space between window/door jambs and framing is sealed.</u>
<u>Rim joists</u>	<u>Rim joists are insulated and include an air barrier.</u>
<u>Floors (including above-garage and cantilevered floors)</u>	<u>Insulation is installed to maintain permanent contact with underside of subfloor decking.</u> <u>Air barrier is installed at any exposed edge of insulation.</u>
<u>Crawl space walls</u>	<u>Insulation is permanently attached to walls.</u> <u>Exposed earth in unvented crawl spaces is covered with Class I vapor retarder with overlapping joints taped.</u>
<u>Shafts, penetrations</u>	<u>Duct shafts, utility penetrations, knee walls and flue shafts opening to exterior or unconditioned space are sealed.</u>
<u>Narrow cavities</u>	<u>Batts in narrow cavities are cut to fit, or narrow cavities are filled by sprayed/blown insulation.</u>
<u>Garage separation</u>	<u>Air sealing is provided between the garage and conditioned spaces.</u>
<u>Recessed lighting</u>	<u>Recessed light fixtures are air tight, IC rated, and sealed to drywall.</u> <u>Exception—fixtures in conditioned space.</u>
<u>Plumbing and wiring</u>	<u>Insulation is placed between outside and pipes. Batt insulation is cut to fit around wiring and plumbing, or sprayed/blown insulation extends behind piping and wiring.</u>
<u>Shower/tub on exterior wall</u>	<u>Showers and tubs on exterior walls have insulation and an air barrier separating them from the exterior wall.</u>
<u>Electrical/phone box on exterior walls</u>	<u>Air barrier extends behind boxes or air sealed-type boxes are installed.</u>

	<u>Common wall</u>	<u>Air barrier is installed in common wall between dwelling units.</u>
	<u>HVAC register boots</u>	<u>HVAC register boots that penetrate building envelope are sealed to subfloor or drywall.</u>
	<u>Fireplace</u>	<u>Fireplace walls include an air barrier.</u>
Committee Reason:	Fireplaces are addressed in P486.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Christine Phillips:</i> Most of the modifications I agree with except one - eliminating the fireplace section was a mistake since this is explicitly called out in the 2009 IECC as a requirement and that is the baseline code for this above code program. I am approving this amendment because I think the reality is something will need to be done to the wood burning fireplace in order to achieve the air infiltration levels required by the NGBS.	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P363	LogID TG5-1	703.2 Insulation and air sealing	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5		
Proposed Change:	703.2.1 - Revise wording and make mandatory This section should now read "Insulation and air sealing is installed in accordance with all of the following and shall be tested with a blower door at a pressure of 50 Pa and air leakage shall be 7 ach50 or less." Items (1) and (2) should be removed along with the associated points.		
Reason:	The 2009 IECC requires either a blower door test or an extensive visual inspection of the home. The blower door test is the path generally enforced by municipalities and easier to enforce.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	This proposed change is more strigent than the base of the 2009 IECC and this was addressed in P362.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P364	LogID 214	703.2.1.1 General	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Amy Schmidt, Dow Building Solutions		
Proposed Change:	703.2.1.1.3 Narrow cavities. Narrow cavities filled and <u>with foam or batts</u> are cut to fit.		
Reason:	The existing language is limiting to other solutions. It should be made clear that there are other applications that are available and that meet this requirement.		

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P365	LogID 323	703.2.1.1 General	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	<p>703.2.1.1.1 Air and thermal barriers</p> <p>(1) Thermal insulation is installed in substantial contact with interior and exterior the air barrier to provide continuous alignment of the insulation with the air barrier. The following are deemed to be their own air barrier:</p> <p>(a) Any spray or rigid foam insulation with an air permeance of 0.02 L/s·m² or less at 75 Pa. <u>Insulation with an air permeability no greater than 0.02 L/s·m² (0.004 cfm/ft²) under a pressure differential of 75 Pa (0.3 in. water) when tested in accordance with ASTM E2178.</u></p> <p>(b) ICFs, SIPS, and other wall systems that provide their own air barrier, except at interfaces with other materials or assemblies, or penetrations.</p> <p>(c) Spray foam that complies with all of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) continuously attached to the top, bottom and both sides of the cavity. (ii) continuous in the cavity without any unrepaired breaks. (iii) air impermeable. <u>installed at a minimum thickness that meets the requirements of 703.2.1.1.1(a)</u> <p>(d) Air impermeable insulation.</p>		
Reason:	For the first proposed revision, the exterior envelope may have only an air barrier (may not have interior and exterior air barriers). The second proposed revision adds the appropriate test requirement of ASTM E2178, and editorially revises the language. The proposed revisions in (c) revise the undefined term of “air impermeable” in favor of the performance requirement of 703.2.1.1.1(a). The last proposed revision in this section deletes (d) as this language is unneeded in lieu of the performance requirements of (a). Also, “air impermeable” is undefined in this standard. An alternative to the revisions proposed here would be to incorporate into this section of this standard revisions approved for the 2012 IECC for requirements for insulation and air barriers.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P366	LogID 324	703.2.1.1 General	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	703.2.1.1.1 Air and thermal barriers <i>Unchanged sections not shown.</i> (4) Any exterior rigid insulation is tightly fitted or interlocking at the with joints that are sealed. in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions for an air barrier.		
Reason:	Joints in the rigid insulation should be sealed to ensure the insulation performs as an air and thermal barrier. And, it is already stated in Section 701.4.3 that insulation is installed per manufacturer's instructions; to repeat it here is redundant.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P367	LogID 325	703.2.1.2 Air Barriers	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	Interior Air barriers. Interior Air barrier is installed at any exterior edge of insulation at floors, foundations, and crawlspaces including insulated floors above garages and cantilevered floors.		
Reason:	This language is vague. This requirement may be appropriate for an interior air barrier, and would be inappropriate for an exterior air barrier. This proposed change is suggested in that light. However, if our assumption is incorrect, we suggest deleting this language as it is too vague as to what is required and where.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P368	LogID 326	703.2.1.3 Walls	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Unchanged sections not shown.</i></p> <p>(5) Fireplace walls: <u>Insulated to the same requirements as other exterior walls and with an Air barrier that is aligned in contact with insulation; with any gaps are sealed, with caulk or foam and -</u></p>		
Reason:	This proposal clarifies fireplace walls should be insulated to the same requirements of other exterior walls, and the air barrier is to be in contact with the insulation with the gaps sealed. These areas of the exterior envelope should provide performance consistent with exterior envelope requirements.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P369	LogID 327	703.2.1.4 Ceilings and attics	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	<p>(1) At dropped ceilings and soffits, the air barrier is substantially <u>aligned in contact with the insulation and any gaps are sealed with caulk, foam, or tape.</u></p>		
Reason:	This proposal revises the language to require the air barrier to be in contact with the insulation, and deletes the prescriptive requirement of sealing with caulk, foam, or tape. The performance requirement for air barrier gaps to be sealed adequately describes enforceable requirements.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P362.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P370 LogID 141 703.3.1 Fenestration Specifications Final Formal Action: Reject

Submitter: Nils Petermann, Alliance to Save Energy

Proposed Change: The NFRC-certified (or equivalent) U-factor and SHGC for windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with Table 703.3.2(a) or (b). Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or glazing area, whichever to comply with this

10 percent of the total is less, are not required practice.

**Enhanced Fenestration Specifications
Table 703.3.1(a)**

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.45	0.30 0.25
3	0.35 0.30	0.30
4 to 8	0.30 0.27	Any 0.40
5 to 8	0.27	Any
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2 to 3	0.55	0.35 0.30
3 4 to 8	0.55 0.50	Any 0.30
4	0.50	0.35
5 to 8	0.50	Any
Points		
Zones 1-3		8
Zones 4-5		5
Zones 6-8		6

**Enhanced Fenestration Specifications
Table 703.3.1(b)**

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.45 0.35	0.25
3	0.35 0.30	0.25
4 to 8	0.25 0.22	Any 0.40
5 to 8	0.22	Any
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2 to 3	0.50	0.35 0.25
3 4 to 8	0.50 0.45	Any 0.30
4	0.45	0.35
5 to 8	0.45	Any
Points		
Zones 1-3		10
Zones 4-5		10
Zones 6-8		12

Reason: The tables I inserted in the proposed change field may not show up correctly, which is why I will also submit these proposed changes by email. The mandatory criteria for fenestration in Section 701.4.4.1 form the baseline for fenestration performance based on Energy Star. The ENERGY STAR for Windows, Doors and Skylights criteria have changed in 2010. My proposed changes would ensure that the improved fenestration criteria in section 703.3.1 remain more stringent than Energy Star. The most stringent proposed criteria are feasible and can be met by many existing products, including the products that are part of DOE's High-performance Windows Volume Purchase Program (includes on U-factor 0.22 limit) and windows with low-solar-gain low-E coatings that can meet the 0.25 SHGC limit set by the 2012 IECC for Southern climates.

Committee Action from Meeting: Reject

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P374.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P371	LogID TG5-25	703.1 Building envelope	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Craig Conner, Task Group 5
Proposed Change:	703.1.2.3 <u>Grade 2 insulation is permitted only for bronze level buildings.</u> Grade 2 installation is in accordance with the following:
Reason:	<i>(Note: reason was not provided.)</i>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Grade 2 insulation is a good quality install and should be allowed under both bronze and silver levels not just bronze. Grade 3 has already been eliminated all together.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P372	LogID TG5-24	703.3 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	---------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Jeff Inks, Fenestration & Lighting Working Group																				
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revision of proposal 141 – Tier 1 (Table 703.3.1(a)) meets or exceeds Energy Star Windows (v5.0) or 2012 IECC, whichever is greater).</i> Table 703.3.1(a)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Climate Zones</th> <th>U-Factor</th> <th>SHGC</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2">Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>1</u></td> <td>0.50</td> <td>0.25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 and 2</td> <td>0.65 0.40</td> <td>0.40 0.25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>0.40 <u>0.35</u></td> <td>0.40 <u>0.25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 to 8</td> <td>0.35 <u>0.32</u></td> <td>Any <u>0.40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 to 8</td> <td>0.30</td> <td>Any</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)		<u>1</u>	0.50	0.25	1 and 2	0.65 0.40	0.40 0.25	3	0.40 <u>0.35</u>	0.40 <u>0.25</u>	4 to 8	0.35 <u>0.32</u>	Any <u>0.40</u>	5 to 8	0.30	Any
Climate Zones	U-Factor		SHGC																		
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)																				
<u>1</u>	0.50	0.25																			
1 and 2	0.65 0.40	0.40 0.25																			
3	0.40 <u>0.35</u>	0.40 <u>0.25</u>																			
4 to 8	0.35 <u>0.32</u>	Any <u>0.40</u>																			
5 to 8	0.30	Any																			

	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 to 3</td> <td>0.75 0.70</td> <td>0.40 0.30</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2</u></td> <td><u>0.65</u></td> <td><u>0.30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 4 to 8</td> <td>0.60 0.55</td> <td>Any 0.30</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>4</u></td> <td><u>0.55</u></td> <td><u>0.40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>5 to 8</u></td> <td><u>0.55</u></td> <td><u>Any</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)			1 to 3	0.75 0.70	0.40 0.30	<u>2</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.30</u>	3 4 to 8	0.60 0.55	Any 0.30	<u>4</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>0.40</u>	<u>5 to 8</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>Any</u>
Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)																			
1 to 3	0.75 0.70	0.40 0.30																	
<u>2</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.30</u>																	
3 4 to 8	0.60 0.55	Any 0.30																	
<u>4</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>0.40</u>																	
<u>5 to 8</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>Any</u>																	
Reason:	<p>Additional concerns were raised by some members of the WG that “tier 1” fenestration requirements based solely on ES v. 5.0 are inadequate.</p> <p>This proposal is an alternative to proposal 141 for TG consideration that would make “tier 1” fenestration requirements for each zone equivalent to the more stringent requirement from ES v. 5.0 or the 2012 IECC (respectively).</p> <p>Additional discussion to be provided by WG members.</p>																		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject																		
Modification of Proposed Change:																			
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P374.																		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0																		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:																			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:																			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:																			

P373	LogID 212	703.3.1 Fenestration Specifications	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Thomas Culp, Aluminum Extruders Council		
Proposed Change:	<p><u>For fenestration in detached homes and apartment buildings three stories or less above grade, the NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC for windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with Table 703.3.2(a) or (b).</u></p> <p><u>Exception:</u> Decorative fenestration elements up to 15 square feet or 10% of the total glazing area, whichever is less.</p> <p>Enhanced Fenestration Specifications</p> <p>Table 703.3.1(a) (unchanged)</p> <p>Table 703.3.1(b) (unchanged)</p> <p><u>For fenestration in residential buildings four stories or more in height above grade, hotels, and motels, the U-factor and SHGC shall meet the requirements of Chapter 7 of ASHRAE 189.1.</u></p> <p><u>Points:</u> <u>8 in Zones 1-3</u> <u>5 in Zones 4-5</u> <u>6 in Zones 6-8</u></p>		
Reason:	<p>Although the NGBS is focused on residential housing and apartments, the NGBS scope has not been limited, and can be interpreted as including highrise residential buildings, hotels, and motels. These buildings have very significant differences in construction and energy performance. Heavy commercial and architectural grade windows are simply not the same as lightweight residential windows, because of the requirements for higher structural performance and durability. This is clearly recognized by different prescriptive criteria in Chapter 4 vs. Chapter 5 of the IECC, ASHRAE 90.1 or 189.1 vs. ASHRAE 90.2, etc. The values in Tables 703.3.1(a) and (b) are simply not appropriate for heavy commercial and architectural grade windows. Therefore, this modification awards points for these products by meeting the values in the ASHRAE 189.1, the green construction standard for commercial buildings including highrise residential, hotels, and motels. ASHRAE 189.1 sets</p>		

	similarly aggressive values while also accounting for heavy commercial products, and is currently being updated at the same time as the NGBS. Alternately, the IgCC could also be referenced, but is still in 2nd draft form, and not yet complete.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Buildings 4 or more stories are not treated separately in other parts of the Standard. Hotels and motels are covered under commercial buildings. In addition, these specifications can be met in both low-rise and high-rise buildings. Structural requirements are addressed by building code.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P374	LogID TG5-22	703.3 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Jeff Inks, Task Group 5
-------------------	-------------------------

Proposed Change:	<p><i>Delete and replace Tables 703.3.1 (a) & (b) as follows:</i></p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Table 703.3.1(a)</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Enhanced Fenestration Specifications</th> </tr> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><u>Climate Zones</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>U-Factor</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>SHGC</u></th> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><u>Points</u></th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><u>Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>1 and 2</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.60</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.27</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>3</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.35</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.30</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>4</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.32</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.40</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>5 to 8</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.30</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Any</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;"><u>Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>1 and 2</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.70</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.30</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>3</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.57</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.30</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>4</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.55</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.40</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>5-8</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.55</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Any</u></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>For Climate Zones 5-8 an equivalent energy performance shall be permitted based on either (1) windows with a U-factor = 0.31 and an SHGC = 0.35, or, a U-factor = 0.32 and an SHGC = 0.40 or (2) windows meeting the ENERGY STAR Equivalent Energy Performance requirements.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Table 703.3.1(b)</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Enhanced Fenestration Specifications</th> </tr> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><u>Climate Zones</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>U-Factor</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>SHGC</u></th> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><u>Points</u></th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><u>Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>1 and 2</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.40</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.25</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>3</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.30</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.25</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>4</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.28</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>0.40</u></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Table 703.3.1(a)				Enhanced Fenestration Specifications				<u>Climate Zones</u>	<u>U-Factor</u>	<u>SHGC</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</u>		<u>1 and 2</u>	<u>0.60</u>	<u>0.27</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>0.35</u>	<u>0.30</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.40</u>		<u>5 to 8</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>Any</u>		<u>Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)</u>				<u>1 and 2</u>	<u>0.70</u>	<u>0.30</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>0.30</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>0.40</u>		<u>5-8</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>Any</u>		Table 703.3.1(b)				Enhanced Fenestration Specifications				<u>Climate Zones</u>	<u>U-Factor</u>	<u>SHGC</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</u>		<u>1 and 2</u>	<u>0.40</u>	<u>0.25</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>0.25</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>0.28</u>	<u>0.40</u>	
Table 703.3.1(a)																																																																													
Enhanced Fenestration Specifications																																																																													
<u>Climate Zones</u>	<u>U-Factor</u>	<u>SHGC</u>	<u>Points</u>																																																																										
	<u>Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</u>																																																																												
<u>1 and 2</u>	<u>0.60</u>	<u>0.27</u>																																																																											
<u>3</u>	<u>0.35</u>	<u>0.30</u>																																																																											
<u>4</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.40</u>																																																																											
<u>5 to 8</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>Any</u>																																																																											
<u>Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)</u>																																																																													
<u>1 and 2</u>	<u>0.70</u>	<u>0.30</u>																																																																											
<u>3</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>0.30</u>																																																																											
<u>4</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>0.40</u>																																																																											
<u>5-8</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>Any</u>																																																																											
Table 703.3.1(b)																																																																													
Enhanced Fenestration Specifications																																																																													
<u>Climate Zones</u>	<u>U-Factor</u>	<u>SHGC</u>	<u>Points</u>																																																																										
	<u>Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)</u>																																																																												
<u>1 and 2</u>	<u>0.40</u>	<u>0.25</u>																																																																											
<u>3</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>0.25</u>																																																																											
<u>4</u>	<u>0.28</u>	<u>0.40</u>																																																																											

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.40</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 to 8</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>Any</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 to 8</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>Any</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="3">Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 & 2</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>0.30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>0.35</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>0.40</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 to 8</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>Any</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	4	0.25	0.40		5 to 8	0.25	Any		5 to 8	0.22	Any			Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)			1 & 2	0.50	0.30		3	0.50	0.35		4	0.50	0.40		5 to 8	0.50	Any	
4	0.25	0.40																															
5 to 8	0.25	Any																															
5 to 8	0.22	Any																															
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)																																
1 & 2	0.50	0.30																															
3	0.50	0.35																															
4	0.50	0.40																															
5 to 8	0.50	Any																															
Reason:	This proposal offers two options for enhanced fenestration points. The options present the different window and door U-factors.																																
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept																																
Modification of Proposed Change:																																	
Committee Reason:																																	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0																																
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<p><i>Jeff Inks:</i></p> <p>Suggest following revision (or some revision) for clarity.</p> <p>For In Climate Zones 5-8, windows meeting one of the following options shall be permitted as providing an equivalent energy performance shall be permitted based on either</p> <p>(1) windows with a U-factor = 0.31 and an SHGC = 0.35; or, a</p> <p>(2) U-factor = 0.32 and an SHGC = 0.40; or,</p> <p>(23) windows meeting the ENERGY STAR <u>for windows</u> Equivalent Energy Performance requirements.</p>																																
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:																																	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:																																	

P375	LogID 503	703.4.1 Combo System	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	HVAC equipment efficiency		
Reason:	A number of homes have two or more HVAC systems serving separate zones. Additional guidance is needed on how are points awarded when there are two or more HVAC systems in a home? Should points be based on the efficiency of the system servicing the largest portion of the home or should it be based on the lowest efficiency system or should it be a weighted average?		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Points should be based on the lowest efficiency system to encourage good design practices. A weighted system will cause unnecessary complexity and confusion in the design process. Also, weighted average can be done through the performance path.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Randall Melvin: If a blend of HVAC equipment efficiencies is used in a home, for the prescriptive path, they should be averaged by tonnage and efficiency and proportionally awarded points. E.G. If a home has a 3 ton 80% furnace and a 3 ton 90% furnace they would get credit for having a 85% furnace for the home. This is rational and fair approach which reflects actual energy saving rather than simply limiting things to the worse case unit. Many builders do not like to install condensing furnaces in unconditioned attic in cold climates to minimize potential for service issues.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P376 LogID 916 703.4.6 Ground Source Heat Pump		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Steve Rosenstock, Edison Electric Institute	
Proposed Change:	(1) Open Loop: ≥ 16.2 EER / ≥ 3.6 COP 20 <u>30</u> (2) Closed Loop: ≥ 14.1 EER / ≥ 3.3 COP 20 <u>30</u> (3) Direct Expansion: ≥ 15.0 EER / ≥ 3.5 COP 20 <u>30</u> (4) Any type (open, closed, direct expansion): ≥ 24 EER, / ≥ 4.3 COP 30 <u>40</u> (5) Any type (open, closed, direct expansion): > 28 EER / > 4.8 COP <u>50</u>	
Reason:	(1) Open Loop: ≥ 16.2 EER / ≥ 3.6 COP 20 <u>30</u> (2) Closed Loop: ≥ 14.1 EER / ≥ 3.3 COP 20 <u>30</u> (3) Direct Expansion: ≥ 15.0 EER / ≥ 3.5 COP 20 <u>30</u> (4) Any type: ≥ 24 EER, / ≥ 4.3 COP 30 <u>40</u> (5) Any type (open, closed, direct expansion): > 28 EER / > 4.8 COP <u>50</u>	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard by adding new as follows:</i> (5) Any type (open, closed, direct expansion): > 28 EER / > 4.8 COP <u>35 Points</u>	
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	Bruce Boncke: Recommended points should not have been part of the committee approval at this time and should be listed as TBD, pending full committee review of the points system	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Randall Melvin: No reason is provided to scientifically justify point change.	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P377 LogID 504 703.5.1 Water Heater Energy Factor		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Water heating design, equipment and installation	
Reason:	Some homes have two or more water heaters. Additional guidance is needed on how are points awarded when there are two or more in a home? Should points be based on the efficiency of the system servicing the largest portion of the home or should it be based on the lowest efficiency system or should it be a weighted average?	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	Add new as follows to Sections 703.4.2, 703.4.4, 703.4.5, 703.4.6, and 703.5.1. <u>(Where multiple systems are used, points awarded based on the system with the lowest efficiency.)</u>	
Committee Reason:	Points should be based on the lowest efficiency system to encourage good design practices. A weighted system will cause unnecessary complexity and confusion in the design process.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Similar to my comments in P375 for HVAC equipment, homes with multiple water heaters should be based on weighted average of efficiency and size. This more justly recognizes and rewards actual benefits than providing credit for worse case only.	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P378 LogID 917 703.5.1 Water Heater Energy Factor		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>						
Submitter:	Steve Rosenstock, Edison Electric Institute							
Proposed Change:	Add a new line in Table 703.5.1(4): <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Energy Factor</th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>Heat Pump</u></td> <td><u>1.2</u></td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Energy Factor	Points	<u>Heat Pump</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>5</u>
	Energy Factor	Points						
<u>Heat Pump</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>5</u>						
Reason:	This will increase water heating efficiency by over 25%, and should earn points in this system. Also, this type of system could have lower initial costs to builders and homeowners.							
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject							
Modification of Proposed Change:								
Committee Reason:	Heat pump water heaters are not common technology. Not necessary to include in the Standard.							
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0							

	Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Heat pump water heaters are becoming more common and should not be omitted/neglected by this standard. Recommend accepting proponents proposal as submitted.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P379	LogID 918	703.5.1 Water Heater Energy Factor	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Williams, Buildinggreener LLC
Proposed Change:	Remove Solar Water Heating from 704.3.2.1 and add it to Water Heating <u>703.5.1 (5)</u>
Reason:	The scope and points are great, but this type of heating needs to be in the same category as the other types of water heating to show its benefits by making it easy to compare and give the impression that it is becoming the norm and is a legitimate form of water heating.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of reorganization of the entire chapter per P412.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P380	LogID TG5-9	703.5.4.1 Hot water piping insulation	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	--------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Craig Conner, Building quality															
Proposed Change:	<p>703.5.4.1 Hot water lines are insulated to a minimum of R-4. (Locate the new language in new 704.) Hot water pipe insulation. Insulation with a minimum thermal resistance (R-value) of at least R-3 is applied to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 24. <u>piping larger than 3/4 in. outside diameter</u> 25. <u>piping serving more than one dwelling unit</u> 26. <u>piping branches serving kitchen sinks</u> 27. <u>piping located outside the conditioned space</u> 28. <u>piping from the water heater to a distribution manifold</u> 29. <u>piping located under a floor slab</u> 30. <u>buried piping</u> 31. <u>piping in recirculation systems other than demand recirculation systems</u> 32. <u>All remaining piping is insulated to at least R-3 or meets the length requirements of Table</u> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: center;">TABLE Maximum Run Length (feet)¹</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Nominal Pipe Diameter of largest pipe in run (in.)</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>3/8</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>1/2</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>3/4</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Maximum pipe length</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>30</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>20</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>10</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u></u></td> </tr> </table>	TABLE Maximum Run Length (feet)¹					<u>Nominal Pipe Diameter of largest pipe in run (in.)</u>	<u>3/8</u>	<u>1/2</u>	<u>3/4</u>	<u></u>	<u>Maximum pipe length</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>10</u>	<u></u>
TABLE Maximum Run Length (feet)¹																
<u>Nominal Pipe Diameter of largest pipe in run (in.)</u>	<u>3/8</u>	<u>1/2</u>	<u>3/4</u>	<u></u>												
<u>Maximum pipe length</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>10</u>	<u></u>												

1. Total length of all piping from the distribution manifold or the

	<u>recirculation loop to a point of use.</u>
Reason:	This updates the method for getting points for appropriate hot water pipe insulation.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P381	LogID TG5-2	703.5.4.2 Boiler pipe insulation	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5		
Proposed Change:	Boiler supply piping is insulated in unconditioned spaces.		1 Point <u>Mandatory</u>
Reason:	Currently builder's get 1 point for insulating boiler supply piping in unconditioned space. The 2009 IECC requires this piping to be insulated (Section 403.3). Since 2009 IECC is the baseline for this program it should be mandatory.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> It is inappropriate to require insulation on supply piping in unconditioned spaces in climates such as HA where there is no risk of freezing. Return to not mandatory and 1 point for climates where no risk of freezing exists.		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P382	LogID 130	704.1 Additional Practice Points	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	704.1 Application of additional practice points. Points from Section 704 can be added to points earned in section 702 (Performance Path), Section 703 (Prescriptive Path), or Section 701.1.3 (Alternative bronze level compliance). All points earned in section 704 shall go toward points earned in Category 7; additional points from any category.		
Reason:	Section 702 the performance path or 703 the prescriptive path are measurable. Section 704 is most quality control of the practices earned in 702 or 703 but do not in them selves raise the energy efficiency of the project. See also suggested changes to Chapter 3 303.1 (3) and changes to table 303 submitted separately.		

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject due to reorganization of Chapter 7 per P412. The revised prescriptive and performance paths contain all practices that address whole-house energy savings. The new 704 and 705 contain additional practices and innovative practices.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P383 LogID 920 704.2.1 Hard Wired Lighting		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Don Carr, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Add points value for (3) A min of 80% ext ltg wattage has efficiency of 40 lumens per watt min or be a solar powered light fixture.	
Reason:	Current copy of std has no point value assigned and thus the scoring tool has ignored this practice and so there is nop encouragement of this good green practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	The point value will be assigned during the follow-up revision of the points system of the entire Standard.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P384 LogID TG5-3 704.2 Lighting and appliances		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5	
Proposed Change:	Remove 704.2.1(1) Add new section to 701.4: <u>A minimum of 50 percent of the total hard-wired lighting fixtures, or the bulbs in those fixtures, qualify as high efficacy or equivalent. Mandatory</u>	
Reason:	The 2009 IECC requires a minimum 50% high efficacy lights.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of		

Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Chris Allison:</i> <u>Should these points only be awarded if they exceed the code minimum of 50%?</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P385	LogID 296	704.2.4 Skylights	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	--------------------------	---

Submitter:	Roger L. LeBrun, VELUX America Inc.
Proposed Change:	704.2.4.x Daylighting Analysis Perform analysis that compares the substitution of toplighting for planned compliant sidelighting for providing sufficient midroom daylight. 1 point if kitchen and other rooms in living areas are analyzed 2 points if all rooms with windows and attic space above are analyzed 1 additional point per room where the glazed area is reduced by at least 15%, based on the results of the analysis, without increasing the base whole building energy performance.
Reason:	New studies from Europe indicate that highly efficient skylights (and probably tubular daylighting devices as well) can improve the energy performance and livability of homes by reducing the direct lighting energy and heating energy losses. The indirect benefit of reducing losses is realized by the reduction of glazing areas that can be achieved. This is particularly promising as a new way to save significant year-round energy, particularly in the upper two-thirds of the U.S. (<i>see Attachments file for substantiating documents</i>).
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed change is providing points for an analysis, not an installation. A simulation alone does not save energy.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P386	LogID TG5-18	705 Innovative practices (New)	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	---------------------	---------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Matt Williams, Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new section to 705 as follows:</i> <u>705.x Smart Appliances & Systems installed:</u>

	<p><u>(1) Refrigerator</u> <u>(2) Freezer</u> <u>(3) Dishwasher</u> <u>(4) Clothes Dryer</u> <u>(5) Clothes Washer</u> <u>(6) Room Air Conditioner</u> <u>(7) HVAC Systems</u> <u>(8) Service Hot Water Heating Systems</u></p> <p><u>Addition and Renovation Note: Section 705.x applies as follows:</u></p> <p><u>(1) Replace existing refrigerator</u> <u>(2) Replace existing freezer</u> <u>(3) Replace existing dishwasher</u> <u>(4) Replace existing clothes dryer</u> <u>(5) Replace existing clothes washer</u> <u>(6) Replace existing room air conditioner</u> <u>(7) Replace HVAC Systems</u> <u>(8) Replace Service Hot Water Heating Systems</u></p> <p><i>Add definition as follows:</i></p> <p><u>SMART APPLIANCE. A product that has the capability to receive, interpret and act on a signal received from a utility, third party energy service provider, or home energy management device, and automatically adjusts its operation depending on both the signal's contents and settings from the consumer. The product is sold with this capability, which can be built-in or added through an external device that easily connects to the appliance.</u></p>
Reason:	Demand response, augmented by the smart grid and smart appliances, will result in energy savings and reductions in costs. According to EIA's Electric Power Annual 2008 (Table 9.2) utilities reported for every 1kW of peak load reduction there is a corresponding 139 kWh of energy saved. The benefits in the PNNL study being considered are distinct from those arising due to traditional machine enhancements that enable operational efficiencies. The benefits include estimates of the production cost savings to utilities and the extent to which smart appliances can provide ancillary services to facilitate greater penetration of renewable generation sources (wind and solar in particular).
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P387	LogID 310	704.3.1.1 Sun-Tempered Design	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA		
Proposed Change:	<p>704.3.1.1 Sun-tempered design. Building orientation, sizing of glazing, and design of overhangs are in accordance with all of the following:</p> <p>(1) The long side (or one side if of equal length) of the building faces within 20 degrees of true south.</p>		

	<p>(2) Vertical glazing area is between 5 and 7 percent of the gross conditioned floor area on the south face [also see Section 704.3.1.1(8)].</p> <p>(3) Vertical glazing area is less than 2 percent of the gross conditioned floor area on the west face, and glazing is ENERGY STAR compliant or equivalent <u>meets the requirements of Section 701.4.4.1</u> .</p> <p>(4) Vertical glazing area is less than 4 percent of the gross conditioned floor area on the east face, and glazing is ENERGY STAR compliant or equivalent <u>meets the requirements of Section 701.4.4.1</u> .</p> <p>(5) Vertical glazing area is less than 8 percent of the gross conditioned floor area on the north face, and glazing is ENERGY STAR compliant or equivalent <u>meets the requirements of Section 701.4.4.1</u> .</p> <p>(6) Skylights, where installed, are in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(a) shades and insulated wells are used, and all glazing is ENERGY STAR compliant or equivalent <u>meets the requirements of Section 701.4.4.1</u> .</p> <p><i>(No change to remainder of Section 704.3.1.1)</i></p>
Reason:	This proposal clarifies that the under the sun-tempered design approach, the glazing requirements for all windows (other than those subject to the exception for south-facing glazing) will meet or exceed the minimum requirements set out in the NGBS, specifically Table 701.4.4.1. The proposal does not affect the exception for south-facing glazing, which must still meet a minimum 0.40 SHGC.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Withdrawn by proponent.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P388	LogID 921	704.3.2.1 Solar water heater	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Steve Williams, Buildinggreener LLC		
Proposed Change:	No Mention of Solar Water Radiant Heating Please add. 704.4.2 could be used, but no specific details. Suggestions would be nice.		
Reason:	This form of radiant heating is not very much talked about, but popular with the people I have heard use it.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> 704.3.3.2 Other on-site renewable energy source is installed (e.g. wind energy, on-site micro-hydro power, active solar space heating systems, <u>solar thermal hydronic heating system, photovoltaic hybrid heating system</u>).		
Committee Reason:			

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P389	LogID 567	704.3.3.1 Photovoltaic Panels	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(Points awarded per 1/10 kW <u>per dwelling unit</u>)
Reason:	Clarify that for multi-unit buildings that the points are based on a per dwelling unit energy generation rather than per building.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (Points awarded per <u>100 W 1/10 kW of system rating per 2,000 square feet of total conditioned floor area of the building</u>)
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Frank Stanonik: In clarifying how this provision applies to multi-family buildings it has made it less clear how it applies to single family dwellings.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P390	LogID 234	704.3.3.2 Other Renewable Energy	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS
Proposed Change:	Add new subsection to Section 704.3: "Solar Powered Attic Ventilators: Any motorized attic ventilator fan shall be powered exclusively by solar energy." This requirement would also apply to Additions and Renovations.
Reason:	Solar PAVs are highly effective in providing attic ventilation at times when it is needed. Product designs allow for their use regardless of roof orientation or style. As an example, the State of Georgia amended the 2009 IECC to include this same provision.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The energy benefit of this technology is not defined. In addition, there is a concern over excessive ventilation of attics.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P391 LogID 568 704.3.3.2 Other Renewable Energy		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(Points awarded per 1/10 kW <u>per dwelling unit</u>)	
Reason:	Clarify that for multi-unit buildings that the points are based on a per dwelling unit energy generation rather than per building.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (Points awarded per <u>100 W</u> 1/10 kW <u>of system rating per 2,000 square feet of total conditioned floor area of the building</u>)	
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Frank Stanonik: See comment of P389.</i>	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P392 LogID 240 704.3.3.2 Other Renewable Energy		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA	
Proposed Change:	704.3.3.2 Other on-site energy source is installed (e.g. wind energy, on-site micro-hydro power, active solar space heating <u>and biomass space heating</u> systems).	
Reason:	It is essential to allow for biomass as an on-site renewable energy source. Wood burning is a valid alternative and has more products in usage currently than all other renewable energy sources.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Withdrawn by proponent.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Ted Williams:</i> The proponent's substantiation was correct and sufficient.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P393	LogID 506	704.4.1 Duct System	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Ducts		
Reason:	How should buildings that have a combination of ductless systems and a system with ducts be treated? Can they get points for both or should just the major system get points? Does this include ventilation duct work for bath/kitchen fans or building ventilation if the HVAC is ductless?		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> 704.4.1 <u>All</u> Space heating is provided by a system(s) that does not include air ducts. 704.4.2 <u>All</u> Space cooling is provided by a system(s) that does not include air ducts.		
Committee Reason:	To receive points, all systems must comply with the practice.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P394	LogID 349	704.4.1 Duct System	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Vollstedt, HERS-NM, LLC		
Proposed Change:	SEE COMMENT BELOW.		
Reason:	There is way too many points allowed throughout the NGBS if ducted HVAC systems are used as compared to the points allowed if an HVAC system with no ducting is used. This needs to be evened-up a bit. Practices providing points for HVAC ducting include: 704.4.1 (5 points), 704.4.4 (12 points), 704.4.5 (5 points), 704.5.5 (4 points), 704.6.2.2 (15 points), 704.6.2.3 (8 points), 901.1.2 (5 points), 902.2.3 (3 points), 902.4 (3 points) and 903.6 (2 points). This is a total of 62 points that can be earned for using ducted HVAC systems. Practices providing points for HVAC systems with no ducting include: 704.4.2 (15 points) and 704.4.3 (15 points). This is a total of 30 points that can be earned for using HVAC systems with no ducts.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	No actionable language is proposed. This will be considered during the follow-up review of the entire points system for Chapter 7.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P395 LogID TG5-4 704.4 Ducts		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5	
Proposed Change:	<p>Revise Section 704.4.1 as follows:</p> <p>Duct system is sized, <u>and</u> designed, and installed in accordance with ACCA Manual D or equivalent.</p> <p>Relocate revised Section 704.4.1 to Section 701.4.2.</p>	
Reason:	The 2009 IRC, Section M1601 requires ducts to be sized and designed to ACCA Manual D or equivalent.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P396 LogID 314 704.4.2 Space Heating Without Ducts		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Gregg Achman, Hearth & Home Technologies	
Proposed Change:	<p>Space heating is provided by a system that does not include air ducts.</p> <p><u>Addition note: natural gas and propane fireplace heaters that are direct vented or powervented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors and comply with ANSI Z21.88/CSA 2.33 are included space heating equipment.</u></p>	
Reason:	Fireplace heaters provide space heating without the use of air ducts.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	There are potential implementation issues, it is technology specific, and there are other technologies available.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s)		

for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P397	LogID TG5-5	704.5 HVAC design and installation	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	--------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5
Proposed Change:	<i>Remove 704.5.1. Revise 701.4.1.1 as follows:</i> Space heating and cooling system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent. Equipment is selected using ACCA Manual S or equivalent.
Reason:	The 2009 IRC, Section M1401.3 requires that all equipment be selected per ACCA Manual S.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P398	LogID TG5-15	704.5 HVAC design and installation	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Don Prather, ACCA
Proposed Change:	704.5.2 HVAC contractor and service technician are certified by a nationally or regionally recognized program (e.g. North American Technician Excellence, Inc. (NATE), <u>Air Conditioning Contractors of Americas Quality Assured Program (ACCA /QA)</u> , Building Performance Institute (BPI), Radiant Panel Association, or manufacturers' training program).
Reason:	Add RESNET (equivalent to BPI for rating and performance), and ACCA's new QA contractor program because membership in the program is a requirement for all Energy Star homes completed after 31 Dec 2011.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s)	

for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P399	LogID TG5-16	704.5 HVAC design and installation	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	---------------------	---	---

Submitter:	Don Prather, ACCA
Proposed Change:	704.5.3 Performance of the heating and/or cooling system is verified by HVAC contractor in accordance with all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Start-up procedure is performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions 2) Refrigerant Charge is verified by the super heat and/or sub-cooling method 3) Burner is set to fire at input level listed on nameplate 4) Air handler setting/fan speed is set in accordance with manufacturer's instructions 5) 2) Total airflow is within 10% of design flow 6) Total external system static does not exceed equipment capability at rated airflow.
Reason:	Recommend deleting the parts struck out because the items listed are part of the mandatory minimum requirements in the HVAC Quality Installation Specification.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Withdrawn by proponent.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P400	LogID 507	704.5.4 HCFCs	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	----------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	704.5.4 HVAC equipment operates using an alternate refrigerant containing no HCFCs (Hydrochlorofluorocarbons). Points are awarded only until January 20, 2010
Reason:	Awarded only until January 20, 2010.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P401	LogID TG5-8	704.6 Installation and performance	Final Formal Action:
	verification		Accept

Submitter:	Christine Phillips, Task Group 5
Proposed Change:	<p>704.6.2 Third party testing above mandatory requirements is conducted to verify performance.</p> <p>704.6.2.1 Building envelope leakage rate is demonstrated by blower door test. In addition to the test, the following practices are required:</p> <p>(1) Whole building ventilation is provided in accordance with Section 902.2 <u>Both a blower door test and visual inspection are performed as described in 701.4.3. 5 points</u></p> <p>(2) Fossil fuel furnace and water heater is sealed combustion or power vented in accordance with Section 901.1 <u>Third party verification is completed. 5 points</u></p> <p>(3) Fireplaces and fuel burning appliances are in accordance with Section 901.2.</p> <p>(4) The maximum leakage rate is in accordance with: ...</p> <p>704.6.2.2 The entire central HVAC duct system, including air handlers and register boots, is tested <u>by a third party</u> for leakage at a pressure differential of 0.1 inches w.g. (25 Pa). The maximum leakage as a percent of the system design flow rate is in accordance with the following: ...</p> <p>704.6.2.3 Balanced HVAC airflows are demonstrated by flow hood or other acceptable flow measurement tool <u>by a third party</u>. Test results are in accordance with both of the following: 8</p>
Reason:	This section referred to items that are referenced in Chapter 9 and are not related to points for third-party testing and air sealing. In addition, it more clearly defines what is above and beyond the mandatory requirements of this standard.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	Bruce Boncke: Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P402 LogID 215 704.6.2.1 Third Party Testing - Building Envelope Leakage		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Amy Schmidt, Dow Building Solutions	
Proposed Change:	<p>704.6.2 Third party testing is conducted to verify performance.</p> <p>704.6.2.1 Building envelope leakage rate is demonstrated by blower door test. In addition to the test, the following practices are required:</p> <p>(1) Whole building ventilation is provided in accordance with Section 902.2.</p> <p>(2) Fossil fuel furnace and water heater is sealed combustion or power vented in accordance with Section 901.1.</p> <p>(3) Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances are in accordance with Section 901.2.</p> <p>(4) The maximum leakage rate is in accordance with:</p> <p>a. 35 ACH50</p> <p>b. 24 ACH50</p> <p>c. 13 ACH50</p> <p>d. 2 ACH50</p> <p>e. 1 ACH50</p>	
Reason:	IECC reference should be updated to the 2012 version. This will keep ICC 700 in line with other green code development (IgCC). With this update air leakage testing is required for all residential buildings (3 ACH50 requirement). The additional requirements in this section are an added improvement and there for should be encouraged. However the level of air leakage rate needs to be updated.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the base, and therefore, this section was updated via P401.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P403 LogID 233 704.6.2.1 Third Party Testing - Building Envelope Leakage		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS	
Proposed Change:	Update the building envelope air leakage limits in this section to match or exceed the 2012 IECC required levels. Points should only be awarded for going beyond the 2012 IECC-mandated ACH50 levels: 5 ACH50 for CZ1-2 and 3 ACH50 for	

	CZ 3-8. 2012 IECC-maximum envelope leakage levels must be Mandatory items, not optional. Whole building mechanical ventilation (WBMV) must be required < 5.0 ACH50, consistent with 2012 IRC.
Reason:	This currently optional provision awards points for a tighter building shell and simultaneously kicks in a requirement for WBMV. It needs to be changed to reflect new envelope leakage limits in the 2012 IECC. WBMV must be made mandatory as well, as the max allowable leakage under the 2012 IECC will trigger a requirement for WBMV in the 2012 IRC. Baseline for NGBS should at least be 2012 I-Codes.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The 2009 IECC is the baseline, and therefore, this section was updated via P401.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P404	LogID 66	704.6.2.1 Third Party Testing - Building Envelope Leakage	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	-----------------	--	--

Submitter:	Michael Chandler, Chandler Design-Build Inc
Proposed Change:	Building envelope leakage rate is demonstrated by blower door test. In addition to the test, the following practices are required: 1. Mechanical ventilation is provided in accordance with 902.5. 2. Fossil fuel furnace and water heater is sealed combustion or power vented in accordance with 801.1. 3. Fireplaces and Fuel Burning Appliances are in accordance with 901.2 (4) The maximum leakage rate is in accordance with: MANDATORY 7 ACH50 (a) 5 ACH50 (b) 4 ACH50 (c) 3 ACH50 (d) 2 ACH50 (e) 1 ACH50
Reason:	As Energy Star becomes more stringent it seems likely that builders will elect to opt out and participate in NGBS exclusively. Builders who choose the prescriptive path should not be permitted to avoid doing a third party blower door confirmation of their draft stopping practices.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P401.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P405 LogID 508 704.6.2.1 Third Party Testing - Building Envelope Leakage		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(3) Fireplaces and fuel burning appliances are in accordance with <u>the mandatory practices of</u> Section 901.2.	
Reason:	Clarify the practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P401.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P406 LogID TG5-19 704.6.2.1 Building envelope leakage		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Frank Stanonik, AHRI	
Proposed Change:	<p>704.6.2.1 Building envelope leakage rate is demonstrated by blower door test. In addition to the test, the following practices are required:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whole building ventilation is provided in accordance with 902.2. 2. Fossil fuel furnace and water heater is sealed combustion or power vented in accordance with 901.1. 3. Fireplaces and Fuel Burning Appliances are in accordance with 901.2. <p>The maximum leakage rate is in accordance with:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) 5 ACH50 (b) 4 ACH50 (c) 3 ACH50 (d) 2 ACH50 (e) 1 ACH50 	
Reason:	<p>This set of proposed changes separates the requirements for fossil fuel burning equipment from those for solid fuel burning equipment and clarify the requirements for each.</p> <p>The requirements addressing the installation of gas and oil fired appliances are inconsistent and unnecessarily restrict such installations based on unjustified, indoor air quality concerns. Also the standard incorrectly extends its coverage to areas already covered by both the National Fuel Gas Code and the International Fuel Gas Code. Additional technical changes are proposed, as described.</p> <p>Section 704.6.2.1, which addresses envelope air leakage, requires fossil fuel furnaces and water heaters to be either sealed combustion or power vented in accordance with 901.1. This creates a contradiction. While section 704.6.2.1 states "the following practices <u>are required</u>," section 901.1 <u>does not mandate</u> that gas or oil furnaces and water heaters be direct vent (sealed combustion) or power vented. Section 901.1.1 specifically addresses the installation of natural draft space heating and water heating equipment, which is only a subset of all the types of fossil fuel furnaces and water heaters. The deletion of "2." Is proposed because of this contradiction and because this provision does not directly relate to the building envelop leakage rate. The change to "3." reflects the reorganization mentioned above.</p>	

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P401.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Ted Williams:</i> The proponent's substantiation was correct and sufficient.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P407 LogID TG5-11 705.2 Renewable energy service plan		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Amy Schmidt, The Dow Chemical Company	
Proposed Change:	Delete 705.2	
Reason:	Renewable energy service plan in its entirety. The enforcement of this section is post occupancy and outside of the authority of the code official. There are plenty of other options for obtaining points this is a very weak section.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> 705.2(2) The buyer of the building selects a renewable energy service plan provided by the utility prior to occupancy of the building. <u>Minimum two year commitment.</u>	
Committee Reason:	To address enforcement issues and to insure that savings from the renewable energy service plan are significant.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P408 LogID 321 Add New Section		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Lorraine Ross, The Dow Chemical Company	
Proposed Change:	<i>Part 1: Chapter 2 Definitions</i> <i>Add new Chapter 2 Definitions</i> <u>BUILDING INTEGRATED PHOTOVOLTAIC (BIPV) SYSTEM.</u> A system that incorporates	

photovoltaic modules, which covert solar radiation into energy, into the building envelope.

PHOTOVOLTAIC PANEL SYSTEM. A system that incorporates photovoltaic modules, which covert solar radiation into energy, into discrete panels that are installed on a building site or mounted on a building.

WIND ENERGY SYSTEM. A system installed on the building site or on the building that converts wind into energy.

Part 2: Table 303

Add new provision to Table 303

Table 303 Renewable Energy. Where renewable energy is utilized in accordance with Section 701.5, the rating of the building shall be increased to the next level.

Part 3: Add new Section to Chapter 7

701.6 Renewable energy systems requirements. Each building or building site shall be equipped with one or more renewable energy systems in accordance with Section 701.6.3 that have the capacity to provide at least two percent of the annual energy use of the building. These systems shall be metered.

701.6.1 Building performance-based compliance. Performance-based compliance shall be based on building annual energy use calculations.

701.6.2 Building prescriptive compliance. Prescriptive compliance, shall be based on building annual energy use calculations or demonstrate that the renewable energy system provides not less than 1.75 Btu/hr or not less than 0.50 watts per square foot of conditioned floor area.

701.6.3 Renewable energy systems. Renewable energy systems shall meet the requirements of Section **701.6.3.1** roof-mounted solar photovoltaic panel systems, Section **701.6.3.2** site located photovoltaic panel system, Section **701.6.3.3** building integrated solar photovoltaic systems, or Section **701.6.3.4** wind energy systems.

701.6.3.1 Roof-mounted photovoltaic panel systems. Roof-mounted photovoltaic panel systems shall be designed, constructed, and installed in accordance with the *International Residential Code and NFPA 70*.

701.6.3.2 Site located photovoltaic panel systems. Site located photovoltaic panel systems shall be designed, constructed, and installed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.

701.6.3.3 Building integrated solar photovoltaic systems. Building integrated solar photovoltaic systems shall be designed, constructed and installed in accordance with the *International Residential Code and NFPA 70*.

701.6.3.4 Wind energy systems. Wind energy systems shall be designed, constructed and installed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.

Reason:

Renewable Energy Systems are crucial to our goal for net zero energy buildings. This proposal adds a new separate section regarding Building Renewable Energy Systems in order to bring clarity and enforceability to the use of renewable energy on buildings and building sites. Companion changes to this new section rewrite requires changes for Chapter 2 Definitions and Table 303, which are submitted as part of this proposal. The following is a breakdown of the reasons for this proposed change: Section 701.6 1.The charging paragraph clearly states that there is a minimum of 2% of the buildings energy use to be provided by renewable energy systems, along with a requirement for metering of these systems. 2.Instructions for demonstrating compliance are given for both performance and compliance paths. This section describes the various types of renewable energy systems that may be used individually or in combination to satisfy the 2% minimum set forth in Section 701.6. Photovoltaic systems and wind energy systems are the two major types of renewable energy systems proposed for inclusion in the NGBS. Photovoltaic systems are further broken down into three types, each with "pointers" to applicable installation requirements in the International Residential Code. Definitions for each type of PV system are proposed for Chapter 2. Photovoltaic Panels are PV modules incorporated into discrete panels that are installed either on the building or on the building site. In the case of roof top mounted systems, installation details are listed in the IRC. Panels located on the building site are installed in accordance with the manufacturer's installation instructions. Building integrated photovoltaic systems (BIPV) are PV modules incorporated into the building envelope such that, in the case of roof BIPV, the system not only generates electricity but also forms the roof covering. Because these products provide dual function, BIPV installation must also meet roof covering requirements found in the IRC. Wind energy systems are recognized as another renewable

	energy source and must be placed in accordance with the manufacturer's installation instructions. Chapter 2: Definitions are added to Chapter 2 to clearly distinguish between the different types of renewable energy systems that have entered the marketplace. Table 303 The revision to Table 303 is submitted to provide incentive for the use of renewable energy by increasing one level for those projects that utilize these systems in accordance with Section 701.5. It is important to will ensure that the energy efficiency of the building will not be degraded because of the use of renewable energy.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	BIPV is not used in the standard and the definition for a renewable energy source is sufficient for section 704.3.3. The proposed points do not have a limit on the amount of points. Renewable energy is difficult to make mandatory due to code requirements, permits, etc. Items referenced should be installed per code, the committee wants to retain the flexibility in the current wording for points to allow a variety of renewable sources.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P409	LogID 922	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Bill Klaproth, Next Level Glentronics, Inc.		
Proposed Change:	<u>705.3 Basement Sump Pump</u>		
Reason:	<p>The United States Green Building Council says in the United States alone, buildings account for 72% of electricity consumption, so it makes sense that our biggest opportunity to save energy comes with energy efficient homes. And the consumer/builder should be alerted to the most energy efficient products available - including sump pumps! Compared to ordinary sump pumps, pumps that use energy efficient PSC motors offer enhanced energy efficiency - resulting in lower utility costs for the homeowner and lower demand on fossil fuels which pollute our air, without sacrificing performance. The key to this is highly efficient PCS (permanent split capacitor) motors that use substantially fewer amps compared with other pumps. That means they are considerably less expensive for a homeowner to operate. For example the average cost savings earned when using a sump pump with a PSC motor varies between \$50 and \$75 per year depending on model - in essence these pumps pay for themselves. As you know less amps mean less kWh reducing CO2 emissions as well. To give you an example, to date, Glentronics pumps (maker of the PHCC Pro Series that use PSC motors) have saved 25.1 million kWh or enough energy to power 2,369 homes for one year, in addition to ridding the environment of 17,887 metric tons of carbon. That's just one manufacturer - many others use PSC motors as well: Little Giant (several systems) http://www.lgpc.com/Zoeller (M, N or D264) http://www.zoeller.com/zcopump/Products/zcoproducts.htm Hydromatic (B75-M1 or -V1) http://www.hydromatic.com/sump/sump_effluent.html Barnes/Crane (SP BP and EP Series) http://www.cranepumps.com/index.php Gould (SP02, SP03, LSP03, LSP07) http://www.goulds.com/Grundfos/Paco http://www.grundfos.us/web/HOMEus.NSF http://www.pacopumps.com/HomePages/PacoHome.asp Imagine if all new construction and retrofit projects in the U.S. employed sump pumps with energy efficient PSC motors, the energy savings would be hard to ignore. For that reason we feel energy efficient sump pumps that use PSC motors should be included in the NGBS. Thank you very much for your time!</p>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new section as follows:</i></p> <p><u>705.3 Pumps.</u></p> <p><u>705.3.1 Pool, spa, and water features equipped with filtration pumps as follows.</u></p> <p>(1) Two-speed pump(s) is installed. 1 point</p>		

	<p><u>(2) Electronically controlled variable-speed pump(s) is installed (efficiencies 90% or greater). 3 points</u></p> <p><u>705.3.2 Sump pump(s) with electrically commutated motors (ECMs) or permanent split capacitor (PSC) motors is installed (efficiencies 90% or greater). 1 point</u></p>
Committee Reason:	There are nearly 5 million residential in ground pools that use single speed pumps that are very inefficient. Filtration pumps are the second largest energy consumer of household energy and uses roughly 3000-5,000 kwh per year. Variable speed pumps can easily reduce energy consumption 75% 2 speed pumps 40-50%
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Lee Sobel:</i> <u>705.3 should be removed. The better green building practice would be to offer community pool(s), saving water, energy, and materials, while enhancing community sociiability.</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P410 LogID 923 703 Prescriptive path <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
Submitter:	Tom Werst, GDS Associates, Inc
Proposed Change:	Either completely remove section 703 - Prescriptive Path(Preferred) or reduce all points assigned by at least a factor of 4
Reason:	<p>Prescriptive rating systems for energy efficiency are notoriously poor predictors of actual performance. It is currently A LOT easier to gain points using the prescriptive path than the performance path under the NGBS. This is the exact opposite to the way many building performance experts say it should be. A house is a system, and if you do a lot of things right, but fail miserably on others, your home is not going to be energy efficient. For example, you can use all kinds of advanced framing techniques, lots of insulation, great windows and doors, efficient appliances, super efficient furnace & DHW, insulate foundation and slab, but do a bad job air sealing or have very leaky duct work, and building performance will be poor. Or as above and also do a great job on air sealing and duct sealing, but undersize and poorly install a geothermal system and your electric bills will be through the roof due to electric resistance back-up kicking in. The bottom line is that a prescriptive scoring system for energy efficiency is a poor predictor of true performance. For example, for a building that I am performing both HERS Rating and Green Building Verification: • HERS Rating of 62 = 38% better = Silver (if accepted) • IECC 2006 = 17.3% better = Bronze(NGBS) • Prescriptive Path = 138 points = Gold + 38 points toward additional Given the above choices, what builder wouldn't go the prescriptive path?? Besides being a poor predictor of true performance, the prescriptive path also requires more effort to verify. Some states, for example New Hampshire, only allow performance based ratings for their ENERGY STAR programs specifically because the prescriptive based ratings are such notoriously poor predictors of actual building performance. In addition, it appears that the NGBS was written so that the current IECC(now, or soon to be 2009 in most states because IECC 2009 adoption is required to receive American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funding) is to be used for the performance path, so as the bar is raised with subsequent IECCs buildings will need to be more energy efficient in order to achieve the same certification levels, which makes sense. However, there is no such automatic raising of the bar for the prescriptive path. So as it gets more difficult to achieve a given level with the performance path, the few builders who might have chosen the performance path will quickly switch to the prescriptive path. In summary, the prescriptive path should be done away with – it is a poor indicator of true performance and will eventually give NGBS a bad name, just like USGBC has gotten a black eye recently over poor energy performance of LEED rated buildings.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Points will be reviewed based on the new baseline of the 2009 IECC. The the prescriptive path will be retained. The current metric is appropriate.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0

	Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P411	LogID 222	Entire Chapter 7	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality/Affiliated International Management
Proposed Change:	Revise the energy portion of ICC 700 to be based on exceeding the most current version of the IECC, the 2012 IECC. Points should be adjusted such that there are points for exceeding the levels in the 2012 IECC, but not for levels at or below the 2012 IECC. Some of the new items in the 2012 IECC would become mandatory without points. The concept of tradeoffs should be retained, such that it is possible to put in elements of the building that are below the ICC 700, or even the 2012 IECC, provided the overall building meets the energy goal.
Reason:	The energy levels in the ICC 700 were based on exceeding the efficiency required by the 2006 IECC. Since the ICC 700 was written there have been major changes leading to the 2012 IECC. The new basis for the ICC 700 should be the 2012 IECC.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The baseline for the energy efficiency chapter will be the 2009 IECC.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P412	LogID TG5-17	Entire Chapter 7 - Energy Efficiency	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Don Prather, Task Group 5
Proposed Change:	Propose to update the organization of Chapter 7 so that the Performance and Prescriptive Paths include all energy savings features as outlined below. Note that this requires first meeting the 2009 IECC baseline and then going beyond to reach the various savings levels in Chapter 7. <i>For the entire proposed change, see the PDF Report "Committee Actions on Proposed Changes" posted on Instructions page of the ballot website.</i>
Reason:	This organization allows points for Chapter 7 to reflect whole house energy efficiency by placing all energy savings measures in either Section 702 for the performance path or Section 703 for the prescriptive path.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Notes at bottom of flow chart are unclear and perhaps incorrect. E.G." 2012 Performance Path Whole House approach" ??? "STEP 3: Perform two energy simulations to over the 2009 IECC"???
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P413	LogID TG6-7	705.3 (Add new)	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Paula Cino, National Multi Housing Council		
Proposed Change:	<u>705.3 Parking garage efficiency. Multi-level parking garages are designed to require no mechanical ventilation for fresh air requirements. 2 Points</u>		
Reason:	This proposal promotes sustainability goals by minimizing the energy usage of parking garages.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> <u>705.3 Parking garage efficiency. Multi-level Structured parking garages are designed to require no mechanical ventilation for fresh air requirements. 2 Points</u>		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P414	LogID 924	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Bill Klapproth, Next Level Glentronics, Inc.		
Proposed Change:	<u>Water-Powered Sump Pumps – water-powered sump pumps or any other device that involves a cross connection between potable water systems, to pump out storm water from a basement sump pit, is prohibited from installation.</u>		
Reason:	during rainstorms, stormwater beneath people’s basements build up, and is funneled into basins called “sump pits.” From there, a sump pump, pumps this dirty stormwater outside the house. The problem occurs when the power goes out and the primary sump pump (that’s plugged into the wall) no longer works. That’s when people turn to their water- powered sump pump to get the rising water out of their sump pit before it overflows – flooding a homeowner’s basement. Water-powered sump pumps connect directly to the fresh drinking water supply line of a house, or in some circumstances, homeowners connect the pump with a rubber garden hose to a nearby faucet. When the pump is activated, approximately 600 gallons of fresh drinking water per hour is released in the sump pit. Most of these models then pull up 1 gallon of contaminated storm water, for each gallon of fresh water used, and deposits the water outside, right down the sewer. Depending on how often a water-powered sump pump is activated to pump rainwater out of a basement sump pit, it can waste between 10,000 and 32,000 gallons of our precious fresh drinking water per year! There are no official records on how many of these water-powered pumps are in operation in the United States, estimates range from 20,000 to 100,000 units. If we split the difference		

	and say 60,000, and on average each one wastes 15,000 gallons per year, that's 90 million gallons of water wasted: 90 million gallons! The EPA says that the average person must consume 2.5 quarts of water per day to maintain health, which equals 228 gallons per year. If you take 90 million gallons of water wasted, divided by 228, that equals 394,736 people. That's enough fresh drinking water to supply the entire city of Minneapolis with clean drinking water every year!! Not only do they waste precious drinking water, they pose a serious health risk to the homeowner. Since all water-powered pumps must be connected directly to the water supply, they must have backflow protection - unfortunately, many are installed without this. In times of heavy demand, when there's a low pressure situation, contaminated water may be sucked back into the fresh water drinking supply, causing a health risk! In the December 2008 edition of the PHCC (plumbing- heating-cooling contractors) Connection, PHCC president Joe Schmitt alerted contractors to this fact - and explained how backflow and RPZ devices are critical to public health. "A mistake (in installing backflow devices) could take a life, a family, or the whole neighborhood." That's why we feel these pumps MUST not be allowed to be installed – just by the nature of using fresh drinking water to pump out storm water is not smart. These pumps are NOT GREEN they waste water and pose a potential health risk to the homeowner and should be banned.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This is considered an emergency device. Contamination is covered in building codes.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P415 LogID 509 801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage <i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	<p>801.1.1 Indoor hot water usage is reduced by one of the following practices: <u>(points only awarded for one of the items.)</u></p> <p>(1) All hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both <u>all</u> the kitchens and bathrooms is 40 feet (12192 mm) or less in length from the water heater and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.</p> <p>(2) All hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both <u>all</u> the kitchens and bathrooms is 30 feet (9144 mm) or less from the water heater and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.</p> <p>(3) One of the following piping system designs is implemented:</p> <p>(a) use of structured-type plumbing with demand controlled hot water loops, in which the volume of water contained in the pipe and fixture fittings downstream of the recirculating trunk line is a maximum of 4 cups (0.95 liters) (57.75 cubic inches) (0.25 gallons), or</p> <p>(b) engineered parallel piping system (i.e. manifold system) in which the hot water line distance from the water heater to the parallel piping system is less than 15 feet (4570 mm) and the parallel piping to the <u>any</u> fixture fittings contains a maximum of 8 cups (1.89 liters) (115.50 cubic inches) (0.50 gallons), or</p> <p>(c) central core plumbing system with all plumbing fixture fittings (e.g., faucets, showerheads) located such that the volume of water contained in each pipe run between the water heater and <u>any</u> fixture fitting is a maximum of 6 cups (1.42 liters) (86.63 cubic inches) (0.38 gallons).</p>
Reason:	Clarify the practice

Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P416	LogID 510	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(1) All hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both <u>all</u> the kitchen and bathrooms is 40 feet (12192 mm) or less in length from the water heater and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.		
Reason:	clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P417	LogID 511	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(2) all hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both <u>all</u> the kitchen and bathrooms is 30 feet (9144 m) or less from the water heater and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P418	LogID 512	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(3)(b) engineered parallel piping system (i.e. manifold system) in which the hot water line distance from the water heater to the parallel piping system is less than 15 feet (4570 mm) and the parallel piping to the <u>any</u> fixture fittings contains a maximum of 8 cups (1.89 liters) (115.50 cubic inches)(0.50 gallons),		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P419	LogID 513	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(3)(c) central core plumbing system with all plumbing fixture fittings (e.g., faucets, showerheads) located such that the volume of water contained in each pipe run between the water heater and <u>any</u> fixture fitting is a maximum of 6 cups (1.42 liters) (86.63 cubic inches) (0.38 gallons).		
Reason:	Clarify the practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s)			

for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P420	LogID 101	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	801.1.1 (1) All hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both the kitchen and bathrooms is are 40-32 feet (12,192 9,754 mm) or less in length from the water heater and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.		
Reason:	Run length doesn't work in the field. Distance is better. Plumbers don't usually waste material and take extra long routes but need to coordinate with other trades on the job which sometimes adds a bend or 2 that wasn't forseen on the plan set. Also, reducing the number by 8 feet accounts for the up and downs and the difficulty in being able to do B-lines between the two. (Also, grammatically, "is" should be "are" as the subject is plural).		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Proponent asked for withdrawal.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P421	LogID 102	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	801.1.1 (2) All hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both the kitchen and bathrooms is are 30-24 feet (9144 7,315 mm) or less from the water heater and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.		
Reason:	Run length doesn't work in the field. Distance is better. Plumbers don't usually waste material and take extra long routes but need to coordinate with other trades on the job which sometimes adds a bend or 2 that wasn't forseen on the plan set. Also, reducing the number by 8 feet accounts for the up and downs and the difficulty in being able to do B-lines between the two. (Also, grammatically, "is" should be "are" as the subject is plural).		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Proponent asked for withdrawal.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P422	LogID 103	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	801.1.1 (3) (a) use of structured-type plumbing with demand-controlled hot water loops, in which the <u>distance to all plumbing fixtures receiving the hot water is not more than 40 feet from the recirculating trunk line and the smallest diameter pipe allowed by code is used.</u> volume of water contained in the pipe and fixture fittings downstream of the recirculating trunk line is a maximum of 4 cups (0.95 liters) (57.75 cubic inches) (0.25 gallons), or		
Reason:	The cup measure has proven difficult, somewhat for the same reason above and somewhat 'cause the industry isn't used to it. The industry not being used to it is not so much of a reason as they can get used to it but given the realities of what happens in the field, I would again use a distance from equipment to fixture length for these.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Proponent asked for withdrawal.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P423	LogID 104	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	801.1.1 (3) (b) engineered parallel piping system (i.e., manifold system) in which the hot water line distance from the water heater to the parallel piping system is less than 15 feet (4570 mm) <u>and the distance to all plumbing fixtures receiving the hot water is not more than _____ feet from the beginning of the parallel piping system and uses the smallest diameter piping allowed by code and the parallel piping to the fixture fittings contains a maximum of 8 cups (1.89 liters) (115.50 cubic inches) (0.50 gallons), or</u>		
Reason:	The cup measure has proven difficult, somewhat for the same reason above and somewhat 'cause the industry isn't used to it. The industry not being used to it is not so much of a reason as they can get used to it but given the realities of what happens in the field, I would again use a distance from equipment to fixture length for these.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Proponent asked for withdrawal.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P424	LogID 105	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	801.1.1 (3) (c) central core plumbing system with all plumbing fixture fittings (e.g., faucets, showerheads) located such that the <u>distance between the central core plumbing system and all fixtures receiving hot water is no greater than feet and that the smallest diameter pipe allowed by code is used. volume of water contained in each pipe run between the water heater and fixture fitting is a maximum of 6 cups (1.42 liters) (86.63 cubic inches) (0.38 gallons).</u>
Reason:	The cup measure has proven difficult, somewhat for the same reason above and somewhat 'cause the industry isn't used to it. The industry not being used to it is not so much of a reason as they can get used to it but given the realities of what happens in the field, I would again use a distance from equipment to fixture length for these.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proponent asked for withdrawal.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P425	LogID 106	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	801.1.1 (4) Pipe runs <u>distances between heating equipment and fixtures exceeding 40 32 feet (12,192 9,754 mm)</u> from the water heater to fixture locations are aided by one of the following:
Reason:	Run length doesn't work in the field. Distance is better. Plumbers don't usually waste material and take extra long routes but need to coordinate with other trades on the job which sometimes adds a bend or 2 that wasn't foreseen on the plan set. Also, reducing the number by 8 feet accounts for the up and downs and the difficulty in being able to do B-lines between the two.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proponent asked for withdrawal.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s)	

for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P426	LogID 107	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	801.1.1 (4) (b) on-demand hot water recirculation system is installed <u>with a water temperature sensor turn-off.</u>
Reason:	On-demand can be interpreted as on a timer where it goes on whether or not the hot water is needed. On-demand needs to be defined as with a manual switch of some sort and then it should shut off as soon as the water at the fixture meets a certain temperature.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> 801.1.1 (4) (b) on-demand hot water recirculation system is installed <u>with a water temperature sensor turn-off pump switch.</u>
Committee Reason:	Language is clarified.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P427	LogID 108	801.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Addition Note: Mandatory 0 Additional Points
Reason:	It doesn't make sense that this items is optional for new construction but mandatory for additions.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P428	LogID 926	801.2 Water Conserving Appliances	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Jeremy Williams, Timber Products Inspection
Proposed Change:	Multi-family - Laundry facilities are provided on-site where Energy Star or equivalent water conserving appliances are installed.
Reason:	Points should be awarded to multi family builders who do not provide washing machines in each unit, but who do provide laundry facilities for the entire complex which contain Energy Star washing machines.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard by adding new as follows:</i> Multi-Unit Building Note: Washing machines are installed in individual units or provided in common areas of multi-family buildings.
Committee Reason:	Clarify the language.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P429	LogID 109	801.2 Water Conserving Appliances	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(2) washing machine_or
Reason:	Suggest making this that you can take points for either this item (2) or the next, (3), but not both so someone with a water factor less than 6.0 can't claim 20 points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P430	LogID 110	801.2 Water Conserving Appliances	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Addition and Renovation Note: (2) replace existing washing machine, <u>or</u>
Reason:	Suggest making this that you can take points for either this item (2) or the next, (3), but not both so someone with a water factor less than 6.0 can't claim 2 additional points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P431	LogID 927	801.3 Food waste disposers	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Jeannie Sikora, Jeannie Leggett Sikora
Proposed Change:	801.3 Food waste disposers. A minimum of one food waste disposer is installed at the primary kitchen sink. 1 Point
Reason:	I do not understand how a food waste disposal system contributes to minimizing water use in a home. In fact, because water needs to be run while the disposal is operating, it contributes to unnecessary water use. Further, food in disposals increases the biological oxygen demand on a water treatment plant and, hence, does not seem to merit environmental sustainability points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P432	LogID 308	801.3 Food Waste Disposers	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management
Proposed Change:	Delete 801.3
Reason:	This device should not be in the standard unless it can be shown that it actually uses less water than other methods of food waste disposal. Other options, like composting, are preferable.

Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P433	LogID 112	801.3 Food Waste Disposers	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	801.3 Food Waste Disposers. A minimum of one food waste dispenser is installed at the primary kitchen sink.		
Reason:	This does not save water as you have to run the water while the food waste dispenser is operating. Also, it is not recommended for septic systems as it interferes with their breakdown process.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P434	LogID 342	801.4 Showerheads	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management		
Proposed Change:	Replace section 801.4 to 801.6 with text below and add appropriate points. Fixture and fitting flow rates. Fixtures and fitting shall comply with the maximum flow rates as applicable in Table.		
	TABLE: MAXIMUM FIXTURE AND FITTING FLOW RATES		
	FIXTURE OR FIXTURE FITTING TYPE	MAXIMUM FLOW RATE	
	<u>Showerhead^e</u>	<u>2.0 gpm</u>	
	<u>Lavatory faucet and bar sink -private</u>	<u>1.25 gpm</u>	
	<u>Lavatory faucet-public (metering)</u>	<u>0.25 gpc^d</u>	
	<u>Lavatory faucet-public (nonmetering)</u>	<u>0.5 gpm^e</u>	
	<u>Kitchen faucet-private</u>	<u>2.2 gpm^e</u>	
	<u>Kitchen and bar sink faucets in other than dwelling units and guest rooms</u>	<u>2.2 gpm^e</u>	

	<u>Urinal</u>	<u>0.5 gpf or nonwater urinal</u>
	<u>Water closet</u>	<u>1.6 gallons per flush^a</u>
	<u>Water closet-private</u>	<u>1.28 gpf</u>
	<p>a. The effective flush volume of a dual-flush watercloset is defined as the composite, average flush volume of two reduced flushes and one full flush.</p> <p>d. Gallons per cycle</p> <p>e. Includes hand showers, body sprays, rainfall panels and jets. Showerhead(s) shall be supplied by <i>automatic</i> compensating valves that comply with ASSE 1016 or ASMEA 112.18.1/CSA B125.1 and that are specifically designed to function at the flowrate of the showerheads being used.</p> <p>Reduction prohibited. The flow rates for emergency and decontamination fixtures and fittings shall not be reduced below the specifications of ANSI/ISEA Z358.1.</p> <p>Showerhead performance. Showerheads shall have a manufacturers designation as complying with EPA 8**R100**.</p> <p>Watercloset performance. Water closets shall have a manufacturers designation as complying with EPA 800R07010.</p> <p><u>EPA 800R07010 Water Sense Tank-Type High-Efficiency Toilet Specification, Appendix A: HET Fixture Performance Testing Protocol, Section 4.0 Flush Performance Criteria, Version 1, January 24, 2007.</u></p> <p><u>EPA-8**R10** Water Sense Specification for Showerheads Version 1, March 4, 2010, Appendix A: Spray Force Procedure and Appendix B: Spray Coverage Procedure.</u></p>	
Reason:	This expands the fixture and faucet items. Points will need to be assigned. Performance requirements are added for shower heads and toilets. Key specifications for WaterSense are extracted and put directly into the table. This table presumes ICC 700 applies to multifamily.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Correct values are already covered in the standard. A format change is unnecessary and incongruous.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P435		LogID 186		801.4 Showerheads		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>	
Submitter:	Dan Buuck, NAHB						
Proposed Change:	Addition and Renovation Note: Existing showerhead is replaced with a showerhead that has a flow rate in accordance with Section 804.1.1 801.4.						
Reason:	Editorial change to the section number referred to in the Addition and Renovation Note.						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept						
Modification of Proposed Change:							
Committee Reason:							
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0						

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P436	LogID 516	801.4 Showerheads	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	<p>801.4 Showerheads. The <u>maximum</u> total showerhead flow rate at any point in time in each a shower compartment is in accordance with Section 801.4(1) or 801.4(2). The total flow rate is tested at 80 psi (552 kPa) in accordance with ASME A112.18.1. Showers are equipped with an automatic compensating valve that complies with ASSE 1016 or ASME A112.18.1 and specifically designed to provide thermal shock and scald protection at the flow rate of the showerhead.</p> <p>(1) 2.0 to less than 2.5 gpm (Points awarded per showerhead <u>shower compartment</u>.)</p> <p>(2) 1.6 to less than 2.0 gpm (Points awarded per showerhead <u>shower compartment</u>.)</p> <p>(3) All showerheads <u>shower compartments in the dwelling unit</u> are 2.0 to less than 2.5 gpm</p> <p>(4) All showerheads <u>shower compartments in the dwelling unit</u> are 1.6 to less than 2.0 gpm</p>
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P438.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P437	LogID 113	801.4 Showerheads	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	--------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(2) <u>Manual shower shutoff (2 points per shutoff)</u>
Reason:	Suggest new point to encourage "military showers". This keeps the water valves at the desired setting for temperature and just shuts off the flow.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard by adding new as follows:</i> (3) <u>Any control that can shut off water flow without affecting temperature is installed. (1 point per shutoff, maximum of 3 points).</u>

Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P438	LogID TG4-4	801.4 Showerheads	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	--------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Doug Hensel, Task Group 4		
Proposed Change:	801.4 Showerheads. Showerheads are in accordance with the following:		
	(1) The total showerhead maximum combined flow rate of all shower heads controlled by a single valve at any point in time in each a shower compartment is 1.6 to less than 2.5 gpm. Maximum of two valves are installed per shower compartment. The total flow rate is tested at 80 psi (552 kPa) in accordance with ASME A112.18.1. Showers are equipped with Showerheads are served by an automatic compensation valve that complies with ASSE 1016 or ASME A112.18.1 and specifically designed to provide thermal shock and scald protection at the flow rate of the showerhead. (Points awarded per showerhead-shower compartment)	1	3 Points Max
	(2) All showerheads meet the requirements of 801.4(1). In addition, all showerheads are in compliance with either 801.4(2)(a) or 801.4(2)(b). (Points awarded per shower compartment based on 801.4(2)(a) or 801.4(2)(b).)		
	(a) 2.0 to less than 2.5 gpm	1	Additional Point
	(b) 1.6 to less than 2.0 gpm	2	Additional Points
Reason:	To clarify how points should be awarded.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P439	LogID 521	801.5 Faucets	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	----------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
-------------------	-----------------------------------

Proposed Change:	(1) a bathroom (<u>all faucets in a bathroom must comply</u>) (2) all lavatory faucets <u>in the dwelling unit</u>
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (1) a bathroom (<u>all faucets in a bathroom must comply are in compliance</u>) (2) all lavatory faucets <u>in the dwelling unit and common areas</u>
Committee Reason:	Clarification for multi-unit buildings.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P440	LogID 181	801.5 Faucets	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency		
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p>-- <u>All faucets (kitchen and lavatory) must be certified under NSF/ANSI 61 and other applicable health-based regulations.</u></p> <p>- <u>All in-line plumbing components not considered an “end point device” must meet at a minimum, certification under NSF/ANSI 61 and other applicable state and national standards.</u></p> <p>- <u>All plumbing components located within the last 1-L water volume from the tap must be certified under the appropriate sections of NSF/ANSI 61.</u></p> <p>-- <u>All pipe/tubing must meet NSF/ANSI 61 within and leading to the structure.</u></p> <p>-- <u>If copper tubing is to be used, influent water quality should not permit copper levels to exceed 2 mg/L (acute health effects limit, need to get exact reference, possibly from Joyce Donohue) under operational conditions.</u> (Note from author: If you need an approximate guideline, I would offer pH > 7 and alkalinity < 200 mg/L as CaCO₃.)</p> <p>-- <u>Operational conditions should not allow the loss of disinfection or the growth of unhealthy biofilms.</u></p>		
Reason:	This chapter - the entire standard, in fact -- shows no integration of water quality concerns, and the relationship between water conservation and the impact on water contamination brought about by the potential leaching of metals from plumbing components and piping materials in general, and the enhancement of the potential degradation and the increased potential for unhealthy microbial growth brought about by the prolonged contact of the drinking water with the plumbing materials created by the use of water conservation devices. These issues could be incorporated it into the existing chapter and as added sections under a new chapter title of “Water Quality and Efficiency.” The water quality implications of the plumbing material specifications and the operational concerns relate equally to new construction as well as renovations. All of the language that is suggested above should be mandatory practices.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Concerns of water quality are covered by the plumbing code and are outside of the scope of the water efficiency chapter and the Standard. This is a public safety issue and should be addressed by code. Some the proposed language is not enforceable.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P441 LogID 522 801.6 Water Closets/Urinals		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(For water closets, Total points awarded for either both Section 801.6 or 802.2, not both cannot exceed 24 points.)	
Reason:	Clarify the practice and allow a combination of composting and low gpf units.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P444.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P442 LogID 523 801.6 Water closets and urinals		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	(2) A water closet is installed with an effective flush volume of 1.28 gallons (4.85 L) or less when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.2 (all water closets) and or when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.14 (all dual flush water closets), and is in accordance with EPA WaterSense Tank-Type High-Efficiency Toilet <u>or equivalent</u> .	
Reason:	Clarify the practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> (2) A water closet is installed with an effective flush volume of 1.28 gallons (4.85 L) or less when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.2 (all water closets) and or when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.14 (all dual flush water closets), and is in accordance with EPA WaterSense Tank-Type High-Efficiency Toilet or equivalent .	
Committee Reason:	Addition of "or equivalent" reduced clarity of the practice.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0	

	Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P443	LogID 524	801.6 Water Closets/Urinals	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(4) All water closets and all urinals <u>in the dwelling unit</u> are in accordance with Section 801.6(2) or Section 801.6(3), as applicable <u>or are composting or waterless units.</u>
Reason:	Clarify the practice with respect to multi-unit buildings and to allow a combination of composting and low gpf units.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P444	LogID TG4-5	801.6 Water closets and urinals	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	--------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Pete Fusaro and Steve Hale, Task Group 4		
Proposed Change:	<i>Remove Sections 801.6 and 802.2 and replace as follows:</i>		
	801.6 Water Closets and urinals. Water closets and urinals are in accordance with the following:		
	(1) Gold and emerald levels: All water closets and urinals are in accordance with Section 801.6	Mandatory	
	(2) A water closet is installed with an effective flush volume of 1.28 gallons (4.85L) or less when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.2 (all water closets) and ASME A112.19.14 (all dual flush water closets), and is in accordance with EPA Watersense <i>Tank-Type High-Efficiency Toilet</i> , or (Points awarded per fixture)	6	18 points Max
	(3) All water closets are installed with an effective flush volume of 1.28 gallons (4.85L) or less when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.2 (all water closets) and ASME A112.19.14 (all dual flush water closets), and is in accordance with EPA Watersense <i>Tank-Type High-Efficiency Toilet</i> .	24	
	(a) Dual flush (or other) water closets are used that have a flush volume of 1.2 gallons or less and comply with 801.6(2). (Points awarded per toilet)	2 Additional Points	4 Additional Points Max
	(b) One or more urinals are installed with a flush volume of 0.5 gallons	2 Additional	

		<u>(1.9L) or less when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.2 and all other water closets comply with 801.6(2).</u>	Points
	(c)	<u>One or more composting or waterless toilets and/or urinals are installed and all other water closets comply with 801.6(2).</u>	8 Additional Points
Reason:	To add points for using water closets or urinals that use less than 1.28 Gallons but restrict additional points such that ALL other water closets must comply. Also equalize points for a smaller home that has only 2 water closets with a larger home that has 3 or more water closets.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	Bruce Boncke: Recommended points should not have been part of the committee approval at this time and should be listed as TBD for future consideration.		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	Randall Melvin: It is arbitray to require (Mandatory) all water closets and urinals be low flow in order to achieve a gold or emerald rating. It should make no diference how water is saved just how much is saved. The water can just as easily be saved by low follow showerheads or lavatory fixtures. Standard shour target a point threshold for each level of the standard and not dictate how builder gets there.		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P445	LogID 114	801.7 Irrigation Systems	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	801.7.3 <u>Group plants with similar watering needs together (hydrozone) and install</u> irrigation system is zoned separately for turf and bedding areas. <u>areas with different watering needs.</u>		
Reason:	This should be more specific and not assume that there is any turf area. You should not have turf in arid climates but you should always hydrozone.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P446	LogID 115	801.7 Irrigation System Smart Controller	Final Formal Action:
-------------	------------------	---	-----------------------------

Reject	
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	801.7.4 (1) Evapotranspiration (ET) based irrigation controller with a rain sensor <u>OR</u>
Reason:	Suggest making this that you can take points for either this item (1) or the next two (2) and (3), but not so someone could put in both systems described in (1) and (2) and take 8 points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Redundant systems may have water savings. Therefore, it should be allowed to award points for both.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P447	LogID 116	801.7 Irrigation System Smart Controller	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept
-------------	------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	801.7.4 (2) Soil moisture sensor based irrigation controller, <u>or</u>
Reason:	Suggest making this that you can take points for either this item (1) or the next two (2) and (3), but not so someone could put in both systems described in (1) and (2) and take 8 points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P448	LogID 117	801.7.4 Irrigation System Smart Controller	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
-------------------	----------------------------

Proposed Change:	801.7.4 (3) "CHANGE POINTS" 45 <u>2</u>
Reason:	This shouldn't be so encouraged as this usually means that people hand water or use sprinklers which are typically less efficient than a system that is designed.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Current point value is commensurate with water savings benefit.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P449	LogID TG4-6	801.7 Irrigation systems	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	--------------------	---------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Task Group 4		
Proposed Change:	<i>Delete items 801.7.1, 801.7.2, & 801.7.3 and replace with the following:</i>		
	801.7 Irrigation Systems		
	801.7.1 High- Distribution Uniformity (DU) rotating spray heads are installed in lieu of spray heads for turf or no turf is installed.		6
	801.7.2 Drip Irrigation installed for each landscape type (except turf is per 801.7.1).		8
	801.7.3 Landscape Plan & Implementation is installed by a certified Water Sense Professional or equivalent as approved by adopting entity.		5 Additional Points
	801.7.4 Drip Irrigation Zones Implemented show plant type by name and water use or need for each emitter.		5 Additional Points
Reason:	Tying lot design to water savings is important so chapter 5 must have similar working to work with chapter 801.7.1 as written allows many points for the drip system without any thought (for example; add a bubbler on a line and get 4 extra points) This allows points for doing practices that reduce water use for exterior		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i>		
	<i>Delete items 801.7.1, 801.7.2, & 801.7.3 and replace with the following:</i>		
	801.7 Irrigation Systems		
	801.7.1 High- Distribution Uniformity (DU) rotating spray heads are installed in lieu of spray heads for turf or no turf is installed landscaping.		6
	801.7.2 Drip Irrigation installed for each landscape type (except turf is per 801.7.1).		8
	801.7.3 Landscape Plan & Implementation is installed are executed by a certified Water Sense Professional or equivalent as approved by adopting entity.		5 Additional Points
	801.7.4 Drip Irrigation Zones Implemented show plant type by name and water use or need for each emitter.		5 Additional Points
Committee Reason:	The "no irrigation" option is covered by 801.7.4 (new 801.7.5).		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P450	LogID 525	801.8 Rainwater Collection and Distribution	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Rainwater collection and distribution is provided.
Reason:	Additional guidance is needed to define the minimum amount/capacity of collection is required to earn these points (e.g. one rain barrel, one barrel at each downspout, x ft3 per ft2 of roof, etc)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P457.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P451	LogID 118	801.8 Rainwater Collection and Distribution	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	Rainwater collection and distribution is provided <u>that has a minimum storage capacity of 500 gallons.</u>
Reason:	There should be a minimum size of storage here so a 2 gallon bucket at the end of the downspout doesn't count.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Redistribution storage capacity should not be required. There are solutions without storage. Also, see P457.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P452	LogID 119	801.8 Rainwater Collection and Distribution	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	(1) Rainwater is collected and used <u>as follows: (a) 1 gallon per square foot for 100% of the roofed area (12 points) or (b) 1 gallon per square foot for 75% of the roofed area (9 points) or (c) 1 gallon per square foot for 50% of the roofed area (6 points).</u>
Reason:	Suggest having a graduated point system for larger systems in proportion to the roofed area to encourage greater investment. This is already important for arid areas of the US and is predicted to become more universally important as weather patterns become more extreme and areas that have rarely seen drought conditions experience them more frequently.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proposal is not compatible with the complete rewrite of the section (see P457).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P453	LogID 929	801.8 Rainwater collection and distribution	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Brian Gregson, Rainwater Services
Proposed Change:	Capture of at least one-inch rainfall for max points. Pro-rate points based on percentage of municipal water usage mitigated by captured rainwater, calculated on a per annum basis.
Reason:	Currently minimal parameters for this section. The above are suggested items to be discussed and improved upon for future inclusion.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Not appropriate. Capturing may not be an issue. Also see P457.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P454 LogID 930 801.8 Rainwater collection and distribution		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Steve Williams, Buildinggreener LLC	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>Addition and Renovation Note: Rainwater is collected and used - 6 points</u>	
Reason:	Rainwater is a much better form of water for irrigation then gray water. Anytime it can be used for irrigation to make up for the impervious surface it is running off of, it should be collected and used.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Addressed through performance compliance in the remodeling provisions.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P455 LogID 931 801.8 Rainwater collection and distribution		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Steve Williams, Buildinggreener LLC	
Proposed Change:	Rainwater is collected and used <u>for outdoor use allowing 6 points for 100% rainwater use and 3 points for 50% rainwater use for all outdoor water usage in systems 300 gallons or larger using The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association <i>RAINWATER CATCHMENT DESIGN AND INSTALLATION STANDARDS</i> or similar state guide for guidance. 1 point for rainbarrels up to 300 gallons. Tanks cannot be connected to municipal water for back up. Quantities decided by water bill summer and winter usage difference or by irrigation or landscape designer.</u>	
Reason:	By having a parameter based on percentage will allow different size users be fairly rewarded. People will make up the rules when there are none. Municipalities and non profits in GA only promote rainbarrels and most have bad and potentially hazardous designs. When designed and installed properly rainwater harvesting (RH) the water is clean and clear. It allows better plant growth and when used for washing especially cars RH leaves no spotting. If irrigation is installed in new construction then the seveloper/owner should support the excessive use of water to maintain it. With out some parameters many people will put in small undersized or faulty systems,	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P457 which includes proffesional certification by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association.	

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P456	LogID 932	801.8 Rainwater collection and distribution	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Steve Williams, Buildinggreener LLC
Proposed Change:	(1) Rainwater is collected and used. (A) Rainwater harvesting system is used to replace 25% of municipal or groundwater 2 points (B) Rainwater harvesting system is used to replace 50% of municipal or groundwater 4 points
Reason:	Some parameters are need so points will truly earned. By using a percentage then this gives builder wide options as to how to use water to receive points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The intent of the proposed change is addressed in P457.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P457	LogID TG4-1	801.8 Rainwater collection and distribution	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept as Modified
-------------	--------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Michael William Cudahy, Task Group 4		
Proposed Change:	801.8(1) and 801.8(2) are replaced with the following:		
	801.8.1 Rainwater is used for irrigation in the following way:		
	(1)	Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation without impermeable water storage OR	<u>5</u>
	(2)	Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation with impermeable water storage.	
	(a)	50 - 499 gallon storage capacity OR	<u>5</u>
	(b)	500 - 2499 gallon storage capacity OR	<u>10</u>
	(c)	2500 gallon or larger storage capacity (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) OR	<u>15</u>
	(d)	All irrigation demands are met by rainwater capture.	<u>25</u>

		Documentation demonstrating water needs of landscape shall be provide. (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent).																																									
		801.8.2 Rainwater is used for interior use in the following way (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) :																																									
	(1)	Rainwater provides for partial domestic demand (any locally approved uses) OR (points awarded per fixture, maximum of 20 points)	<u>5</u>																																								
	(2)	Rainwater provides for total domestic demand	<u>25</u>																																								
Reason:	Incentivize based on the value of the system involved.																																										
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified																																										
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p><i>801.8(1) and 801.8(2) are replaced with the following:</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>801.8.1 Rainwater is used for irrigation in accordance with the following way:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(1)</td> <td>Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation without impermeable water storage OR</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(2)</td> <td>Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation with impermeable water storage.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(a)</td> <td>50 - 499 gallon storage capacity OR</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(b)</td> <td>500 - 2499 gallon storage capacity OR</td> <td><u>10</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(c)</td> <td>2500 gallon or larger storage capacity (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) OR</td> <td><u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(d)</td> <td>All irrigation demands are met by rainwater capture. Documentation demonstrating water needs of landscape shall be provide. (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent).</td> <td><u>25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>801.8.2 Rainwater is used for interior use in the following way (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) :</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(1)</td> <td>Rainwater provides for partial domestic demand (any locally approved uses) OR (points awarded per appliance or fixture, maximum of 20 points)</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(2)</td> <td>Rainwater provides for total domestic demand</td> <td><u>25</u></td> </tr> </table>					801.8.1 Rainwater is used for irrigation in accordance with the following way :			(1)	Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation without impermeable water storage OR	<u>5</u>		(2)	Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation with impermeable water storage.			(a)	50 - 499 gallon storage capacity OR	<u>5</u>		(b)	500 - 2499 gallon storage capacity OR	<u>10</u>		(c)	2500 gallon or larger storage capacity (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) OR	<u>15</u>		(d)	All irrigation demands are met by rainwater capture. Documentation demonstrating water needs of landscape shall be provide. (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent).	<u>25</u>			801.8.2 Rainwater is used for interior use in the following way (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) :			(1)	Rainwater provides for partial domestic demand (any locally approved uses) OR (points awarded per appliance or fixture, maximum of 20 points)	<u>5</u>		(2)	Rainwater provides for total domestic demand	<u>25</u>
		801.8.1 Rainwater is used for irrigation in accordance with the following way :																																									
	(1)	Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation without impermeable water storage OR	<u>5</u>																																								
	(2)	Rainwater diverted for landscape irrigation with impermeable water storage.																																									
	(a)	50 - 499 gallon storage capacity OR	<u>5</u>																																								
	(b)	500 - 2499 gallon storage capacity OR	<u>10</u>																																								
	(c)	2500 gallon or larger storage capacity (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) OR	<u>15</u>																																								
	(d)	All irrigation demands are met by rainwater capture. Documentation demonstrating water needs of landscape shall be provide. (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent).	<u>25</u>																																								
		801.8.2 Rainwater is used for interior use in the following way (system is designed by a professional certified by The American Rainwater Catchment Systems Association or equivalent) :																																									
	(1)	Rainwater provides for partial domestic demand (any locally approved uses) OR (points awarded per appliance or fixture, maximum of 20 points)	<u>5</u>																																								
	(2)	Rainwater provides for total domestic demand	<u>25</u>																																								
Committee Reason:																																											
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0																																										
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD																																										
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:																																											
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:																																											

P458	LogID 933	801.9 Water filters	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Mark Harris, LifeSource Water Systems		

Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> 801.9.1 Whole building or whole dwelling water filter unit that has 100% efficiency (does not waste water in production, backwash or regeneration). 2 additional points
Reason:	The following are the negative environmental facts about these systems: 1. Water softeners waste water. Older technology wastes on average 6000 gallons/year. New technology wastes on average 2500 gallons/year. 2. Water softeners dump chlorides into the waste stream. They have been banned by many communities in California for this reason. See http://www.lacsd.org/info/industrial_waste/chloride_in_santa_clarita/introduction.asp for more info. 3. Since softened water is not safe to drink, reverse osmosis systems are used. These systems waste 3-8 gallons of water for every gallon produced. LifeSource produces an whole house alternative to water softeners, and delivers the following environmental benefits: 1. No salts are chlorides are used. 2. No water is wasted, as the backwash water is potable and directed back into irrigation. 3. Eliminates the need for bottled water, a product which is extremely bad for the environment due to the production and transportation of the plastic bottles, and the fact that over 70% of bottles are not recycled and end up in landfills or the oceans. 4. Our sustainable design is tested and certified to last at least 1.6M gallon, about 16 years for a family of 4. There is no maintenance and no changing and disposing of filter media.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Water quality is outside the scope of the Standard and should be addressed in other regulatory documents. Refer to P459.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P459	LogID TG4-3	801.9 Water filters	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Doug Hensel, Task Group 4		
Proposed Change:	Water Sediment Filters. Water filter is installed to improve water quality <u>reduce sediment and protect plumbing fixtures</u> for the whole building or whole dwelling unit.		
Reason:	To realign this section with prolonging the life of fixtures and preventing leaks by reducing sediment instead of improving water quality.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P460		LogID 526	802.1 Gray Water	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center			
Proposed Change:	Gray water, as specified in ICC IRC, Appendix, O is separated and reused, as permitted by local building code. (Points awarded for either Section 802.1(1) or 802.1(2), not both.)			
	(1) each water closet flushed by reclaimed or recycled water (Points awarded per fixture. <u>Max 12 points</u>)			
	(2) irrigation from reclaimed or recycled <u>gray</u> water on-site			
Reason:	Clarify the practice is limited to recycling gray water and not rain water. A maximum point value should be added to (1) since (2) only allows 10 points and this is an either or practice.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P462.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P461		LogID 934	802.1 Gray water	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Williams, Buildinggreener LLC			
Proposed Change:	(2) Irrigation from reclaimed or recycled water on-site 40 point 6 points			
	Addition and Renovation Note: (2) Irrigation from reclaimed or recycled water on-site 5 point 3 points			
Reason:	Gray water should not be of anymore importance then rainwater for irrigation. I find gray water to be an environmental liability and should not be used for irrigation unless treated to a non-toxic substance. Bleach is used in some systems and what is put into the systems could contaminate ground water which could contaminate drinking water. The human factor is the concern.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Points for the entire chapter are being revised per P470.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
--------------------------------	--

P462	LogID TG4-2	802.1 Gray water	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	--------------------	-------------------------	--

Submitter:	Jamie Hager, Task Group 4
-------------------	---------------------------

Proposed Change:	802.1 Reclaimed, Gray, or recycled water. Gray water, as specified in ICC IRC, Appendix O, is separated and reused, as permitted by local building code. Reclaimed, gray, or recycled water is used as permitted by applicable code.	
	[Points awarded for either Section 802.1(1) or 802.1(2), not both.]	
	(1) each water closet flushed by reclaimed, gray, or recycled water	45
	(Points awarded per fixture.)	20 Points Max
	(2) irrigation from reclaimed, gray, or recycled water on-site	10
	Addition and Renovation Note: Additional points are available for Section 802.1 as follows:	
	(1) each water closet flushed by reclaimed, gray, or recycled water	2 Additional Points
(2) irrigation from reclaimed, gray, or recycled water onsite	5 Additional Points	

Reason:	Clarify the practice.
----------------	-----------------------

Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
---------------------------------------	--------------------

Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i>	
	802.1 Reclaimed, Gray, or recycled water. Gray water, as specified in ICC IRC, Appendix O, is separated and reused, as permitted by local building code. Reclaimed, gray, or recycled water is used as permitted by applicable code.	
	[Points awarded for either Section 802.1(1) or 802.1(2), not both.]	
	(1) each water closet flushed by reclaimed, gray, or recycled water	45
	(Points awarded per fixture or appliance.)	20 Points Max
	(2) irrigation from reclaimed, gray, or recycled water on-site	10
	Addition and Renovation Note: Additional points are available for Section 802.1 as follows:	
(1) each water closet flushed by reclaimed, gray, or recycled water	2 Additional Points	
(2) irrigation from reclaimed, gray, or recycled water onsite	5 Additional Points	

Committee Reason:	
--------------------------	--

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
--	---

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
--	--

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P463 LogID 530 802.2 Composting or Waterless Toilets/Urinals		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Composting or waterless toilets and/or urinals are in accordance with the following installed: (For water closets, Total points awarded for either both Section 802.2 or 801.6, not both shall not exceed 24 points.)	
Reason:	Clarify the practice.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P444.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P464 LogID 120 802.3 Automatic shutoff water devices.		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM	
Proposed Change:	802.3 (Change Points" 2 <u>6</u>)	
Reason:	Based on the amount of water that could potentially be saved, this should have more points.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Points will be based on water savings per P470.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P465 LogID 531 802.3 Automatic Shutoff Water Devices		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	

Proposed Change:	(1) excess water flow <u>automatic</u> shutoff (2) leak detection system <u>with automatic shutoff</u>
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P466	LogID TG4-7	Add new Section	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Darren Port, State of New Jersey		
Proposed Change:	802.x Engineered Biological System or Intensive Bioremediation System. An Engineered Biological System or Intensive Bioremediation System is installed either on an individual building basis, a group of individual buildings, multifamily building or on a community scale. Design and implementation must be approved by appropriate regional authority. 20 Points		
Reason:	Engineered biological systems or intensive bioremediation systems (living machines) are similar to a waste water treatment system. These systems can create cleansed water that is ready for reuse on site—for tasks such as irrigation and toilet flushing. These systems require no public infrastructure and use no chemicals instead aquatic and wetland plants, bacteria, algae, and living organisms (protozoa, plankton, snails, clams, and fish) and other organisms are used in the system to provide specific cleansing or trophic functions. Engineered biological systems or intensive bioremediation systems also treat and up cycle organic waste into value-added products, such as food, fuel, or biomaterials. Up to 95% of the water entering into the system can be recovered. Water and energy savings have been demonstrated compared to conventional systems.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	802.x Engineered Biological System or Intensive Bioremediation System. An Engineered Biological System or Intensive Bioremediation System is installed either on an individual building basis, a group of individual buildings, multifamily building or on a community scale and the treated water is used on site. Design and implementation must be approved by appropriate regional authority. 20 Points		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Emily Mitchell:</i> Ensure the "Engineered Biological System" or "Intensive Bioremediation System" is clearly defined in document or glossary, wherever is appropriate. <i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P467		LogID 341	Add New Section	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management			
Proposed Change:	Rainwater, gray water, and reclaimed water restrictions proposed by Gary Klein and Craig Conner for the IGCC should also be included in ICC 700.			
Reason:	Rainwater, gray water, and reclaimed water represent the "new" sources of water which can work with increase water use efficiency already in the ICC 700.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Incomplete proposed change. These items are addressed in other proposed changes as appropriate.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P468		LogID 147	Add New Section	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Randall K. Melvin, Winchester Homes, Inc.			
Proposed Change:	Recirculation Humidifier Recirculating humidifier used in lieu of traditional "flow through type. 2 points.			
Reason:	Recirculating humidifies can save up several gallons of water per hour inwhen compared to traditional flow through models. to encourage use of less water and energy efficient humidifier types.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified			
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard by adding new section as follows:</i> <u>802.x Recirculating humidifier. Where a humidifier is required, a recirculating humidifier is used in lieu of a traditional "flow through" type. (1 Point)</u>			
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD <i>Randall Melvin:</i> Other than under medical orders humidifiers are not "required." Suggest replacing "required" with "when installed".			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P469		LogID 236		Entire Chapter 8		Final Formal Action: Reject	
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management						
Proposed Change:	Points for water items should be reassigned based on the estimated water impact.						
Reason:	We are aware that the points for energy were scaled to roughly reflect their overall energy impact. This same principle should be applied to the water points. We understand that this is difficult and requires assumptions. There are many cases in the current language where the points allocated to water related improvements are clearly not related to their impact. A specific example: "801.1 Indoor hot water usage." This assigns points based on the volume of water in the piping between the water heater and the fixtures, which is the key to actually getting the benefits. One method, structured plumbing, allows 4 cups to the fixtures and gets 6 points. Central core plumbing, allows 6 cups, but gets 8 points. Engineered parallel piping, allows 17.5 cups to each fixture, but also gets 6 points. Points are not proportional to their impact on water waste. If they were, engineered parallel piping would get say 3 points, central core plumbing would get 9 points and structured plumbing would get 13 points.						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject						
Modification of Proposed Change:							
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P470.						
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0						
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:							

P470		LogID TG4-8		Entire Chapter 8		Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified																																																																																	
Submitter:	Michael William Cudahy, Task Group 4																																																																																						
Proposed Change:	Revise Chapter 8 point assignments based on the following methodology:																																																																																						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="5">Computing Maximum Possible Points (for Calibration)</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="5">Indoor Water Points Due to Savings</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td colspan="3">Hot Water Energy Points</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Points</td> <td>(Proportional to Percent Hot Water)</td> <td>Longevity</td> <td>Total Indoor</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Toilets</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Showers</td> <td>5</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Faucets</td> <td>4</td> <td>8</td> <td>0</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dishwasher</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Washing Machine</td> <td>10</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hot Water Distribution</td> <td>10</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>34</td> <td>42</td> <td>37</td> <td>113</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metering and Monitoring</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Indoor Total-Savings</td> <td>42</td> <td>42</td> <td>37</td> <td>121</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Innovation Points-Indoor</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							Computing Maximum Possible Points (for Calibration)										Indoor Water Points Due to Savings							Hot Water Energy Points				Points	(Proportional to Percent Hot Water)	Longevity	Total Indoor	Toilets	5	0	10	15	Showers	5	10	0	15	Faucets	4	8	0	12	Dishwasher	0	1	5	6	Washing Machine	10	3	2	15	Hot Water Distribution	10	20	20	50		34	42	37	113	Metering and Monitoring	8			8	Indoor Total-Savings	42	42	37	121						Innovation Points-Indoor				
Computing Maximum Possible Points (for Calibration)																																																																																							
Indoor Water Points Due to Savings																																																																																							
		Hot Water Energy Points																																																																																					
	Points	(Proportional to Percent Hot Water)	Longevity	Total Indoor																																																																																			
Toilets	5	0	10	15																																																																																			
Showers	5	10	0	15																																																																																			
Faucets	4	8	0	12																																																																																			
Dishwasher	0	1	5	6																																																																																			
Washing Machine	10	3	2	15																																																																																			
Hot Water Distribution	10	20	20	50																																																																																			
	34	42	37	113																																																																																			
Metering and Monitoring	8			8																																																																																			
Indoor Total-Savings	42	42	37	121																																																																																			
Innovation Points-Indoor																																																																																							

Composting Toilet	12			
Gray water for Toilets	10			
Rainwater-50%	20			
Rainwater-100%	40			
Innovation Points Total	82			
Outdoor Water Points Due to Savings				
Plants	5	7		
Design	1	1		
Zones-Plants	2	3		
Zones-Slope	2	3		
Weather Controls	2	4		
Installation	4	6		
Verification	5	8		
	21	32		
Metering and Monitoring	8	8		
Outdoor Total-Savings	29	40		
Innovation Points-Outdoor				
Gray water for Irrigation	10			
Rainwater-50%	10			
Rainwater-100%	20			
Irrigate with municipal reclaim	5			
No outdoor irrigation	10			
Innovation Points Total	55			
Total Possible Points	208	82	37	327

Reason: We are aware that the points for energy were scaled to roughly reflect their overall energy impact. This same principle should be applied to the water points. We understand that this is difficult and requires assumptions. There are many cases in the current language where the points allocated to water related improvements are clearly not related to their impact. A specific example: "801.1 Indoor hot water usage." This assigns points based on the volume of water in the piping between the water heater and the fixtures, which is the key to actually getting the benefits. One method, structured plumbing, allows 4 cups to the fixtures and gets 6 points. Central core plumbing, allows 6 cups, but gets 8 points. Engineered parallel piping, allows 17.5 cups to each fixture, but also gets 6 points. Points are not proportional to their impact on water waste. If they were, engineered parallel piping would get say 3 points, central core plumbing would get 9 points and structured plumbing would get 13 points.

Committee Action from Meeting: Accept as Modified

Modification of Proposed Change: *Revise proposed change as follows (in red):*

Revise Chapter 8 point assignments based on the following methodology:

Computing Maximum Possible Points (for Calibration)				
Indoor Water Points Due to Savings				
		Hot Water Energy Points		
	Points	(Proportional to Percent Hot Water)	<i>Longevity Persistence</i>	Total Indoor
Toilets	5	0	10	15
Showers	5	10	0	15
Faucets	4	8	0	12

Dishwasher	0	1	5	6
Washing Machine	10	3	2	15
Hot Water Distribution	10	20	20	50
	34	42	37	113
Metering and Monitoring	8			8
Indoor Total-Savings	42	42	37	121
Innovation Points-Indoor				
Composting Toilet	12			
Gray water for Toilets	10			
Rainwater-50%	20			
Rainwater-100%	40			
Innovation Points Total	82			
Outdoor Water Points Due to Savings				
Plants	57			
Design	+1			
Zones-Plants	23			
Zones-Slope	23			
Weather Controls	24			
Installation	46			
Verification	58			
	2132			
Metering and Monitoring	88			
Outdoor Total-Savings	2940			
Innovation Points-Outdoor				
Gray water for Irrigation	10			
Rainwater-50%	10			
Rainwater-100%	20			
Irrigate with municipal reclaim	5			
No outdoor irrigation	10			
Innovation Points Total	55			
Total Possible Points	208219	8242	37	327298

Committee Reason:

Ballot Results on Committee Action: Eligible to vote: 41
 Approve: 39
 Disapprove: 2
 Abstain: 0
 Non-voting: 0

Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove: *Bruce Boncke:* The points recommended and included in the committee approval are so outrageous they defy "calibration". Since this was a new and valid consideration, it was worthy of full committee debate. But the starting recommendations for points have a green wash points appearance, beyond the TBD perspective of further committee discussion on the overall points system.
Lee Sobel: THERE ARE TOO MANY POINTS WEIGHTED IN THIS CHAPTER. POINTS MUST BE RECALIBRATED ACCORDINGLY TO ALL CHAPTERS AND AS A JUST PROPORTION TO THE REST OF THE gb STANDARD.

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P471	LogID 935	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Jennifer Cisneros, Bio-Microbics, Inc.		
Proposed Change:	This section does not talk about the use of an advanced wastewater (aerobic) treatment system.		
Reason:	The system produces a high quality secondary effluent at competitive capital and operating costs, which can be sterilized and used for surface irrigation. This allows much greater flexibility in the placement of the leach field (better use of land), as well as cutting the required size of the leach field by as much as half. Other green advantages are energy savings, water savings, and other water re-use options, as well as, nitrogen and phosphorus reduction before this water is reintroduced into the environment.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard by adding new section as follows:</i> 802.x Advanced wastewater treatment system. Advanced wastewater (aerobic) treatment system is installed and treated water is used on site. (20 Points) (Points awarded for either Section 802.x or 802.1, not both.)		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P472	LogID TG4-9	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Darren Port, State of New Jersey		
Proposed Change:	Add new section (i.e. 802.5) to 802 Innovative Practices titled Net Zero Water One hundred percent of occupants' water use must come from captured precipitation or closed loop water systems that account for downstream ecosystem impacts and that are appropriately purified without the use of chemicals.		
Reason:	North American communities face significant water-related challenges. Growing populations demand expanded water and wastewater services, while aging water supply and wastewater treatment infrastructure, most of which was designed and built in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, approaches end-of-life or is in need of major overhaul. This growing crisis is further exacerbated by unsustainable water use patterns. Every day, we use potable water within our buildings for non-potable functions such as washing clothes or flushing toilets, all with little or no attempt at reuse. Further, alterations in local and global climate patterns pose additional risks to the health and resilience of our water systems. A widespread adoption of more integrated systems that include supply, treatment and reuse of water at the building and neighborhood scale is an important strategy for increasing the resiliency of our water systems.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Incomplete proposed change. Also covered in other sections of the Standard and other proposed changes. Some ideas are more appropriate for the land development chapters.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P473	LogID TG6-8	801.1.1 Indoor Hot Water Usage	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	--------------------	---------------------------------------	---

Submitter:	Karen Welsh, UpStreet Architects
Proposed Change:	<p>Indoor hot water usage is reduced by one of the following practices:</p> <p>33. All hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both the kitchen and bathrooms is 40 feet (12,192 mm) or less in length from the water heater <u>or multi-unit building's recirculating loop</u> and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.</p> <p>34. All hot water piping that runs to the plumbing fixtures in both the kitchen and bathrooms is 30 feet (9144 mm) or less in length from the water heater <u>or multi-unit building's recirculating loop</u> and is sized in accordance with the code for the specified application.</p> <p>35. One of the following piping system designs is implemented:</p> <p><u>(d) central hot water recirculation system in multi-unit buildings in which the hot water line distance from the recirculating loop to the engineered parallel piping system (i.e. manifold system) is less than 30 feet (9144 mm) and the parallel piping to the fixture fittings contains a maximum of 8 cups (1.89 liters) (115.50 cubic inches) (0.50 gallons).</u></p>
Reason:	<p>All of the current water circulation systems described in a, b and c apply to systems serving one dwelling unit. In multi-family dwellings, such as affordable housing, there is often a central hot water source and a distribution system consisting of an insulated recirculating hot water loop and a supply pipe to a manifold in each unit, and from there distribution to each fixture. This is an efficient distribution system because the distance from the hot water source to the manifold is the same intent of the system described in (b).</p> <p>Pipe insulation for the distribution loop is covered in 703.5.4.1 and is a part of the Energy Chapter and not the Water Conservation Chapter.</p> <p>It is understood that the Water Efficiency Task Group is reviewing the measurement standard for the piping.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P474	LogID TG6-9	801.5 Faucets	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	--------------------	----------------------	---

Submitter:	Adrian Rusty Ashley, C.F. Evans & Co.
Proposed Change:	<p>801.5.1 Water-efficient lavatory faucets with 1.5 gpm (5.68 L/m) or less maximum flow rate when tested at 60 psi (414 kPa) in accordance with ASME A112.18.1 are installed:</p> <p>(1) at all faucet locations within a bathroom</p> <p>(3) <u>all building common space faucets</u> <u>2 additional points</u></p>
Reason:	<p>This proposal clarifies faucet installation in multifamily buildings and recognizes that the use of high-efficiency faucets in common spaces can result in significant water savings.</p> <p>In addition, many bathrooms have double bowls and current reading can be interrupted only one of the faucets within the bathroom has to comply for points.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P439.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41</p> <p>Approve: 41</p> <p>Disapprove: 0</p> <p>Abstain: 0</p> <p>Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P475	LogID 532	901.1.1 Natural Draft Heating Equipment	<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	<p>Any natural draft space heating or water heating equipment, if installed, is not located in conditioned spaces, including conditioned crawlspaces. Natural draft equipment is permitted to be installed within the conditioned spaces if located in a mechanical room that has an outdoor air source, and is otherwise sealed and insulated to separate it from the conditioned space(s). These points not available if there is no natural draft equipment installed.</p>
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed clarification is not necessary.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41</p> <p>Approve: 41</p> <p>Disapprove: 0</p> <p>Abstain: 0</p> <p>Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P476		LogID 241	901.1 Space and water heating options	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA			
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> 901.1.3 (3) direct vent heater rated gas (ANSI Z21.88) fireplace 5 points			
Reason:	ANSI Z21.88 are heater rated products and should be allowed as part of this standard.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	This item is covered in section 901.2.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P477		LogID TG3-11	901.1 Space and water heating options	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Frank Stanonik, AHRI			
Proposed Change:	<p>Natural draft space heating or water heating equipment is furnaces, boilers or water heaters are not located in conditioned spaces, including conditioned crawl spaces. Natural draft furnaces, boilers and water heaters are equipment is permitted to be installed within the conditioned spaces if located in a mechanical room that has an outdoor air source, and is otherwise sealed and insulated to separate it from the conditioned space(s).</p> <p><i>Addition Note: Section 901.1.1 applies to additions that include the use of natural draft furnaces, boilers space heating or water heaters ing equipment.</i></p> <p><i>Renovation Note: Section 901.1.1 applies to renovations that include areas where <u>a natural draft furnace, boiler or water heater</u> space heating or water heating equipment is located.</i></p> <p><i>Renovation Note: Additional points are available for any renovation that modifies all the existing building's natural draft furnaces, boilers space heating or water heaters ing are equipment in accordance with Section 901.1.1</i></p>			
Reason:	<p>Section 901.1.1 prohibits the installation of natural draft space heating and water heating equipment in the conditioned space. This is an unjustified restriction on the installation of these appliances and inappropriate for a non-mandatory provision. Furthermore, it does not recognize that direct heating equipment (e.g. room heaters and wall furnaces) must be installed in the conditioned space.</p> <p>This change clarifies that this section covers only furnaces, boilers and water heaters and just describes the practice that qualifies for the 5 points.</p> <p>Direct heating equipment is covered in proposed new 901.1.4.</p>			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept			
Modification of Proposed Change:				

Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P478	LogID 533	901.1.2 Air Handling Equipment/Ducts not in Garage	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Air handling equipment or return ducts are not located in the garage, unless placed in isolated, air-sealed mechanical rooms with an outside air source. <u>Points only available if an HVAC system with ducts is installed.</u>
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed clarification is not necessary.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P479	LogID TG3-1	901.1.3 Combustion heating equipment	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	--------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Randy Melvin, Task Group 3
Proposed Change:	901.1.3 The following combustion space heating and water heating equipment is installed within conditioned space: (1) <u>all</u> direct vent furnaces or <u>all</u> boilers (2) <u>all</u> water heaters (a) power vent water heater(s) (b) direct vent water heater(s)
Reason:	Clarification of the intent.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	

Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P480	LogID TG3-12	901.1.3 Combustion heating equipment	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Frank Stanonik, AHRI
Proposed Change:	901.1.3 The following combustion space heating and water heating equipment is installed within conditioned space. (1) (a) Direct vent furnace or boiler (b) Power vent furnace or boiler (2) (a) Power vent water heater (b) Direct vent water heater <i>Renovation Note: Section 901.1.3 applies to renovations that replace existing <u>central</u> space heating and water heating combustion equipment that meet the new construction standard.</i>
Reason:	Section 901.1.3 allows power vented water heaters to be installed in the conditioned space but not a power vented boiler or furnace. There is no technical reason for this inconsistency. The note is clarified to reflect the equipment listed in (1)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P481	LogID TG3-13	901.1 Space and water heating options	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	---------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Frank Stanonik, AHRI
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> 901.1.4 Gas-fired fireplaces and direct heating equipment shall be listed and shall be installed in accordance with the <u>National Fuel Gas Code or the applicable local gas appliance installation code. (Mandatory)</u>
Reason:	This is current 901.2.1 relocated and revised to specifically address gas-fired fireplaces and direct heating equipment.

	Reference to the applicable installation code covers all aspects of the safe and proper installation of gas appliances, including provisions for combustion and ventilation air supply and venting. Also it removes the unjustified position that a home which has a gas-fired vent-free heater is automatically disqualified from carrying any level of "Green" designation regardless of any other aspects of the home's design or features.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> 901.1.4 Gas-fired fireplaces and direct heating equipment shall be listed and shall be installed in accordance with the National Fuel Gas Code or the applicable local gas appliance installation code. Gas-fired fireplaces and direct heating equipment are vented to the outdoors. (Mandatory)
Committee Reason:	Ventilation is important for maintaining air quality.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Chris Allison:</i> <u>This item should refer to the International Fuel Gas Code (IFGC) not a "National" Fuel Gas Code</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Frank Stanonik:</i> The amendment does not resolve the unjustified position that a home in which a vent-free gas heater is installed is disqualified from carrying any level of "Green" designation regardless of the other aspects of the home's design or features. It ignores the fact, verified by millions of installations, that gas vent free heaters can be installed and used without adversely effecting the indoor air quality of the home. This proposal did not seek points or endorsement for installing a gas vent free heater. The first sentence properly addresses this situation. The requirement that all gas fireplaces and direct heating equipment be vented to the outdoors is an unnecessary restriction. It also ignores that a critical aspect of any Green home is adequate ventilation of the home; this is not optional. Given that fact, if there is a vent free gas heater in the home, the ventilation design of the home must address that circumstance. if it does not the vent free gas heater will not comply with the installation code as described in this proposal and, consequently would not comply with 901.1.4 as originally proposed.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P482 LogID TG3-14 901.1 Space and water heating options		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Accept
Submitter:	Frank Stanonik, AHRI	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> 901.1.5 Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented have permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with ANSI Z21.88/CSA 2.33 or ANSI Z21.50/CSA 2.22.	
Reason:	This is current 901.2.1 (1) relocated and will be addressed in 901.2.1(1) through action on P486.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s)		

for Abstain:	
--------------	--

P483 LogID 301 901.2 Fireplaces and Fuel-Burning Appliances		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Gregg Achman, Hearth & Home Technologies	
Proposed Change:	901.2.1(1) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA ANSI Z21.88a / CSA 2.33a or CSA ANSI Z21.50 / CSA 2.22.	
Reason:	Z21.88 and Z21.50 are ANSI documents. The "a" attached to each document number represents a revision, the code is referencing the standard, not a specific revision.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> 901.2.1(1) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA ANSI Z21.88a /CSA 2.33a or CSA ANSI Z21.50 /CSA 2.22.	
Committee Reason:	The Standard references specific versions of standards. Each update or revision to a reference standard should be submitted for committee's consideration as a new proposed change.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P484 LogID 535 901.2 Fireplaces and Fuel-Burning Appliances		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	<p>901.2 Fireplaces and fuel burning appliances. <u>All Fireplaces (except site built masonry fireplaces) and fuel burning appliances (except cooking appliances, clothes dryers, water heaters, and furnaces) located in conditioned space are code compliant, vented to the outdoors, and have adequate combustion and ventilation air provided to minimize spillage or back-drafting and are in accordance with one the following:</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(section 901.2.1(2)(a) is not mandatory) 7 points maximum-</p> <p>- ,</p> <p>(1) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA Z21.88a/CSA 2.33a or CSA Z21.50/CSA 2.22.</p> <p>(2) Solid fuel burning appliances are in accordance with the following requirements:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Wood-burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with the doors closed, outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Factory-built, wood-burning fireplaces are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 127 and are EPA certified.</p>	

	<p>(c) Wood stove and fireplace inserts, as defined in UL 1482, Section 3.8 are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 1482 and are in accordance with the emission requirements of the EPA Certification and the State of Washington WAC 173-433-100(3).</p> <p>(d) Pellet (biomass) stoves and furnaces are in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E1509 or are EPA certified.</p> <p>(e) Masonry heaters are in accordance with the definitions in ASTM E1602 and ICC IBC, Section 2112.1.</p>
Reason:	It would be less confusing to separate the mandatory and non mandatory parts of this practice. A new practice is being suggested to reward adding gasketed doors to the wood burning fireplace. All fireplaces should be required to meets this but there should be a maximum number of points defined.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P486.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P485 LogID 126 901.2 Fireplaces and Fuel-Burning Appliances		<i>Final Formal Action:</i> Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM	
Proposed Change:	901.2 Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances (except cooking appliances, clothes dryers, water heaters, and furnaces) located in conditioned space are in accordance with the following: [Section 901.2 (2) (a) is not mandatory.] Mandatory	
Reason:	I think this is mandatory in the new IECC code	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P486.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P486	LogID TG3-15	901.2 Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances	Final Formal Action: Accept
-------------	---------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Frank Stanonik, AHRI
Proposed Change:	<p>Fireplaces and Solid Fuel Burning Appliances (except cooking appliances, clothes dryers, water heaters, and furnaces) located in conditioned space are in accordance with the following:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[Section 901.2 (2) (a) is not mandatory.]</p> <p>901.2.1 Fireplaces and natural draft fuel burning appliances are code compliant, vented to the outdoors, and have adequate combustion and ventilation air provided to minimize spillage or "backdrafting, in accordance with the following as applicable.</p> <p>(1) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA Z21.88a/CSA 2.33a or CSA Z21.50/CSA 2.22.</p> <p>(2) Solid fuel burning fireplaces, inserts, stoves and heaters are code compliant and appliances are in accordance with the following requirements:</p> <p>(a) Site built masonry wood-burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with doors closed, outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue and the combustion air outlets to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation.</p> <p>(b) Factory-built, wood-burning fireplaces are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 127 and are EPA Certified.</p> <p>(c) Wood stove and fireplace inserts, as defined in UL 1482 Section 3.8, are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 1482 and are in accordance with the emission requirements of the EPA Certification and the State of Washington WAC 173-433-100(3).</p> <p>(d) Pellet (biomass) stoves and furnaces are in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E1509 or are EPA Certified.</p> <p>(e) Masonry heaters are in accordance with the definitions in ASTM E1602 and ICC, IBC, Section 2112.1.</p> <p>Renovation Note: Removal of or rendering permanently unusable an existing solid fireplace and/or other fuel-burning appliances that are not in accordance with Section 901.2.1.</p> <p>Renovation Note: Additional points are awarded for the replacement of each existing solid fuel-burning fireplace that is not in accordance with Section 901.2.1 with a fireplace that is in accordance with Section 901.2.1 or Section 901.1.4.</p> <p>Renovation Note: Additional points are available for removing or rendering permanently unusable each existing wood-burning fireplace that is not in accordance with Section 901.2.1(2)(a) in areas other than the main renovation area.</p>
Reason:	<p>This section is revised to address only solid fuel burning appliances.</p> <p>Coverage for natural draft burning appliances has been moved to proposed 901.1 or deleted as described herein. The exception in current Section 901.2 is deleted as inconsistent (it mentioned for furnaces and water heaters but not boilers) and no longer necessary.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41</p> <p>Approve: 41</p> <p>Disapprove: 0</p> <p>Abstain: 0</p> <p>Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P487 LogID 570 901.2.1 Fireplaces/Natural Draft Fuel-Burning Appl		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Don Denton, Vent-Free Gas Products Alliance Section	
Proposed Change:	Add the following new subsection under 901.2.1: <u>(3) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are unvented, have adequate combustion and ventilation air provided as required by the International Fuel Gas Code (IFGC), and comply with ANSI Z21.11.2.</u>	
Reason:	Unvented gas heating products are green as a result of high efficiency and clean combustion. No other gas product permitted by the NGBS has as high an efficiency. Numerous independent, peer-reviewed, research projects have documented that national indoor air quality guidelines for carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, oxygen, and water vapor are met. The products' safety record is outstanding and without peer, with 20 million units installed in American homes over the last 30 years. No technical justification exists for excluding them. The products are accepted by the major applicable codes.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	In favor of P486.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 39 Disapprove: 2 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Frank Stanonik:</i> The reason for this rejection is not valid. P486 consolidates and clarifies the requirements for solid fuel burning appliances. P486 does not address changes to recognize the use of gas-fired vent free heaters. Also, see comment on P481 <i>Ted Williams:</i> The proponent's substantiation was correct and sufficient. No evidence was provided during the discussion and vote that vent-free fireplaces and blue flame unvented heaters were not environmentally advantageous due to their energy efficiency and role in spot heating.	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P488 LogID 243 901.2.1 Fireplaces/Natural Draft Fuel-Burning Appl		Final Formal Action: (Withdrawn)
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA	
Proposed Change:	Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA ANSI Z21.88 /CSA 2.33 a or CSA-ANSI Z21.50 /CSA 2.22. <u>In addition, electric fireplaces are available for full (7) points.</u>	
Reason:	Using the ANSI designation is the correct reference. Electric fireplaces do not negatively impact the indoor air quality, so should be allowed the same points as no fireplace (7 points).	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Withdrawn by proponent at the June committee meeting in Washington, DC.	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P489 LogID 247 901.2.1 Fireplaces/Natural Draft Fuel-Burning Appl		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA	
Proposed Change:	901.2.1(2a) Wood-burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with the doors closed , outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation. <u>Fireplaces that are qualified under the EPA Fireplace Voluntary Program also meet this requirement.</u>	
Reason:	The purpose for removing the gasketed doors is that particularly with UL 127 Fireplaces gasketed doors invalidate the safety listing and cause an unsafe condition. Regarding the EPA Fireplace Program, these will be the cleanest fireplace option available. There was discussion of adding this on Version 1, but the program was not finalized at that time.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> 901.2.1(2a) Wood-burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with the doors closed , outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation. <u>Fireplaces that are qualified under the EPA Fireplace Voluntary Program also meet this requirement.</u>	
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P490 LogID 82 901.2.2 Fireplaces, Woodstoves, Pellet Stoves, or Masonry Heaters		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Ashley Pontes, DImplex	
Proposed Change:	Fireplaces, woodstoves, pellet stoves, or masonry heaters are not installed. <u>No fireplace or wood stove is installed in the home or an electric fireplace is the only fireplace type installed.</u> 7 points.	
Reason:	Because electric fireplaces are not mentioned in the existing National Green Building Standard for Indoor Environmental Quality it is assumed that they fall under the general fireplace category. An electric fireplace is equal to having no fireplace, therefore homes with electric fireplaces should be granted 7 points. Electric fireplaces contribute to a safe, healthy indoor environment in the following ways: •Preserve the building envelope – no exit point for heated/cooled air. Houses lose heat up the chimney due to the "stack effect". The stack effect is the movement of air due to convection currents within your house's building envelope. Heated air leaks out any exit it can find, and when heated air is drawn out of the home, cold outside air is drawn in to make up for it. The fireplace accelerates the normal stack effect. The greater the difference between the outside and indoor air temperature, the greater the air movement due to the stack effect. •Contribute no particulates or emissions to the indoor environment. •Produce no carbon monoxide because there is no combustion or vent to become blocked. •Reduce the potential for mold growth in the indoor environment by contributing no moisture. 1)Because no vent, chimney or gas supply is required, the integrity of the building envelope is maintained, reducing the number of places for water to migrate into the house. 2)Because there is no combustion, no water vapor is added to the indoor environment. •Present no opportunity for gas leaks caused by mechanical failure, improper installation or natural disaster. •Generating zero local emissions in neighborhoods where it can affect the health of the community. Since houses "breathe" there is always seepage of outside air	

	into the house. •Filtering particulates and allergens as small as one micron from the air when fitted with air purification filters. •Convert 100% of the input energy into heat. •Eliminate the need for a standing pilot and therefore do not consume energy when not in use. •The amount of electricity used by an electric fireplace operating with the aesthetic flame only is equal to the energy consumed when operating a standard lamp. (See Attachments file for a report on Dimplex electric fireplaces.)
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	The proposed change does not recognize the impact of electricity generation.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P491	LogID 539	901.2 Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	901.2.1(2)(a) Wood burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with the doors closed, outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation. <u>901.2.2 Site built masonry wood-burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with the doors closed, outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation.</u>
Reason:	Separating the non-mandatory practice from the mandatory part will clarify the intent and implementation. existing practice 901.2.2 should also be renumbered as 901.2.3 if this addition is approved.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P486.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P492	LogID 250	901.2.2 Fireplaces, Woodstoves, Pellet	Final Formal Action:
-------------	------------------	---	-----------------------------

Stoves, or Masonry Heaters	<i>(Withdrawn)</i>
-----------------------------------	--------------------

Submitter:	Thomas Stroud, HPBA
Proposed Change:	901.2.1(2f) <u>Hydronic Heaters qualified under the EPA Hydronic Heater Voluntary Program.</u>
Reason:	Hydronic heaters qualified under the EPA Hydronic Heater Voluntary Program are very clean-burning biomass burners and should be allowed as an available option.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Withdrawn by proponent at the June meeting of the committee in Washington, DC.
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P493	LogID 276	901.3 Garages	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	----------------------	---

Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS, SELF
Proposed Change:	901.3(1)(c) Points for Item (c) should be 10, not 4.
Reason:	901.3.1 (c) is a logical building science measure for controlling garage air contaminants which will have real IAQ benefits for homeowners. The only other optional measure for dealing with garage contaminants in the standard - removing attached garages from a home's design - is excessively expensive, involves more land area for a home (which runs counter to green building), is not a marketplace option in many locations, and is a decision which realistically will never be made based on a green building program. No garage can also mean more contaminant sources are stored directly in the living space (e.g. paints, pest control products). Yet this measure is worth 10 point in the standard - even though its application will be mostly random, and not a strategic design decision made in the interest of IAQ. On the other hand, 901.3 (c) is the 1 credible optional measure for dealing with garage contaminants in this standard, and builders must be more incentivized to employ exhaust systems to remove garage contaminants and exhaust them to outdoors.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	Revise Standard as follows: 901.3(1)(c) 4 <u>8</u> (points)
Committee Reason:	This item should have fewer points than (2).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P494	LogID 936	901.4 Wood Materials	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	John Bradfield, Composite Panel Association		
Proposed Change:	(4) Particleboard, MDF, or hardwood plywood is in accordance with <u>certified by a third party as complying with EPP Specification CPA 23.</u> Chapter 11 CPA 23 2006 2008 Environmentally Preferable Product Specification 901.4(4)		
Reason:	The EPP Specification CPA 2-06 has been superceded by CPA 3-08, which contains lower formaldehyde emission limits. Encouraging lower emissions is the subject of this section. A copy of CPA 3-08 was emailed to standards @nahbrc.org (See Attachments file for CPA 3-08.)		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (3) Hardwood plywood in accordance with HPVA HP-1 and HUD Title 24, Part 3280. 2 points (4) Particleboard, MDF, or hardwood plywood is in accordance with CPA 23. Chapter 11 CPA 23 2006 2008 Environmentally Preferable Product Specification 901.4(4)		
Committee Reason:	The referenced HUD standard is outdated.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P495	LogID 64	901.5 Carpets	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Michael Chandler, Chandler Design-Build Inc		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> (3) <u>Environmentally preferable flame retardant used in carpet and pad. Less environmentally persistent, bio-accumulative and neuro-toxic flame retardant additives are specified and implemented in carpet and pad such as non-halogenated, bio-degradable Triethyl phosphate (TEP) or persistent, and halogenated but less toxic Tris (1-chloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TCPP).</u>		
Reason:	Many of the Halogenated flame retardants currently in use have been linked to endocrine disruption and birth defects. Their absorption in to the system through dust can be very rapid, long lasting, and can be associated with birth defects such as reduced birth weight and delayed secondary sexual development especially in male infants. At this point there is no incentive for flame retardant manufacturers to disclose which of the allowable chemicals they use in their products so builders cannot choose preferable products as the MSDS sheets list flame retardant composition as "trade secret." Offering point credit for products that can verify that preferable chemicals were used could lead to a premium class of flame retardants in carpet, padding, foam, and could help ensure the health of future generations as well as the children born in these cleaner homes.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			

Committee Reason:	Although the proposed change may have merit, at this time there is not enough information available for inclusion into the Standard. Also, proposed change cannot be enforced as written.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P496 LogID 122 901.5 Carpets		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> (2) <u>(d) sustainable hard surface flooring in lieu of all carpeting 10 Pts.</u>	
Reason:	In a home with all hard surface flooring (which is better than "Green" carpeting). Points should be allowed for eliminating carpeting all together. To address this issue from a different perspective. A home with hard surface flooring and carpeting can get more points than a home with just hard surface flooring.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	'Sustainable' is not defined.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P497 LogID TG3-20 901.5 Carpets		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Jeff Carrier, Carpet and Rug Inst	
Proposed Change:	A minimum of 85% of the installed carpet and adhesives must be certified by the Carpet and Rug Institute, Inc. Green Label Plus Program- a California Section 01350 V 1.1 Compliant program. A minimum of 85% of the carpet padding (cushion) must be certified by the Green Label Program.	
Reason:	Carpets and accessories complying with the GLP and GL programs are among the most preferable building materials available. Carpet is a responsible and effective choice for building a green home. Builders and owners should not be limited to a single option when determining how their home will be finished.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P498.	

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P498	LogID 144	901.6 Hard-Surface Flooring	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Bill Freeman, Resilient Floor Covering Institute				
Proposed Change:	A minimum of 85 percent of installed hard-surface flooring is in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350 in CDPH/EHLB/Standard Method V1.1 (February 2010) using the office scenario, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Resilient Floor Covering Institute's FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program. This same change is applicable to Sections 901.5, 901.7, 901.8.2, 901.9.2, 901.11				
Reason:	California Section 1350 requirements have been updated in the latest version published in 2010				
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified				
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>A minimum of 85 percent of installed hard-surface flooring is in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350 in CDPH/EHLB Standard Method V1.1 using the office scenario, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Resilient Floor Covering Institute's FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program. <u>Exception: Footnote b in Table 4.1 of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method V1.1 does not apply. Formaldehyde maximum allowable concentration is 16.5 µg/m3 (13.5 ppb).</u></p> <p><i>This same change is applicable to Sections 901.5, 901.7, 901.8.2, 901.9.2, 901.11.</i></p> <p>Chapter 11</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CDPH</td> <td>California Department of Public Health 850 Marina Bay Parkway Richmond, CA 94804 www.cdph.ca.gov</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01350</td> <td>20022010 Portion of California Specification 01350: Standard Practice for the Testing of Volatile Organic Emissions from Various Sources using Small Scale Environmental Chambers Standard Method For The Testing And Evaluation Of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions From Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.1.</td> </tr> </table>	CDPH	California Department of Public Health 850 Marina Bay Parkway Richmond, CA 94804 www.cdph.ca.gov	01350	20022010 Portion of California Specification 01350: Standard Practice for the Testing of Volatile Organic Emissions from Various Sources using Small Scale Environmental Chambers Standard Method For The Testing And Evaluation Of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions From Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.1.
CDPH	California Department of Public Health 850 Marina Bay Parkway Richmond, CA 94804 www.cdph.ca.gov				
01350	20022010 Portion of California Specification 01350: Standard Practice for the Testing of Volatile Organic Emissions from Various Sources using Small Scale Environmental Chambers Standard Method For The Testing And Evaluation Of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions From Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.1.				
Committee Reason:					
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0				
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:					

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P499	LogID 231	901.6 Hard-Surface Flooring	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Bill Griese, Tile Council of North America
Proposed Change:	<p>A minimum of 85 percent of installed hard-surface flooring is in accordance with the <u>following emission requirements: concentration limits of CDPH 01350 (using the office scenario), as certified by a third party program, such as the Resilient Floor Covering Institute's <i>FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program</i> or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i>.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · <u>Individual VOCs: = 1/2 CA chronic REL (CA Chronic Reference Exposure Level – CREL)</u> · <u>Formaldehyde: = 16.5 ug/m³ or = 13.5 ppb</u> <p><u>Where hard-surface flooring with more than one distinct product layer is installed, the emissions from each layer shall comply with these requirements. The test methodology used to determine compliance shall be from CDPH/EHLB/STANDARD METHOD V.1.1 “Standard Method For The Testing And Evaluation Of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions From Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.1” dated February 2010. The emissions testing shall be performed by a laboratory that has the CDPH/EHLB/STANDARD METHOD V 1.1 test methodology in the scope of its ISO 17025 Accreditation.</u></p> <p><u>Where post manufacture coatings or surface applications have not been applied, the following hard surface flooring shall be deemed to comply with the emission requirements of this section:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · <u>Ceramic tile flooring</u> · <u>Organic-free, mineral-based flooring</u> · <u>Clay masonry flooring</u> · <u>Concrete masonry flooring</u> · <u>Concrete flooring</u> · <u>Metal flooring</u>
Reason:	The proposed revision allows products in compliance with well-known emission thresholds, as verified by a 3rd party testing laboratory, to contribute to these credits. There are many more testing laboratories available than those acknowledged by the previously mentioned certification agencies, and products tested by these laboratories are equally conducive to improved indoor air quality. Wherever possible, specification of 3rd party certifying entities should be avoided to avoid unnecessary costs to all users of the standard. Also, there are several hard surface flooring products which are inherently non-emitting. It is not scientifically feasible that these materials could emit VOCs. Therefore, VOC emission testing for these materials would be redundant, cost incurring, and scientifically impractical. By listing these exact materials, it is clear to all users that, by default, they are in compliance with the specified emission limits. The proposed revision is representative of steps already taken by most other green building standards, including LEED, the IGCC, and CHPS.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>901.5 Carpets</p> <p>(2) A minimum of 85 percent of installed carpet area, carpet cushion (padding), and carpet adhesives are in accordance with the emission levels of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 01350, as certified by a third party program, such as the Carpet and Rug Institute’s (CRI) Green Label Plus Indoor Air Quality Program when tested by a laboratory with the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 within the laboratory scope of accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 and certified by a third-party program accredited to ISO Guide 65, such as, but not limited to, those in Appendix C.</p>

901.6 Hard-surface flooring. A minimum of 85 percent of installed hard-surface flooring is in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 01350 (using the office scenario), as certified by a third-party program, such as the Resilient Floor Covering Institute's *FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program* or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's *Children and Schools Certification Program* when tested by a laboratory with the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 within the laboratory scope of accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 and certified by a third-party program accredited to ISO Guide 65, such as, but not limited to, those in Appendix C. Where post manufacture coatings or surface applications have not been applied, the following hard surface flooring types are deemed to comply with the emission requirements of this section:

- (a) Ceramic tile flooring
- (b) Organic-free, mineral-based flooring
- (c) Clay masonry flooring
- (d) Concrete masonry flooring
- (e) Concrete flooring
- (f) Metal flooring
- (g) Glass

901.7 Wall coverings. A minimum of 85 percent of wall coverings are in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Scientific Certification Systems (SCS) *Indoor Advantage Gold Program* or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's *Children and Schools Certification Program* when tested by a laboratory with the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 within the laboratory scope of accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 and certified by a third-party program accredited to ISO Guide 65, such as, but not limited to, those in Appendix C.

901.8.2 Site-applied interior products are in accordance with the emission levels of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 01350, as certified by a third-party program such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's *Children and Schools Certification Program* or the Scientific Certification Systems *Indoor Advantage Gold Program* when tested by a laboratory with the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 within the laboratory scope of accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 and certified by a third-party program accredited to ISO Guide 65, such as, but not limited to, those in Appendix C.

901.9.2(1) The emission levels of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's *Children and Schools Certification Program* or the Scientific Certifications Systems *Indoor Advantage Gold Program* when tested by a laboratory with the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 within the laboratory scope of accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 and certified by a third-party program accredited to ISO Guide 65, such as, but not limited to, those in Appendix C.

901.11(1) Formaldehyde emissions of wall, ceiling, and floor insulation materials are in accordance with the emission levels of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's *Children and Schools Certification Program* or the Scientific Certifications Systems *Indoor Advantage Gold Program* when tested by a laboratory with the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 within the laboratory scope of accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 and certified by a third-party program accredited to ISO Guide 65, such as, but not limited to, those in Appendix C.

901.11(2) Formaldehyde emissions of duct insulation materials are in accordance with the emission levels of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's *Children and Schools Certification Program* or the Scientific Certifications Systems *Indoor Advantage Gold Program* when tested by a laboratory with the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 within the laboratory scope of accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 and certified by a third-party program accredited to ISO Guide 65, such as, but not limited to, those in Appendix C.

Appendix C

Examples of Third-Party Programs for Chapter 9 Indoor Environmental Quality

<u>NGBS Section</u>	<u>Example third-party certification programs compliant with the corresponding section</u>
901.5 Carpets	Carpet and Rug Institute's (CRI) Green Label Plus Indoor Air Quality Program
901.6 Hard-surface flooring	GREENGUARD Environmental Institute Children & Schools Certification Program Resilient Floor Covering Institute's FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program
901.7 Wall coverings	GREENGUARD Environmental Institute Children & Schools

			<u>Certification Program</u> <u>Scientific Certification Systems (SCS) Indoor Advantage Gold Program</u>
	<u>901.8 Architectural coatings</u>		<u>GREENGUARD Environmental Institute Children & Schools Certification Program</u> <u>Scientific Certification Systems (SCS) Indoor Advantage Gold Program</u> <u>Green Seal</u>
	<u>901.9 Adhesives and sealants</u>		<u>GREENGUARD Environmental Institute Children and Schools Certification Program</u> <u>Scientific Certifications Systems (SCS) Indoor Advantage Gold Program</u> <u>CRI Green Label Plus</u> <u>Resilient Floor Covering Institute's FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program</u> <u>Green Seal</u>
	<u>901.11 Insulation</u>		<u>GREENGUARD Environmental Institute Children and Schools Certification Program</u> <u>Scientific Certifications Systems (SCS) Indoor Advantage Gold Program</u>
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Charles Cottrell:</i> The exposures determined using the CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 are based on ventilation rates used in commercial buildings consistent with those required by ASHRAE 62.1 (commercial). It would be misleading to consumers to state the homes built to the ICC 700 meet the requirements of CDPH/EHLB Standard Method v1.1 because commercial buildings typically have much higher ventilation rates than the residences built using the ICC 700. This should be corrected by requiring the provisions for residential construction contained in Appendix B of the CDPH/EHLB Standard to be used in determining compliance with the standard.		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P500	LogID 542	901.6 Hard-Surface Flooring	Final Formal Action: Accept
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	A minimum of 10% of the conditioned floor space has pre-finished hard-surface flooring installed and at least 85 percent of all prefinished installed hard-surface flooring is in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350 (using the office scenario), as certified by a third-party program, such as the Resilient Floor Covering Institute's <i>FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program</i> or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i> .		
Reason:	It seems reasonable to define a minimum amount of flooring required to get these points. Limiting the practice to pre-finished materials clarifies that site finished is included in 901.8. The task group may want to give consideration to products that are adhesively applied as to any requirements for the adhesive.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41		

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P501	LogID 543	901.7 Wall Coverings	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	<u>When at least 20% of the interior wall surfaces are covered rather than painted,</u> a minimum of 85 percent of wall coverings are in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Scientific Certification Systems (SCS) Indoor Advantage Gold Program or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program.		
Reason:	It seems reasonable to require some minimum amount of wall coverings before awarding points for this practice.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> <u>When at least 10% of the interior wall surfaces are covered,</u> a minimum of 85 percent of wall coverings are in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Scientific Certification Systems (SCS) Indoor Advantage Gold Program or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program.		
Committee Reason:	20% is changed to 10% to make it consistent with 901.6 (see P500).		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P502	LogID 123	901.7 Wall Coverings	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	(a) A minimum of 85 percent of wall coverings are in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Scientific Certification Systems (SCS) Indoor Advantage Gold Program or the Greenguard Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program 4 Pts <u>(b) Low or no Voc paint or finishes are used in lieu of all wall covering 4 pts.</u>		
Reason:	If a home uses low or no VOC paint thruout it is awarded less points than a home that uses both Low VOC paint and a "Green" wall paper. There is no added value of one over the other so equal points should be awarded.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	'Low or no VOC paint' is not defined. Also, it is covered in 901.8.		
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P503	LogID 544	901.8 Architectural Coatings	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
-------------------	-----------------------------------

Proposed Change:	<p>901.8.1 Site-applied interior products <u>(including floor finishes)</u> are in accordance with one or more of the following standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method) (2) CARB <i>Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings</i> (3) GS-11 (4) VOC limits in accordance with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) 50 grams/liter flat <u>paint & primers</u> (b) 100 grams/liter non flat <u>paint</u> (c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish (d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer (e) <u>xxx grams/liter for oil based stains</u>
-------------------------	---

Reason:	The practice should be clarified to make it clear that floor finishes should also be included. There have been a number of questions regarding where do primers fit in this practice. There also have been questions about oil based stains. Also both CARB and GS-11 allow higher VOC limits than listed in (4). The task group should consider making the VOC limits consistent.
----------------	--

Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
---------------------------------------	--------------------

Modification of Proposed Change:	<p>901.8.1 Site-applied interior products architectural coatings, which are inside the water proofing envelope, are in accordance with one or more of the following standards: 5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method) (2) CARB Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings (3) <u>GreenSeal GS-11 Standard for Paints and Coatings</u> (4) VOC limits in accordance with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — (a) 50 grams/liter flat — (b) 100 grams/liter non flat — (c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish — (d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>VOC CONTENT LIMITS FOR ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS^{c,d,e}</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 70%;">Coating Category</th> <th style="width: 30%;">LIMIT^a g/l</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Flat Coatings</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Non-flat Coatings</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Non-flat - High Gloss Coatings</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Specialty Coatings:</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div>	Coating Category	LIMIT ^a g/l	Flat Coatings	50	Non-flat Coatings	100	Non-flat - High Gloss Coatings	150	Specialty Coatings:	
Coating Category	LIMIT ^a g/l										
Flat Coatings	50										
Non-flat Coatings	100										
Non-flat - High Gloss Coatings	150										
Specialty Coatings:											

Aluminum Roof Coatings	400
Basement Specialty Coatings	400
Bituminous Roof Coatings	50
Bituminous Roof Primers	350
Bond Breakers	350
Concrete Curing Compounds	350
Concrete/Masonry Sealers	100
Driveway Sealers	50
Dry Fog Coatings	150
Faux Finishing Coatings	350
Fire Resistive Coatings	350
Floor Coatings	100
Form-Release Compounds	250
Graphic Arts Coatings (Sign Paints)	500
High Temperature Coatings	420
Industrial Maintenance Coatings	250
Low Solids Coatings	120 ^b
Magnesite Cement Coatings	450
Mastic Texture Coatings	100
Metallic Pigmented Coatings	500
Multi-Color Coatings	250
Pre-Treatment Wash Primers	420
Primers, Sealers, and Undercoaters	100
Reactive Penetrating Sealers	350
Recycled Coatings	250
Roof Coatings	50
Rust Preventative Coatings	250
Shellacs, Clear	730
Shellacs, ? Opaque	550
Specialty Primers, Sealers, and Undercoaters	100
Stains	250
Stone Consolidants	450
Swimming Pool Coatings	340
Traffic Marking Coatings	100
Tub and Tile Refinish Coatings	420
Waterproofing Membranes	250
Wood Coatings	275
Wood Preservatives	350
Zinc-Rich Primers	340

- a. Limits are expressed as *VOC* Regulatory (except as noted), thinned to the manufacturer's maximum thinning recommendation, excluding any colorant added to tint bases.
- b. Limit is expressed as *VOC* actual.
- c. The specified limits remain in effect unless revised limits are listed in subsequent columns in the table.
- d. Values in this table are derived from those specified by the California Air Resources Board, Architectural Coatings Suggested Control Measure, February 1, 2008.

	e. Table 806.3(1) architectural coating regulatory category and VOC content compliance determination shall conform to the California Air Resources Board <i>Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings</i> dated February 1, 2008.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P504	LogID 937	901.8 Architectural Coatings	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Rick Watson, Sherwin-Williams		
Proposed Change:	Have interior and exterior VOC limits that are consistent within each standard.		
Reason:	The standards have different VOC limits and does not address exterior.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	No specific change is proposed. The chapter is specifically focused on indoor environmental quality. Exterior VOC are outside of the scope of the Standard. Additionally, the interior VOC limits are revised per P503.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P505	LogID 142	901.9 Adhesives and sealants	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Michael Cudahy, PPFA		
Proposed Change:	901.9.2 Interior low-VOC adhesives and sealants. A minimum of 85 percent of site-applied products used within the interior of the building are in accordance with one of the following, as applicable.		

	<p>1) CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute Children and Schools Certification Program or the Scientific Certifications Systems Indoor Advantage Gold Program.</p> <p>2) <u>GreenSeal GS-36 or other similar recognized program</u></p> <p>3) <u>SCAQMD Rule 1168</u></p>																																								
Reason:	There are still some issues with GS-36 that have not been addressed and alternative VOC programs should be included. The source of GS-36 should be spelled out as "GreenSeal" both here, and anywhere other "GS" documents are called out. Rule 1168 should cover many existing products and should be included to ensure market availability.																																								
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified																																								
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows:</i></p> <p>901.9 Adhesives and sealants. A minimum of 85 percent of site applied adhesives and sealants are in accordance with Section 901.9.1 and/or Section 901.9.2.</p> <p>901.9.1 Exterior low-VOC adhesives and sealants: A minimum of 85 percent of site applied products used for the installation of subfloors and on the exterior of the project are in accordance with one of the following: 5 points</p> <p>(1) The California Air Resources Board consumer products regulation as follows:</p> <p>(a) Construction Adhesives: VOC content not to exceed 7 percent by weight or 75 grams/liter, whichever is greater.</p> <p>(b) The VOC content of reactive sealants (i.e., silicones, polyurethanes, and hybrids, such as MS Polymer and silylated polyurethane resin or SPUR) not to exceed 4 percent by weight or 50 grams/liter, whichever is greater.</p> <p>(c) The VOC content of all other caulks and sealants not to exceed 2 percent by weight or 30 grams/liter, whichever is greater.</p> <p>(d) The VOC content of contact adhesives not to exceed 55 percent by weight or 480 grams/liter, whichever is greater.</p> <p>(2) GS-36</p> <p>901.9.2 Interior low-VOC adhesives and sealants <u>located inside the water proofing envelope</u>: A minimum of 85 percent of site-applied products used within the interior of the building are in accordance with one of the following, as applicable. 5 points</p> <p>1) <u>CDPH/EHLB/STANDARD METHOD V 1.1 CDPH 01350</u>, as certified by a third party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute Children and Schools Certification Program or the Scientific Certifications Systems Indoor Advantage Gold Program, CRI Green Label Plus, and FloorScore. 8 points</p> <p>2) <u>GreenSeal GS-36 Adhesives for Commercial Use</u></p> <p><u>or</u></p> <p>3) <u>SCAQMD Rule 1168 (see Table 901.9.2), excluding products that are purchased in containers that are less than 16 ounces</u> 5 points</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Table 901.9.2 Site Applied Adhesive And Sealants Voc Limits^{a,b}</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">ADHESIVE</th> <th style="text-align: center;">VOC LIMIT (g/l)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Indoor carpet adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">50</td></tr> <tr><td>Carpet pad adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">50</td></tr> <tr><td>Outdoor carpet adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">150</td></tr> <tr><td>Wood flooring adhesive</td><td style="text-align: center;">100</td></tr> <tr><td>Rubber floor adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">60</td></tr> <tr><td>Subfloor adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">50</td></tr> <tr><td>Ceramic tile adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">65</td></tr> <tr><td>VCT and asphalt tile adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">50</td></tr> <tr><td>Dry wall and panel adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">50</td></tr> <tr><td>Cove base adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">50</td></tr> <tr><td>Multipurpose construction adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">70</td></tr> <tr><td>Structural glazing adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">100</td></tr> <tr><td>Single ply roof membrane adhesives</td><td style="text-align: center;">250</td></tr> <tr><td>Architectural Sealants</td><td style="text-align: center;">250</td></tr> <tr><td>Architectural Sealant Primer</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td style="padding-left: 20px;">Non Porous</td><td style="text-align: center;">250</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding-left: 20px;">Porous</td><td style="text-align: center;">775</td></tr> <tr><td>Modified Bituminous Sealant Primer</td><td style="text-align: center;">500</td></tr> <tr><td>Other Sealant Primers</td><td style="text-align: center;">750</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	ADHESIVE	VOC LIMIT (g/l)	Indoor carpet adhesives	50	Carpet pad adhesives	50	Outdoor carpet adhesives	150	Wood flooring adhesive	100	Rubber floor adhesives	60	Subfloor adhesives	50	Ceramic tile adhesives	65	VCT and asphalt tile adhesives	50	Dry wall and panel adhesives	50	Cove base adhesives	50	Multipurpose construction adhesives	70	Structural glazing adhesives	100	Single ply roof membrane adhesives	250	Architectural Sealants	250	Architectural Sealant Primer		Non Porous	250	Porous	775	Modified Bituminous Sealant Primer	500	Other Sealant Primers	750
ADHESIVE	VOC LIMIT (g/l)																																								
Indoor carpet adhesives	50																																								
Carpet pad adhesives	50																																								
Outdoor carpet adhesives	150																																								
Wood flooring adhesive	100																																								
Rubber floor adhesives	60																																								
Subfloor adhesives	50																																								
Ceramic tile adhesives	65																																								
VCT and asphalt tile adhesives	50																																								
Dry wall and panel adhesives	50																																								
Cove base adhesives	50																																								
Multipurpose construction adhesives	70																																								
Structural glazing adhesives	100																																								
Single ply roof membrane adhesives	250																																								
Architectural Sealants	250																																								
Architectural Sealant Primer																																									
Non Porous	250																																								
Porous	775																																								
Modified Bituminous Sealant Primer	500																																								
Other Sealant Primers	750																																								

	<table border="1"> <tr><td>CPVC solvent cement</td><td>490</td></tr> <tr><td>PVC solvent cement</td><td>510</td></tr> <tr><td>ABS solvent cement</td><td>325</td></tr> <tr><td>Plastic Cement Welding</td><td>250</td></tr> <tr><td>Adhesive Primer for Plastic</td><td>550</td></tr> <tr><td>Contact Adhesive</td><td>80</td></tr> <tr><td>Special Purpose Contact Adhesive</td><td>250</td></tr> <tr><td>Structural Wood Member Adhesive</td><td>140</td></tr> </table> <p>a. VOC limit less water and less exempt compounds in grams/liter b. For low-solid adhesives and sealants, the VOC limit is expressed in grams/liter of material as specified in Rule 1168. For all other adhesives and sealants, the VOC limits are expressed as grams of VOC per liter of adhesive or sealant less water and less exempt compounds as specified in Rule 1168.</p>	CPVC solvent cement	490	PVC solvent cement	510	ABS solvent cement	325	Plastic Cement Welding	250	Adhesive Primer for Plastic	550	Contact Adhesive	80	Special Purpose Contact Adhesive	250	Structural Wood Member Adhesive	140
CPVC solvent cement	490																
PVC solvent cement	510																
ABS solvent cement	325																
Plastic Cement Welding	250																
Adhesive Primer for Plastic	550																
Contact Adhesive	80																
Special Purpose Contact Adhesive	250																
Structural Wood Member Adhesive	140																
Committee Reason:	Exterior VOC limits should not be in the scope of the Standard.																
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0																
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:																	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:																	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:																	

P506	LogID 143	901.9 Adhesive and Sealants	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Michael Cudahy, PPFA		
Proposed Change:	<p>A minimum of 85 percent of site-applied adhesives and sealants are in accordance with Section 901.9.1 and/or Section 901.9.2</p> <p><middle section not edited></p> <p>(2) <u>GreenSeal GS-36 or similar recognized program</u></p> <p>(3) <u>SCAQMD Rule 1168</u></p>		
Reason:	85% is already mentioned in sections 901.9.1 and 901.9.2 - it should not ALSO be in the charging statement 901.9 In fairness, an alternative to GreenSeal should be permitted, and GreenSeal spelled out here and else ware in the document. Rule 1168 covers many existing products and should also be included.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P505.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P507 LogID 546 901.9 Adhesive and Sealants		Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Exterior low-VOC adhesives	
Reason:	901.8 focuses only on interior coatings but 901.9 covers both exterior (.1) and interior (.2). Are exterior sealants pertinent to IEQ and if so should exterior coatings be included in 901.8?	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Exterior VOC limits are not in the scope of the Standard as revised per P505.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P508 LogID 137 901.10 Kitchen and Bath Vanity Cabinets		Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Terry Zinn, Kitchen Cabinet Manufacturers	
Proposed Change:	<p>901.10 Cabinets. A minimum of 85 percent of kitchen and bath vanity cabinets are in accordance with one of the following:</p> <p>(Where more than one of the following practices is used, the practice with the fewer number of points is awarded.)</p> <p>(1) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets in accordance with KCMA ESP 01, or equivalent, are installed. 2</p> <p>(2) (1) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets in accordance with KCMA ESP 04, or equivalent, or CARB Composite Wood Air Toxic Contaminant Measure Standard are installed. 3</p> <p>(3) (2) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets are installed that contain no added urea formaldehyde or are in accordance with GGPS.EC.010.R0, ASTM D6670, or equivalent. 5</p>	

Reason:	The most recent Kitchen Cabinet Manufacturers Association ESP 04-11 Standard and CARB Composites Wood Air Toxic requirements are now the same. KMCA has revised these KCMA ESP Specifications three times since this reference was included in this original document, each time making the requirements more restrictive. The latest revision, KCMA ESP 04-11 requires the use of CARB compliant particleboard, MDF and hardwood plywood panel products. Previous versions left CARB compliant products as optional points that could be claimed if earned. These two identical items now need to be linked together because participants in the KCMA ESP program must renew their applications on an annual basis and furnish a spreadsheet and invoices which prove they purchased CARB compliant panel products from their suppliers. There is no other cabinet organization set up to provide this necessary “paper trail” proof of such compliance or a seal on the cabinets which quickly assures builders and homeowners of the compliance of the product. In addition, Architectural Testing, Inc., an ANSI accredited certification organization, audits the KCMA certification process on an annual basis. (see Attachments file for KCMA Environmental Stewardship Program ESP 04-11)	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows and renumber existing sections starting with 901.5 accordingly:</i>	
	901.105 Cabinets. A minimum of 85 percent of kitchen and bath vanity cabinets are in accordance with one of the following:	
	(Where more than one of the following practices is used, the practice with the fewer number of points is awarded.)	
	(1) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets in accordance with KCMA ESP 01, or equivalent, are installed.	2
	(2) (1) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets in accordance with KCMA ESP 04, or equivalent, or CARB Composite Wood Air Toxic Contaminant Measure Standard are installed.	3
	(3) (2) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets are installed that contain no added urea formaldehyde or are in accordance with GGPS.EC.010.R0, ASTM D6670, or equivalent.	5
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P509	LogID 322	901.11 Insulation	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	Insulation is in accordance with the following: <u>Exception: Insulation manufactured without formaldehyde.</u>		
Reason:	Some types of insulation are manufactured without formaldehyde and would be incapable of formaldehyde emissions. Exempting insulation manufactured without formaldehyde eliminates an unnecessary certification requirement currently required by this standard.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Modify Standard as follows:</i> Insulation is in accordance with the following: 36. Formaldehyde emissions of wall, ceiling, and floor insulation materials are in accordance with the mission levels of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute’s		

	<p>Children and Schools Certification Program or the Scientific Certifications Systems Indoor Advantage Gold Program.</p> <p>37. Formaldehyde Emissions of duct insulation materials are in accordance with the emission levels of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program or the Scientific Certifications Systems Indoor Advantage Gold Program.</p>
Committee Reason:	<p>As written, the proposed change doesn't indicate how the claim is substantiated. Also, a claim of being "Manufactured without formaldehyde" does not prove that formaldehyde is not present.</p> <p>Formaldehyde is not the only chemical emission limit in CA 01350. (2) is unenforceable as written as there is no modeling parameters for duct insulation.</p>
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P510 LogID 65 901.11 Insulation		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Michael Chandler, Chandler Design-Build Inc	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(3) Environmentally preferable flame retardant used in foam board and spray foam insulation products. Less environmentally persistent, bio-accumulative and neuro-toxic flame retardant additives are specified and implemented in carpet and pad such as non-halogenated, bio-degradable Triethyl phosphate (TEP) or persistent, and halogenated but less toxic Tris (1-chloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TCPP).</u>	
Reason:	Many of the Halogenated flame retardants currently in use have been linked to endocrine disruption and birth defects. Their absorption in to the system through dust can be very rapid, long lasting, and can be associated with birth defects such as reduced birth weight and delayed secondary sexual development especially in male infants. At this point there is no incentive for flame retardant manufacturers to disclose which of the allowable chemicals they use in their products so builders cannot choose preferable products as the MSDS sheets list flame retardant composition as "trade secret." Offering point credit for products that can verify that preferable chemicals were used could lead to a premium class of flame retardants in foam insulation panels and in spray foam both open and closed cell and could help ensure the health of future generations as well as the children born in these cleaner homes.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Although the proposed change may have merit, at this time there is not enough information available for inclusion into the Standard. Also, proposed change cannot be enforced as written.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0</p>	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P511		LogID 124	901.12 CO Alarms	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM			
Proposed Change:	901.12 CO Alarms Change points to <u>MANDATORY</u>			
Reason:	This is now mandatory in several states and is an inexpensive safety feature that should be in all homes with gas appliances.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P512.			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P512		LogID TG3-2	901.12 Carbon monoxide (CO) alarms	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Frank Stanonik, Task Group 3			
Proposed Change:	<u>Where not required by local codes,</u> Aa carbon monoxide (CO) alarm is installed in a central location outside of each separate sleeping area in the immediate vicinity of the bedrooms. The CO alarm(s) is located in accordance with NFPA 720 and is hard-wired with a battery back-up. The alarm device(s) is certified by a third-party for conformance to either CSA 6.19 or UL 2034.			
Reason:	To address recent code changes that require CO alarms. Points should only be awarded where CO alarms are not required.			
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept			
Modification of Proposed Change:				
Committee Reason:				
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:				
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:				

P513		LogID 177'	901.14 Non-Smoking Common Areas	<i>Final Formal Action: See P543</i>
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency			

Proposed Change:	See P543. Duplicative item.
Reason:	
Committee Action from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P514	LogID 178'	901.14 Non-Smoking Common Areas	<i>Final Formal Action: See P544</i>
-------------	-------------------	--	--------------------------------------

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	See P544. Duplicative item.
Reason:	
Committee Action from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P515	LogID 293	901.15 Lead-Safe Work Practices	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Kelly Wedell, US EPA
Proposed Change:	<p><u>Ban of Lead within new facilities:</u> <u>Final products (articles) to be installed in new residential buildings shall not contain lead, with the exception of brass, solder, and other metal amalgams containing up to 5% lead.</u></p> <p><u>Addition and Renovation Note:</u> 1) <u>All buildings must meet EPA lead hazard standards for paint, dust, and soil.</u> (a) <u>A paint-lead hazard is any of the following:</u> (1) <u>Any lead-based paint on a friction surface that is subject to abrasion and where the lead dust levels on the nearest horizontal surface underneath the friction surface (e.g., the window sill, or floor) are equal to or greater than the dust-lead hazard levels identified in paragraph (b) of this section.</u> (2) <u>Any damaged or otherwise deteriorated lead-based paint on an impact surface that is caused</u></p>

by impact from a related building component (such as a door knob that knocks into a wall or a door that knocks against its door frame.

(3) Any chewable lead-based painted surface on which there is evidence of teeth marks.

(4) Any other deteriorated lead-based paint in any residential building or child-occupied facility or on the exterior of any residential building or child-occupied facility.

(b) A dust-lead hazard is surface dust in a residential dwelling or child-occupied facility that is above the regulatory hazard standards currently defined as containing a mass-per-area concentration of lead equal to or exceeding 40 ug/ft² on floors or 250 ug/ft² on interior window sills based on wipe samples.

(c) A soil-lead hazard is bare soil on residential real property or on the property of a child-occupied facility that contains total lead equal to or exceeding the regulatory hazard standards currently defined as 400 parts per million (mg/g) in a play area or average of 1,200 parts per million of bare soil in the rest of the yard based on soil samples.

Notes: The above language is from 40 CFR 745, Identification of Dangerous Levels of Lead ("Section 43 Rule"), published 1/5/01. It is used as a benchmark to identify where lead hazards are present and to trigger various actions, but is not independently enforceable by EPA. Cost and benefit information can be found in the preamble to the rule (<http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-TOX/2001/January/Day-05/t84.pdf>) and in the Economic Analysis for the rule (http://epa.gov/lead/pubs/403_ea_d21.pdf). Because the rule is subject to periodic updating, references should be made to the regulation as opposed to the current benchmarks, if possible.

2) All buildings must be maintained according to benchmark standards:

(a) Essential maintenance practices

(1) All work must be done by trained and certified maintenance workers or contractors and use lead-safe work practices as described in the Renovation Repair, and Painting Program regulation, published 4/22/08.

(2) Perform visual examinations for deteriorating paint (unless the paint is found not to be LBP) at unit turnover and every 12 months (unless the tenant refuses entry).

(3) Promptly and safely repair deteriorated paint and the cause of the deterioration. If more than a de minimis amount of paint has deteriorated (unless the paint is found not to be LBP):

• Make the surface intact by paint stabilization, enclosure, encapsulation, or removal.

• Diagnose and correct any physical conditions causing the paint deterioration (for example, structural and moisture problems causing substrate failure or conditions causing painted surfaces to be crushed).

(4) Post written notice to tenants asking tenants to report deteriorating paint and informing them whom to contact. Promptly respond to tenants' reports and correct deteriorating paint, with accelerated response in units occupied by a child under age six or a pregnant woman - and in no case longer than 30 days. Do not retaliate against tenants who report deteriorating paint.

(b) Actions in response to a lead-poisoned child

(1) Cooperate with local health officials, including providing information promptly, providing access, and implementing protective measures

(2) Obtain a lead risk assessment and control all hazards identified as a result.

(3) Notify all tenants of risk assessment and actions taken in response.

(4) Relocate tenant if LBP hazards are not promptly controlled. Do not retaliate against tenants.

(c) Control of identified LBP hazards

(1) In pre-1978 housing with a child under 6 or a pregnant woman, or in a child-occupied facility, control hazards as soon as possible but in no case longer than 30 days.

(2) In pre-1978 housing without a child under 6 or a pregnant woman, or not used as a child-occupied facility, control hazards no later than unit turnover.

(d) Additional standard treatments for pre-1950 housing or child-occupied facilities (all work to be performed in accordance with RRP requirements)

(1) Provide smooth and cleanable horizontal surfaces. Rough, pitted, and porous surfaces trap lead dust and make it difficult to thoroughly clean these surfaces. Smooth horizontal surfaces will make it possible for tenants' regular housekeeping to reduce exposure to lead dust (for example, recoating hardwood floors with polyurethane, replacing or recovering worn out linoleum floors, treating interior window sills). During treatment of an occupied unit, occupants and their possessions must be protected from lead exposure, but only surfaces that are accessible need to be treated.

(2) Correct conditions in which painted surfaces are rubbing, binding, or being crushed that can produce lead dust (unless the paint is found not to be LBP) to protect the integrity of the paint and reduce the generation of lead dust (for example, rehanging binding doors, installing door stops to prevent doors from damaging painted surfaces, reworking windows).

(3) Cover or restrict access to bare residential soil (unless it is found not be lead-contaminated). Owners shall visually check for bare soil when performing treatments on a unit and implement

	<p><u>controls to prevent occupant exposure (for example, replacing soil; covering bare soil with gravel, mulch, or sod; physically restricting access to bare soil).</u> <u>(4) Regularly repeat as needed after visual inspections in (a)(2).</u></p> <p>Notes: The above benchmark standards are adapted from "Putting the Pieces Together: Controlling Lead Hazards in the Nation's Housing", the final report of the HUD Section 1015 Task Force on Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction and Financing, published 7/11/95, mandated by Title X of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992. They have been updated according to current regulatory requirements. Cost information can be found in the appendix to the report. Partial benefit information can be found in the preamble and economic analysis of the RRP rule, http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-TOX/2008/April/Day-22/t8141.htm.</p> <p><u>3) Buildings must be maintained and repaired in compliance with all EPA lead regulations, inclusive of Renovation, Repair, and Painting Rule.</u></p>
Reason:	Given that the standard has requirements intended for renovations and additions to existing buildings, many of which contain legacy chemicals of concern, EPA would like to see the renovation process trigger verification that lead is addressed as suggested above. While NAHB's requirement in 901.15 for 'lead-safe work practices' is a step in the right direction, it is too vague to ensure any real impact. In addition, it does not explicitly require mitigation of the underlying lead hazard. We recommend the language above to replace the present requirement in 901.15
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This type of provision is outside of the scope of a green building standard and should be addressed through federal regulation as applicable.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Michael Cudahy:</i> I approve of the rejection, and any attempt to bring this lead issue back would need to exempt products like lead acid batteries for emergency lighting, security and other uses.
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P516	LogID 273	902.1.1 Spot ventilation	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS		
Proposed Change:	(3) Kitchen exhaust units and/or range hoods are ducted to the outdoors and have a minimum ventilation rate of 100 cfm (47.2 L/s) for intermittent operation or 25 cfm (11.8 L/s) for continuous operation. § <u>Mandatory</u>		
Reason:	Code-mandated envelope and duct tightness levels will make homes markedly tighter as the 2009 and 2012 IECC versions are adopted throughout the country. This shift in turn requires that all homes also exhaust kitchen ranges to outdoors. The moisture and odors generated by the range will be the most significant point source of pollutants in many households, so capturing and venting these pollutants to outdoor should be mandatory and not optional.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The proposed change would trigger IRC Section M1503.4 for make-up air. This provision of the code is not fully developed, needs further study, and is difficult to implement.		
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P517	LogID 280	902.1.2 Bathroom and/or Laundry Exhaust	Final Formal Action:
			Accept
Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS		
Proposed Change:	9 11 points max remainder of provision to remain as-is		
Reason:	This provision correctly recognizes the benefits of enhanced controls for bath and/or laundry exhaust fans. To incentive the use of this effective IAQ technology in all new US homes, the requirement should account for 4 bathrooms (or 3 bathrooms + 1 laundry fan) – which equates to 11 maximum points using the scoring established in the standard. NAHB data indicates an average new home will often have 3 bathrooms while a home with a basement bathroom or an in-law suite may have 4 - so the provision should reflect this and not put an artificially low ceiling on the available points.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P518	LogID 242	902.1.3 Kitchen/Bath/Laundry Exhaust	Final Formal Action:
			Reject
Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS		
Proposed Change:	Kitchen range, bathroom, and laundry exhaust are verified to specification. Ventilation airflow at the point of exhaust is tested to a minimum of 100 cfm (47.2 L/s) intermittent or 25 cfm (11.8 L/s) continuous for kitchens and 50 cfm (23.6 L/s) intermittent or 20 cfm (9.4 L/s) continuous for bathrooms and/or laundry. <u>As an alternative to field verifying the exhaust airflow for bathroom exhaust fans, exhaust fans with 6" exhaust ports and 6" ducts to outside are used.</u>		
Reason:	Bath exhaust fans with 6" ports and 6" ducts are highly capable of providing exhaust flow rates at or near the nominal rating of the fan without restrictions on effective duct length. As evidence of this, ASHRAE 62.2-2010 includes prescriptive duct sizing guidance (Table 5.3) which can be used as an alternative way to meet this Standard's field verification requirements for local exhaust airflow. The data in this table shows that the effective length of smooth 6" duct has no limit for the exhaust system to be able to provide a fan's rated flow for fans sized at 50, 80, or 100 cfm at 0.25" WC. The same is true of 6" flex duct exhaust systems for 50 and 80 cfm bath fans, while 100 cfm fans using 6" flex duct will still deliver the rated flow of 100 cfm with 6" flex duct systems of up to 125 feet in effective length. In other words, the use of 6" fan outlets and 6" ducts		

	provides assurance that rated flow will match the actual flow. This prescriptive alternative to the field measurement of airflows, which can be inaccurate, provides the builder with flexibility while still assuring adequate bath exhaust airflow.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Testing of fans is common practice. Alternative is not necessary.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Randall Melvin:</i> Proponent provides a viable alternative to flow testing and it should be accepted.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P519	LogID 284	902.1.4 Energy Star Exhaust Fans	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS
Proposed Change:	6 12 points max all other text to remain as-is
Reason:	This provision correctly recognizes the benefits of energy efficiency and quietly operating exhaust fans. To incentivize the use of this effective IAQ technology in all new US homes, the requirement should account for up to 4 bathroom fans (or 3 bathrooms + 1 laundry fan) – which equates to 12 maximum points using the scoring established in the standard. NAHB data indicates an average new home will often have 3 bathrooms; while a home with a basement bathroom or an in-law suite may have 4 - so the provision should reflect this and not put an artificially low ceiling on the available points.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P520	LogID 260	902.2.1 Building Ventilation Systems	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	---	---

Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS
Proposed Change:	One of the following whole building <u>mechanical</u> ventilation systems is implemented and is in accordance with the specifications of Appendix B. <u>Whole building mechanical ventilation system fans operating intermittently or continuously shall have a sound rating = 1 sone. Mandatory</u>

	<p>(1) Exhaust or supply fan(s) ready for continuous operation and with appropriately labeled controls. <u>Local exhaust fans, including range hoods, shall be permitted to be part of the whole building mechanical ventilation system. Fan efficacy shall be: = 1.4 cfm/Watt for bathroom exhaust fans < 90 cfm. Fan efficacy shall be = 2.8 cfm/Watt for bathroom exhaust fans = 90 cfm, range hood fans, and inline fans. Where whole-house mechanical ventilation fans are integral to tested and listed HVAC equipment, they shall be powered by an electronically commutated motor.</u></p> <p>(2) Balanced exhaust and supply fans with supply intakes located in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines so as to not introduce polluted air back into the building. <u>Local exhaust fans, including range hoods, shall be permitted to be part of the whole building mechanical ventilation system. Fan efficacy shall be: = 1.4 cfm/Watt for bathroom exhaust fans < 90 cfm. Fan efficacy shall be = 2.8 cfm/Watt for bathroom exhaust fans = 90 cfm, range hood fans, and inline fans. Where whole-house mechanical ventilation fans are integral to tested and listed HVAC equipment, they shall be powered by an electronically commutated motor.</u></p>
Reason:	Proposed changes will keep the requirement for WBMV consistent with 2012 IRC/IECC. The changes 1) make WBMV Mandatory, which will be the case in the 2012 IECC/IRC; 2) incorporate efficiency requirements for fans used in WBMVs consistent with 2012 IECC levels; and 3) keep sound levels for WBMV fans in line with ASHRAE 62.2.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Sound rating minimum for basement ventilation is not appropriate. The baseline code for this revision is 2009 IECC/IRC. Energy efficiency benefit is not appropriate for this Chapter.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P521 LogID 133 902.2.1 Building Ventilation Systems <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	902.2.1 One of the following whole building ventilation systems is implemented and is in accordance with the specifications of Appendix B. <u>The ventilation system shall comply with the requirements of Energy Star</u> <u>Mandatory</u>
Reason:	This section should become mandatory and comply with the new requirements of Energy Star. The ventilation can be turned off when "natural" ventilation is used.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Energy Star is a whole-house system and referencing individual pieces is not appropriate.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P522	LogID 132	902.2.2 Ventilation Airflow	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM		
Proposed Change:	<u>902.2.2 (b) System is certified thru Energy Star Version 2.5</u>		
Reason:	Brings NGBS up to revised Energy Star Standards		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Energy Star is a whole-house system and referencing individual pieces is not appropriate.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P523	LogID 938	902.2.3 MERV Filters	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Michael Grothe, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	MERV filters 8 or greater are installed on central air systems.		
Reason:	Does this also apply to stand alone fresh air systems such as heat recovery or energy recovery ventilators, where no forced air heating or cooling system exists? May want to clarify.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	No specific change proposed. Also see item P524.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P524		LogID 939		902.2.3 MERV Filters		Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified	
Submitter:	Stephanie Thomas-Rees, FSEC						
Proposed Change:	MERV filters 8 or greater are installed on central air system <u>and must be accessible</u> .						
Reason:	so many times filters are not accessible and it is pointless to have a high efficeincy filter if it cannot be changed due to a condensation line or other obstruction in the way.						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified						
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i> MERV filters 8 or greater are installed on central <u>forced</u> air system <u>and must be are accessible</u> .						
Committee Reason:							
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0						
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:							
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:							

P525		LogID 291		902.3 Radon Control		Final Formal Action: Accept	
Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS						
Proposed Change:	Radon control measures are in accordance with ICC IRC Appendix F. Zones are defined in Figure 9(1). (1) Buildings located in Zone 1 (a) a passive radon system is installed: Mandatory, 10 points (b) an active radon system is installed, 15 <u>18</u> points (2) Buildings located in Zone 2 (a) a passive radon system is installed: 10 points						
Reason:	In EPA Radon Zone 1 homes with a passive radon system in place may still have a radon exposure level beyond EPA limits. Further many new houses will not be tested for radon, so an IAQ hazard may exist. To incentivize builders to make this additional investment in an active system during initial construction (when it is most cost-effective), the incremental points for an active system (e.g., points above the 10 awarded for a passive system) should be greater – to a level of 18 points. This additional 8 points above a passive system requires roughly the same amount of time/resources as several other IAQ-related measures, such as low-emission indoor products (Section 901.8) and ventilation flow testing (Section 902.1.3).						
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept						
Modification of Proposed Change:							
Committee Reason:							
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0						
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD						
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:							

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P526	LogID 550	902.3 Radon Control	Final Formal Action: Accept						
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center								
Proposed Change:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Radon control measures are in accordance with ICC IRC Appendix F. Zones are defined in Figure 9(1).</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(1) Buildings located in Zone 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) a passive radon system is installed</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) an active radon system is installed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Buildings located in Zone 2 or Zone 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) a passive or active radon system is installed</td> </tr> </table>			Radon control measures are in accordance with ICC IRC Appendix F. Zones are defined in Figure 9(1).	(1) Buildings located in Zone 1	(a) a passive radon system is installed	(b) an active radon system is installed	(2) Buildings located in Zone 2 or Zone 3	(a) a passive or active radon system is installed
Radon control measures are in accordance with ICC IRC Appendix F. Zones are defined in Figure 9(1).									
(1) Buildings located in Zone 1									
(a) a passive radon system is installed									
(b) an active radon system is installed									
(2) Buildings located in Zone 2 or Zone 3									
(a) a passive or active radon system is installed									
Reason:	Since radon may occur just about anywhere, it seems reasonable to award points to any building that incorporates mitigation measures regardless of where it is located.								
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept								
Modification of Proposed Change:									
Committee Reason:									
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0								
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:									
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:									
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:									

P527	LogID 551	902.3 Radon Control	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	(1) Buildings located in Zone 1		
Reason:	Does it make sense not to give points to buildings that incorporate a radon system regardless of which zone it is in? Should an active system in Zone 2 get no points?		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of P526.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P528	LogID 940	904.2 Kitchen Exhaust	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Michael "Mick" Dalrymple, Green Environmental Building Supplies		
Proposed Change:	<p>A kitchen exhaust unit(s) that equals or exceeds 400 cfm (189 L/s) <u>is installed</u>, and makeup air is provided.</p> <p>or</p> <p>If a kitchen exhaust unit(s) that equals or exceeds 400 cfm (189 L/s) <u>is installed</u>, and makeup air is provided.</p>		
Reason:	It is not clear if the intent is to encourage builders to install a kitchen exhaust of this magnitude, or if the intent is for builders to include make-up air if they so choose to go this big. I recall the original conversations being centered around the energy penalty caused by huge vent hood exhaust systems, but I may have missed part of the conversation. In either case, make-up air is important here because of the potential IAQ issues caused by a negative pressure situation sucking dirty air out of the attic, walls and crawl spaces.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified		
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> A k itchen exhaust unit(s) that equals or exceeds 400 cfm (189 L/s) <u>is installed</u> , and makeup air is provided.		
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P529	LogID 295	902.5 Central Vacuum Systems	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS		
Proposed Change:	Central vacuum system is installed and vented to the outside. \leq 10 points.		
Reason:	<p>Central vacuum systems exhausted to outdoors are a proven IAQ value to homeowners which will be used and will be effective at directly removing dirt, particulates, and other pollutants directly from the indoor living environment. Scientific research has shown that individuals sensitive to indoor dust benefit from central vacuum systems. In fact, in a controlled scientific study of 25 individuals with hypersensitivity to house dust which compared reactions and other symptoms of the individuals when central vacuum systems or traditional vacuums were used, "use of the central vacuum proved to be superior." Source: "The Influence of a Central Vacuum System on Quality of Life in Patients with House Dust-Associated Allergic Rhinitis." Stanley M. Naguwa and M. Eric Gershwin, University of CA at Davis, School of Medicine. J Invest Allergol Clin Immunol 2001; Vol. 11(4): 290-294 By comparison, even the most basic ventilation system is awarded at least 8 points under the NGBS. Such systems introduce fresh air but may or may not be used, as compared to a central vac system which is an enhancement which home owners will consistently use to clean the indoor environment. Therefore a central vacuum system should be credited with at least 10 points under the standard.</p>		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The current point value is appropriate relative to other practices in the Standard		
Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41		

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P530	LogID 99	902.6 Living Space Contaminants	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	-----------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Josh Jacobs, GREENGUARD Environmental Institute
-------------------	---

Proposed Change:	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>(3) Post-Construction, Pre-Occupancy Baseline IAQ Monitoring: Baseline IAQ testing shall be conducted after construction ends and prior to occupancy. The ventilation system(s) shall be operated continuously at the designated outdoor air flow rate for a minimum of 24 hours prior to monitoring starts. Testing shall be done using protocols consistent with the USEPA Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Pollutants in Ambient Air, TO-1, TO-11, TO-17 and ASTM Standard Method D 5197. The testing shall demonstrate that the contaminant maximum concentrations listed below are not exceeded in the larger of the following number of locations: (a) no less than one location per HVAC zone; or (b) in each contiguous floor area. An outdoor air concentration needs to be taken at the same time for comparison to TVOC and Carbon Monoxide. For each sampling point where the maximum concentration limits are exceeded conduct additional flush-out with outside air and retest the specific parameter(s) exceeded to demonstrate the requirements are achieved. Repeat procedure until all requirements have been met. When retesting non-complying building areas, take samples from the same locations as in the first test.</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Maximum Concentration of Air Pollutants Relevant to IAQ</u></th> <th><u>Maximum Concentration, ug/m³ (unless otherwise noted)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>Formaldehyde</u></td> <td><u>27 ppb</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>4-Phenylcyclohexene (4-PCH)^a</u></td> <td><u>6.5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOC)</u></td> <td><u>500 over outdoor air concentrations</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Particulates (PM 2.5)</u></td> <td><u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Carbon Monoxide</u></td> <td><u>9 ppm and no greater than 2 ppm above outdoor levels</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>15 Points</p>	<u>Maximum Concentration of Air Pollutants Relevant to IAQ</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration, ug/m³ (unless otherwise noted)</u>	<u>Formaldehyde</u>	<u>27 ppb</u>	<u>4-Phenylcyclohexene (4-PCH)^a</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOC)</u>	<u>500 over outdoor air concentrations</u>	<u>Particulates (PM 2.5)</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Carbon Monoxide</u>	<u>9 ppm and no greater than 2 ppm above outdoor levels</u>
<u>Maximum Concentration of Air Pollutants Relevant to IAQ</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration, ug/m³ (unless otherwise noted)</u>												
<u>Formaldehyde</u>	<u>27 ppb</u>												
<u>4-Phenylcyclohexene (4-PCH)^a</u>	<u>6.5</u>												
<u>Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOC)</u>	<u>500 over outdoor air concentrations</u>												
<u>Particulates (PM 2.5)</u>	<u>50</u>												
<u>Carbon Monoxide</u>	<u>9 ppm and no greater than 2 ppm above outdoor levels</u>												

Reason:	Reasoning: Some rating systems have taken to prescribing a building flush-out to ensure that all potential pollutants are removed from the building. Building flush out can help to ensure good IAQ, but it goes against other tenants of sustainable building by increasing the amount of energy used by the building and can lead to moisture problems, which can result in mold problems later in the buildings life. Demanding that fenestration points are sealed will also only do so much. The only true solution for ensuring that good indoor air quality has been achieved that doesn't impact other areas of sustainability is through indoor air testing. This should be utilized as at least an alternative within 902.6. The testing procedures laid out in the above have been utilized in the sustainable building market for a number of years now. This would also start to allow these sustainable buildings to show that they are performing when it comes to human health as opposed to just having another prescriptive measure.
----------------	--

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
---------------------------------------	--------

Modification of	
------------------------	--

Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	IAQ investigation is not practical, does not address the functioning of the systems, measuring the concentrations does not allow for remedy; experience with LEED does not show these to be predictive; the methodology for conducting testing is not well defined in the proposed change; issuing with exceeding due to outside factors; snapshot test is not representative.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P531 LogID 552 903.1 Tile Backing Materials		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	Tile backing materials <u>in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325</u> or approved water proof membrane materials are installed under tiled surfaces in wet areas are in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325.	
Reason:	There are some effective membrane materials that can be installed instead of typical backer board. The task group should specify any appropriate ASTM criteria for membranes.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	"Approved" is not defined. Backing material is still needed if membrane is installed. Water-resistant may be allowed. There is no current performance criteria for this application.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P532 LogID 553 903.2.1 Capillary Break/Vapor Retard. Conc. Slabs		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	A capillary break and vapor retarder are installed at all concrete slabs <u>adjoining living space</u> in accordance with Sections 903.2.1(1) or 903.2.1(2) as modified by Section 903.2.1(3):	
Reason:	This clarification allows slabs that are not part of living space to not require a vapor barrier. E.g., slabs in underground parking garages.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept	

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P533	LogID 125	903.2.1 Capillary Break/Vapor Retard.	<i>Final Formal Action:</i>
	Conc. Slabs		Accept

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	903.2.1(3) (b) in dry climate locations, as defined by Figure 6(1), polyethylene sheeting is not required unless required for radon resistance (Section 902.3).
Reason:	Current building science suggests that a capillary break should be included in all climate zones. See BSI-003: Concrete Floor Problems by Joe Listebrok
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	It aligns NGBS with 2009 IRC Section R506.2.3.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P534	LogID 554	903.4.1 Moisture Control Measures	<i>Final Formal Action:</i>
			Accept as Modified

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	(2) <u>Insulation in wall cavities is dry before</u> W walls are not enclosed (e.g., with drywall) if the insulation has a high moisture content. Wet insulation products are dry before enclosing.
Reason:	There is confusion regarding if this practice only applies to wet applied insulation or any insulation product. Since some builders install insulation before the house is weathertight there is a chance that insulation could become wet after installation.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified

Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> (2) Insulation in Wall cavities is dry in accordance with manufacturer's installation instructions when are not enclosed (e.g., with drywall) if the insulation has a high moisture content. Wet insulation products are dry before enclosing. (3) The moisture content of lumber is sampled to ensure it does not exceed 19 percent prior to the surface and/or wall-cavity enclosure.
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P535	LogID 555	903.5.1 Plumbing in Exterior Wall Cavities	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	---	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Plumbing distribution lines <u>(including sprinkler lines)</u> are not installed in exterior wall cavities.
Reason:	Clarify the practice.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> Delete section 903.5.1 in its entirety (including renovation & addition notes).
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Chris Allison:</i> <u>This section should clarify the sprinkler lines not allowed in wall cavities (lawn irrigation or fire suppression)</u>
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P536	LogID 268	904.2 Kitchen Exhaust	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	JAMES LYONS, NEWPORT PARTNERS
Proposed Change:	<u>904.2 Kitchen Exhaust. Exhaust hood systems capable of exhausting in excess of 400 cubic feet per minutes (0.19 m3/s) shall be provided with makeup air at a rate approximately equal to the exhaust air rate. Makeup air systems may provide makeup air with active, fan-powered systems; passive systems which are ducted and interlocked with a central fan; or a combination of the two. Such makeup air systems shall be equipped with a means of closure and shall be automatically controlled to operate simultaneously with the exhaust system. Alternate makeup air rates and passive systems which are not interlocked with a central fan are permitted when specified by a registered design professional or sized and installed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions to prevent hazardous depressurization levels in the building.</u>
Reason:	Make-up air for high capacity range hoods is a complicated topic which intermingles the need to replace exhausted house air, the need to maintain safe pressure levels in the home, and the need to condition and distribute the incoming air stream. The

	proposed language offers some design flexibility in terms of incorporating passive (non fan-powered) make-up systems like an inter-locked fresh air damper which opens when the range hood operates. This type of design flexibility is necessary and helpful to builders and mechanical contractors.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This proposal makes the system significantly more complicated.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P537 LogID 100 Add New Section		<i>Final Formal Action: (Withdrawn)</i>
Submitter:	Josh Jacobs, GREENGUARD Environmental Institute	
Proposed Change:	<p><u>901.12 Total VOC Limit. A minimum of 50 percent of all products addressed in Sections 901.6, 901.7, 901.8, 901.9, and 901.11 shall have a Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOCs) emission limit of less than or equal to 500 ug/m³. The test methodology used to determine compliance shall be from CDPH/EHLB/Standard Method v1.1 “Standard Method for The Testing and Evaluation of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions from Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.1” dated February 2010. The emissions testing shall be performed by a laboratory that has the CDPH/EHLB/Standard Method v1.1 in the scope of its ISO 17025 accreditation.</u></p> <p><u>10 Points</u></p>	
Reason:	<p>Within sections 901.6 – 901.9 and 901.11 there are emission requirements that state some form of, ‘Emissions shall be determined according to CDPH 01350. While we agree that CA 01350 is a good starting point to determine the potential harmful emissions from products utilized in the indoor space, it is not adequate in determining the complete picture of what could be emitted. Limiting the assessment of volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions levels to a limited set of data on individual chemicals - fewer than 35 compounds – could lead to a false sense of security. With products capable of emitting more than 10,000 different chemicals, this approach does not account for the thousands of chemicals that have not undergone a thorough risk assessment. The chemicals that are assessed are those from California’s Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) Chronic Reference Exposure Levels (CRELs) list. This list of chemicals is being considered in lieu of a total volatile organic compound (TVOC) criteria, when it should be considered in conjunction. In fact of the top 100 chemicals most commonly found emitting from man-made products only 11 of them have a CREL. This leaves 89 of the most common chemicals emitting from man-made products as not being limited by this standard. Thus, referencing the CREL list only may miss many potentially harmful indoor air pollutants. There are many countries around the world that use a TVOC measurement along with individual chemical levels as a pass/fail criterion for new buildings. In fact, the European Union’s European Commission Joint Research Centre, Report No 27: Harmonisation framework for indoor material labeling schemes in the EU, states in part: “TVOC should not be used alone as an indicator for evaluating health effects from indoor material emissions. A common approach for TVOC definition along with an upper limit for TVOC should be established, while it is known that TVOC per se is not linked with health outcomes, a low limit value for TVOC of e.g. 0.2 mg/m³ indicates that the risk for any harmful emissions is presumably low.” The standard should include a Total Volatile Organic Compound (TVOC) limit on the emissions from the relevant products, in addition to the California CRELs. While it is recognized that TVOC should not be used as an indicator of health effects, it is a useful tool in estimating and potentially reducing the indoor pollutant load. Many of the products that are commonly used in our indoor environments meet CREL limit criteria, yet still emit high total levels of VOCs (which may include potentially harmful chemicals). Limiting the amount of TVOCs in a given product follows the precautionary principle, which implies that there is a responsibility to intervene and protect the public from exposure to harm where scientific investigation discovers a plausible risk in the course of having screened for other suspected causes. Only a small percentage of the chemicals observed indoors and emitting from building materials, finishes and furnishings have been evaluated for their health effects, thus combining the use of TVOC and</p>	

	individual chemicals measurement (where the individual chemicals have been assessed for their health effects) will accomplish the goal of being as protective as reasonably possible. By making 809.2.4 a requirement within section 901, you would be able to help ensure that at least 50% of all products within sections the named sections are having their total chemical load minimized. Additionally the referenced test method - CDPH/EHLB/Standard Method V1.1 – already requires the listing of TVOC emissions so this would not be detrimental to any products currently undergoing this test.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Withdrawn by proponent at committee meeting on 06/17/11.
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P538	LogID 277	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Kelly Wedell, US EPA
Proposed Change:	<p><u>Building product chemical inventory.</u> For all new homes, the builder should be required to provide the inventory of building product chemicals to the new home owner so they have access to this information (beyond the builder just collecting the information for credit purposes only)</p> <p><u>Chemical constituents shall be inventoried as follows:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Life-cycle Inventory for the manufacture of an article: all chemical constituents intentionally added, to the extent known or reasonably ascertainable, in the manufacture of an article. An article is a manufactured item that is formed to a specific shape or design and the products final end-use function is dependent on the shape or design, with the exception of cutting; and, there is no change in chemical composition upon end use of the article or only those changes that has no commercial purpose separate from that of the article. The inventory shall identify, to the extent known or reasonably ascertainable, intermediate chemicals that may be wholly or partially consumed in the manufacture of an article and/or, process chemicals that may end up in manufacturing effluent or otherwise released if not intended to remain incorporated as part of the final product for the intended life of the product; and,</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Final Product Inventory: all chemical constituents intentionally added or otherwise known or anticipated to be present at 100 ppm (0.01% w/w) or greater in a finished article. Or,</u></p> <p>(c) <u>Life-cycle Inventory for the formulated product or mixture: all chemical constituents intentionally added, to the extent known or reasonably ascertainable, in the manufacture of a formulated product or mixture. A formulated product or mixture is one that is anticipated to further chemically react upon end use, such as paints, caulk, adhesives etc. The inventory shall identify, to the extent known or reasonably ascertainable, intermediate chemicals that may be wholly or partially consumed in the manufacture of an article and/or, process chemicals that may end up in manufacturing effluent or otherwise released; and,</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Final Product Inventory for the formulated product or mixture: all chemical constituents intentionally added or otherwise known or anticipated to be present at 100 ppm (0.01% w/w) or greater in a formulated product; with the exception that there is no inventory limit on chemical constituents present, including impurities and byproducts, which have been determined to be health hazards if there is evidence that the constituent(s) could be released from a product or released from use of a product in concentrations which could present a health risk to building occupants, as well as employees. See also OSHA MSDS listing requirements for workers at 1910.1200(g)(2)(i)(C). Each constituent included in an inventory of an article or a chemical formulation or mixture shall be identified by its unique Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) number and CAS nomenclature.</u></p> <p><u>Alternatively, address chemical content as follows:</u></p> <p><u>Determination of chemical content shall be based upon chemicals that are intentionally added to the product and/or known to occur in the product as a result of chemical reactions during manufacture. Determination of chemical content shall not be based upon chemicals that are acknowledged trace containments or those present at environmental background levels, as consistent with the Occupational Health and Safety Administration’s regulations.</u></p> <p>Determination of chemical content shall be based upon one or more of the following:</p>

	<p>38. <u>Data provided by a manufacturer, including a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) and/or its corresponding labels and directions.</u></p> <p>39. <u>Data provided by a related professional or trade organization.</u></p> <p>40. <u>Data provided by independent testing laboratory or academic review.</u></p> <p>41. <u>Data provided by State or local health or research authorities</u></p> <p>42. <u>On-site testing, sampling or evaluation</u></p> <p>For materials consisting of recycled content, a range of possible content levels for polymers, composites, and metals should <u>be provided.</u></p>
Reason:	Exposure to toxic chemicals is an important environmental issue that to date has not been given the attention it deserves by the green building community. This is in part due to the complexity of the issues involved and the relative lack of scientific data on, and commercial substitutes for, certain specific chemicals widely in use. Nonetheless, EPA's position is that there is a sufficient scientific basis for NAHB to include several toxics-related practices. We suggest that NAHB add several practices to minimize chemical and other life-cycle risks to human health and the environment.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This list of chemicals would be confusing and misleading. No acceptable levels are provided. It also would be an onerous task to compile such a list for all of the materials and products used in a house. This requirement would be a deterrent to building green homes. It would also require product manufacturers to disclose proprietary technologies.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P539 LogID 278 Add New Section <i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>	
Submitter:	Kelly Wedell, US EPA
Proposed Change:	<p>Chapter 9- Proposed language is as follows:</p> <p><u>Carcinogens.</u> Final products (articles) shall not contain intentionally added constituents that are deemed to be known or probable carcinogens. Furthermore, the use of a known carcinogen in any manufacturing or processing stages, that is not otherwise intended to become part of the final product, shall be evaluated and safer alternatives considered using EPA's OncoLogic Tool or another.</p> <p><u>PBTs.</u> Final products (articles) shall not contain intentionally added constituents that are deemed to be persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic compounds. Furthermore, the use of a known PBT in any manufacturing or processing stages, that is not otherwise intended to become part of the final product, shall be evaluated and safer alternatives considered using EPA's PBT Profiler Tool or another.</p> <p><u>Reproductive toxicants.</u> Final products (articles) shall not contain intentionally added constituents that are known reproductive or developmental toxicants as defined by either the State of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Prop 65) or EU Risk Phrases (R60: May impair fertility or R61: May cause harm to the unborn child). Furthermore, the use of a known reproductive or developmental toxicant in any manufacturing or processing stages, that is not otherwise intended to become part of the final product, shall be evaluated and safer alternatives considered using EPA's forthcoming AIM Tool.</p> <p><u>Certifier should provide certification has met the conditions of this practice and have documentation indicating evaluation and use of alternatives.</u></p> <p>Carcinogen references include the following:</p> <p>Annual Report on Carcinogens, National Toxicology Program (NTP): http://ehis.niehs.nih.gov/roc/toc10.html</p> <p>A – Known to be Human Carcinogens</p> <p>B – Reasonably Anticipated to be Human Carcinogens</p>

	<p>EPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS): Carcinogens List http://www.epa.gov/iris “Carcinogenic to Humans” “Likely to Be Carcinogenic to Humans”</p> <p>International Agency for Research on Cancer(IARC): Group 1(Carcinogenic to Humans), Group 2A (Probably Carcinogenic to Humans), and Group 2B (Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans) chemicals. http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/index.php</p> <p>PBT Chemicals can be taken from the Stockholm Convention (POPs) and U.S.–Canada Bi-National List, TRI PBT list, Waste Minimization Priority Chemicals; evaluate other chemicals through tools such as the PBT Profiler and avoid “high concern” chemicals.</p>
Reason:	<p>Exposure to toxic chemicals is an important environmental issue that to date has not been given the attention it deserves by the green building community. This is in part due to the complexity of the issues involved and the relative lack of scientific data on, and commercial substitutes for, certain specific chemicals widely in use. Nonetheless, EPA’s position is that there is a sufficient scientific basis for NAHB to include several toxics-related practices. We suggest that NAHB add several practices to minimize chemical and other life-cycle risks to human health and the environment. Specifically, EPA suggests adding several practices to encourage safer, less persistent, less bioaccumulative, and less toxic chemical substances in products and processes as a new section in Chapter 9.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This is outside of the scope of the NGBS. There are regulations that address these issues. Also see reason for P538.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	<p>Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0</p>
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P540	LogID 289	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Kelly Wedell, US EPA		
Proposed Change:	<p><u>Ban of Asbestos within new facilities:</u> <u>Final products (articles) to be installed in new residential buildings shall not contain asbestos</u></p> <p><u>Addition and Renovation Note:</u> <u>Inspect building for asbestos-containing building material on an ongoing basis, and prepare a management plan to prevent or reduce asbestos hazards. The building inspection and management plan shall satisfy the requirements under the implementing rules of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) for schools, as published in the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 40, Part 763, Subpart E. All buildings, regardless of building type, shall meet these requirements.</u> <u>Before undertaking demolishing or renovating activities, notify the appropriate authorities as required by the Asbestos National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), found at 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M. Dispose of any asbestos waste in accordance with the regulations. If minimum amounts of regulated asbestos will be removed or disturbed, such that the demolition or renovation activity does not trigger the requirements of the regulation, the owner/operator must adequately wet and carefully remove the asbestos components, keeping them wet until collected for disposal.</u> <u>Reporting: Provide a copy of inspection results and all documentation required under AHERA regulations. Provide documentation of all disposal measures, including disposal companies used and final destination of waste materials.</u></p>		

Reason:	Given that the standard has requirements intended for renovations and additions to existing buildings, many of which contain legacy chemicals of concern, EPA would like to see the renovation process trigger verification that asbestos is addressed as suggested as additions to Chapter 9.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	These types of issues should be addressed through regulations, not voluntary rating systems such as the NGBS.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P541	LogID 179	Add New Section	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	<u>Indoor Environmental quality protection during construction.</u> Building is ventilated with outside air during and shortly after installing products that are known sources of contaminants (e.g., cabinets, carpet padding, and painting), meeting EPA's <u>Indoor airPLUS ventilation requirements for outdoor air flow and humidity control described in Specs 4.5 and 4.8.</u>
Reason:	Indoor environmental quality protection during construction. NAHB's practices on pollutant source control in section 901 are generally strong in terms of setting appropriate emission limits for various materials. However, even when relatively low-emitting materials are used, it is important to take further steps to protect occupants' health, specifically by providing adequate ventilation to flush out contaminants prior to occupancy. We recommend the above language, which should be added to section 901 as a new MANDATORY practice. For reference, EPA's Indoor airPLUS Specifications can be viewed at http://www.epa.gov/indoorairplus/construction_specifications.html .
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	'During construction' - Not practical and too restrictive. 'Sources of contaminants' is not defined. This provision may contradict application instructions for some of the practices (e.g., temperature during installation).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P542	LogID 180	Add New Section	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
-------------------	--

Proposed Change:	902.7. Pest barriers. <u>Pest barriers are created compliant with the following pest barrier requirements of the Indoor airPLUS Construction Specifications:</u>
Reason:	Pest barriers. In addition to the integrated pest management plan set forth in 503.5.8, the standard should establish practices to encourage structural efforts at pest control. EPA recommends the above language, to be added as a new practice in Section 902.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Item (1) is covered by the 2009 IECC and IRC with air sealing provisions, and for item (2) there are situations for combustion appliances where screen should not be installed.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P543	LogID 177	901.14 Non-Smoking Common Areas	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	--	--

Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency
Proposed Change:	1) All interior common areas of a multi-unit building are designated as non-smoking areas with posted signage. <u>Designated outdoor smoking areas are located a minimum of 25 ft. from entries, outdoor air intakes, and operable windows. OR,</u> 2) <u>Pathways for second hand smoke transfer between units are air-sealed by sealing penetrations in the walls, ceilings, and floors of dwelling units, sealing vertical chases adjacent to dwelling units, and applying weather stripping to all doors in dwelling units leading to common hallways.</u>
Reason:	EPA supports the standard's inclusion of a practice on non-smoking areas in 901.14. However, as written, the practice does not offer sufficient protection for occupants. We recommend the above additional language.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<u>Environmental tobacco smoke is minimized by one or more of the following:</u> (1) All interior common areas of a multi-unit building are designated as non-smoking areas with posted signage. 1 Point (2) <u>Exterior smoking areas of a multi-unit building are designated with posted signage and located a minimum of 25 feet from entries, outdoor air intakes, and operable windows.</u> 1 Point
Committee Reason:	Committee agrees that additional environmental tobacco smoke mitigation should be encouraged. However, builders should have the flexibility to incorporate different measures according to individual project characteristics and needs. Proposed language concerning air sealing has not been included in modified recommendation as it duplicates practices already available for credit in section 902.6, Living Space Contaminants.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P544 LogID 178 901.14 Non-Smoking Common Areas		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Susan Gitlin, US Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	Compliance with 901.14 should be mandatory.	
Reason:	Environmental tobacco smoke control is a major component of indoor environmental quality.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:	Environmental tobacco smoke mitigation should be encouraged. However, builders should have the flexibility to incorporate these practices according to individual project characteristics and needs.	
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P545 LogID 151 1001.1 Building owner's manual		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	glynn rountree , NAHB	
Proposed Change:	(13) Maintenance checklist, to include maintenance of any low impact development (LID), sometimes also referred to as "green infrastructure," stormwater management devices that are part of the building or installed within the owner's property.	
Reason:	LID infiltration devices require periodic maintenance to ensure that they continue to function properly. Under EPA's Clean Water Rules, if the building is within the watershed of an impaired water body, certification must be done to document that the maintenance of all LID devices in the watershed of the impaired water body has been performed. (See additional EPA requirements for LID under the "Renovations Note" at the end of this section.)	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard by adding new as follows:</i> (21) Where stormwater management measures are installed on the lot, information on the location, purpose, and upkeep of these measures.	
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P546	LogID 158	1001.1 Homeowner's Manual	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	glynn rountree , NAHB		
Proposed Change:	Revenovations Note: A building owners' manual that includes the following: <u>(4) A state or EPA may require that the homeowner or building owner sign an agreement that guarantees access to the property in order for third parties to certify that LID devices installed in the property remain in place, have not been damaged, and are functioning properly.</u>		
Reason:	LID devices are used to improve local water quality, recharge underground aquifers, and have other environmental benefits. Local or national requirements may mandate that the LID device be mapped by the state and its performance certified by the state or by third parties. Access to the LID device for inspection and maintenance is necessary to carry out those requirements.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Not appropriate for the building owner's manual.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P547	LogID 282	1001.1 Homeowner's Manual	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Kelly Wedell, US EPA		
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new section as follows:</i> <u>Full MSDS. For each product required by United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) to have a material safety data sheet (MSDS), an MSDS shall be submitted to the project team. MSDS shall be prepared within the previous five years in accordance with ANSI Z400.1. Information for MSDS Sections 1-16 shall be included as available; where information is not available, documentation shall so indicate.</u>		
Reason:	Exposure to toxic chemicals is an important environmental issue that to date has not been given the attention it deserves by the green building community. This is in part due to the complexity of the issues involved and the relative lack of scientific data on, and commercial substitutes for, certain specific chemicals widely in use. Nonetheless, EPA's position is that there is a sufficient scientific basis for NAHB to include several toxics-related practices. We suggest that NAHB add several practices to minimize chemical and other life-cycle risks to human health and the environment. As part of this, EPA suggests adding a practice to require the provision of a full Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for all covered materials. This should apply to both one- and two-family dwellings and to multi-unit buildings; thus, under NAHB's current structure, the following language should appear twice, in sections 1001.1 and 1003.1.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	It is not clear how the homeowners would benefit from this practice in terms of green building. The practice is unenforceable.		

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P548 LogID 556 1001.1 Homeowner's Manual		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(21) A narrative describing the safety and indoor environment quality concerns with operating a wood burning fireplace (when applicable).</u>	
Reason:	Since there are significant safety and IEQ issues associated with woodburning fireplaces this information seems like a reasonable addition to a home owners manual.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Not appropriate for building owner's manual.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P549 LogID 290 1001.1 Homeowner's Manual		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	(13) Maintenance Checklist, <u>to include maintenance of any low impact development (LID) – sometimes referred to as “green infrastructure,” stormwater management devices that are part of the building or installed within the owner’s property.</u>	
Reason:	LID infiltration devices require periodic maintenance to ensure that they continue to function properly. Under EPA's Clean Water Rules, if the building is within the watershed of an impaired water body, certification must be done to document that the maintenance of all LID devices in the watershed of the impaired water body has been performed. (See additional EPA requirements for LID under the "Renovations Note" at the end of this section.)	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P545.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41	

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P550 LogID 292 1001.1 Homeowner's Manual		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>(21)A state or EPA may require that the homeowner or building owner sign an agreement that guarantees access to the property in order for third parties to certify that Low Impact Devices (LID) installed in the property remain in place, have not been damaged, and are functioning properly.</u>	
Reason:	LID devices are used to improve local water quality, recharge underground aquifers, and have other environmental benefits. Local or national requirements may mandate that the LID device be mapped by the state and its performance certified by the state or by third parties. Access to the LID device for inspection and maintenance is necessary to carry out those requirements.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject	
Modification of Proposed Change:		
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P546.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P551 LogID 902 1002.1 Green Building Goals/Strategies		<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
Submitter:	Ed Whitby, Burnaby Manufacturing	
Proposed Change:	It should be encouraged that builders incorporate a proper gas outlet box on the outside patio wall area so that homeowners can utilize the gas that is already piped in to the house to fuel their bbq's, patio heaters and any other outdoor gas appliance.	
Reason:	A very large number of homes still use portable propane bottles that have to be refilled regularly. The carbon footprint of propane from the refinery to the patio is huge compared to natural gas and the added carbon footprint of adding a pipe to the outside wall is minimal. The gas is already available to the homeowner so why do we not give him access to the gas outside like we do water and electricity. There is an ongoing reduction of co2's of about 15 % when using natural gas over propane as well. This is especially relevant in urban areas where gas is generally available versus rural areas where propane can be the only option. The american gas association gives 2 points in their green scoring system for every gas outlet installed on a home and encouraging this will help the homeowner by being more convenient and less expensive as well as help the air quality. There are products already available that have safety features built in for fire protection and child proofing but if a pipe is supplied to the patio now it is often crudely finished by the homeowner himself with no safety benefits at all. Please let me know if you have any questions but i would be glad to discuss this with anyone at anytime. Thank you	

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This practice does not belong in this chapter or the NGBS. It does not provide a green benefit.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P552 LogID 313 1002.1 Training of Building Owners		<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
Submitter:	Nicole L. Villamizar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
Proposed Change:	1002.1 Training of building owners. Building owners/occupants are familiarized with the green building goals and strategies implemented and the impacts of the occupants' practices of the costs of operating the building. Training is provided to the responsible party(ies) regarding all equipment operation and control systems <u>and other green building strategies such as recycling.</u> Systems <u>and strategies</u> include, but are not limited to, the following: <u>(7) Solid waste recycling.</u>	
Reason:	It is important that building owners and occupants of one and two family dwellings and multi unit buildings understand sorting, storage and collection requirements associated with successful recycling practices. This training will ensure awareness and understanding of effective practices to support optimal diversion of waste.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> 1002.1 Training of building owners. Building owners/occupants are familiarized with the green building goals and strategies implemented and the impacts of the role of occupants ² in achieving <u>green goals</u> practices on the costs of operating the building. <u>On-site</u> Training is provided to the responsible party(ies) regarding all equipment operation and <u>maintenance, control systems, and occupant actions that will improve the environmental performance of the building.</u> Systems <u>These include, but are not limited to, the following:</u> (1) HVAC filters (2) thermostat operation and programming (3) lighting controls (4) appliances and settings <u>operation</u> (5) water heater settings <u>and hot water use</u> (6) fan controls (7) <u>recycling practices</u>	
Committee Reason:		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P553	LogID 221	Add New Section	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB
-------------------	-----------------------

Proposed Change:	<u>1004</u>	
	<u>CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE MANUALS AND TRAINING FOR STORM WATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES</u>	
	<u>1004.0 Intent.</u> Manuals are provided to the responsible parties (owners, home owner’s association and/or maintenance team) regarding the construction, operation, and maintenance of the storm water features or facilities. Paper or digital format manuals are to include information regarding those aspects of the storm water management maintenance, and operation that are within the area of responsibilities of the respective recipient. One or more responsible parties are to receive a copy of all documentation for archival purposes.	
	<u>1004.1 Storm Water Construction Manual.</u> A building construction manual is compiled and distributed in accordance with Section 1003.0.	
	<u>(1)</u> A narrative detailing the importance of constructing a green building, including a list of green building attributes included in the storm water management plan. This narrative is included in all responsible parties’ manuals.	<u>Mandatory</u>
	<u>(2)</u> A local green building program certificate as well as a copy of the <i>National Green Building Standard™</i> , as adopted by the Adopting Entity, and the individual measures achieved by the building.	<u>Mandatory</u>
	<u>(3)</u> A record drawing of the site including stormwater management plans, utility lines, landscaping with common name and genus/species of plantings (for HOAs & maintenance teams).	<u>Optional</u>
	<u>(4)</u> Record drawings of the low-impact development features for each lot (for owners).	
	<u>(5)</u> A photo record of storm water features installed. Photos are taken during each step of installation and clearly labeled.	
	<u>1004.2 Operations & Maintenance manual.</u> O &M manuals are created and distributed to the responsible parties in accordance with Section 1004.0.	<u>1</u>
<u>(1)</u> A narrative detailing the importance of operating and maintaining on-site and community storm water management features. This narrative is included in all responsible parties’ manuals.	<u>Mandatory</u>	
<u>(2)</u> A list of local service providers that offer regularly scheduled observation and maintenance contracts to ensure proper performance of community or individual lot storm water management feature including but not limited to vegetative swales, French drains, wetlands, drywells, rain gardens, and similar features.		
<u>(3)</u> User-friendly O &M checklist that includes:		
<u>(a)</u> rain gardens		
<u>(b)</u> rain barrels		
<u>(c)</u> vegetative swales		
<u>(d)</u> constructed wetlands		
<u>(e)</u> retention/detention ponds		
<u>(f)</u> other features		

Reason:	Post-construction stormwater management is the responsibility of the property owners once construction is complete. There are few mechanisms to inform the public of their responsibilities to reduce pollution and control stormwater on their lots and in common areas. This addition to the NGBS can set the tone for informing the public about the stormwater utilities that serve their communities and preserve water quality.
----------------	---

Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
---------------------------------------	--------

Modification of Proposed Change:	
---	--

Committee Reason:	Duplicative of 1003 and overly prescriptive. This level of detail is more appropriate for the Commentary. Also see P545.
--------------------------	--

Ballot Results on	Eligible to vote: 41
--------------------------	----------------------

Committee Action:	Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P554	LogID 316	Entire Chapter 11	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Stephen V. Skalko, P.E., Portland Cement Association		
Proposed Change:	Renumber Chapter 11 and add new Chapter 11 as follows:		
	<u>CHAPTER 11</u>		
	<u>FUNCTIONAL RESILIENCE FOR ONE AND TWO FAMILY DWELLINGS AND TOWNHOMES NOT MORE THAN THREE STORIES IN HEIGHT</u>		
	<u>GREEN BUILDING PRACTICES</u>	<u>POINT</u>	
	<u>1100</u>		
	<u>FUNCTIONAL RESILIENCE</u>		
	<u>1100.0 Intent.</u> This Chapter applies to the design and construction of buildings or additions thereto that are one- and two-family dwellings detached dwellings or townhomes not more than three stories in height above grade plane. Residential construction outside the scope of this Chapter shall comply with Chapter 12, <i>Functional resistance of residential buildings other than one and two family dwellings and townhomes not more than three stories in height.</i>		
	<u>1100.1 Design and construction.</u> Buildings shall be designed and constructed to meet the minimum requirements of this Chapter and the applicable Code whichever is more stringent.		<u>Mandatory</u>
	<u>1100.2 Building code.</u> For this Chapter, Code shall mean the Building Code of the jurisdiction or the referenced edition of the ICC <i>International Residential Code</i> , whichever is more stringent.		<u>Mandatory</u>
	<u>1100.3 Coordination.</u> This Chapter addresses enhanced functional resilience, therefore the requirements herein shall be coordinated with the requirements in Chapters 1 through 10 of this Standard and Chapters 1 through 9 of the Code.		<u>Mandatory</u>
	<u>1101 (Coordinates with Chapter 1 of the Code, Administration)</u>		
	<u>SUBMITTAL DOCUMENTS</u>		
	<u>1101.1 Design serviced life plan.</u> A design service life plan (DSLPL) shall be provided to the owner for approval prior to the application for a permit. The DSLPL shall comply with the provisions of this section.		<u>Mandatory</u>
	<u>(1) Design service life.</u> The DSLPL shall use a design service life of not less than 60 years.		
	<u>(2) DSLP scope.</u> The DSLPL shall include routine repair, maintenance, replacement, and disposal cost estimates for the design service life of the building for the following components:		
	<u>(a) Foundations in accordance with Chapter 4, Foundations of the Code</u>		
	<u>(b) Floors in accordance with Chapter 5, Floors of the Code</u>		

(c) <u>Exterior walls in accordance with Chapter 6, <i>Wall Construction</i> and Chapter 7, <i>Wall Coverings</i>, of the Code.</u>	
(d) <u>Glass and Glazing in accordance with Section R612, <i>Exterior Windows and Doors</i> of the Code.</u>	
(e) <u>Roof assemblies and rooftop structures in accordance with Chapter 8, <i>Roof-ceiling Construction</i> and Chapter 9, <i>Roof Assemblies</i> of the Code</u>	
(f) <u>Chimneys and Fireplaces in accordance with Chapter 10, <i>Chimneys and Fireplaces</i> of the Code.</u>	
(3) DSLIP criteria. <u>The DSLIP shall include the following:</u>	
(a) <u>Building components with description of materials.</u>	
(b) <u>Schedule, including cost estimates, of routine maintenance, repair, replacement and disposal, for each component.</u>	
(4) DSLIP retention. <u>The DSLIP shall be retained for the design service life of the building. During the design service life of the building, the DSLIP shall be transferred to each subsequent owner.</u>	
1101.2 Certificate of occupancy. <u>Buildings designed and constructed in accordance with this Standard shall include the designation (-HP) after the occupancy classification.</u>	Mandatory
1101.3 Wildland fires. <u>The provisions of the International Code Council (ICC) <i>International Wildland-Urban Interface Code</i> shall apply to the construction, alteration, movement, repair, maintenance, and use of any building, structure, or premises within the wildland interface areas in this jurisdiction. Fire Hazard Severity shall be based on Table 502.1, <i>Fire hazard severity</i> in the ICC <i>International Wildland-Urban Interface Code</i>.</u>	Mandatory
1101.4 Radon control methods. <u>Appendix F, <i>Radon control methods</i>, of the Code shall apply.</u>	
1101.5 Sound transmission. <u>Appendix K, <i>Sound transmission</i> of the Code shall apply to dwellings with the following modifications:</u>	
(1) <u>Interior wall and floor-ceiling assemblies separating dwelling units shall have a composite sound transmission class (STC) rating of not less than 50 (45 if field tested).</u>	
(2) <u>Exterior wall and roof-ceiling assemblies that are part of the exterior envelope shall have a composite sound transmission class (STC) rating of not less than 50 (45 if field tested) and fenestration that is part of the exterior envelope shall have an STC rating of not less than 30 (25 if field tested).</u>	
(3) <u>Floor-ceiling assemblies separating dwelling units shall have an impact insulation class (IIC) rating of not less than 50 (45 if field tested).</u>	
1102 (Coordinates with Chapter 2 of the Code)	
DEFINITIONS	
1102.1 Definitions. <u>No additional definitions required.</u>	
1103 (Coordinates with Chapter 3 of the Code)	
BUILDING PLANNING	
1103.1 Wind design criteria. <u>The basic wind speed, design criteria and exposure category to apply Section 301.2.1, <i>Wind limitations</i> of the Code shall be as follows:</u>	Mandatory
(1) <u>The basic wind speed shall be based on a design wind speed equal to the basic wind speed according to Figure 301.2(4) <i>Basic wind speeds for 50-year-mean recurrence interval</i> of the Code (or locally adopted basic wind speed in special wind zones, if higher) plus 20-mph.</u>	
(2) <u>The exposure category shall be assumed to be terrain Exposure C in accordance with Section 301.2.1.4, <i>Exposure category</i> of the Code regardless of the actual local exposure.</u>	
1103.2 Townhouse requirements. <u>Exterior walls and common walls between townhouses shall comply with the Code and with the following.</u>	
(1) Common townhouse separation walls - <u>Where common walls are used to separate townhouse the fire resistance rating shall be 2-hours</u>	Mandatory
(2) Parapets – <u>Exterior walls and common walls between townhouses shall be provided with parapets in accordance with Section R302.2.2, <i>Parapets</i>, of the Code. The exception for parapets in Item 2 of Section R302.2.2 shall not be permitted.</u>	Mandatory

<p>1103.3 – Two-family dwelling unit separation – The walls and/or floor-ceiling assemblies separating dwelling units in two family dwellings shall have a one hour fire resistance rating. The fire resistance shall not be permitted to be reduced in accordance with Exception 1 to Section R302.3, <i>Two-family dwellings</i> of the Code. The walls shall not be permitted to terminate at ceilings in accordance with Exception 2 to Section R302.3, <i>Two-family dwellings</i> of the Code.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>1103.4 – Fire protection features – All dwelling units shall be provided with fire protection features in accordance with one of the following.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>(1) Automatic sprinkler protection - An automatic sprinkler protection system in accordance with NFPA 13D, 13 or 13R shall be provided throughout all dwelling units.</p>	
<p>(2) Automatic smoke alarm system and non-combustible construction – The dwelling unit shall be provided with a smoke alarm system in accordance with Section R314, <i>Smoke alarms</i> of the Code including smoke detectors in all rooms. In addition, the structural members of walls, floors, ceilings and roofs of the dwelling unit shall be constructed entirely of noncombustible materials.</p>	
<p>1103.5 Flood resistant construction requirements. Dwellings required to be constructed in accordance with Section R322, <i>Flood resistant construction</i> of the Code, shall also comply with the following:</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>(1) The floor and their lowest horizontal supporting members shall be not less than the following:</p>	
<p>(a) The design flood elevation</p>	
<p>(b) The base elevation plus 3 feet</p>	
<p>(c) The 500 year flood elevation, if known</p>	
<p>(2) Flood protective works. Dwellings designed and constructed in accordance with ASCE 24 shall not consider flood protective works for providing flood protection during the design flood.</p>	
<p>Exception: Dams where approved by the code official.</p>	
<p>1103.6 Storm shelter construction. In addition to other applicable requirements in this Standard, all one and two family dwellings shall be provided with storm shelters constructed in accordance with ICC/NSSA-500 in the following locations:</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>(1) Hurricane shelters. In <i>hurricane-prone regions</i> as defined in Section 202 of the Code, <i>Definitions</i>.</p>	
<p>(2) Tornado shelters. In areas where the shelter design wind speed for tornadoes in Figure 304.2 (1) of ICC/NSSA-500 is 160 mph or greater.</p>	
<p>(3) Combined hurricane and tornado shelters. Storm shelters required to provide protection from both tornadoes and hurricanes shall be designed and constructed using the most restrictive requirements for each hazard applied to the entire storm shelter.</p>	
<p>1104 (Coordinates with Chapter 4 of the Code)</p>	
<p>FOUNDATIONS</p>	
<p>1104.1 Frost protected shallow foundations. All buildings using frost protected shallow foundations constructed in accordance with Section R403.3, <i>Frost protected shallow foundations</i> of the Code or ASCE 32 shall be marked in accordance with all of the following:</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>(1) Label. A label shall be affixed to the inside of the main electrical panel with the following statement: “This building uses insulation materials to protect the foundation from frost heave. Do not shut off power to the building or reduce the interior temperature to the building below 45 °F without determining the impact to the foundation protection. Do not disturb any earth within 3 feet of the building without the determining the extent of the insulation protection”.</p>	
<p>1105 (Coordinates with Chapter 5 of the Code)</p>	
<p>FLOORS</p>	
<p>1105.1 Floors. Toilets, bathing rooms, showering rooms, kitchens, laundry rooms, and spa area floors shall have smooth, hard, non-absorbent surface that extends up onto the walls at least 6 inches.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>1106 (Coordinates with Chapter 6 of the Code)</p>	
<p>WALLS</p>	

1106.1 Walls. No additional requirements.		
1107 (Coordinates with Chapter 7 of the Code)		
WALL COVERINGS		
1107.1 Vinyl siding. Vinyl siding wall coverings conforming to Section R703.11 of the Code shall not be permitted in the following locations:		Mandatory
(1) Hurricane-prone regions		
(2) Regions of moderate and severe hail exposure determined in Figure R903.5, <i>Hail exposure map</i> of the Code		
(3) Fire separation distance of 30 feet or less.		
1107.2 Exterior insulation and finish systems (EIFS). Exterior insulation and finish system wall coverings conforming to Section R703.9 of the Code shall not be permitted in the following locations.		Mandatory
(1) Hurricane-prone regions		
(2) Regions of moderate and severe hail exposure as determined in Figure R903.5, <i>Hail exposure map</i> of the Code		
(3) Fire separation distance of 10 feet or less.		
1107.3 Solar reflectance for wall coverings. All opaque portions of above grade exterior walls, other than those listed below, having an orientation measured perpendicularly to compass directions between and including SSE (157.5°) and WNW (292.5°) having a solar reflectance index (SRI) of not less than 29 as determined in accordance with <i>ASTM E1980 Standard Practice for Calculating Solar Reflectance Index of Horizontal and Low-Sloped Opaque Surfaces</i> for medium wind speed. The SRI shall be based on the thermal emittance determined in accordance with ASTM E408 or C1371 and solar reflectance as determined in accordance with ASTM E1918 or C1549. The points shall not apply to the following walls:		4
(1) Exterior walls complying with Section 703.1.1 of this standard.		
(2) Exterior walls complying with Section 703.1.3 of this standard.		
(3) Exterior walls in Climate Zones 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 of Figure 6(1).		
(4) Exterior walls that are at least 75% shaded by plants, man-made structures,		
existing buildings, topography, or permanent building projections.		
1108 (Coordinated with Chapter 8 of the Code)		
ROOF-CEILING CONSTRUCTION		
1108.1 Roof construction. No additional requirements		
1109 (Coordinates with Chapter 9 of the Code)		
ROOF ASSEMBLIES		
1109.1 Roofs in warm and dry climates. Roofs in climate zones 1, 2, 3, 4, 5B (dry), and 6B (dry) of Figure 6(1), <i>Climate zones</i> , of this Standard shall have a Class A roof covering or Class A roof assembly according to UL 790. For roof coverings where the profile allows a space between the roof covering and roof decking, the space at the eave ends shall be firestopped to preclude entry of flames or embers.		Mandatory
1109.2 Roof coverings subject to hail exposure. Roof coverings used in regions where hail exposure is Moderate or Severe, as determined in accordance with Section R903.5, <i>Hail exposure</i> and Figure R903.5, <i>Hail exposure map</i> of the Code shall be tested, classified, and labeled in accordance with UL 2218 or FM 4473.		Mandatory
1109.3 Solar reflectance for roof coverings. Roof coverings having a solar reflectance indices in accordance with Items (1) or (2) below:		4
1) Roof slopes < 2-1/2:12. All opaque portions of roofs having a slope less than 2-1/2 units vertical		

in 12 units horizontal having a solar reflectance index (SRI) of not less than 78.

2) **Roof slopes > 2-1/2:12.** All opaque portions of roofs having a slope of 2-1/2 units vertical in 12 units horizontal or greater having a solar reflectance index (SRI) of not less than 29. A default SRI value of 35 for new gray concrete without added color pigment is allowed to be used in lieu of measurements and calculations.

1105 (Coordinates with Chapter 44 of the Code)

REFERENCED DOCUMENTS

<u>ASCE/SEI</u>	<u>American Society of Civil Engineers</u> <u>Structural Engineering Institute</u> <u>1801 Alexander Bell Drive</u> <u>Reston, VA 20191-4400</u>		
------------------------	---	--	--

<u>ASCE 24</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>Flood Resistant Design and Construction</u>	<u>1103.5(2)</u>
<u>ASCE 32</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>Design and Construction of Frost Protected Shallow Foundations</u>	<u>1104.1</u>

<u>ASTM</u>	<u>American Society for Testing and Materials</u> <u>100 Barr Harbor Drive</u> <u>West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959</u>		
--------------------	--	--	--

<u>C1371</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Standard Test Method for Determining the Emittance Materials Near Room Temperature Using Portable Emmissometers</u>	<u>1107.3</u>
<u>C1549</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Standard Test Method for Determining Solar Reflectance Near Ambient Temperature Using a Portable Solar Reflectometer</u>	<u>1107.3</u>
<u>E408</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>Standard test Method for Total Normal Emittance of Surfaces Using Inspector-Meter Techniques</u>	<u>1107.3</u>
<u>E1918</u>		<u>Standard Test Method for Determining Solar Reflectance of Horizontal and Low-sloped surfaces in the Field.</u>	<u>1107.3</u>
<u>E1980</u>		<u>Standard Practice for Calculating the Solar reflectance Index of Horizontal and Low-sloped Surfaces in the Field</u>	<u>1107.3</u>

<u>FM</u>	<u>Factory Mutual Global Research</u> <u>Standards Laboratory Department</u> <u>1301 Atwood Avenue</u> <u>Johnson, RI 02919</u>		
------------------	--	--	--

<u>4473</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>Specification Test Standard for Impact Resistance of Rigid Roof Materials by Impacting with Freezer Ice Ball</u>	<u>1109.2</u>
-------------	-------------	---	---------------

<u>ICC</u>	<u>International Code Council</u> <u>500 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.</u> <u>Washington, DC 20001</u>		
-------------------	--	--	--

<u>IRC</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>International Residential Code</u>	<u>1100.2</u>
<u>ICC/</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>Standard on the Design and Construction of Storm Shelters</u>	<u>1103.6</u>
<u>NSSA 500</u>			

<u>IUWIC</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>International Urban Wildland Interface Code</u>	<u>1101.3</u>
<u>NFPA</u>	<u>National Fire Protection Association</u>		
	<u>1 Batterymarch Park</u>		
	<u>Quincy, MA 02169</u>		
<u>13</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems</u>	<u>1103.4(1)</u>
<u>13D</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems in One- and Two-family Dwellings and Manufactured Homes</u>	<u>1103.4(1)</u>
<u>13R</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems in Residential Occupancies Up to and Including Four Stories in Height</u>	<u>1103.4(1)</u>
<u>UL</u>	<u>Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.</u>		
	<u>333 Pfingsten Road</u>		
	<u>Northbrook, IL 60062</u>		
<u>790</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Roof Coverings</u>	<u>1109.1</u>
<u>2218</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>Standard for Safety Impact Resistance of Prepared Roof Covering Materials</u>	<u>1109.2</u>

Reason:

This reason statement has the following three segments to explain the reasons for this change: (A) The code change is explained; (B) the specific substantiation for the change is given; and (C) General background information identifying the need for enhanced property protection and functional resilience for resource minimization;

(A)

This proposal is to create a new Chapter in ICC 700 for one and two family dwellings and townhouses three stories in height with provisions that enhance the requirements of the International Residential Code to provide functional resilience and durability for these buildings. The new chapter is structured to identify the sections in the IRC where enhanced provisions shall apply to one and two family dwellings and townhouses three stories in height constructed in accordance with ICC 700.

(B)

The following are reports of dollar loss to property from wind, cold weather and fire disasters.

- (1) The American Society of Civil Engineers reported in *Normalized Hurricane Damage in the United States, 1900 – 2005*, National Hazard Review, ASCE 2008, that property damage from hurricanes was 81 billion dollars in 2005.
- (2) The National Weather Service reports that U.S. property damage due to winter storms and ice exceeded 1.5 billion dollars in 2009.
- (3) *Fire Losses in the United States During 2009* by the National Fire Protection Association, August 2010 shows that property loss due to structure fires in buildings other than one and two family dwellings was approximately 4.5 billion dollars.

Increasing the stringency of the design criteria of residential buildings for hazards such as wind, snow or fire results in more robust buildings. Such requirements reduce the amount of energy and resources required for repair, removal, disposal and replacement of building components and systems damaged from these disasters. A further benefit is a reduction in the amount of damaged building materials and content entering landfills.

Additional benefits are enhanced life safety, security and occupant comfort; potentially less demand on community resources required for emergency response; and allowing facilities to be more readily adapted for re-use if there is a change of occupancy in the future.

(C)

Minimum building requirements whether through energy codes, plumbing codes, mechanical codes, zoning codes, or basic

building codes, do not encourage truly sustainable buildings. The proposal is one of several that attempt to integrate the concepts of the *Whole Building Design Guide* (WBDG) into the minimum design and construction criteria for “green” buildings. The WBDG, developed in partnership between the National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) and the Sustainable Building Industries Council (SBIC), has as its key concepts: accessible, aesthetics, cost-effective, functional/operational, historic preservation, productive, secure/safe, and sustainable.

There are numerous references about the economic, societal, and environmental benefits that result when enhanced functional resilience for resource minimization are integrated into building design and construction. Six examples demonstrating the importance and supporting the concepts are:

(1) ***Natural Hazard Mitigation Saves: An Independent Study to Assess the Future Savings from Mitigation Activities***

National Institute of Building Sciences Multi-Hazard Mitigation Council - 2005

One of the findings in this report is “The analysis of the statistically representative sample of FEMA grants awarded during the study period indicates that a dollar spent on disaster mitigation saves society an average of \$4.” The programs studied often addressed issues and strategies other than enhanced disaster resistance of buildings and other structures. However, more disaster-resistant buildings enhance life safety; reduce costs and environmental impacts associated with repair, removal, disposal, and replacement; and reduce the time and resources required for community recovery.

(2) ***Five Years Later – Are we better prepared?***

Institute for Business and Home Safety - 2010

This IBHS report states: “When Hurricane Katrina made landfall on Aug. 29, 2005, it caused an estimated \$41.1 billion in insured losses across six states, and took an incalculable economic and social toll on many communities. Five years later, the recovery continues and some residents in the most severely affected states of Alabama, Louisiana and Mississippi are still struggling. There is no question that no one wants a repeat performance of this devastating event that left at least 1,300 people dead. Yet, the steps taken to improve the quality of the building stock, whether through rebuilding or new construction, call into question the commitment of some key stakeholders to ensuring that past mistakes are not repeated.” This report indicates that there is a need to implement provisions to make buildings more disaster-resistant. Clearly this suggests that functional resilience should at least be integrated into the design and construction of sustainable buildings.

(3) ***National Weather Service Office of Climate, Water and Weather Services***

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) - 2010

Data provided on the NOAA website [www.weather.gov/os/hazstats.shtml] indicates that the average annual direct property loss due to natural disasters in the United States exceeds of \$35,000,000,000. This does not include indirect costs associated with loss of residences, business closures, and resources expended for emergency response and management. These direct property losses also do not reflect the direct environmental impact due to reconstruction after the disasters. Functional resilience will help alleviate the environmental impact and minimize both direct and indirect losses from natural disasters.

(4) ***Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States***

U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP) - 2009

The USGCRP includes the departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Health and Human Services, Interior, State and Transportation; National Aeronautic and Space Administration; Environmental Protection Agency, USA International Development, National Science Foundation and Smithsonian Institution

The report identifies that: “Climate changes are underway in the United States and are projected to grow. Climate-related changes are already observed in the United States and its coastal waters. These include increases in heavy downpours, rising temperature and sea level, rapidly retreating glaciers, thawing permafrost, lengthening growing seasons, lengthening ice-free seasons in the ocean and on lakes and rivers, earlier snowmelt, and alterations in river flows. These changes are projected to grow.” The report further identifies that the: “Threats to human health will increase. Health impacts of climate change are related to heat stress, waterborne diseases, poor air quality, extreme weather events, and diseases

	<p>transmitted by insects and rodents. Robust public health infrastructure can reduce the potential for negative impacts.” Key messages in the report on societal impacts include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) “City residents and city infrastructure have unique vulnerabilities to climate change. “ (2) “Climate change affects communities through changes in climate-sensitive resources that occur both locally and at great distances.” (3) “Insurance is one of the industries particularly vulnerable to increasing extreme weather events such as severe storms, but it can also help society manage the risks.” <p>Sustainable building design and construction cannot be about protecting the natural environment without consideration of the projected growth in severe weather. Minimum codes primarily based on past natural events are not appropriate for truly sustainable buildings. Buildings expected to have long term positive impacts on the environment must be protected from these extreme changes in the natural environment. The provisions for improved property protections are necessary to reduce the amount of energy and resources associated with repair, removal, disposal, and replacement due to routine maintenance and damage from disasters. Further such provisions reduce the time and resources required for community disaster recovery.</p> <p>(5) <i>Sustainable Stewardship - Historic preservation plays an essential role in fighting climate change , Traditional Building</i>, National Trust for Historic Preservation - 2008</p> <p>In the article <i>Richard Moe summarizes the results of a study by the Brookings Institution</i> which projects that by 2030 we will have demolished and replaced 82 billion square feet of our current building stock, or nearly 1/3 of our existing buildings, largely because the vast majority of them weren't designed and built to last any longer. Durability, as a component of functional resilience, can reduce these losses.</p> <p>(6) Opportunities for Integrating Disaster Mitigation and Energy Retrofit Programs Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Room, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. - 2010</p> <p>During this panel discussion a representative of the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers noted that more robust buildings erected prior to 1950 tend to be more adaptable for reuse and renovation. Prior to the mid-1950s most local jurisdictions developed their own building code requirements that uniquely addressed the community’s needs, issues and concerns. Pre-1950 building codes typically resulted in more durable and robust construction that lasts longer.</p> <p>The total environmental impact of insulation, high efficiency equipment, components, and appliances, low-flow plumbing fixtures, and other building materials and contents are relatively insignificant when rendered irreparable or contaminated and must be disposed of in landfills after disasters. The US Army Corps of Engineers estimated that after Hurricane Katrina nearly 1.2 billion cubic feet of building materials and contents ended up in landfills. This is analogous to stacking enough refrigerators a fifth of the way to the moon or placing them end to end around the equator of the Earth twice.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Parts already covered in minimum codes, exclusionary language, beyond the scope of a green building standard, introduces life safety issues that should be covered in building codes, it has conflicts with other parts of the standard, and proposed change is excessive in making the majority proposed practices mandatory.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	

Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	
---------------------------------------	--

P555	LogID 319	Entire Chapter 12 (New)	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Stephen V. Skalko, P.E., Portland Cement Association
-------------------	--

Proposed Change:	Renumber Chapter 11 and add a new Chapter 12 as follows. This chapter 12 will follow the proposed new Chapter 11.
-------------------------	---

CHAPTER 12

FUNCTIONAL RESILIENCE OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

OTHER THAN ONE AND TWO FAMILY DWELLINGS AND TOWNHOMES NOT MORE THAN THREE STORIES IN HEIGHT

<u>GREEN BUILDING PRACTICES</u>	<u>POINTS</u>
<u>1200</u>	
<u>FUNCTIONAL RESILIENCE</u>	
<u>1200.0 Intent.</u> This Chapter applies to the design and construction of buildings or portions thereof that are classified as Residential Group R in Section 310 of the ICC <i>International Building Code</i> . Residential construction not addressed in this Chapter is addressed in Chapter 11, <i>Functional resilience of one and two family dwellings and townhomes not more than three stories in height</i> .	
<u>1200.1 Design and construction.</u> Buildings shall be designed and constructed to meet the minimum requirements of this Chapter and the applicable Code whichever is more stringent.	<u>Mandatory</u>
<u>1200.2 Building code.</u> For this Chapter, Code shall mean the Building Code of the jurisdiction or the referenced edition of the ICC <i>International Building Code</i> , whichever is more stringent.	<u>Mandatory</u>
<u>1200.3 Coordination.</u> This Chapter addresses enhanced functional resilience, therefore the requirements herein shall be coordinated with the requirements in Chapters 1 through 10 of this Standard and Chapters 1 through 18 of the Code.	
<u>1201 (Coordinates with Chapter 1 of the Code)</u>	
<u>SUBMITTAL DOCUMENTS</u>	
<u>1201.1 Design service life plan.</u> A design service life plan (DSLPL) shall be provided to the owner for approval prior to the application for a permit. The DSLPL shall comply with the provisions of this section.	<u>Mandatory</u>
<u>(1) Design service life.</u> The DSLPL shall use a design service life of not less than 60 years.	
<u>(2) DSLP scope.</u> The DSLPL shall include routine repair, maintenance, replacement, and disposal cost estimates for the design service life of the building for the following components:	
<u>(a) Exterior wall</u> in accordance with Chapter 14, <i>Exterior walls</i> , of the Code.	
<u>(b) Roof assemblies and rooftop structures</u> in accordance with Chapter 15, <i>Roof assemblies and rooftop structures</i> , of the Code.	
<u>(c) Concrete</u> in accordance with Chapter 19, <i>Concrete</i> , of the Code.	
<u>(d) Aluminum</u> in accordance with Chapter 20, <i>Aluminum</i> , of the Code.	
<u>(e) Masonry</u> in accordance with Chapter 21, <i>Masonry</i> , of the Code.	
<u>(f) Steel</u> in accordance with Chapter 22, <i>Steel</i> , of the Code.	
<u>(g) Wood</u> in accordance with Chapter 23, <i>Wood</i> , of the Code.	

(h) <u>Glass and Glazing in accordance with Chapter 24, <i>Glass and glazing</i>, of the Code.</u>	
(i) <u>Gypsum board and plaster in accordance with Chapter 25, <i>Gypsum board and plaster</i> of the Code.</u>	
(j) <u>Plastics in accordance with Chapter 26, <i>Plastic</i>, of the Code.</u>	
(3) DSLIP criteria. <u>The DSLIP shall include the following:</u>	
(a) <u>Building components with description of materials.</u>	
(b) <u>Schedule, including cost estimates, of routine maintenance, repair, replacement and disposal, for each component.</u>	
(4) DSLIP retention. <u>The DSLIP shall be retained for the design service life of the building, and upon request, made available for review by the authority having jurisdiction. During the design service life of the building, the DSLIP shall be transferred to each subsequent owner.</u>	
1201.2 Certificate of occupancy. <u>Buildings designed and constructed in accordance with this Standard shall include the designation (-HP) after the occupancy classification.</u>	Mandatory
1201.3 Wildland fires. <u>The provisions of the International Code Council (ICC) <i>International Wildland-Urban Interface Code</i> shall apply to the construction, alteration, movement, repair, maintenance, and use of any building, structure, or premises within the wildland interface areas in this jurisdiction. Fire Hazard Severity shall be based on Table 502.1, <i>Fire hazard severity</i> in the ICC <i>International Wildland-Urban Interface Code</i>.</u>	Mandatory
1201.4 Rodentproofing. <u>Appendix F, <i>Rodentproofing</i>, of the Code shall apply.</u>	Mandatory
1201.5 Flood resistant construction. <u>Appendix G, <i>Flood-resistant construction</i>, of the Code shall apply.</u>	Mandatory
1202 (Coordinates with Chapter 2 of the Code)	
DEFINITIONS	
1202.0 Definitions. <u>No additional definitions required.</u>	
1203 (Coordinates with Chapter 3 of the Code)	
USE AND OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION	
1203.0 Classification. <u>No additional provisions required.</u>	
1204 (Coordinates with Chapter 4 of the Code)	
SPECIAL DETAILED REQUIREMENTS BASED ON USE AND OCCUPANCY	
1204.1 High rise buildings. <u>The reduction of the fire resistance rating for fire barriers for shaft enclosures in accordance with Section 403.2.1.2, <i>Shaft enclosures</i>, of the Code shall not be permitted.</u>	Mandatory
1204.2 Enclosure of atriums. <u>The substitution for fire barriers enclosing atriums in accordance with Exception 1 to Section 404.6, <i>Enclosure of atriums</i>, of the Code shall not be permitted.</u>	Mandatory
1204.3 Combustible storage. <u>The automatic sprinkler system modification of the fire resistance rating for combustible storage for attics, under-floor and concealed spaces in accordance with Exception 1 to Section 413.2, <i>Attic, underfloor, and concealed spaces</i>, of the Code shall not be permitted.</u>	Mandatory
1204.4 Hazardous materials. <u>The reduction in the fire-resistance rating for fire barriers enclosing control areas in accordance with the Exception to Section 414.2.4, <i>Fire-resistance rating requirements</i>, of the Code shall not be permitted.</u>	Mandatory
1204.5 Storm shelter construction. <u>In addition to other applicable requirements in this Standard, storm shelters constructed in accordance with ICC/NSSA-500 shall be provided for all occupants of Group R buildings in the following locations:</u>	Mandatory

- (1) **Hurricane shelters.** Hurricane shelters in hurricane-prone regions as defined in Section 1609.2, *Definitions*, of the Code shall be provided
- (2) **Tornado shelters.** Tornado shelters shall be provided in areas where the shelter design wind speed for tornadoes in Figure 304.2(1) of ICC/NSSA 500 is 160 mph or greater.
- (3) **Combined hurricane and tornado shelters.** Combined hurricane and tornado shelters shall comply with the more stringent requirements of ICC/NSSA-500 for both types of shelters.

1205 (Coordinates with Chapter 5 of the Code)

GENERAL HEIGHTS AND AREAS

1205.1 General height and area limitations. Allowable heights and areas shall be in accordance with Table 1205.1 where building height limitations are in feet above grade plane, story limitations are stories above grade plane, and area limitations are determined by the definition of "Area, building," per floor.

Mandatory

Table 1205.1

Allowable Height and Building Areas^{a,b}

GRO UP	HGT (S)	TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION								
		TYPE I		TYPE II		TYPE III		TYPE IV	TYPE V	
		A	B	A	B	A	B	HT	A	B
R-1	S	UL	11	4	NP	4	NP	4	3	NP
	A	UL	UL	24,000		24,000		20,500	12,000	
R-2	S	UL	11	4	NP	4	NP	4	3	NP
	A	UL	UL	24,000		24,000		20,500	12,000	
R-3	S	UL	11	4	NP	4	NP	4	3	NP
	A	UL	UL	UL		UL		UL	UL	
R-4	S	UL	11	4	NP	4	NP	4	3	NP
	A	UL	UL	24,000		24,000		20,500	12,000	

For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm, 1 square foot = 0.929 m²

UL = Unlimited, NP = Not Permitted

^aThe requirements in this table take precedence over Table 503, *Allowable building heights and areas* of the Code.

^bSee the following Sections of the Code for modifications to Table 1205.1:

- Section 506.2, *Frontage increase*, of the Code.
- Section 507, *Unlimited area buildings*, of the Code.

1205.2 Building height and area increases.

Mandatory

(1) Increases in building height in accordance with Section 504.2, *Automatic sprinkler system increase*, of the Code shall not be permitted

(2) Increases in building area in accordance with Section 506.3, *Automatic sprinkler system increase*, of the Code shall not be permitted

1205.3 Single occupancy buildings with more than one story. Exception 2 of Section 506.4.1, *Area determination* of the Code allowing area increases for *automatic sprinkler systems* shall not be permitted.

Mandatory

1205.4 Mixed use and occupancy. The incidental accessory occupancies listed in Table 1205.2 shall be separated from the remainder of the building in accordance with Table 1205.2.

Mandatory

Table 1205.2

Incidental Use Areas^a

Room or Area	Separation and/or Protection
Furnace room where any piece of equipment is over 400,000 Btu per hour input	1-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Rooms with boilers where the largest piece of equipment is over 15 psi and 10 horsepower.	1-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Refrigerant machinery rooms	1-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Parking garage (Section 406.2 of the Code, <i>Parking garages</i>)	2-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Hydrogen cut off rooms	2-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Incinerator rooms	2-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Laundry rooms over 100 square feet	1-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Storage rooms over 100 square feet	1-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Waste and linen collection rooms other than rooms designated for the collection of recyclables	1-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Rooms designated for the collection of recyclables	2-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Stationary storage battery systems having a liquid electrolyte capacity of more than 50 gallons, or lithium ion capacity of 1,000 pounds used for facility standby power, emergency power or uninterrupted power supplies	2-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Rooms in non-high-rise buildings containing fire pumps	2-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system
Rooms in high-rise buildings containing fire pumps	2-hour and provide automatic sprinkler system

^aThe requirements in this table take precedence over Table 508.2.5. *Incidental accessory occupancies* of the Code.

1205.5 Type IIIA construction. Height limitations for R-1 and R-2 buildings of Type IIIA construction as described in Section 509.5, *Group R-1 and R-2 buildings of Type IIIA construction* of the Code, shall not be permitted.

1206 (Coordinates with Chapter 6 of the Code)

TYPES OF CONSTRUCTION

1206.1 Fire-resistance rating. Building elements shall have a fire resistance rating not less than that specified in Table 1206.1 and exterior walls shall have a fire resistance rating not less than that specified in Table 602, *Fire-Resistance Rating for Exterior Walls Based on Fire Separation Distance* of the Code.

Mandatory

TABLE 1206.1

FIRE-RESISTANCE RATING FOR BUILDING ELEMENTS (HOURS)^a

BUILDING ELEMENT	TYPE I		TYPE II		TYPE III		TYPE IV		TYPE V	
	A	B	A	B	A	B	HT	A	B	
	3^b	2^b	1	NP	1	NP	HT	1	NP	
Primary Structural Frame ^{g,h}										

<u>Bearing Walls</u>									
<u>Exterior^{f,g}</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>
<u>Interior</u>	<u>3^b</u>	<u>2^b</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>1/HT</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>
<u>Non-bearing Walls and Partitions</u>	<u>See Table 602 of the Code</u>								
<u>Exterior</u>	<u>See Table 602 of the Code</u>								
<u>Non-bearing Walls and Partitions^e</u>							<u>See Section 602.4.6 of the Code</u>		
<u>Interior</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>NP</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>NP</u>
<u>Floor Construction and Secondary Members^h</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>HT</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>NP</u>
<u>Roof Construction and Secondary Members^h</u>	<u>1- 1/2 b</u>	<u>1^{c,d}</u>	<u>1^{c,d}</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>1^{c,d}</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>HT</u>	<u>1^c d</u>	<u>NP</u>

For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

NP = Not Permitted.

^aThe requirements in this table take precedence over Table 601, *Fire resistance rating for building elements* of the Code.

^bRoof supports: Fire-resistance rating of primary structural frame and bearing walls are permitted to be reduced by 1 hour where supporting a roof only.

^cFire protection of structural members shall not be required, including protection of roof framing and decking where every part of the roof construction is 20 feet or more above any floor immediately below. Fire retardant wood members shall be allowed to be used for such unprotected members.

^dIn all occupancies, heavy timber shall be allowed where 1-hour or less fire-resistance rating is required.

^eNot less than the fire-resistance rating required by other Sections of the Code.

^fNot less than the fire-resistance rating based on fire separation distance (see Table 602 of the Code)

^gNot less than the fire-resistance rating as referenced in Section 704.10 of the Code, *Exterior structural elements*.

^hSee Section 202 of the Code, *Definitions*.

1207 (Coordinates with Chapter 7 of the Code)

FIRE-RESISTANCE RATED CONSTRUCTION

1207.1 Exterior walls. Exterior walls shall comply with this section and the ICC *International Wildland-Urban Interface Code*, whichever is more stringent.

Mandatory

1207.2 Allowable area of openings. The maximum area of unprotected and protected openings permitted in an exterior wall in any story of the building shall not exceed the percentages specified in Table 1207.2.

Mandatory

Table 1207.2

Maximum Area of Exterior Wall Opening Based on Fire Separation Distance and Degree of

Opening Protection^a		
Fire Separation Distance (feet)	Degree of Opening Protection	Allowable Areas^b
<u>0 to less than 3^{c,d}</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>Not Permitted</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>Not Permitted</u>
<u>3 to less than 5^e</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>Not Permitted</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>15%</u>
<u>5 to less than 10^e</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>10%</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>25%</u>
<u>10 to less than 15^{f,g}</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>15%</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>45%</u>
<u>15 to less than 20^{f,g}</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>25%</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>75%</u>
<u>20 to less than 25^{f,g}</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>45%</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>No Limit</u>
<u>25 to less than 30^{f,g}</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>70%</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>No Limit</u>
<u>30 or greater</u>	<u>Unprotected (UP)</u>	<u>No Limit</u>
	<u>Protected (P)</u>	<u>Not Required</u>

For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm

UP = Unprotected openings in buildings

P = Openings protected with an opening protective assembly in accordance with section 704.8.2 of the ICC *International Building Code*

^a The requirements in this table take precedence over Table 705.8.F *Maximum area of exterior wall openings based on fire separation distance and degree of opening protections of the Code.*

^b Values indicated are the percentage of the area of the exterior wall per story.

^c For the requirements for fire walls of buildings with differing heights see Section 705.6.1 of the ICC *International Building Code.*

^d For openings in a fire wall for building son the same lot, see Section 705.8 of the ICC *International Building Code.*

^e The maximum percentage of unprotected and protected openings shall be 25% for Group R-3 occupancies.

^f The area of unprotected and protected openings shall not be limited for Group R-3 occupancies with a fire separation distance of 5 feet or greater.

^g Includes buildings accessory to Group R-3.

1207.3 Protected openings. The exception for opening protectives in Section 705.8.2, *Protected openings*, shall not be permitted.

Mandatory

1207.4 Vertical separation of openings. Exception 2 eliminating vertical separation of openings where automatic sprinklers are present in Section 705.8.5, *Vertical separation of openings* of the

Mandatory

<u>Code, shall not be permitted.</u>	
1207.5 Parapets. Exception 5 in Section 705.11, <i>Parapet construction</i> of the Code eliminating exterior wall parapets shall not be permitted for Group R-2 occupancies.	Mandatory
1207.6 Fire walls. Fire walls shall meet the requirements of this section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>Materials.</u> Fire walls for all types of construction shall be of any approved noncombustible material permitted in NFPA 221.	
(2) <u>The fire-resistance ratings shall meet or exceed the ratings provided in Table 1207.6.</u>	
Table 1207.6	
Fire Wall Fire Resistance Ratings^a	
Group	Fire-Resistance Rating (hours)
R-1, R-2	3
R-3, R-4	2
^a <u>The requirements in this table take precedence over Table 706.4, <i>Fire wall fire-resistance ratings</i> of the Code.</u>	
(3) <u>Exception 3 in Section 706.5, <i>Horizontal continuity</i> of the Code allowing termination of fire walls at the interior surface of noncombustible exterior sheathing where <i>automatic sprinkler systems</i> are present shall not be permitted.</u>	
(4) <u>Exception 2 in Section 706.8, <i>Openings</i> of the Code allowing increased area of openings through fire walls where <i>automatic sprinkler systems</i> are present shall not be permitted.</u>	
1207.7 Fire barriers. Fire barriers shall comply with the provisions of this section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>The fire resistance rating of the separation between individual dwelling units and sleeping units, and between dwelling units and sleeping units and other spaces in the building shall have a minimum 2-hour fire-resistance rated construction as required in Table 707.3.9, <i>Fire-Resistance Rating Requirements for Fire Barrier Assemblies or Horizontal Assemblies Between Fire Areas</i> of the Code.</u>	
(2) <u>Exception 1 in Section 707.6, <i>Openings</i> of the Code allowing openings in a fire barrier to be larger than 156 square feet where <i>automatic sprinkler systems</i> are provided shall not be permitted.</u>	
1207.8 Shaft enclosures. Exception 5 in Section 708.14.1, <i>Elevator lobby</i> of the Code allowing smoke partitions in lieu of fire partitions to separate the elevator lobby at each floor shall not be permitted.	Mandatory
1207.9 Fire partitions. Fire partitions shall comply with the provisions of this section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>Fire partitions in Section 709.1, <i>General</i> of the Code, shall not be permitted for walls separating dwelling units in the same building.</u>	
(2) <u>Fire partitions in Section 709.1, <i>General</i> of the Code, shall not be permitted for walls separating sleeping units in the same building.</u>	
(3) <u>Fire partitions in Section 709.1, <i>General</i> of the Code, shall not be permitted for corridor walls separating corridors from dwelling units or sleeping units in the same building.</u>	
(4) <u>Exception 6 in Section 709.4, <i>Continuity</i> of the Code allowing elimination of fireblocking or draftstopping shall not be permitted.</u>	
1207.10 Horizontal assemblies. Horizontal assemblies shall comply with the requirements of this Section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>Horizontal assemblies separating dwelling units in the same building and separating sleeping units in occupancies in the same building shall have a minimum 2-hour fire-resistance rated construction as required in Table 707.3.9, <i>Fire-Resistance Rating Requirements for Fire Barrier Assemblies or Horizontal Assemblies Between</i> of the Code.</u>	
(2) <u>The exception in Section 712.3, <i>Fire-resistance rating</i> of the Code allowing a reduction of the fire-resistance rating of separations between dwelling unit and sleeping unit where <i>automatic sprinkler systems</i> are present shall not be permitted.</u>	
1207.11 Opening protectives. The provisions of this section shall apply to opening protectives.	Mandatory
(1) <u>The Exception in Section 715.4.4 of the Code, <i>Doors in exit enclosures and exit passageways</i> eliminating the maximum transmitted temperature requirements shall not be permitted.</u>	
(2) <u>The Exception in Section 715.4.4.1, <i>Glazing in doors</i>, of the Code eliminating the maximum transmitted temperature requirements shall not be permitted.</u>	

1207.12 Concealed spaces. Exceptions 1 and 2 in Section 717.3.2, *Groups R-1, R-2, R-3 and R-4* of the Code eliminating draftstopping where *automatic sprinkler systems* are present shall not be permitted for Groups R-1, R-2 or R-4 occupancies.

Mandatory

1208 (Coordinated with Chapter 8 of the Code)

INTERIOR FINISHES

1208.1 Wall and ceiling finishes. Wall and ceiling finishes and conform to the requirements of this Section.

Mandatory

(1) **Interior wall and ceiling finishes.** Interior wall and ceiling finishes shall conform to the requirements in Table 1208.1.

Table 1208.1

INTERIOR WALL AND CEILING FINISH REQUIREMENTS BY OCCUPANCY^a

GROUP	EXIT ENCLOSURES AND EXIT PASSAGEWAYS^b	CORRIDORS	ROOMS AND ENCLOSED SPACES
R-1	A	B	C
R-2	B	B	C
R-3	A	C	C
R-4	A	B	C

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 square inch = 0.0929m²

^a Class C interior finish materials shall be permitted for wainscoting or paneling of not more than 1,000 square feet of applied surface area in the grade lobby where applied directly to a noncombustible base or over furring strips applied to a noncombustible base and fire blocked as required by Section 803.11.1.

^b Requirements for rooms and enclosed spaces shall be based upon spaces enclosed by partitions. Where a fire-resistance rating is required for structural elements, the enclosing partitions shall extend from the floor to the ceiling. Partitions that do not comply with this shall be considered enclosing spaces and rooms or spaces on both sides shall be considered as one. In determining the applicability of the requirements for rooms and enclosed spaces, the specific occupancy thereof shall be the governing factor regardless of the group classification of the building or structure.

(2) **Set-out construction.** The exception in Section 803.11.2, *Set out construction* of the Code for the Class A interior finish materials where *automatic sprinkler systems* are provided shall not be permitted.

1208.2 Interior floor finishes. The Exception in Section 804.4.1 of the Code, *Minimum critical radiant flux* which eliminates the requirement for minimum critical radiant flux for floor finishes and floor coverings in exit enclosures, exit passageways, and corridors where *automatic sprinkler systems* are provided shall not be permitted.

Mandatory

1209 (Coordinates with Chapter 9 of the Code, Fire Protection Systems)

FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS

1209.1 Automatic sprinkler systems. Sprinkler systems shall be designed and installed in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 of the Code, *NFPA 13 sprinkler systems*. Sprinkler systems designed and installed in accordance with Section 903.3.1.2 of the Code, *NFPA 13R sprinkler systems*, shall not be permitted.

Mandatory

1209.2 Standpipes. Standpipes shall comply with the requirements of this Section.

Mandatory

(1) <u>The exceptions 1 and 4 of Section 905.3.1, <i>Building height</i> of the Code, allowing Class I standpipes where <i>automatic sprinkler systems</i> are provided shall not be permitted.</u>	
(2) <u>The exception to Section 905.3.4, <i>Stages</i> of the Code, allowing only a 1-1/2 inch hose connection for Class II or Class III standpipes where automatic sprinkler systems are provided shall not be permitted.</u>	
(3) <u>The exception to Section 905.4.1, <i>Protection</i> of the Code allowing elimination of the fire-resistance rated enclosure for laterals where <i>automatic sprinkler systems</i> are provided shall not be permitted.</u>	
1209.3 Fire alarm and detection systems. Fire alarms and detection systems shall comply with the provisions of this Section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>Exception 2.1 of Section 907.2.8.1, <i>Manual fire alarm systems</i> of the Code eliminating fire alarm boxes for Group R-1 occupancies in accordance with, shall not be permitted.</u>	
(2) <u>Exception 2 of Section 907.2.9 .1 <i>Manual fire alarm systems</i> of the Code eliminating fire alarm boxes for Group R-2 occupancies shall not be permitted.</u>	
1210 (Coordinates with Chapter 10 of the Code, <i>Means of Egress</i>)	
MEANS OF EGRESS	
1210.1 Accessible means of egress. Accessible means of egress shall comply with the requirements of this Section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>Exception 2 of Section 1007.3, <i>Stairways</i>, of the Code reducing in the clear width between handrails shall not be permitted.</u>	
(2) <u>Exception 3 of Section 1007.3, <i>Stairways</i>, of the Code eliminating of areas of refuge shall not be permitted.</u>	
(3) <u>Exception 2 of Section 1007.4, <i>Elevators</i>, of the Code eliminating requirements for elevator access from areas of refuge or horizontal exit shall not be permitted.</u>	
1210.2 Exit access. Exception 4 of Section 1014.3, <i>Common path of egress travel</i> , of the Code increasing the length of common path of egress travel in Group R-2 occupancies shall not be permitted.	Mandatory
1210.3 Exits and exit access doorways. Exits and exit access doorways shall comply with the requirements of this Section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>Exception in Section 1015.1, <i>Exits or exit access doorways from spaces</i>, of the Code reducing the number of means of egress shall not be permitted.</u>	
(2) <u>Exception 2 of Section 1015.2.1, <i>Two exits or exit access doorways</i>, of the Code permitting scissor stairs to count as two exits shall not be permitted.</u>	
1210.4 Exit access travel distance. Exit access travel distance shall comply with the requirements of this Section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>Maximum travel distance shall not exceed 200 feet.</u>	
(2) <u>Distance limitations through atrium spaces shall conform to Section 404, <i>Atriums</i> of the Code.</u>	
(3) <u>Exit access in buildings with one exit shall conform to Section 1021.2, <i>Single exits</i> of the Code.</u>	
1210.5 Corridors. Corridors shall comply with the requirements of this Section.	Mandatory
(1) <u>The fire-resistance rating of corridor walls shall be at least 2-hours and comply with Section 1207.7.</u>	
(2) <u>Exception 2 in Section 1018.4, <i>Dead ends</i>, of the Code increasing the length of dead-end corridors shall not be permitted.</u>	
1211 (Coordinates with Chapter 11 of the Code, <i>Accessibility</i>)	
ACCESSIBILITY	
1211.0 Accessibility. No additional provisions required.	

1212 (Coordinates with Chapter 12 of the Code, Interior Environment)

INTERIOR ENVIRONMENT

1212.1 General. Buildings shall be provided with natural ventilation in accordance with Section 1203.4 of the Code, *Ventilation*, or mechanical ventilation in accordance with the *International Mechanical Code*. In addition, buildings shall comply with ASHRAE 62.1 *Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality*.

Mandatory

1212.2 Particulate matter removal. Particulate matter filters or air cleaners shall be installed in accordance with this Section

Mandatory

(1) Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV). Particulate matter filters or air cleaners having a minimum efficiency reporting value (MERV) of not less than 8 when rated in accordance with ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 52.2 shall be provided upstream of all cooling coils or other devices with wetted surfaces through which air is supplied to occupiable spaces. HVAC equipment shall be designed and maintained to provide adequate pressure and air flow.

(2) Non-attainment areas. For buildings located in areas determined by the building official to be designated as “non-attainment” per 40CFR50, particulate filters or air cleaning devices shall be provided to clean outdoor air prior to its introduction to occupied spaces and shall have a MERV of not less than 13 when rated in accordance with ASHRAE Standard 52.2.

1212.3 Carbon dioxide (CO₂) detection. CO₂ monitors shall be installed in accordance with the requirement of this section.

Mandatory

(1) Location. Monitors shall be installed in each occupied and ventilated space and at least one monitor shall be installed on the exterior of the building

(2) Installation Height. Monitors shall be installed at a height of not less than 3 feet and not more than 6 feet above the floor for interior installations and above the sill plate of an exterior entranceway for exterior installations.

(3) Monitor Requirements. Monitors shall be equipped with a direct read-out display in the occupied spaces and shall have an accuracy level of 50 parts per million (ppm).

1212.4 Recreational smoking. Areas for recreational smoking shall comply with the requirements in this Section.

Mandatory

(1) Smoking Area Signage. Signage for recreational smoking areas shall be provided to indicate no smoking areas at entrances, air intakes, and operable windows in all areas open to public access and for all public spaces within buildings in accordance with the following:

(a) Entrances. Signs stating: “No Smoking within 25 Feet” shall be installed at all entrances and signs stating: “No Smoking Between This Sign and the Entrance” shall be installed in the plane of the building exterior wall no less than 25 feet beyond both sides of the entrance. When entrances occur at or within 25 feet of an exterior corner, signage that would extend beyond the building exterior is not required.

(b) Intakes. Signs stating: “No Smoking within 25 Feet” shall be installed at all air intakes located in areas at the perimeter of the building and having public access.

(c) Operable Windows. Signs stating: “No Smoking within 25 Feet” shall be installed on both sides of operable windows or multiple operable windows, on ground level and having public access. When multiple windows extend more than 50 feet additional signs shall be installed so that the spacing between signs does not exceed 50 feet.

(d) Interior Public Spaces. Signs stating: “No Smoking” shall be provided at all public entrances to each floor of the building or signs stating: “No Smoking in Building” shall be installed at all entrances.

(2) Smoking Area Ventilation. Designated smoking areas within the building shall comply with the Sections (a), (b) and (d) or Sections (c) and (d).

(a) Sealing of Designated Areas. Smoking areas shall be equipped with doors and the entire space sealed to provide no more than 1.25 square inch of leakage per 100 square feet of enclosure as determined by ASTM E779

(b) Pressure Differential. The designated smoking area, with doors closed, shall operate exhaust sufficiently to create negative pressure with respect to adjacent spaces of at least 0.012 inches of water.

(c) Doors and Sealing. Doors to common areas shall be weather-stripped and each residential unit shall be sealed to provide no more than 1.25 square inch of leakage of leakage per 100 square feet of enclosure area as determined by ASTM E779.

(d) Ventilation. Ventilation shall be exhausted with no recirculation of air from the designated

smoking area to the non-smoking areas of the building.	
1212.5 Temperature control. Thermal controls shall be programmable in accordance with this Section.	Mandatory
(1) Program time periods shall be at least two periods per day and seven days per week.	
(2) Programmable temperature controls shall have a range of at least 20°F below interior design temperature during mechanical heating cycles.	
(3) Programmable temperature controls shall have a range of at least 10°F above interior design temperature during mechanical cooling cycles.	
1212.6 Lighting. The angle of maximum candela from each interior luminaire as located in the building shall intersect with opaque interior surfaces.	Mandatory
1212.7 Sound transmission. In addition to the requirements in Section 1207, <i>Sound transmission</i> of the code, the following additional exterior air-borne sound transmission requirements shall apply to the exterior envelope of the building.	Mandatory
(1) Exterior opaque wall and roof/ceiling assemblies shall have a composite STC rating of not less than 50 (45 if field tested).	
(2) Fenestration that is part of the exterior wall or roof/ceiling assemblies shall have an STC of at least 30 (25 if field tested).	
1212.8 Surrounding materials. Toilet, bathing and shower rooms, kitchens, laundry rooms, and spa area floors shall have smooth, hard, non-absorbent surface that extends up onto the walls at least 6 inches.	Mandatory
1212.9 Building entrance mats. All building entrances, except those directly into individual dwelling units, shall employ an entry mat system that shall have a scraper surface, an absorptive surface, and a finishing surface in accordance with this Section. Each surface shall be a minimum of the width of the entry opening, and the minimum length is measured in the primary direction of travel.	Mandatory
(1) Scraper Surface. The scraper surface shall be the first surface stepped on when entering the building and shall be located immediately outside or inside the entrance. Scraper surfaces shall be at least 3 feet long and shall be either mounted grates or removable mats with knobby or squeegee-like projections.	
(2) Absorptive Surface. The absorptive surface shall be the second surface stepped on when entering the building and shall be at least 3 feet long and made from materials that can perform both scraping and moisture wicking actions.	
(3) Finishing Surface. The finishing surface shall be the third surface stepped on when entering the building and shall be at least 4 feet long and made of materials with coarse fibers that both capture and hold any remaining particles or moisture.	
1212.10 Thermal comfort. The building shall be designed in accordance with Section 6.1 of ASHRAE Standard 55.	Mandatory
1213 (Coordinates with Chapter 13 of the Code, Energy Efficiency)	
ENERGY EFFICIENCY	
1213.0 General. Provisions in Chapter 7, <i>Energy efficiency</i> of this Standard shall apply.	
1214 (Coordinates with Chapter 14 of the Code, Exterior Walls)	
EXTERIOR WALLS	
1214.1 Installation of wall coverings.	Mandatory
(1) Vinyl siding. Vinyl siding conforming to the requirements of this Section and complying with ASTM D3679 shall not be permitted in the following locations:	
a) Hurricane-prone regions	
b) Regions of moderate and severe hail exposure determined in 1215.2 (1) and Figure 12 (1), <i>Hail exposure map</i> .	

<p>c) <u>Fire separation distance of 30 feet or less.</u></p> <p>(2) Exterior insulation and finish system. Exterior insulation and finish systems (EIFS) conforming to the requirements of Chapter 26, <i>Plastics</i>, of the Code shall not be permitted in the following locations.</p> <p>a) <u>Hurricane-prone regions</u></p> <p>b) <u>Regions of moderate and severe hail exposure as determined in 1215.2 (1) and Figure 12 (1) <i>Hail exposure map</i>.</u></p> <p>c) <u>Fire separation distance of 10 feet or less.</u></p>	
<p>1214.2 Combustible materials on the exterior side of exterior walls. Combustible exterior wall coverings shall comply with both of the following.</p> <p>1) <u>Shall not be located on exterior walls having a fire separation distance of 5 feet or less.</u></p> <p>2) <u>Shall be permitted on buildings complying with the requirements in Section 1201.2, <i>Wildland fires</i>.</u></p>	Mandatory
<p>1214.3 Solar reflectance index. All opaque portions of above grade walls, other than those listed below, having an orientation measured perpendicularly to compass directions between and including SSE (157.5°) and WNW (292.5°) shall have a solar reflectance index (SRI) of not less than 29 as determined in accordance with ASTM E1980 for medium wind speed. The SRI shall be based on the thermal emittance determined in accordance with ASTM E408 or C1371 and the solar reflectance shall be determined in accordance with ASTM E1918 or C1549.</p> <p>(1) <u>Exterior walls having a heat capacity greater than or equal to 5 Btu/lb×°F.</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Exterior walls having a overall thermal resistance greater than or equal to 25 (hr×°F×ft²)/Btu.</u></p> <p>(3) <u>Architectural trim that covers less than 10% of the exterior wall surface area.</u></p> <p>(4) <u>Exterior walls in climate zones 4,5,6,7 and 8 as determined by Section 301, <i>Climate zones</i> of the <i>International Energy Conservation Code</i> (IECC).</u></p> <p>(5) <u>Exterior walls that are at least 75% shaded by building projections, man-made structures, existing buildings, topography, or plantings. Shade coverage shall be calculated on the summer solstice at noon for the SSE to SW walls and 3 p.m. for the SW to WNW walls.</u></p>	Mandatory
<p>1215 (Coordinates with Chapter 15 of the Code, <i>Roof Assemblies and Rooftop Structures</i>)</p> <p>ROOF ASSEMBLIES AND ROOFTOP STRUCTURES</p>	
<p>1215.1 Minimum roof covering classification. Minimum roof covering classification shall comply with all of the following.</p> <p>(1) <u>Shall be a minimum of Class B</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Shall comply with Section 1201.2, <i>Wildland fires</i></u></p> <p>(3) <u>Where the building is within a fire district, shall comply with Appendix D, <i>Fire districts</i> of the Code.</u></p> <p>(4) <u>Roofs in warm and dry climates defined as climate zones 1, 2, 3, 4, 5B (dry), and 6B (dry) of the 2009 <i>International Energy Conservation Code</i> (IECC) shall have a Class A roof covering or Class A roof assembly according to UL 790. For roof coverings where the profile allows a space between the roof covering and the roof decking, the space at the eave ends shall be firestopped to preclude entry of flame or embers.</u></p>	Mandatory
<p>1215.2 Requirements for roof coverings.</p> <p>(1) Roof coverings subject to hail exposure. Roof coverings used in regions where hail exposure is Moderate or Severe, as determined in accordance with Items (a) or (b) and Figure 12(1) shall be tested, classified, and labeled in accordance with UL 2218 or FM 4473.</p> <p>a) <u>Moderate - One or more hail days with hail diameters greater than 1.5 in (38 mm) in a twenty (20) year period.</u></p> <p>b) <u>Severe - One or more hail days with hail diameters greater than 2.0 in (50 mm) in a twenty (20) year period.</u></p> <p>(2) Roof gardens and landscaped roofs. Roof gardens and landscape roofs shall comply with one of the following requirements:</p> <p>(a) <u>Sections 1607.11.2.2 of the Code, <i>Special-purpose roofs</i>, and 1607.11.3 of the Code, <i>Landscaped roofs</i>.</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Loads for the design of vegetated (green) roofs shall be permitted to be determined in accordance with ASTM E2397.</u></p> <p>(3) Roof solar reflectance index (SRI). Roof coverings, other than those listed below, shall be</p>	Mandatory

<p><u>provided with solar reflectance indices in accordance with the requirements of this Section. The solar reflectance index shall be determined using ASTM E1980 based on medium wind conditions. Thermal emittance determined in accordance with ASTM E408 or C1371 and the solar reflectance determined in accordance with ASTM E1918 or C1549 shall be used to calculate the SRI.</u></p>	
<p>1. <u>Portions of roofs classified as vegetated (green).</u></p>	
<p>2. <u>Portions of roofs covered by on-site renewable power generation systems.</u></p>	
<p>3. <u>Portions of roofs designed with heat capturing building technologies.</u></p>	
<p>4. <u>Portions of roofs covered by rooftop decks or walkways.</u></p>	
<p>5. <u>Up to 10% of the opaque roof area used for architectural and serviceability features.</u></p>	
<p>6. <u>Roofs in Climate Zones 6, 7 and 8 as determined by Section 301, <i>Climate zones</i> of the <i>International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)</i>.</u></p>	
<p>7. <u>A default SRI value of 35 for new gray concrete without added color pigment is allowed to be used in lieu of measurements and calculations.</u></p>	
<p>(a) <u>Roof Slopes Less Than 2-1/2 to 12. All opaque portions of roofs having a slope of less than 2-1/2 units vertical in 12 units horizontal shall have a SRI of not less than 78.</u></p>	
<p>Exception. <u>Roofs with a minimum initial SRI of 29 that shade or cover parking.</u></p>	
<p>(b) <u>Roof Slopes Equal to or Greater than 2-1/2 to 12. All opaque portions of roofs having a slope of 2-1/2 units vertical in 12 units horizontal or greater shall have a SRI of not less than 29.</u></p>	
<p>1215.3 Rainwater management. <u>Install a vegetative (green) roof or rainwater harvesting system for at least 25% of the roof area. The rainwater harvesting system shall be design to reuse water for landscape irrigation or other water on-site needs. The storage system shall be sized to hold a minimum of all the water striking the roof area used for capture during a 1-in. rainfall event, equivalent to 0.62 gallons per square foot of roof area used for capture.</u></p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>1216 (Coordinates with Chapter 16 of the Code)</p>	
<p>STRUCTURAL DESIGN</p>	
<p>1216.1 <u>Wind Loads.</u> Wind loads shall be determined in accordance with Section 1609.1.1, <u>Determination of wind loads</u> of the Code with the following modification: <u>Wind loads on every building or structure shall be determined in accordance with Chapter 6 of ASCE 7 or alternate all-heights method in Section 1609.6. The type of opening protection required, the basic wind speed and the exposure category for a site is permitted to be determined in accordance with Section 1609 or ASCE 7. The design wind pressure, <i>p</i>, and design wind force, <i>F</i>, determined in accordance with ASCE 7 or 1609.6 shall be based on a design wind speed equal to the basic wind speed (or locally adopted basic wind speed in special wind zones, if higher) plus 20-mph. Component and cladding loads shall be determined for the design wind speed defined assuming terrain Exposure C, regardless of the actual local exposure. Wind shall be assumed to come from any horizontal direction and wind pressures shall be assumed to act normal to the surface considered.</u></p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>1216.2 Flood loads. Buildings designed and constructed in flood hazard areas defined in Section 1612.1 of the Code shall comply with the following.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>
<p>(1) <u>Floors required by ASCE 24 to be built above base flood elevations shall have the floor and their lowest horizontal supporting member not less than the higher of the following:</u></p>	
<p>(a) <u>Design flood elevation,</u></p>	
<p>(b) <u>Base flood elevation plus 3 feet, or</u></p>	
<p>(c) <u>500-year flood, if known</u></p>	
<p>(2) Flood protective works. Buildings designed and constructed in accordance with ASCE 24 shall not consider flood protective works for providing flood protection during the design flood.</p>	
<p>Exception: <u>Dams where approved by the code official.</u></p>	
<p>1217 (Coordinates with Chapter 17 of the Code)</p>	
<p>STRUCTURAL TESTS AND SPECIAL INSPECTIONS</p>	
<p>1217.0 General. <u>No additional provisions required.</u></p>	

1218 (Coordinates with Chapter 18 of the Code)		
Soils and Foundations		
1218.1 Shallow foundations. All buildings using foundation walls, piers and other permanent supports in accordance with Section 1809.5, <i>Frost protection</i> Method No. 2 shall be marked in accordance with all of the following.	Mandatory	
(1) Label. A label shall be affixed to the main electrical panel with the following statement: "This building uses insulation materials to protect the foundation from frost heave. Do not shut off power to the building or reduce the interior temperature of the building below 45°F without determining the impact to the foundation protection". Do not disturb any earth within 3 feet of the building without the determining the extent of the insulation protection".		
1219 (Coordinates with Chapter 19 of the Code)		
CONCRETE		
1219.0 General. No additional provisions required.		
1220 (Coordinates with Chapter 20 of the Code)		
ALUMINUM		
1220.0 General. No additional provisions required.		
1221 (Coordinates with Chapter 21 of the Code)		
MASONRY		
1221.0 General. No additional provisions required.		
1222 (Coordinates with Chapter 22 of the Code)		
STEEL		
1222.0 General. No additional provisions required.		
1223 (Coordinates with Chapter 23 of the Code)		
WOOD		
1223.0 General. Provisions in Section 606.2, <i>Wood-based products</i> of this Standard shall apply.	Mandatory	
1224 (Coordinates with Chapter 24 of the Code)		
GLASS AND GLAZING		
1224.0 General. No additional provisions required.		
1225 (Coordinates with Chapter 25 of the Code)		
GYPSUM BOARD AND PLASTER		
1225.0 General. No additional provisions required.		
1226 (Coordinates with Chapter 26 of the Code)		
PLASTIC		

<u>1226.0 General.</u> No additional provisions required.		
<u>1227 (Coordinates with Chapter 27 of the Code)</u>		
<u>ELECTRICAL</u>		
<u>1227.0 General.</u> No additional provisions required.		
<u>1228 (Coordinates with Chapter 28 of the Code)</u>		
<u>MECHANICAL</u>		
<u>1228.0 General.</u> Provisions in Section 902.2, <i>Building ventilation systems</i> of this Standard shall apply.		<u>Mandatory</u>
<u>1229 (Coordinates with Chapter 29 of the Code)</u>		
<u>PLUMBING</u>		
<u>1229.0 General.</u> Provisions in Chapter 8, <i>Water efficiency</i> of this Standard shall apply.		<u>Mandatory</u>
<u>1230 (Coordinates with Chapter 30 of the Code)</u>		
<u>ELEVATORS AND CONVEYING SYSTEMS</u>		
<u>1230.0 General.</u> No additional provisions required		
<u>1231 (Coordinates with Chapter 31 of the Code)</u>		
<u>SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION</u>		
<u>1231.0 General.</u> No additional provisions required.		
<u>1232 (Coordinates with Chapter 32 of the Code)</u>		
<u>ENCROACHMENT INTO PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY</u>		
<u>1232.0 General.</u> No additional provisions required.		
<u>1233 (Coordinates with Chapter 33 of the Code)</u>		
<u>SAFEGUARDS DURING CONSTRUCTION</u>		
<u>1233.0 General.</u> Provisions in Section 903.4, <i>Moisture control measures</i> of this Standard shall apply.		<u>Mandatory</u>
<u>1234 (Coordinates with Chapter 34 of the Code)</u>		
<u>EXISTING BUILDINGS</u>		
<u>1234.0 General.</u> No additional provisions required.		
<u>1235 (Coordinates with Chapter 35 of the Code)</u>		
<u>REFERENCED DOCUMENTS</u>		

<u>ASCE/SEI</u>		<u>American Society of Civil Engineers</u>	
		<u>Structural Engineering Institute</u>	
		<u>1801 Alexander Bell Drive</u>	
		<u>Reston, VA 20191-4400</u>	
<u>ASCE 7</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures</u>	<u>1216.1</u>
<u>ASCE 24</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>Flood Resistant Design and Construction</u>	<u>1216.2</u>
<u>ASHRAE</u>		<u>American Society for Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc.</u>	
		<u>1791 Tullie Circle, N.E.</u>	
		<u>Atlanta, GA 30329</u>	
<u>Std 52.2</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Method of Testing General Ventilation Air-Cleaning Devices for Removal Efficiency by Particle Size</u>	<u>1212.2(1)</u>
<u>Std 55</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy</u>	<u>1212.10</u>
<u>Std 62.1</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality</u>	<u>1212.1</u>
<u>ASTM</u>		<u>American Society for Testing and Materials</u>	
		<u>100 Barr Harbor Drive</u>	
		<u>West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959</u>	
<u>C1371</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Standard Test Method for Determining the Emittance of Materials Near Room Temperature Using Portable Emmissometers</u>	<u>1214.3,</u> <u>1215.2(3)</u>
<u>C1549</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Standard Test Method for Determining Solar Reflectance Near Ambient Temperature Using a Portable Solar Reflectometer</u>	<u>1214.3,</u> <u>1215.2(3)</u>
<u>D3679</u>			<u>1214.1</u>
<u>E408</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>Standard test Method for Total Normal Emittance of Surfaces Using Inspector-Meter Techniques</u>	<u>1214.3,</u> <u>1215.2(3)</u>
<u>E779</u>		<u>Standard Test Method for Determining Air Leakage Rate by Fan Pressurization</u>	<u>1214.4(2)</u>
<u>E1918</u>		<u>Standard Test Method for Determining Solar Reflectance of Horizontal and Low-sloped surfaces in the Field.</u>	<u>1214.3,</u> <u>1215.2(3)</u>
<u>E1980</u>		<u>Standard Practice for Calculating the Solar reflectance Index of Horizontal and Low-sloped Surfaces in the Field</u>	<u>1214.3,</u> <u>1215.2(3)</u>
<u>E2347</u>			<u>1215.2(2)</u>
<u>EPA</u>			
<u>40CFR50</u>		<u>National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards</u>	<u>1212.2(2)</u>
<u>FM</u>		<u>Factory Mutual Global Research</u>	
		<u>Standards Laboratory Department</u>	
		<u>1301 Atwood Avenue</u>	
		<u>Johnson, RI 02919</u>	
<u>4473</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>Specification Test Standard for Impact Resistance of Rigid Roof Materials by Impacting with Freezer Ice Ball</u>	<u>1215.2</u>

ICC		<u>International Code Council</u> 500 New Jersey Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001	
<u>IBC</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>International Building Code</u>	<u>1200.0</u> <u>1200.2</u>
<u>ICC/</u> <u>NSSA 500</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>Standard on the Design and Construction of Storm Shelters</u>	<u>1204.5</u> <u>1207.1</u>
<u>IECC</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>International Energy Conservation Code</u>	<u>1214.3(4)</u> <u>1215.1(4)</u> <u>1215.2(3)</u>
<u>IMC</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>International Mechanical Code</u>	<u>1212.1</u>
<u>IUWIC</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>International Urban Wildland Interface Code</u>	<u>1201.3</u> <u>1207.1</u>
NFPA			
		<u>National Fire Protection Association</u> 1 Batterymarch Park Quincy, MA 02169	
<u>13</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems</u>	<u>1209.1</u>
<u>13R</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems in Residential Occupancies Up to and Including Four Stories in Height</u>	<u>1209.1</u>
<u>221</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>Standard for High Challenge Fire Walls, Fire Walls, and Fire Barrier Walls</u>	<u>1207.6</u>
UL			
		<u>Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.</u> 333 Pfingsten Road Northbrook, IL 60062	
<u>790</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Roof Coverings</u>	<u>1215.1(4)</u>
<u>2218</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>Standard for Safety Impact Resistance of Prepared Roof Covering Materials</u>	<u>1215.2</u>
1236 (Coordinates with Appendix F of the Code)			
RODENTPROOFING			
1236.1 Rodentproofing. The provisions of Appendix F, <i>Rodent-proofing</i> of the Code shall apply.			Mandatory
1237 (Coordinates with Appendix G of the Code)			
FLOOD-RESISTANT CONSTRUCTION			
1237.1 Flood-resistant construction. The provisions of Section 1216.2, <i>Flood loads</i> of this Standard and Appendix G, <i>Flood-resistant construction</i> of the Code shall apply.			Mandatory
END			

FIGURE 12 (1)

HAIL EXPOSURE MAP

(see Attachments file for the hail exposure map)

Reason:

This reason statement has the following three segments to explain the reasons for this change: (A) The code change is explained; (B) the specific substantiation for the change is given; and (C) General background information identifying the need for enhanced property protection and functional resilience for resource minimization;

(A)

This proposal is to create a new Chapter in ICC 700 for all residential buildings except one and two family dwellings and townhouses three stories in height with provisions that enhance the requirements of the International Building Code (IBC) to provide functional resilience and durability for these buildings. The new chapter is structured to identify the sections in the IBC where enhanced provisions shall apply to all residential buildings except one and two family dwellings and townhouses three stories in height constructed in accordance with ICC 700.

(B)

The following are reports of dollar loss to property from wind, cold weather and fire disasters.

- (1) The American Society of Civil Engineers reported in *Normalized Hurricane Damage in the United States, 1900 – 2005*, National Hazard Review, ASCE 2008, that property damage from hurricanes was 81 billion dollars in 2005.
- (2) The National Weather Service reports that U.S. property damage due to winter storms and ice exceeded 1.5 billion dollars in 2009.
- (3) *Fire Losses in the United States During 2009* by the National Fire Protection Association, August 2010 shows that property loss due to structure fires in buildings other than one and two family dwellings was approximately 4.5 billion dollars.

Increasing the stringency of the design criteria of residential buildings for hazards such as wind, snow or fire results in more robust buildings. Such requirements reduce the amount of energy and resources required for repair, removal, disposal and replacement of building components and systems damaged from these disasters. A further benefit is a reduction in the amount of damaged building materials and content entering landfills.

Additional benefits are enhanced life safety, security and occupant comfort; potentially less demand on community resources required for emergency response; and allowing facilities to be more readily adapted for re-use if there is a change of occupancy in the future.

(C)

Minimum building requirements whether through energy codes, plumbing codes, mechanical codes, zoning codes, or basic building codes, do not encourage truly sustainable buildings. The proposal is one of several that attempt to integrate the concepts of the *Whole Building Design Guide* (WBDG) into the minimum design and construction criteria for “green” buildings. The WBDG, developed in partnership between the National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) and the Sustainable Building Industries Council (SBIC), has as its key concepts: accessible, aesthetics, cost-effective, functional/operational, historic preservation, productive, secure/safe, and sustainable.

There are numerous references about the economic, societal, and environmental benefits that result when enhanced functional resilience for resource minimization are integrated into building design and construction. Six examples demonstrating the importance and supporting the concepts are:

a. ***Natural Hazard Mitigation Saves: An Independent Study to Assess the Future Savings from Mitigation Activities***

National Institute of Building Sciences Multi-Hazard Mitigation Council - 2005

One of the findings in this report is “The analysis of the statistically representative sample of FEMA grants awarded during the study period indicates that a dollar spent on disaster mitigation saves society an average of \$4.” The programs studied often addressed issues and strategies other than enhanced disaster resistance of buildings and other structures. However, more disaster-resistant buildings enhance life safety; reduce costs and environmental impacts associated with repair, removal, disposal, and replacement; and reduce the time and resources required for community recovery.

b. ***Five Years Later – Are we better prepared?***

Institute for Business and Home Safety - 2010

This IBHS report states: “When Hurricane Katrina made landfall on Aug. 29, 2005, it caused an estimated \$41.1 billion in insured losses across six states, and took an incalculable economic and social toll on many communities. Five years later, the recovery continues and some residents in the most severely affected states of Alabama, Louisiana and Mississippi are still struggling. There is no question that no one wants a repeat performance of this devastating event that left at least 1,300 people dead. Yet, the steps taken to improve the quality of the building stock, whether through rebuilding or new construction, call into question the commitment of some key stakeholders to ensuring that past mistakes are not repeated.” This report indicates that there is a need to implement provisions to make buildings more disaster-resistant. Clearly this suggests that functional resilience should at least be integrated into the design and construction of sustainable buildings.

c. **National Weather Service Office of Climate, Water and Weather Services**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) - 2010

Data provided on the NOAA website [www.weather.gov/os/hazstats.shtml] indicates that the average annual direct property loss due to natural disasters in the United States exceeds of \$35,000,000,000. This does not include indirect costs associated with loss of residences, business closures, and resources expended for emergency response and management. These direct property losses also do not reflect the direct environmental impact due to reconstruction after the disasters. Functional resilience will help alleviate the environmental impact and minimize both direct and indirect losses from natural disasters.

d. ***Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States***

U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP) - 2009

The USGCRP includes the departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Health and Human Services, Interior, State and Transportation; National Aeronautic and Space Administration; Environmental Protection Agency, USA International Development, National Science Foundation and Smithsonian Institution

The report identifies that: “Climate changes are underway in the United States and are projected to grow. Climate-related changes are already observed in the United States and its coastal waters. These include increases in heavy downpours, rising temperature and sea level, rapidly retreating glaciers, thawing permafrost, lengthening growing seasons, lengthening ice-free seasons in the ocean and on lakes and rivers, earlier snowmelt, and alterations in river flows. These changes are projected to grow.” The report further identifies that the: “Threats to human health will increase. Health impacts of climate change are related to heat stress, waterborne diseases, poor air quality, extreme weather events, and diseases transmitted by insects and rodents. Robust public health infrastructure can reduce the potential for negative impacts.” Key messages in the report on societal impacts include:

- (4) “City residents and city infrastructure have unique vulnerabilities to climate change.”
- (5) “Climate change affects communities through changes in climate-sensitive resources that occur both locally and at great distances.”
- (6) “Insurance is one of the industries particularly vulnerable to increasing extreme weather events such as severe storms, but it can also help society manage the risks.”

Sustainable building design and construction cannot be about protecting the natural environment without consideration of the projected growth in severe weather. Minimum codes primarily based on past natural events are not appropriate for truly sustainable buildings. Buildings expected to have long term positive impacts on the environment must be protected from these extreme changes in the natural environment. The provisions for improved property protections are necessary to reduce the amount of energy and resources associated with repair, removal, disposal, and replacement due to routine maintenance and damage from disasters. Further such provisions reduce the time and resources required for community disaster recovery.

- (7) ***Sustainable Stewardship - Historic preservation plays an essential role in fighting climate change , Traditional Building***, National Trust for Historic Preservation - 2008

	<p>In the article <i>Richard Moe summarizes the results of a study by the Brookings Institution</i> which projects that by 2030 we will have demolished and replaced 82 billion square feet of our current building stock, or nearly 1/3 of our existing buildings, largely because the vast majority of them weren't designed and built to last any longer. Durability, as a component of functional resilience, can reduce these losses.</p> <p>(8) Opportunities for Integrating Disaster Mitigation and Energy Retrofit Programs Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Room, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. - 2010</p> <p>During this panel discussion a representative of the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers noted that more robust buildings erected prior to 1950 tend to be more adaptable for reuse and renovation. Prior to the mid-1950s most local jurisdictions developed their own building code requirements that uniquely addressed the community's needs, issues and concerns. Pre-1950 building codes typically resulted in more durable and robust construction that lasts longer.</p> <p>The total environmental impact of insulation, high efficiency equipment, components, and appliances, low-flow plumbing fixtures, and other building materials and contents are relatively insignificant when rendered irreparable or contaminated and must be disposed of in landfills after disasters. The US Army Corps of Engineers estimated that after Hurricane Katrina nearly 1.2 billion cubic feet of building materials and contents ended up in landfills. This is analogous to stacking enough refrigerators a fifth of the way to the moon or placing them end to end around the equator of the Earth twice.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Parts already covered in minimum codes, exclusionary language, beyond the scope of a green building standard, introduces life safety issues that should be covered in building codes, it has conflicts with other parts of the standard, and proposed change is excessive in making all proposed practices mandatory.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P556	LogID 311	1102 Referenced Documents	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	----------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA		
Proposed Change:	Chapter 11 Referenced Documents		
	IBC	2006-2012	International Building Code
			202, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 703.1.1, 901.2.1(2)(e), 1001.1(10)
	IECC	2004	International Energy Conservation Code
			B201.1
	IECC	2006-2012	International Energy Conservation Code
			<u>701.1</u> , 701.1.1, <u>701.1.2</u> , 702.2, 703.1.1
	IMC	2006-2012	International Mechanical Code
			701.4.2.1, 704.6.1(1)

	IPC	2006 2012	International Plumbing Code	903.5.3																												
	IRC	2006 2012	International Residential Code	202, 3035.1, 601.1, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 701.4.2.1, 703.1.1, 704.6.1(1), 802.1, 902.3, 903.2.1(3), 1001.1(10)																												
Reason:	The National Green Building Standard should reference only the latest versions of the International Codes wherever possible. Because the 2012 generation of International Codes will be available prior to publication of the updated NGBS, the updated NGBS should reference the 2012 versions.																															
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified																															
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> <i>(Note: corresponding section numbers will be updated in the Draft Standard for the entire Chapter 11).</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>ICC</td> <td></td> <td>International Code Council 500 New Jersey Ave, NW, 6th Floor Washington, DC 20001 www.iccsafe.org</td> <td>(888) 422-7233</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IBC</td> <td>2006 2009</td> <td>International Building Code</td> <td>202, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 703.1.1, 901.2.1(2)(e), 1001.1(10)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IECC</td> <td>2004</td> <td>International Energy Conservation Code</td> <td>B201.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IECC</td> <td>2006 2009</td> <td>International Energy Conservation Code</td> <td>701.1.1, 702.2, 703.1.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMC</td> <td>2006 2009</td> <td>International Mechanical Code</td> <td>701.4.2.1, 704.6.1(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IPC</td> <td>2006 2009</td> <td>International Plumbing Code</td> <td>903.5.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IRC</td> <td>2006 2009</td> <td>International Residential Code</td> <td>202, 305.1, 601.1, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 701.4.2.1, 703.1.1, 704.6.1(1), 802.1, 902.3, 903.2.1(3), 1001.1(10)</td> </tr> </table>				ICC		International Code Council 500 New Jersey Ave, NW, 6 th Floor Washington, DC 20001 www.iccsafe.org	(888) 422-7233	IBC	2006 2009	International Building Code	202, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 703.1.1, 901.2.1(2)(e), 1001.1(10)	IECC	2004	International Energy Conservation Code	B201.1	IECC	2006 2009	International Energy Conservation Code	701.1.1, 702.2, 703.1.1	IMC	2006 2009	International Mechanical Code	701.4.2.1, 704.6.1(1)	IPC	2006 2009	International Plumbing Code	903.5.3	IRC	2006 2009	International Residential Code	202, 305.1, 601.1, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 701.4.2.1, 703.1.1, 704.6.1(1), 802.1, 902.3, 903.2.1(3), 1001.1(10)
ICC		International Code Council 500 New Jersey Ave, NW, 6 th Floor Washington, DC 20001 www.iccsafe.org	(888) 422-7233																													
IBC	2006 2009	International Building Code	202, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 703.1.1, 901.2.1(2)(e), 1001.1(10)																													
IECC	2004	International Energy Conservation Code	B201.1																													
IECC	2006 2009	International Energy Conservation Code	701.1.1, 702.2, 703.1.1																													
IMC	2006 2009	International Mechanical Code	701.4.2.1, 704.6.1(1)																													
IPC	2006 2009	International Plumbing Code	903.5.3																													
IRC	2006 2009	International Residential Code	202, 305.1, 601.1, 602.3.1, 602.9, 602.10, 701.4.2.1, 703.1.1, 704.6.1(1), 802.1, 902.3, 903.2.1(3), 1001.1(10)																													
Committee Reason:	2009 I-Codes are selected as baseline for the 2012 NGBS.																															
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0																															
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:																																
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:																																
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:																																

P557	LogID 328	1102 Referenced Documents	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	ICC IECC 2006 2012 International Energy Conservation Code ICC IECC 2006 2012 International Energy Conservation Code		
Reason:	Updating this reference code to the latest edition of the IECC In order to maintain credibility as the residential “green” standard and consistency with the commercial green code (IgCC) this standard should reference the most recent edition of the National Model Energy Code – the 2012 IECC		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of			

Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of action on P556.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P558	LogID 391	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Building, Existing. Building completed and occupied erected prior to <u>any renovation considered under this standard the adoption of this Standard or one for which a legal building permit has been issued.</u>
Reason:	The inclusion of buildings for which permits have been issued would allow a building that had not yet been constructed to be certified as a renovation. The original wording also would have been confusing as time goes on implying that a building built after the standard was originally introduced could not be remodeled to meet the standard.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P559	LogID 401	202 Definitions	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	<i>Add new as follows:</i> <u>New Construction. Construction of a new building or construction that completely replaces more than 75% of an existing building.</u>
Reason:	There have been a number of situations where it was not clear if the construction should be considered as new construction or a renovation. Examples would include completely demolishing the buildign but rebuilding on the same basement foundation or a gut rehab were everything is removed except the structure. Teh task group should determine the actual percentage to be used but the impact on the mandatory requirements should be considered. There are some mandatory new construction practices related to the foundaton that will require substantial effort to meet if the building must follow the new construction guidelines.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept

Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P560	LogID 406	202 Definitions	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	------------------------	--

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	Renovation. The process of restoring or improving an existing building or dwelling unit that may include changes to the landscape and hardscape. <u>A renovation may also include an addition.</u>
Reason:	There have been questions regarding additions as part of a renovation.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	<i>Revise Standard as follows:</i> Renovation. The process of restoring or improving an existing building, or dwelling unit, <u>or property that may include changes to the landscape and hardscape.</u>
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P561	LogID 140	305.1 Applicability (Green Renovations & Additions)	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	305.1 Applicability
Reason:	There should be a separate "green remodeling" guide the Standard is not workable for renovations (other than "gut" rehabs).
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P562	LogID 906	305.5 Green Remodel Path	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Michael "Mick" Dalrymple, Green Environmental Building Supplies
Proposed Change:	305.5.4(2) Water consumption: Water consumption shall be based on the estimated annual use. Reduction in water consumption shall be evaluated based on points in Chapter 8 <u>improvements to water using fixtures and alterations to landscaping, employing consistent and reasonable pre- and post-remodel occupant activity assumptions.</u>
Reason:	Existing language of "based on points in Chapter 8" does not have enforceable or useful meaning in this case.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P563	LogID 907	305.5 Green Remodel Path	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Michael "Mick" Dalrymple, Green Environmental Building Supplies
Proposed Change:	305.5.4(1) Energy consumption: Energy consumption shall be based on the estimated annual energy use due to heating, cooling, and water heating, <u>lights/appliances, and renewable energy</u> as determined by a third party energy audit.
Reason:	In order to mainstream this as much as possible, it is most useful to include the standard elements of an energy audit, which includes lights/appliances and renewable energy. This reduces the work of creating a non-standard energy audit, or making calculations based upon portions of an energy audit. I believe standard energy audits are being used in practice, anyway.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s)	

for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P564	LogID 908	305.5 Green Remodel Path	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Michael "Mick" Dalrymple, Green Environmental Building Supplies		
Proposed Change:	305.5.5 Consumption in both categories of Section 305.5.4(1) and (2) shall be reduced to achieve the desired performance level of Table 305.5. <u>The post-remodel building or dwelling unit must achieve a minimum 99 HERS score. To achieve Silver certification, the building or dwelling unit must achieve a minimum 85 HERS score.</u>		
Reason:	I am in the process of finishing a certification on a single family home with a post-remodel HERS score of 188. Not only is it embarrassing to call this a certified green remodel, it severely dilutes the brand value of a certified new green home. I was recently interviewed by a national publication regarding an Emerald remodel that I verified, asking why there are not more Emerald certified homes. It took careful wording to explain that an Emerald remodel is not equivalent to an Emerald new build and that the two should not be confused. While the achievement of improving the existing building stock is possibly more important in the big picture than building new homes significantly more green than new codes, it is important in the marketplace that energy performance be meaningful across the board if the homes are going labeled as "green". If I was a builder of new green certified homes, I would also see it as a matter of competitive fairness.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	The Standard encourages incremental improvements. Given a wide range of energy performance in existing homes, it is impractical to establish absolute minimums for achieving a compliance level.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P565	LogID 909	305.5 Green Remodel Path	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Michael Grothe, NAHB Research Center		
Proposed Change:	Include language to state that only the requirements in sections 305.5.3 and 305.5.4 and 305.5.5 have to be met for certification.		
Reason:	The language in section 305.5 doesn't make it clear that no other sections or requirements outside of the ones mentioned in 305.5 are required. One can assume that you have to comply with other chapters as well.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41		

	Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P566	LogID 566	305 Green renovations and additions	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	--	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Robert Hill, NAHB Research Center														
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Completely restructure how remodeling, renovations, and additions are handled. Text is not underlined for clarity.</i></p> <p><i>The current section 305 is deleted and replaced with new section 305.</i></p> <p>305 Green Remodeling</p> <p>305.1 Applicability. This section shall apply to any existing building where improvements are made via renovation and/or addition. Existing buildings that are essentially torn down and rebuilt (e.g. only the foundation is saved) must follow the new construction path of section 303 or 304 including all appropriate mandatory requirements.</p> <p>305.2 Mandatory Practices. The building shall comply with all applicable mandatory practices in Chapter 11[new].</p> <p>305.3 Consumption for both energy and water shall be estimated for both before and after the remodeling. The occupancy and life style assumed and the method of making the consumption comparison should be the same for both estimates.</p> <p>(1) Energy consumption: Energy consumption shall be based on the estimated annual energy use due to heating, cooling, and water heating as determined by a third-party energy audit or analysis.</p> <p>(2) Water consumption: Water consumption shall be based on the estimated annual use as determined by audit or analysis.</p> <p>305.4 Consumption in both categories of Section 305.3(1) and (2) shall be reduced to achieve the desired performance level of Table 305.4.</p>														
Table 305.4 Threshold Ratings for Green Remodels															
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="width: 60%;">Green Remodel Practice</th> <th colspan="4">Performance Level</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">BRONZE</th> <th style="width: 10%;">SILVER</th> <th style="width: 10%;">GOLD</th> <th style="width: 10%;">EMERALD</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Reduction in energy and water consumption in accordance with Section 305.3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">34%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">43%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Green Remodel Practice	Performance Level				BRONZE	SILVER	GOLD	EMERALD	Reduction in energy and water consumption in accordance with Section 305.3	20%	34%	43%	50%
Green Remodel Practice	Performance Level														
	BRONZE	SILVER	GOLD	EMERALD											
Reduction in energy and water consumption in accordance with Section 305.3	20%	34%	43%	50%											
<p><i>Add new chapter as follows:</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Chapter 11 (New)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #cccccc;"> <th style="width: 80%;">GREEN REMODELING PRACTICES (Renovations and/or Additions)</th> <th style="width: 20%;">POINTS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: black; height: 20px;"> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		GREEN REMODELING PRACTICES (Renovations and/or Additions)	POINTS												
GREEN REMODELING PRACTICES (Renovations and/or Additions)	POINTS														
<p>11.0 Intent</p> <p>This chapter sets the mandatory green practices for any remodeling project done pursuant to this standard. A remodeling project can consist of renovating an existing building, constructing an addition to an existing building, or both. Most practices have slightly different requirements depending on if the construction is new or if it is part of renovating existing structure. Practices</p>															

identified as New Construction apply to work that is part of an addition or any work that involves a substantial rebuilding of the structure of an existing building. Practices identified as Existing Construction apply to renovation activities on an existing building.

11.1 Foundation drainage. (Ref. 602.3.1)

11.1.1 New Construction. Habitable or usable new space below grade has exterior drain tile is installed where required by the ICC IRC or IBC..

Mandatory

11.1.2 Existing Construction. Habitable or usable existing space below grade has exterior drain tile is installed where required by the ICC IRC or IBC if there is evidence of moisture issues in the space.

11.2 Finished grade. (Ref. 602.6)

11.2.1 New and Existing Construction. Finish grade at all sides of the construction is sloped to provide a minimum of 6 inches (150 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the edge of the building. Where lot lines, walls, slopes, or other physical barriers prohibit 6 inches (152 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm), the final grade is sloped away from the edge of the building at a minimum slope of 5 percent and the water is directed to drains or swales to ensure drainage away from the structure.

Mandatory

11.3 Water-resistive barrier. (Ref. 602.9)

11.3.1 New Construction. Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind exterior veneer and/or siding.

Mandatory

11.3.2 Existing Construction. Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind all newly installed exterior veneer and/or siding..

11.4 Ice barrier. (Ref. 602.10)

11.4.1 New Construction. In areas where there has been a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a backup of water, an ice barrier is installed in accordance with the ICC IRC or IBC at roof eaves and extends at a minimum of 24 inches (610 mm) inside the exterior wall line of the building.

Mandatory

11.4.2 Existing Construction. . When the existing building has a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a backup of water, an ice barrier is installed in accordance with the ICC IRC or IBC at roof eaves and extends at a minimum of 24 inches (610 mm) inside the exterior wall line of the building.

11.5 Construction waste management plan. (Ref. 605.1)

11.5.1 New Construction and Existing Construction. A construction waste management plan is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented that includes provisions for proper handling and disposal of hazardous wastes.

Mandatory

11.7 HVAC systems. (Ref. 701.4.1)

11.7.1 New Construction. Space heating and cooling system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent.

Mandatory

11.7.2 Existing Construction. When the HVAC system is modified, space heating and cooling system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent.

11.8 HVAC Systems (Ref. 701.4.1.2)

11.8.1 New Construction. Where installed as a primary heat source in the building, radiant or hydronic space heating system is designed using industry-approved guidelines (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H-22, or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations).

Mandatory

11.8.2 Existing Construction. Where an existing radiant or hydronic space heating system serves as the primary heat source in the existing portion of the building and it is modified, the modified system is designed using industry-approved guidelines (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H-22, or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations).

<p>11.9 Duct systems. (Ref. 701.4.2.1)</p>			
<p>11.9.1 New Construction. Ducts are sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9, to reduce leakage.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>		
<p>11.9.2 Existing Construction. Ducts that are modified as part of the remodel are sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9, to reduce leakage.</p>			
<p>11.10 Supply Duct Systems. (Ref. 701.4.2.2)</p>			
<p>11.10.1 New Construction. Building cavities are not used as supply ducts.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>		
<p>11.10.2 Existing Construction. No additional building cavities are not used as supply ducts.</p>			
<p>11.11 Insulation and air sealing. (Ref. 701.4.3.1(1))</p>			
<p>11.11.1 New Construction. General. Insulation and air sealing is in accordance with the following:</p>			
<p>(1) Insulation. Insulation is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions or local code, as applicable.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>		
<p>11.11.2 Existing Construction. General. Insulation and air sealing is in accordance with the following:</p>			
<p>(1) Insulation. Newly installed Insulation is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions or local code, as applicable.</p>			
<p>11.12 Shafts (duct shaft, piping shaft/penetrations, flue shaft). (Ref. 701.4.3.1(2))</p>			
<p>11.12.1 New Construction. Openings to unconditioned space are fully sealed with solid blocking or flashing and any remaining gaps are sealed with caulk or foam. Fire-rated collars and caulking are installed where required.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>		
<p>11.12.2 Existing Construction. Openings to unconditioned space that become accessible during the remodeling are fully sealed with solid blocking or flashing and any remaining gaps are sealed with caulk or foam. Fire-rated collars and caulking are installed where required.</p>			
<p>11.13 Floors, foundations, and crawlspaces (Ref. 701.4.3.2 (1))</p>			
<p>11.13.1</p>			
<p>(1) New Construction. (including insulated floors above garages and cantilevered floors)</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>		
<p>(a) Insulation is installed to maintain permanent contact with the underside of the subfloor decking, enveloping any attached ductwork within the thermal envelope without compression or air gaps in the insulation. This practice does not apply to ducts or other mechanical equipment that is adjacent to the underside of the subfloor.</p>			
<p>(b) Batt and loose-fill insulation is held in place by permanent attachments or systems in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.</p>			
<p>11.13.2</p>			
<p>(1) Existing Construction. (including insulated floors above garages and cantilevered floors)</p>			
<p>(a) Newly installed Insulation is installed to maintain permanent contact with the underside of the subfloor decking, enveloping any attached ductwork within the thermal envelope without compression or air gaps in the insulation. This practice does not apply to ducts or other mechanical equipment that is adjacent to the underside of the subfloor.</p>			
<p>(b) Newly installed Batt and loose-fill insulation is held in place by permanent attachments or systems in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.</p>			
<p>11.14.1 Crawlspace. (Ref. 701.4.3.2 (2))</p>			
<p>(2) New and Existing Construction. Where insulated, crawlspace wall insulation is permanently attached to the walls. Exposed earth in unvented crawlspaces is covered with continuous vapor retarder with overlapping joints that are taped or masticed.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>		

11.15 Windows and doors. (Ref. 701.4.3.3(1))			
11.15.1			
(1)	New Construction. Caulking, gasketing, adhesive flashing tape, foam sealant, or weatherstripping is installed forming a complete air barrier.	Mandatory	
11.15.2			
(1)	Existing Construction. Newly installed doors and windows have caulking, gasketing, adhesive flashing tape, foam sealant, or weather stripping installed forming a complete air barrier. Existing windows and doors are inspected and any air barrier weaknesses are corrected.	Mandatory	
11.16 Band joist and rim joists. (Ref. 701.4.3.3(2))			
11.16.1			
(2)	New Construction. Band and rim joists are insulated and air sealed.	Mandatory	
11.16.2			
(2)	Existing Construction. Band and rim joists which become accessible during the remodeling are insulated and air sealed.		
11.17 Between foundation and sill plate bottom plate. (Ref. 701.4.3.3(3))			
11.17.1			
(3)	New Construction.	Mandatory	
	(a) Sill sealer or other material that will expand and contract is installed between foundation and sill plate and		
	(b) Caulk or the equivalent is installed to seal the bottom plate of exterior walls.		
11.17.2			
(3)	Existing Construction.		
	(a) When the bottom plate of exterior walls is exposed during the remodeling caulk or the equivalent is installed to seal the bottom plate of exterior walls.		
11.18 Skylights and knee walls. (Ref. 701.4.3.3(4))			
11.18.1			
(4)	New Construction. Skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls.	Mandatory	
11.18.2			
(4)	Existing Construction. Newly installed skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls.		
11.19 Exterior architectural features. (Ref. 701.4.3.3(5))			
11.19.1			
(5)	New Construction. Code required building envelope insulation and air sealing are not disrupted at exterior architectural features such as stairs and decks.	Mandatory	
11.20 Ceilings and attics. Attic access (except unvented attics). (Ref. 701.4.3.4(1))			
11.20.1			
(1)	New and Existing Construction. Attic access, knee wall door, or drop-down stair is covered with insulation and gasketed. Knee wall door is an insulated unit or is covered with insulation.	Mandatory	
11.21 Ceilings and attics. Recessed lighting. (Ref. 701.4.3.4(2))			
11.21.1			
(2)	New Construction. Recessed light fixtures that penetrate the thermal envelope are airtight, IC-rated, and sealed with gasket, caulk, or foam.	Mandatory	
11.21.2			
(2)	Existing Construction. Recessed light fixtures that penetrate the thermal envelope that can be accessed during the remodeling are airtight, IC-rated, and sealed with gasket, caulk, or foam.		
11.22 Ceilings and attics. Eave vents. (Ref. 701.4.3.4(3))			
11.22.1			
(3)	New Construction. Where ceiling/attic assemblies or designs have eave vents, baffles or other means are implemented to minimize air movement into or under the	Mandatory	

insulation.

11.23 Fenestration (Ref. 701.4.4.1)

11.23.1 New Construction. NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.

**Table 701.4.4.1
Fenestration Specifications**

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.65	0.40
3	0.40	0.40
4 to 8	0.35	Any
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 to 3	0.75	0.40
4 to 8	0.60	Any

Mandatory

11.23.2 Existing Construction. Newly installed windows, doors and TDDs are NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.

**Table 701.4.4.1
Fenestration Specifications**

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.65	0.40
3	0.40	0.40
4 to 8	0.35	Any
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 to 3	0.75	0.40
4 to 8	0.60	Any

11.24 Lighting and Appliances. (Ref. 704.2.2)

11.24.1 New Construction. The number of recessed light fixtures that penetrate the thermal envelope are less than 1 per 400 square feet (37.16 m²) of total conditioned floor area and are in accordance with Section 701.4.3.4(2).

Mandatory

11.25 Ducts (Ref. 704.4.1)

11.25.1 New Construction. Duct system is sized, designed, and installed in accordance with ACCA Manual D or equivalent.

11.25.2 Existing Construction. Modifications to the existing duct system are sized, designed, and installed in accordance with ACCA Manual D or equivalent.

Mandatory

11.26 Space and water heating options (Ref. 901.1.1)

11.26.1 New Construction. Natural draft space heating or water heating equipment is not located in conditioned spaces, including conditioned crawlspaces. Natural draft equipment is permitted to be installed within the conditioned spaces if located in a mechanical room that has

Mandatory

an outdoor air source, and is otherwise sealed and insulated to separate it from the conditioned space(s).		
11.27 Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances. Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances (except cooking appliances, clothes dryers, water heaters, and furnaces) located in conditioned space are in accordance with the following: (Ref. 901.2) [Section 901.2.1(2)(a) is not mandatory.]	Mandatory	
11.27.1 New Construction. Fireplaces and natural draft fuel-burning appliances are code compliant, vented to the outdoors, and have adequate combustion and ventilation air provided to minimize spillage or back-drafting, in accordance with the following, as applicable. (Ref. 901.2.1)		
(1) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA Z21.88a/CSA 2.33a or CSA Z21.50/CSA 2.22.	Mandatory	
(2) Solid fuel-burning appliances are in accordance with the following requirements:		
(a) Wood-burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with the doors closed, outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation.	Mandatory	
(b) Factory-built, wood-burning fireplaces are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 127 and are EPA certified.	Mandatory	
(c) Wood stove and fireplace inserts, as defined in UL 1482 Section 3.8, are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 1482 and are in accordance with the emission requirements of the EPA Certification and the State of Washington WAC 173-433-100(3) .	Mandatory	
(d) Pellet (biomass) stoves and furnaces are in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E1509 or are EPA certified.	Mandatory	
(e) Masonry heaters are in accordance with the definitions in ASTM E1602 and ICC IBC, Section 2112.1.	Mandatory	
11.28 Garages. (Ref. 901.3)		
11.28 .1 New Construction. Garages are in accordance with the following:		
(1) Attached garage		
(a) Where installed in the common wall between the attached garage and conditioned space, the door is tightly sealed and gasketed.	Mandatory	
(b) A continuous air barrier is provided between walls and ceilings separating the garage space from the conditioned living spaces.	Mandatory	
11.28 .2 Existing Construction. Garages are in accordance with the following:		
(1) Attached garage		
(a) Where installed in the common wall between the attached garage and conditioned space, the door is tightly sealed and gasketed.	Mandatory	
11.29 Wood materials. (Ref. 901.4)		
11.29.1 New Construction.: Structural plywood used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing is compliant with DOC PS 1 and/or DOC PS 2. OSB used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing is compliant with DOC PS 2. The panels are made with moisture-resistant adhesives. The trademark indicates these adhesives as follows: Exposure 1 or Exterior for plywood, and Exposure 1 for OSB.	Mandatory	

<p>11.29.2 Existing Construction. Newly installed structural plywood used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing is compliant with DOC PS 1 and/or DOC PS 2. OSB used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing is compliant with DOC PS 2. The panels are made with moisture-resistant adhesives. The trademark indicates these adhesives as follows: Exposure 1 or Exterior for plywood, and Exposure 1 for OSB.</p>		
<p>11.30 Carpets. (Ref. 901.5)</p>		
<p>11.30.1 New and Existing Construction. Wall-to-wall carpeting is not installed adjacent to water closets and bathing fixtures.</p>		
<p>11.31 Architectural coatings. (Ref. 901.8.1)</p>		
<p>11.31.1 New and Existing Construction. When the building is occupied during the remodeling, a minimum of 85 percent of the newly applied site applied architectural coatings are in accordance with one or more of the following standards:</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	
<p>(1) Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method)</p> <p>(2) CARB <i>Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings</i></p> <p>(3) GS-11</p> <p>(4) VOC limits in accordance with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) 50 grams/liter flat (b) 100 grams/liter non flat (c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish (d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer 		
<p>11.33 Spot ventilation. (Ref. 902.1.1)</p>		
<p>11.33.1 New Construction. Spot ventilation is in accordance with the following:</p>		
<p>(1) Bathrooms are vented to the outdoors. The minimum ventilation rate is 50 cfm (23.6 L/s) for intermittent operation or 20 cfm (9.4 L/s) for continuous operation in bathrooms.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	
<p>(2) Clothes dryers are vented to the outdoors.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	
<p>11.33.2 Existing Construction. Spot ventilation is in accordance with the following:</p>		
<p>(2) Clothes dryers are vented to the outdoors.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	
<p>11.34 Radon control. (Ref. 902.3)</p>		
<p>11.34.1 New Construction. Passive or active radon control measures are in accordance with ICC IRC Appendix F for buildings in Zone 1. Zones are defined in Figure 9(1).</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	
<p>11.34.2 Existing Construction. Buildings in zone 1 are tested and buildings exceeding the EPA acceptable limit have radon control measures in accordance with ICC IRC Appendix F implemented. Zones are defined in Figure 9(1).</p>		
<p>11.35 HVAC system protection. (Ref. 902.4)</p>		
<p>11.35.1 New and Existing Construction. When the building is occupied during remodeling, measures are taken to prevent contaminants from the construction process from entering the HVAC system.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	
<p>11.36 Tile backing materials. (Ref. 903.1)</p>		
<p>11.36.1 New Construction. Tile backing materials installed under tiled surfaces in wet areas are in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325.</p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	

<p>11.36.2 Existing Construction. Existing tiled surfaces in wet areas are inspected and any areas with evidence of moisture damaged are repaired with tile backing materials installed under tiled surfaces are in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325.</p>		
<p>11.37 Capillary breaks (Ref. 903.2.1)</p>		
<p>11.37.1 New Construction. A capillary break and vapor retarder are installed at all concrete slabs in accordance with Sections 903.2.1(1) or 903.2.1(2), as modified by Section 903.2.1(3):</p>		
<p>(1) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) bed of ½-inch (13 mm) diameter or greater clean aggregate, covered with polyethylene or polystyrene sheeting in direct contact with the concrete slab, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.</p> <p>(2) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) uniform layer of sand, overlain with a layer or strips of geotextile drainage matting, covered with polyethylene sheeting, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.</p> <p>(3) Modification:</p> <p>(a) In areas with free-draining soils, identified as Group 1 in the ICC IRC by a certified hydrologist, soil scientist, or engineer through a site visit, a gravel bed or geotextile matting is not required.</p> <p>(b) In Dry climate locations, as defined by Figure 6(1), polyethylene sheeting is not required unless required for radon resistance (Section 902.3).</p>		
<p>11.37.2 Existing Construction. A capillary break and vapor retarder are installed at newly installed concrete slabs in accordance with Sections 903.2.1(1) or 903.2.1(2), as modified by Section 903.2.1(3):</p>	Mandatory	
<p>(1) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) bed of ½-inch (13 mm) diameter or greater clean aggregate, covered with polyethylene or polystyrene sheeting in direct contact with the concrete slab, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.</p> <p>(2) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) uniform layer of sand, overlain with a layer or strips of geotextile drainage matting, covered with polyethylene sheeting, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.</p> <p>(3) Modification:</p> <p>(a) In areas with free-draining soils, identified as Group 1 in the ICC IRC by a certified hydrologist, soil scientist, or engineer through a site visit, a gravel bed or geotextile matting is not required.</p> <p>(b) In Dry climate locations, as defined by Figure 6(1), polyethylene sheeting is not required unless required for radon resistance (Section 902.3).</p>		
<p>11.38 Crawlspace (Ref. 903.3.1)</p>		
<p>11.38.1 New Construction. Crawlspace vapor retarder is in accordance with the following, as applicable. Joints of vapor retarder overlap a minimum of 6 inches (152 mm) and are taped. Walls. Damp-proof walls are provided below finished grade.</p>		
<p>11.38.2 Existing Construction. Existing crawlspace is inspected and when there is evidence of a moisture problem a crawlspace vapor retarder is installed in accordance with the following, as applicable. Joints of vapor retarder overlap a minimum of 6 inches (152 mm) and are taped. Damp-proof walls are provided below finished grade.</p>	Mandatory	
<p>11.39 Moisture control measures (Ref. 903.4.1.)</p>		
<p>11.39.1 New and Existing Construction. Walls are not enclosed (e.g., with drywall) if the insulation has a high moisture content. Wet insulation products are dry before enclosing.</p>	Mandatory	
<p>11.40 Moisture control measures. (Ref. 903.4.2)</p>		
<p>11.40.1 New Construction. Moisture content of subfloor, substrate, or concrete slabs is in accordance with the appropriate industry standard for the finish flooring to be applied.</p>	Mandatory	

11.42 Duct insulation. (Ref. 903.6)		
11.42.1 New Construction. All HVAC ducts, plenums, and trunks in unconditioned attics, basements, and crawl spaces are insulated to a minimum of R-6. Outdoor air supplies to ventilation systems are insulated to a minimum of R-6.	Mandatory	
11.42.2 Existing Construction. All HVAC ducts, plenums, and trunks in unconditioned attics, basements, and crawl spaces that become accessible during the remodeling are insulated to a minimum of R-6. Outdoor air supplies to ventilation systems are insulated to a minimum of R-6.		
11.43 (Ref. 904.3)		
11.43.1 New and Existing Construction. All gas dryer vents are sealed and vented outdoors.	Mandatory	
11.46 Training of Building Owners (Ref. 1002.1)		
11.46.1 Building owners/occupants are familiarized with the green building goals and strategies implemented and the impacts of the occupants' practices on the costs of operating the building. Training is provided to the responsible party(ies) regarding all newly installed equipment operation and control systems. Systems include, but are not limited to, the following: HVAC filters, thermostat, appliances, water heater, and fan controls.	Mandatory	
11.47 Multi-unit Building Operations		
11.47.1 Maintenance and operations Manuals: The operations and maintenance manuals for multi-family buildings are updated to reflect the remodeling changes and are provided to the responsible parties.	Mandatory	

Reason: The 2008 original version of the Standard provided two paths for renovations and addition projects to comply with the Standard. The Green Building Path (section 305.4) required that buildings follow essentially the same path as required for new construction except that a number of the practices (and point values) were modified with Renovation Notes and/or Addition Notes. The alternate path, the Green Remodel path, was available only to homes built prior to 1980 and was a much more streamlined process that required only showing threshold levels of reduction in energy and water usage and compliance with 5 indoor environment quality practices.

While there was significant interest in having remodeling projects certified to the Standard, only 1.3 percent of the certified buildings used the Green Building path. And only 2.6 percent followed the Green Remodel path. There was significant confusion among remodelers about the pathway choices and how to follow them. There was also significant confusion regarding how to read and interpret the Addition Notes and Renovation Notes if one was considering the Green Building path. The proper interpretation was especially confusing when there was both a renovation and an addition. Also the mandatory requirements of the Green Building path often appeared to require destroying and rebuilding parts of the structure (e.g. to install foundation drainage) regardless if there was a problem with the existing structure. This did not seem to be the "green" thing to do. Because the Green Remodel path only focused on energy, water and just touched upon IEQ there was some concern that this was not a truly green path. The Green Remodel path also had some significant holes such as no requirement for the proper handling of hazardous waste.

The existing housing market offers a significant potential for significant environmental impact but a clearer approach is needed to make it effective for the remodelers.

The following proposal is for the task group's and committee's consideration. This proposal would eliminate the Green Building Path but allow all buildings to be remodeled following an approach similar to the existing Green Remodel path. This path would be broadened and strengthened with the addition of mandatory requirements in chapters 6,7,9, and 10. A new chapter 11 has been added to define the mandatory requirements and to clearly distinguish the application between new and existing construction. The threshold levels for improvement in energy and water would remain the same and would be the determining factor in establishing the level (Bronze, Silver Gold, and Emerald) of compliance. All the current Renovation Notes and Addition Notes would be deleted from the Standard.

Buildings post 1980 would be eligible for in this new version but they would have a greater challenge in meeting the energy and water thresholds since those buildings likely would have been built to more stringent codes. Additions would also be included in the green building path but those as well would have a greater challenge in meeting the energy and water thresholds since the enlarged building would normally require more energy and water. Minimal renovations (e.g. a kitchen

	remodel) would not likely meet the standard due to the energy and water thresholds.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P567	LogID 230	Other (include section number and title	Final Formal Action:
below)			Reject
Submitter:	Craig Conner, Gary Klein, Building Quality / Affiliated International Management		
Proposed Change:	All sections on renovations and additions need to be reviewed and most revised.		
Reason:	The renovations and additions sections are often confusing as to what is required and the assigned points don't always make sense. Would it help to make these a separate section of ICC 700? Maybe or maybe not.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P568	LogID 564	Entire Chapter 6	Final Formal Action:
			Reject
Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<p><i>Remove all additions and renovation notes from Chapter 6.</i></p> <p>Add new Chapter with updated addition and renovation notes as follows. For clarity, only the revised addition and renovation notes are underlined.</p>		

CHAPTER 12

RESOURCE EFFICIENCY

RENOVATION PROJECTS

GREEN BUILDING PRACTICES	POINTS
1201	
QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS AND WASTE	
<p>1201.0 Intent. Design and construction practices that minimize the environmental impact of the building materials are incorporated, environmentally efficient building systems and materials are incorporated, and waste generated during construction is reduced.</p>	
<p>1201.1 Conditioned floor area. Conditioned floor area, as defined by ICC IRC and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765, is limited. Dwelling unit size is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation.</p>	
<p>(1) less than or equal to 1,000 square feet (93 m²)</p>	15
<p>(2) less than or equal to 1,500 square feet (139 m²)</p>	12
<p>(3) less than or equal to 2,000 square feet (186 m²)</p>	9
<p>(4) less than or equal to 2,500 square feet (232 m²)</p>	6
<p>(5) greater than 4,000 square feet (372 m²) (For every 100 square feet (9.29 m²) over 4,000 square feet (372 m²), one point is to be added in Table 303, Category 7 for each performance level.)</p>	Mandatory
<p><u>When renovations increase the total existing building or dwelling unit area by 1 percent or less, points are awarded as follows:</u></p>	
<p>(a) <u>The total area of the existing building or dwelling unit is less than or equal to 2500 square feet (232 m²).</u></p>	6 Additional Points
<p>(b) <u>The total area of the existing building or dwelling unit is greater than 2500 square feet (232 m²).</u></p>	1 Additional Point
<p><i>Multi-Unit Building Note: For a multi-unit building, use a weighted average of the individual unit sizes in qualifying for available points.</i></p>	
<p>1201.2 Material usage. Building-code-compliant structural systems or advanced framing techniques are implemented that optimize material usage. (Points awarded for each system or framing technique implemented.)</p>	3 9 Points Max
<p>1201.3 Building dimensions and layouts. Building dimensions and layouts are designed to reduce material cuts and waste. This practice is used for a minimum of 80 percent of the following areas:</p>	

(1)	floor area	3
(2)	wall area	3
(3)	roof area	3
(4)	cladding or siding area	3
(5)	penetrations or trim area	1
601.4 Framing and structural plans. Detailed framing or structural plans, material quantity lists and on-site cut lists for framing, structural materials, and sheathing materials are provided.		4
1201.5 Prefabricated components. Precut or preassembled components, or panelized or precast assemblies are utilized for a minimum of 90 percent for the following system or building:		
(1)	floor system	4
(2)	wall system	4
(3)	roof system	4
(4)	modular construction for the entire building located above grade	13
(5)	manufactured home construction for the entire building located above grade	13
1201.6 Stacked stories. Stories above grade are stacked, such as in 1½-story, 2-story, or greater structures. The area of the upper story is a minimum of 50 percent of the area of the story below, based on areas with a minimum ceiling height of 7 feet (2134 mm).		8 Points Max
(1)	first stacked story	4
(2)	for each additional stacked story	2
1201.7 Site applied finishing materials. Building materials or assemblies are utilized that do not require additional site applied material for finishing.		12 Points Max
(1)	90 percent or more of the installed building material or assembly listed below: (Points awarded for each material or assembly.)	5
(2)	50 percent to less than 90 percent of the installed building material or assembly listed below: (Points awarded for each material or assembly.)	2
(a)	pigmented, stamped, decorative, or final finish concrete or masonry	
(b)	trim not requiring paint or stain	
(c)	window, skylight, and door assemblies not requiring paint or stain on exterior and/or interior surfaces	
(d)	wall coverings or systems not requiring paint or stain or other type of finishing application	
1201.8 Foundations. Foundations, such as frost-protected shallow foundations, pier and pad foundations, post foundations and other similar foundation types, are designed and constructed.		3
1201.9 Above grade wall systems. One or more of the following above grade wall systems that provide sufficient structural and thermal characteristics are used for a minimum of 75 percent of the gross exterior wall area of the building:		4
(1)	adobe	
(2)	concrete and/or masonry	

(3) logs																
(4) rammed earth																
1202																
ENHANCED DURABILITY AND REDUCED MAINTENANCE																
1202.0 Intent. Design and construction practices are implemented that enhance the durability of materials and reduce in-service maintenance.																
1202.1 Exterior doors. Entries at exterior door assemblies, inclusive of side lights, are covered by one of the following methods to protect the building from the effects of precipitation and solar radiation. A projection factor of 0.375 minimum is provided. Eastern and western facing entries in Climate Zones 1, 2, and 3, as determined in accordance with Figure 6(1), have a projection factor of 1.0 minimum, unless otherwise protected from direct solar radiation by other means (e.g., screen wall, vegetation).	5 Points Max															
(a) installing a porch roof or awning																
(b) extending the roof overhang																
(c) recessing the exterior door																
(1) main entrance door	3															
(2) additional covered door assembly	1															
1202.2 Roof overhangs. <u>If a renovation alters the existing roof</u> , then roof overhangs, based on inches rainfall in Table 602.2, are provided over a minimum of 90 percent of exterior walls to protect the building envelope.	5															
<p>Table 602.2 Minimum Roof Overhang for One- & Two-Story Buildings</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾</th> <th>Eave Overhang (Inches)</th> <th>Rake Overhang (Inches)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Less than 20</td> <td>12</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21 to 40</td> <td>12</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>41 to 70</td> <td>18</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>More than 70</td> <td>24</td> <td>12</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(1) Average annual inches of rainfall are in accordance with Figure 6(2)</p> <p>For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm</p>		Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	Eave Overhang (Inches)	Rake Overhang (Inches)	Less than 20	12	12	21 to 40	12	12	41 to 70	18	12	More than 70	24	12
Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	Eave Overhang (Inches)	Rake Overhang (Inches)														
Less than 20	12	12														
21 to 40	12	12														
41 to 70	18	12														
More than 70	24	12														
1202.3 Foundation drainage.																
1202.3.1 Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC for habitable and usable spaces below grade, exterior drain tile is installed.	Mandatory															
1202.3.2 <u>If a renovation involves the demolition/reconfiguration of exterior walls and/or modification of the existing foundation drainage system, then</u> interior and exterior foundation perimeter drains are installed and sloped to discharge to daylight, dry well, or sump pit.	6															
1202.4 Drip edge. Drip edge is installed at eaves and gable roof edges.	3															
1202.5 Roof water discharge. A gutter and downspout system or splash blocks and effective grading are provided to carry water a minimum of 5 feet (1524 mm) away from perimeter foundation walls.	5															

1202.6 Finished grade. Finish grade at all sides of building is sloped to provide a minimum of 6 inches (150 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the edge of the building. Where lot lines, walls, slopes, or other physical barriers prohibit 6 inches (152 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm), the final grade is sloped away from the edge of the building at a minimum slope of 5 percent and the water is directed to drains or swales to ensure drainage away from the structure.	2
1202.7 Termite barrier. Continuous physical foundation termite barrier used with or without low toxicity treatment is installed in geographical areas that have subterranean termite infestation potential determined in accordance with Figure 6(3).	4
(1) <u>new non-chemical termite barrier is provided</u>	1 Additional Point
(2) <u>existing chemical barrier is removed and replaced with a non-chemical barrier</u>	3 Additional Points
1202.8 Termite-resistant materials. Termite-resistant materials are used as follows: _	
(1) In areas of slight to moderate termite infestation probability (as defined by Figure 6(3)) for the foundation, all structural walls, floors, concealed roof spaces not accessible for inspection, exterior decks, and exterior claddings within the first 2 feet (610 mm) above the top of the foundation.	2
(2) In areas of moderate to heavy termite infestation probability (as defined by Figure 6(3)) for the foundation, all structural walls, floors, concealed roof spaces not accessible for inspection, exterior decks, and exterior claddings within the first 3 feet (914 mm) above the top of the foundation.	4
(3) In areas of very heavy termite infestation probability (as defined by Figure 6(3)) for the foundation, all structural walls, floors, concealed roof spaces not accessible for inspection, exterior decks, and exterior claddings.	6
1202.9 Water-resistive barrier. <u>If a renovation includes exterior veneer and/or siding replacement,</u> then where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind exterior veneer and/or siding.	Mandatory
1202.10 Ice barrier. In areas where there has been a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a backup of water, an ice barrier is installed in accordance with the ICC IRC or IBC at roof eaves and extends at a minimum of 24 inches (610 mm) inside the exterior wall line of the building.	Mandatory
1202.11 Foundation waterproofing. <u>If a renovation involves the demolition/reconfiguration of exterior walls, modification of the foundation wall, or an effort to improve foundation waterproofing, then enhanced foundation waterproofing is installed:</u> (1) rubberized coating, or (2) drainage mat	6
1202.12 Flashing. Flashing details are shown on plans and flashing is installed at all of the following locations, as applicable: (1) around exterior fenestrations, skylights and doors (2) roof valleys (3) deck/balcony to building intersections (4) at roof-to-wall intersection and at roof-to-chimney intersections (5) a drip cap is provided above windows and doors that are not flashed or protected by covering in accordance with Section 602.1	6
1202.13 Roof surfaces. <u>If a renovation includes roof replacement,</u> then a minimum of 90 percent of roof	3

surfaces are constructed of one or both of the following:														
(1) products that are in accordance with the ENERGY STAR® cool roof certification or equivalent														
(2) a green (landscaped) roof system														
1202.14 Recycling. Occupant recycling is facilitated by one or more of the following methods:														
(1) A built-in collection space in each kitchen and an aggregation/pick-up space in a garage, covered outdoor space, or other area for recycling containers	3													
(2) Compost facility provided on-site	3													
1203														
REUSED OR SALVAGED MATERIALS														
1203.0 Intent. Practices that reuse or modify existing structures, salvage materials for other uses, or use salvaged materials in the building's construction are implemented.														
1203.1 Reuse of existing building. Existing buildings and structures are reused, modified, or deconstructed in lieu of demolition.	1													
(Points awarded for every 200 square feet (18.5 m²) of floor area.)	12 Points Max													
1203.2 Salvaged materials. Reclaimed and/or salvaged materials and components are used. The total material and labor cost of salvaged materials is equal to or exceeds 1 percent of the total construction cost.	3													
1203.3 Scrap materials. Facilitation for sorting and reuse of scrap building material (e.g., provide a central storage area or dedicated bins).	4													
1204														
RECYCLED-CONTENT BUILDING MATERIALS														
1204.1 Recycled content. Building materials with recycled content are used for two minor and/or two major components of the building.		Points per Table 604.1												
<p>Table 604.1</p> <p>Recycled Content</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Material Percentage Recycled Content</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Points Per 2 Minor</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Points Per 2 Major</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">25% to less than 50%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">50% to less than 75%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">more than 75%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Material Percentage Recycled Content	Points Per 2 Minor	Points Per 2 Major	25% to less than 50%	1	2	50% to less than 75%	2	4	more than 75%	3	6
Material Percentage Recycled Content	Points Per 2 Minor	Points Per 2 Major												
25% to less than 50%	1	2												
50% to less than 75%	2	4												
more than 75%	3	6												
1205														
RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE														

1205.0 Intent. Waste generated during construction is recycled. All waste classified as hazardous shall be properly handled and disposed.
(Points not awarded for hazardous waste removal.)

1205.1 Construction waste management plan. A construction waste management plan <u>including information on the proper handling and disposal of hazardous waste</u> is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented.	Mandatory 2 Points
The <u>posted and implemented</u> construction waste management plan includes a goal of recycling or salvaging a minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste.	6 Additional Points

1205.2 On-site recycling. <u>All waste classified as hazardous waste is properly handled and disposed of. The weight of this material is exempted from landfill diversion when Section 605.2 is applied to existing buildings.</u>	Mandatory
<u>On-site recycling measures following applicable regulations and codes are implemented, such as the following:</u> (a) <u>Materials are ground or otherwise safely applied on-site as soil amendment or fill. A minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste is diverted from landfill.</u> (b) <u>Alternative compliance methods approved by the Adopting Entity</u>	7

1205.3 Recycled construction materials. Construction materials (e.g., wood, cardboard, metals, drywall, plastic, asphalt roofing shingles, or concrete) are recycled offsite.	6 Points Max
(1) a minimum of two types of materials are recycled	3
(2) for each additional recycled material	1

1206
RENEWABLE MATERIALS

1206.0 Intent. Building materials derived from renewable resources are used.

1206.1 Biobased products. The following biobased products are used:	8 Points Max
(a) certified solid wood in accordance with Section 606.2) (b) engineered wood) (c) bamboo (d) cotton) (e) cork (f) straw (g) natural fiber products made from crops (soy-based, corn-based)) (h) products with the minimum biobased contents of the USDA <u>7</u> CFR Part 2902) (i) other biobased materials with a minimum of 50 percent biobased content (by weight or volume)	

1206.1(1) Two types of biobased materials are used, each for more than 0.5 percent of the project's projected building material cost.	3
1206.1(2) Two types of biobased materials are used, each for more than 1 percent of the project's projected building material cost.	6
1206.1(3) For each additional biobased material used for more than 0.5 percent of the project's projected building material cost.	1
	2 Points Max
1206.2 Wood-based products. Wood or wood-based products are certified to the requirements of one of the following recognized product programs:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) AFF American Tree Farm System® (b) Canadian Standards Association's <i>Sustainable Forest Management System Standards</i> (CSA Z809) (c) <i>Forest Stewardship Council</i> (FSC) (d) <i>Program for Endorsement of Forest Certification Systems</i> (PEFC) (e) <i>Sustainable Forestry Initiative Program</i> (SFI) (f) other product programs mutually recognized by PEFC 	
1206.2(1) Where a minimum of two certified wood-based products are used for minor elements of the building, such as all trim, cabinetry, or millwork.	3
1206.2(2) Where a minimum of two certified wood-based products are used in major elements of the building, such as walls, floors, or roof.	4
1206.3 Manufacturing energy. Materials are used for major components of the building that are manufactured using a minimum of 33 percent of the primary manufacturing process energy derived from renewable sources, combustible waste sources, or renewable energy credits (RECs). (2 points awarded per material.)	6 Points Max
1207	
RESOURCE-EFFICIENT MATERIALS	
1207.1 Products containing fewer materials are used to achieve the same end-use requirements as conventional products, including but not limited to: (3 points awarded for each material.)	9 Points Max
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) lighter, thinner brick with bed depth less than 3 inches and/or brick with coring of more than 25 percent (2) engineered wood or engineered steel products (3) roof or floor trusses 	
1208	
INDIGENOUS MATERIALS	
1208.1 Indigenous materials are used for major elements of the building.	10 Points Max
(1) one type of material	2
(2) for each additional material	2

1209 LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS	
1209.1 A more environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tool compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards that compare the environmental impact of building materials, assemblies, or the whole building.	15 Points Max
(1) per product/system comparison	3
(2) whole building LCA analysis	15
1210 INNOVATIVE PRACTICES	
1210.1 Manufacturer’s environmental management system concepts. Product manufacturer’s operations and business practices include environmental management system concepts, and the production facility is ISO 14001 certified or equivalent. The aggregate value of building products from ISO 14001 certified or equivalent production facilities is 1 percent or more of the estimated total building materials cost. <p style="text-align: right;">(1 point awarded per percent.)</p>	10 points Max
Reason:	<p>The National Green Building Standard’s broad applicability to a range of project types is a key strength to the document and the impact that it will have on the growth of green residential construction. In fact, by including guidance for existing buildings, the NGBS can be a good resource in addressing the issue of older buildings requiring more energy and other resources to operate (when compared to new construction.) However, the current system of using modifications to the practices and scoring for new construction can be a cumbersome and confusing process when scoring renovation and addition projects. Simplifying the document and removing extraneous information so that practitioners can more readily focus on the practices and scoring that relate to their particular project could increase the practical utility of the standard for older buildings. An example of how this approach would change the standard is provided in this proposal , where Chapter 6 has been revised by removing all of the addition and revisions notes from the chapter and a new chapter 12 has been created to consolidate all of the renovation notes into its own chapter.</p>
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P569	LogID TG7-1	Entire Standard	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
Submitter:	Paul Sullivan , Task Group 7		
Proposed Change:	<i>Due to its size, the proposed change is appended at the end of this document.</i>		
Reason:	In response to multiple comments on the remodeling provisions, Task Group 6 offers a new format for rating green remodels		

	using the NGBS.																																											
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified																																											
Modification of Proposed Change:	<p><i>Revise proposed change as follows (in red):</i></p> <p>...</p> <p>305.2.2 Consumption for both energy and water consumption shall be estimated for both before and after the remodeling. The occupancy and life style assumed and the method of making the consumption comparison should be the same for both estimates.</p> <p>(1) Energy consumption comparison: Energy consumption comparison shall be based on the estimated annual energy use cost savings due to heating, cooling, and water heating as determined by a third-party energy audit or <u>and</u> analysis. The comparison is based on the percentage difference between the HERS index before and the HERS index after the remodeling calculated as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">$(HERS_{before} - HERS_{after}) / HERS_{before} * 100.$</p> <p>...</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="5" style="text-align: right;">Table 305.2.3</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="5" style="text-align: right;">Threshold Ratings for Green Remodels</th> </tr> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Green Remodel Practice</th> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Performance Level</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">BRONZE</th> <th style="text-align: center;">SILVER</th> <th style="text-align: center;">GOLD</th> <th style="text-align: center;">EMERALD</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Reduction in energy and water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">34%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">43%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Reduction <u>in</u> water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">34%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">43%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>...</p> <p><i>Retain (5) in Section 11.601.1:</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">11.601.1 Conditioned floor area. Conditioned floor area after the remodeling, as defined by ICC IRC and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765, is limited. Dwelling unit size is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(1) less than or equal to 1,000 square feet (93 m²)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) less than or equal to 1,500 square feet (139 m²)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) less than or equal to 2,000 square feet (186 m²)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) less than or equal to 2,500 square feet (232 m²)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) greater than 4,000 square feet (372 m²) (For every 100 square feet (9.29 m²) over 4,000 square feet (372 m²), one point is to be added in Table 303, Category 7 for each performance level.)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Mandatory</td> </tr> </table> <p>...</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">11.602.9 Water-resistive barrier. Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a <u>A</u> water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind <u>all newly installed</u> exterior veneer and/or siding.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Mandatory</td> </tr> </table> <p>...</p>	Table 305.2.3					Threshold Ratings for Green Remodels					Green Remodel Practice	Performance Level				BRONZE	SILVER	GOLD	EMERALD	Reduction in energy and water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2	20%	34%	43%	50%	Reduction <u>in</u> water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2	20%	34%	43%	50%	11.601.1 Conditioned floor area. Conditioned floor area after the remodeling, as defined by ICC IRC and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765, is limited. Dwelling unit size is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation.		(1) less than or equal to 1,000 square feet (93 m ²)	15	(2) less than or equal to 1,500 square feet (139 m ²)	12	(3) less than or equal to 2,000 square feet (186 m ²)	9	(4) less than or equal to 2,500 square feet (232 m ²)	6	(5) greater than 4,000 square feet (372 m²) (For every 100 square feet (9.29 m²) over 4,000 square feet (372 m²), one point is to be added in Table 303, Category 7 for each performance level.)	Mandatory	11.602.9 Water-resistive barrier. Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a <u>A</u> water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind <u>all newly installed</u> exterior veneer and/or siding.	Mandatory
Table 305.2.3																																												
Threshold Ratings for Green Remodels																																												
Green Remodel Practice	Performance Level																																											
	BRONZE	SILVER	GOLD	EMERALD																																								
Reduction in energy and water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2	20%	34%	43%	50%																																								
Reduction <u>in</u> water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2	20%	34%	43%	50%																																								
11.601.1 Conditioned floor area. Conditioned floor area after the remodeling, as defined by ICC IRC and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765, is limited. Dwelling unit size is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation.																																												
(1) less than or equal to 1,000 square feet (93 m ²)	15																																											
(2) less than or equal to 1,500 square feet (139 m ²)	12																																											
(3) less than or equal to 2,000 square feet (186 m ²)	9																																											
(4) less than or equal to 2,500 square feet (232 m ²)	6																																											
(5) greater than 4,000 square feet (372 m²) (For every 100 square feet (9.29 m²) over 4,000 square feet (372 m²), one point is to be added in Table 303, Category 7 for each performance level.)	Mandatory																																											
11.602.9 Water-resistive barrier. Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a <u>A</u> water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind <u>all newly installed</u> exterior veneer and/or siding.	Mandatory																																											
Committee Reason:																																												

Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 40 Disapprove: 1 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	<i>Bruce Boncke:</i> Points TBD
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	<i>Steven Armstrong:</i> As a Rater that works with many multifamily remodel projects I have found that when the project does not involve a complete gut rehab, but instead is just targeting energy and water efficiency modernization, the concept outlined in the current standards green remodel path is very palatable to the property owners/builders. A simple straight forward approach to this type of targeted remodel is critical from my perspective to allow property owners some rewards for the work they are doing, and to potentially push them to a higher standard of renovation. Mandatory compliance with specific IAQ items are necessary to assure that safety is in the forefront. The proposed changes to the Standard's remodel component by Task Group 7 and by Bob Hill are extensive and would be the recommended method to verify a full remodel. From a verifiers perspective, Bob's proposal appears to be a little more straight forward with respect to its application to the various types of remodel projects. The list of mandatory practices are easily applied to items being impacted or addressed by the remodel/renovation or room addition projects. There is not a lot of second guessing the intent. Task group 7 proposal is a noble effort to address the many details that may be involved in a remodel/renovation, but in its current form lacks clarity and adds unnecessary complications for compliance with the Green Remodel Path. Two of the main areas of issue are 1) applicable practices and how they apply to 305.2.4 or to the Minor Remodel projects. These don't seem to be well enough defined to clearly distinguish between applicable and non-applicable practices. Without this clarity there will be a lot of questions generated and inconsistent application across the nation. 2) With respect to the individual or minor remodel projects the task group has presented a reasonable start to addressing these. However, there is a lack of completeness in the planning and implementation, and in the consistency of the application of mandatory and optional practices as they relate to the individual projects. In-other-words there are a lot of holes that need to be filled. To reiterate, it is very important that a method be retained in the standard to allow a project to attain a Green certification via energy and water savings upgrades. This method needs to be clear and easy to implement without compromising the health and safety of the individuals or the structure.
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P570	LogID 334'	701.4.3.3 Walls	Final Formal Action: See P388
Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)		
Proposed Change:	See P338. Duplicative item.		
Reason:			
Committee Action from Meeting:			
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:			
Ballot Results on Committee Action:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P571	LogID 307'	701.4.4.1 Fenestration	Final Formal Action: Reject
Submitter:	Eric Lacey, RECA		
Proposed Change:	See P343 for proposed language and committee action on the new construction portion of the proposed change.		

	<p><i>Add new as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Addition and Renovation Note:</u></p> <p><u>Section 701.4.4.1 is mandatory for both additions and renovations where new windows are installed.</u></p>
Reason:	This proposal corrects an omission in the fenestration requirements for additions and renovations. Although nearly every mandatory practice under Section 701.4 of the 2008 NGBS applies to additions and renovations, Section 701.4.4 is silent on window requirements for additions and renovations. Where an addition or renovation includes the installation or replacement of windows, it is reasonable to require that these windows meet the same mandatory requirements as in new construction.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P572	LogID 336'	703.1.1 Total Building Thermal Envelope	<i>Final Formal Action: See P356</i>
UA			

Submitter:	John Woestman, Extruded Polystyrene Foam Association (XPSA)
Proposed Change:	See P356. Duplicative item.
Reason:	
Committee Action from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P573	LogID 928	801.4 Showerheads	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Michael Grothe, NAHB Research Center
Proposed Change:	<u>Addition and Renovation Note:</u> Existing showerhead is replaced with a showerhead that has a flow rate in accordance with Section 804.4.1

Reason:	Section 804.1.1 does not exist in the NGBS
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P574	LogID 121	Add New Section	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	---

Submitter:	Steve Hale, Build Green NM
Proposed Change:	<u>Retrofit Toilets within the limits of the local jurisdiction 4 points per toilet limit 12 points</u>
Reason:	Suggest adding offsetting existing water use within the jurisdiction or water service area like retrofitting existing high water use toilets, faucets, or adding cisterns off site. The City of Santa Fe has been requiring this for about 8 years and the water savings has been amazing.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Proposed change does not align with the newly proposed set of remodeling provisions (see P569).
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P575	LogID 197	901.0 Intent (Pollutant Source Control)	<i>Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified</i>
-------------	------------------	--	---

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	<p><i>901.15 Renovation Note:</i> For buildings constructed prior to 1978, <u>all contractors must adhere to the EPA regulations for lead-safe work practices are used during renovation, remodeling, painting, and demolition.</u></p>	<p><i>Mandatory</i></p> <p><i>0 Additional Points</i></p>

Reason:	Proposed language will clarify that all lead-safe work is in accordance with the EPA regulations and guidelines. It is important that all contractors and subcontractors are aware that the federal guidelines supersede any local jurisdictional requirements or methods outlined in this standard.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified
Modification of Proposed Change:	See P569.
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P569 which revises the entire remodeling provisions.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P576	LogID 198	902.2.3 MERV Filters	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orlowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	<p>902.2.3 MERV filters 8 or greater are installed on central air systems. Designer or installer is to verify that the HVAC equipment is able to accommodate the greater pressure drop of MERV 8 filters.</p> <p><i>Addition Note: Section 902.2.3 applies only to additions that include a new HVAC system.</i></p> <p><i>Renovation Note: Section 902.2.3 applies only to renovations that replace an <u>continue to use the existing</u> HVAC system.</i></p>	3	
Reason:	Many HVAC systems can be dramatically improved by upgrading the air filters, without having to replace the entire HVAC system. The current renovation note for section 902.2.3 seems to conflict with the base language. Section 902.2.3 allows designers to replace the filter with a MERV filter 8 or greater, after they verify that the HVAC system can handle the pressure drop with the more restrictive filter. The renovation note only permits the additional credit when the HVAC system is replaced.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P577	LogID 289'	Add New Section	<i>Final Formal Action: See P540</i>
-------------	-------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------------

Submitter:	Kelly Wedell, US EPA
Proposed Change:	See P540. Duplicative item.
Reason:	
Committee Action from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P578	LogID 294	Add New Section	<i>Final Formal Action: Reject</i>
-------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Kelly Wedell, US EPA
Proposed Change:	<p><u>PCBs in Caulk: Addition and Renovation Note:</u> <u>For all buildings constructed prior to 1978, conduct an indoor air quality test for PCBs, following EPA's Compendium Method TO-4A (high air volume) or Compendium Method TO-10A (low air volume). In addition to or in place of the air quality test, test caulking for PCBs as well if it is peeling or visibly deteriorating. Testing of caulk should follow the procedures outlined in EPA's Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846.</u></p> <p><u>If PCBs are present in indoor air in concentrations above background levels or are present in caulk in concentrations greater than or equal to 50 ppm, take steps to minimize exposure and remove and replace the caulking as soon as practicable. Interim steps to reduce exposure should follow EPA best practices, as found at http://www.epa.gov/pcbseincaulk/caulkinterim.htm. Disposal of caulk or other building products contaminated by PCB-bearing caulk must follow regulatory guidelines for PCB bulk product waste, as defined at 40 CFR 761.62.</u></p> <p><u>Reporting: provide copies of all testing results. Provide documentation of all disposal measures, including disposal companies used and final destination of waste materials.</u></p>
Reason:	Given that the standard has requirements intended for renovations and additions to existing buildings, many of which contain legacy chemicals of concern, EPA would like to see the renovation process trigger verification that PCBs are addressed as suggested above as additions to Chapter 9.
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	This is an onerous requirement. These types of issues should be addressed through regulations, not voluntary rating systems such as the NGBS.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s)	

for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P579	LogID 199	1001.1 Homeowner's Manual	Final Formal Action: Accept as Modified
-------------	------------------	----------------------------------	--

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	<p>Renovations Note: A building owners' manual that includes the following:</p> <p>(1) all mandatory items listed in Section 1001.1 (2) a minimum of six of the non-mandatory items listed in Section 1001.1 (3) the EPA approved consumer pamphlets on lead renovation publications "Reducing Lead Hazards When Remodeling Your Home" and "Asbestos in Your Home: A Homeowner's Guide"</p>	Mandatory 0 Additional Points
Reason:	To ensure that the standard does not reference specific EPA documents that may be outdated or discontinued, the standard should simple reference that the homeowner should receive a copy of an EPA approved document applicable to home renovations.	
Committee Action from Meeting:	Accept as Modified	
Modification of Proposed Change:	See P569.	
Committee Reason:	Addressed in P569 which revises the entire remodeling provisions.	
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0	
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:		
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:		

P580	LogID 204	1003.2 Operations Manuals	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB	
Proposed Change:	<p>1003.2 Operations manual. Operations manuals are created and distributed to the responsible parties in accordance with Section 1003.0. Between all of the operation manuals, five or more of the following options are included.</p> <p>Addition and Renovation Note: An operations manual that includes the following:</p> <p>(1) all mandatory items listed in Section 1003.2 (2) a minimum of three of the non-mandatory items listed in Section 1003.2</p>	1 0 1 Additional Points
Reason:	Points should be accredited to renovators and remodelers that provide all of the mandatory items and two of the non-mandatory items to the owner as listed in section 1003.2. There is no reason that renovation projects should be required to provide the documentation and not receive the same points that new construction projects receive for providing the same documentation.	
Committee Action	Reject	

from Meeting:	
Modification of Proposed Change:	
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:	
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:	

P581	LogID 203	1003.3 Maintenance Manuals	Final Formal Action: Reject
-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Submitter:	Steven Orłowski, NAHB		
Proposed Change:	1003.3 Maintenance manual. Maintenance manuals are created and distributed to the responsible parties in accordance with Section 1003.0. Between all of the maintenance manuals, five or more of the following options are included.	1	
	Addition and Renovation Note: A maintenance manual that includes the following:	0 1 Additional Points	
	(1) <i>all mandatory items listed in Section 1003.3.</i> (2) <i>a minimum of three of the non-mandatory items listed in Section 1003.3.</i>		
Reason:	Points should be accredited to renovators and remodelers that provide all of the mandatory items and three of the non-mandatory items to the owner as listed in section 1003.3. There is no reason that renovation projects should be required to provide the documentation and not receive the same points that new construction projects receive for providing the same documentation.		
Committee Action from Meeting:	Reject		
Modification of Proposed Change:			
Committee Reason:	Reject in favor of a comprehensive revision of the remodeling provisions per P569.		
Ballot Results on Committee Action:	Eligible to vote: 41 Approve: 41 Disapprove: 0 Abstain: 0 Non-voting: 0		
Ballot Comment(s) for Approve:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Disapprove:			
Ballot Comment(s) for Abstain:			

P569

Proposed Changes to the National Green Building Standard for Remodeling

Proposed Change TG7-1

[NOTE: Language is not underlined for clarity]

Definitions

The following new definitions are added:

Major Remodel. A renovation and/or addition project whose scope is such that it is broader than a single room or area of the building.

Minor Remodel. A limited renovation or addition involving only a kitchen renovation, a bathroom renovation, a basement renovation, or a one room addition or a one room addition plus one bathroom or kitchen.

Remove the definition for Alteration

Change: "Building, Existing" to "Existing Building"

Change "Renovation" to "Remodeling"

The current section 305 is deleted and replaced with new section 305

305 Green Remodeling

305.1 Applicability. This section shall apply to any existing building where improvements are made via renovation and/or addition to the structure or landscape/hardscape. At least one major structural element of the existing building must remain (e.g. foundation). Complete tear downs must follow the new construction path of section 303 or 304 including all appropriate mandatory requirements. Buildings with additions of greater than 75% of the existing conditioned floor area must comply with section 303 or 304.

305.1.1 Practices

305.1.1 Major Remodels. Remodel projects must initially be evaluated according to section 305.2. Projects that do not qualify for meeting the requirements of 305.2 shall be considered per section 305.3.

305.2.1 Mandatory Practices. The building shall comply with all applicable mandatory practices in Chapter 11[new] regardless of whether the project scope of work addresses the mandatory practice

305.2.2 Consumption for both energy and water consumption shall be estimated for both before and after the remodeling. The occupancy and life style assumed and the method of making the consumption comparison should be the same for both estimates.

(1) Energy consumption comparison: Energy consumption shall be based on the estimated annual energy use due to heating, cooling, and water heating as determined by a third-party energy audit or analysis. The comparison is

based on the percentage difference between the HERS index before and the HERS index after the remodeling calculated as follows:

$$(HERS_{before} - HERS_{after}) / HERS_{before} * 100.$$

(2) Water consumption: Water consumption shall be based on the estimated annual use as determined by audit or analysis. The comparison is based on the percentage difference between the consumption before and the after the remodeling calculated as follows:

$$(Usage_{before} - Usage_{after}) / Usage_{before} * 100$$

305.2.3 Consumption in both categories of Section 305.3(1) and (2) shall be reduced to achieve the desired performance level of Table 305.4.

Green Remodel Practice	Performance Level			
	BRONZE	SILVER	GOLD	EMERALD
Reduction in energy and water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2	20%	34%	43%	50%
Reduction water consumption in accordance with Section 305.2.2	20%	34%	43%	50%

305.2.4 Green Practices

Additional green practices shall be selected from sections 11.5, 11.6, and 11.9 to achieve the thresholds of Minimum Point Percentage listed in table 305.2.4 based on practices applicable to the scope of the project. The point percentage is calculated as follows:

$$(Points\ from\ practices\ implemented) / (Total\ Potential\ Applicable\ Points\ from\ the\ section) * 100.$$

Applicable points are points available by implementing practices that are within the scope of the project. Practices that would require effort outside of the scope of the project are not included as Applicable Points. For example, if carpet is not being replaced as part of the project, the points for 11.901.5 are not Applicable Points. When a practice has multiple sub-practices the points for all the sub-practices are considered Applicable Points even if the scope of the project calls for only doing one of the sub-practices. For example, practice 11.503.1 concerning conservation of natural resources has 6 sub-practices; if any of the 6 sub-practices are included in the scope of the project work, then the applicable points for that practice would be 24 points in most situations. If the lot did not have any trees then the points related to sub-practices (4) & (5) would not be appropriate and then the Applicable Points would be 18 points. Points are not considered as Applicable Points simply because the existing building (prior to remodeling) exhibited the feature(s) required by the practice. Points are only available and Applicable if the points are due to a practice that falls within the scope of the project. Features of the existing building that address mandatory practices contribute to the building meet the mandatory practice.

Green Remodel Practice	Minimum Point Percentage			
	BRONZE	SILVER	GOLD	EMERALD
Section 11.5	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Section 11.6	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Section 11.9	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

When no practices from either section 11.5, 11.6, or 11.9 are applicable, those thresholds are not applicable to achieving a rating level.

305.2.5 The rating level for major renovations is determined by the lowest rating achieved by the project achieved in table 305.2.3 or table 305.2.4.

305.3 Minor Remodels

Minor remodeling projects are projects that are too small to achieve at least the Bronze level in section 305.2. Minor remodeling projects include kitchens, bathrooms, single story single room additions (less than 400 square feet), and basements. Green minor remodeling projects are not recognized as bronze, silver, gold, or emerald. Green minor remodeling projects are recognized as compliant when the project meets the applicable criteria in Chapter 12 for that specific type of project. Compliant projects must meet all the mandatory practices and at least 50% of the optional practices for that project type as specified in Chapter 12. If the small addition includes a kitchen and/or bathroom, then that project must meet all the applicable project type criteria.

Insert New Chapters 11 and 12

(for clarity, only modifications to the practices from new construction are shown in underline/strikethrough/font color format)

Chapter 11

11.1 Intent This chapter sets the mandatory green practices for any remodeling project done pursuant to this standard. A remodeling project can consist of renovating an existing building, constructing an addition to an existing building, or both.	
11.2 Some of the practices in sections 11.5, 11.6, 11.7, 11.8, 11.9, 11.10 are classified as applying to New Work or Re-Work. These practices have slightly different requirements depending on if the construction is new or if it is part of renovating existing structure. The practice applies to New Work when the practice is in relation to creating and finishing new structure. The practice applies to Re-Work when the practice is in relation to renovating existing structure and finishes. For example an addition would be all New Work. Installing new partition walls to divide an existing room into two rooms would be New Work. Repairing and painting existing drywall would be Re-Work as would replacing carpet and finish flooring. Practices that are not identified as New Work or Re-work apply equally to any work done on the project or to the entire building when applicable.	
11.3 Intentionally left blank	
11.4 Intentionally left blank	
11.502.1 A knowledgeable team is established and team member roles are identified with respect to green lot design, preparation, and re-development. The project's green goals and objectives are written into a mission statement	4
1.503.0 Intent. The lot changes are designed to avoid detrimental environmental impacts first, minimize any unavoidable impacts, and mitigate for those impacts that do occur. The project is designed to minimize environmental impacts and to protect, restore, and enhance the natural features that may be disturbed during remodeling (To be awarded points allocated for design the intent of the design is implemented.)	
11.503.1 Natural resources. Natural resources are conserved by one or more of the following:	
(1) A natural resources inventory is completed under the direction of a qualified professional.	5
(2) A plan is implemented to conserve the elements identified by the resource inventory as high priority resources.	6
(3) Items listed for protection in the resource inventory plan are protected under the direction of a qualified professional.	4
(4) Basic training in tree or other natural resource protection is provided for the on-site supervisor.	4
(5) All tree pruning on-site is conducted by a Certified Arborist.	2
(6) Ongoing maintenance of vegetation during construction is in accordance with TCIA A300.	3
11.503.2 Slope disturbance. Slope disturbance is minimized by one or more of the following. (Points awarded only if there are developable steep slopes on the lot.)	
(1) All or a percentage of building on steep slopes is avoided.	(1)

(a) less than 25 percent	2
(b) 25 percent to 75 percent	3
(c) greater than 75 percent	4
	(2)
(3) All or a percentage of paved areas and parking are aligned with natural topography to reduce cut and fill.	(3)
(a) less than 25 percent	1
(b) 25 percent to 75 percent	3
(c) greater than 75 percent	5
(4) Long-term erosion effects are reduced through the design and implementation of terracing, retaining walls, landscaping, and restabilization techniques.	(4)
(5) Underground parking on the lot uses the natural slope for parking entrances.	4
11.503.3 Soil disturbance and erosion. Soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following: (also see Section 504.3)	
(1) Construction activities are scheduled to minimize length of time that soils are exposed.	5
(2) Newly installed Utilities are installed using one or more alternative means:	5
(a) tunneling instead of trenching	
(b) use of smaller (low ground pressure) equipment or geomats to spread the weight of construction equipment.	
(c) shared utility trenches or easements	
(d) placement of utilities under driveways, and hardscape surfaces instead of yards.	
(3) Limits of clearing and grading are demarcated on the lot plan.	5
11.503.4 Storm water management. Storm water is managed using one or more of the following low impact development techniques:	
(1) Natural water and drainage features are preserved and used.	6
(2) A storm water management plan is developed and implemented that minimizes concentrated flows and simulates flows found in natural hydrology (e.g., vegetative swales, french drains, wetlands, drywells, and rain gardens).	6
(3) All or a percentage of impervious surfaces are minimized and permeable materials are used for driveways, parking areas, walkways, and patios.	
(a) less than 25 percent	1
(b) 25 percent to 75 percent	3
(c) greater than 75 percent	5
11.503.5 Landscape plan. If the project includes landscaping to more than 50% of the available area then a landscape plan for the lot is developed to limit water and energy use while preserving or enhancing the natural environment. Otherwise this section is not applicable.	
(1) A plan is formulated to restore or enhance natural vegetation that is cleared during construction. Landscaping is phased to coincide with achievement of final grades to ensure denuded areas are quickly vegetated.	5
(2) Turf grass species, other vegetation, and trees are selected that are native or regionally appropriate for local growing conditions.	4
(3) A percentage or all turf areas are limited.	
(a) 0 percent	4

(b) greater than 0 percent to less than 25 percent	3
(c) 25 percent to less than 50 percent	2
(d) 50 percent to 75 percent	1
(4) Plants with similar watering needs are grouped (hydrozoning).	5
(5) Species and locations for tree planting are identified that will provide summer shading of streets, parking areas, and buildings to moderate temperatures.	5
Deleted wind break	
(7) On-site tree trimmings or stump grinding of regionally appropriate trees are used to provide protective mulch during construction, and cleared trees are recycled as sawn lumber or pulp wood.	3
(8) An integrated pest management plan is developed to minimize chemical use in pesticides and fertilizers.	4
Delete wildlife habitat	4
11.503.8 Environmentally sensitive areas. Environmentally sensitive areas.	
(1) Environmentally sensitive areas are avoided or restored if disturbed	3
	3

11.504.0 Intent. Environmental impact during remodeling is avoided to the extent possible; impacts that do occur are minimized, and any significant impacts are mitigated. If no lot or landscape work is in the scope of the project then this section is not applicable.	
11.504.1 On-site supervision and coordination. On-site supervision and coordination is provided during clearing, grading, trenching, paving, and installation of utilities on the lot to ensure that specified green development practices are implemented. (also see Section 503.3)	4
11.504.2 Trees and vegetation. Designated trees and vegetation are preserved by one or more of the following:	
(1) Fencing or equivalent is installed to protect trees and other vegetation.	3
(2) Trenching, significant changes in grade, and compaction of soil and critical root zones in "tree save" areas are avoided.	4
(3) Damage to designated existing trees and vegetation is mitigated during construction through pruning, root pruning, fertilizing, and watering.	4
11.504.3 Soil disturbance and erosion. On-site soil disturbance and erosion are minimized by one or more of the following: (also see Section 503.3)	
(1) Limits of clearing and grading are staked out.	5
(2) "No disturbance" zones are created using fencing or flagging to protect vegetation and sensitive areas from construction activity.	5
(3) Sediment and erosion controls are installed and maintained in accordance with the storm water pollution prevention plan, where required.	5
(4) Topsoil is stockpiled and stabilized for later use to establish landscape plantings.	5

(5) Soil compaction from construction equipment is reduced by distributing the weight of the equipment over a larger area (laying lightweight geogrids, mulch, chipped wood, plywood, OSB, metal plates, or other materials capable of weight distribution in the pathway of the equipment).	3
(6) Disturbed areas that are complete or to be left unworked for 21 days or more are stabilized within 14 days using methods as recommended by the EPA, or in the approved storm water pollution prevention plan, where required.	3
(7) Soil is improved with organic amendments and mulch.	3
(8) Newly installed Utilities are installed using one or more alternative means (e.g., tunneling instead of trenching, use of smaller equipment, use of low ground pressure equipment, use of geomats, shared utility trenches or easements).	5

11.505.0 Intent. Innovative lot design, preparation and development practices are used to enhance environmental performance. Waivers or variances from local zoning regulations are obtained, and innovative practices are used to achieve such performance. If the scope of the project does not affect 50% or more of the available lot then this practice does not apply.	4
11.505.2 Heat island mitigation. Heat island mitigation. Any combination of the following strategies are provided on the lot for a minimum of 50 percent of the horizontal surface area of the hardscape:	4
(1) Shading of hardscaping: Shade is provided from existing or new vegetation (within five years) or from trellises. Shade of hardscaping is to be measured on the summer solstice at noon.	
(2) Light-colored hardscaping: Horizontal hardscaping materials are installed with a solar reflectance index of 29 or greater.	

11.601.0 Intent. Design and construction practices that minimize the environmental impact of the building materials are incorporated, environmentally efficient building systems and materials are incorporated, and waste generated during construction is reduced.	
11.601.1 Conditioned floor area. Conditioned floor area after the remodeling, as defined by ICC IRC and calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765, is limited. Dwelling unit size is to be calculated in accordance with NAHBRC Z765. Only the conditioned floor area for stories above grade plane is to be included in the calculation.	
(1) less than or equal to 1,000 square feet (93 m ²)	15
(2) less than or equal to 1,500 square feet (139 m ²)	12
(3) less than or equal to 2,000 square feet (186 m ²)	9
(4) less than or equal to 2,500 square feet (232 m ²)	6
Multi-Unit Building Note: For a multi-unit building, use a weighted average of the individual unit sizes in qualifying for available points.	
11.601.2 New Work - Material usage. Building-code-compliant structural systems or advanced framing techniques are implemented that optimize material usage.	3 9 Points Max
(Points awarded for each system or framing technique implemented.)	
11.601.3 New Work - Building dimensions and layouts of additions are designed to reduce material cuts and waste. This practice is used for a minimum of 80 percent of the following	

areas:	
(1) floor area	3
(2) wall area	3
(3) roof area	3
(4) cladding or siding area	3
(5) Window/door and trim areas	1
11.601.4 New Work - Framing and structural plans. Detailed framing or structural plans, material quantity lists, and on-site cut lists for framing, structural materials, and sheathing materials are provided.	4
11.601.5 New Work - Prefabricated components. Precut or preassembled components, or panelized or precast assemblies are utilized for a minimum of 90 percent for the following system or building:	
(1) floor system	4
(2) wall system	4
(3) roof system	4
(4) modular construction for the entire building located above grade	13
11.601.6 New Work - Stacked stories. New Stories above grade are stacked, such as in 1½-story, 2-story, or greater structures. The area of the upper story is a minimum of 50 percent of the area of the story below, based on areas with a minimum ceiling height of 7 feet (2134 mm).	8 Points Max
(1) first new stacked story	4
(2) for each additional new stacked story	2
11.601.7 Site applied finishing materials. Building materials or assemblies listed below and that do not require additional site applied material for finishing are incorporated in the building.	12 Points Max
(1) 90 percent or more of the newly installed building materials or assemblies listed below: (Points awarded for each type (a-e) of material or assembly.)	5
(2) 50 percent to less than 90 percent of the newly installed building material or assembly listed below: (Points awarded for each type (a-e) of material or assembly.)	2
(a) pigmented, stamped, decorative, or final finish concrete or masonry (b) trim not requiring paint or stain (c) window, skylight, and door assemblies not requiring paint or stain on exterior or interior surfaces (d) Wall coverings or systems not requiring paint or stain or other type of finishing application	
11.601.8 New Work - Foundations. Foundations, such as frost-protected shallow foundations, pier and pad foundations, post foundations and other similar foundation types, are designed and constructed.	3

**602
ENHANCED DURABILITY AND REDUCED MAINTENANCE**

11.602.0 Intent. Design and construction practices are implemented that enhance the durability of materials and reduce in-service maintenance.	
11.602.1 New Work - Exterior doors. Newly constructed entries into the conditioned space from the outdoors, inclusive of side lights, are covered by one of the following methods to	5 Points Max

protect the building from the effects of precipitation and solar radiation. A projection factor of 0.375 minimum is provided. Eastern and western facing entries in Climate Zones 1, 2, and 3, as determined in accordance with Figure 6(1), have a projection factor of 1.0 minimum, unless otherwise protected from direct solar radiation by other means (e.g., screen wall, vegetation).																
(a) installing a porch roof or awning (b) extending the roof overhang (c) recessing the exterior door																
(1) main entrance door	3															
(2) additional covered door assembly	1															
11.602.2 New Work - Roof overhangs. Roof overhangs, based on inches rainfall in Table 602.2, are provided over a minimum of 90 percent of exterior walls to protect the building envelope.	4															
<p>Table 602.2 Minimum Roof Overhang for One- & Two-Story Buildings</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾</th> <th>Eave Overhang (Inches)</th> <th>Rake Overhang (Inches)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Less than 20</td> <td>12</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21 to 40</td> <td>12</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>41 to 70</td> <td>18</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>More than 70</td> <td>24</td> <td>12</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(1) Average annual inches of rainfall are in accordance with Figure 6(2) For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm</p>		Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	Eave Overhang (Inches)	Rake Overhang (Inches)	Less than 20	12	12	21 to 40	12	12	41 to 70	18	12	More than 70	24	12
Inches Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	Eave Overhang (Inches)	Rake Overhang (Inches)														
Less than 20	12	12														
21 to 40	12	12														
41 to 70	18	12														
More than 70	24	12														
11.602.3 Foundation drainage.																
11.602.3.1a New Work - Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC for habitable and usable spaces below grade, exterior drainage system compliant with the IRC or IBC is installed.	Mandatory															
11.602.3.1b Re-Work - Habitable or usable existing space below grade has exterior drain tile installed where required by the ICC IRC or IBC if there is evidence of moisture issues in the space.	Mandatory															
	4															
11.602.4 Drip edge. Drip edge is installed at eaves and gable roof edges.	3															
11.602.5 New Work - Roof water discharge. A gutter and downspout system or splash blocks and effective grading are provided to carry water a minimum of 5 feet (1524 mm) away from perimeter foundation walls.	4															
11.602.6 Finished grade. Finish grade at all sides of building is sloped to provide a minimum of 6 inches (150 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the edge of the building. Where lot lines, walls, slopes, or other physical barriers prohibit 6 inches (152 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm), the final grade is sloped away from the edge of the building at a minimum slope of 5 percent and the water is directed to drains or swales to ensure drainage away from the structure.	Mandatory															
11.602.7 New Work -Termite barrier. Continuous physical foundation termite barrier is installed in geographical areas that have subterranean termite infestation potential determined in accordance with Figure 6(3).	4															

11.602.8 New Work - Termite-resistant materials. Termite-resistant materials are used as follows:	
(1) In areas of slight to moderate termite infestation probability (as defined by Figure 6(3)) for the foundation, all structural walls, floors, concealed roof spaces not accessible for inspection, windows, exterior decks, and exterior claddings within the first 2 feet (610 mm) above the top of the foundation.	2
(2) In areas of moderate to heavy termite infestation probability (as defined by Figure 6(3)) for the foundation, all structural walls, floors, concealed roof spaces not accessible for inspection, windows, exterior decks, and exterior claddings within the first 3 feet (914 mm) above the top of the foundation.	4
(3) In areas of very heavy termite infestation probability (as defined by Figure 6(3)) for the foundation, all structural walls, floors, concealed roof spaces not accessible for inspection, windows, exterior decks, and exterior claddings.	6
11.602.9 Water-resistive barrier. Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind all newly installed exterior veneer and/or siding.	Mandatory
11.602.10a New Work - Ice barrier. In areas where there has been a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a backup of water, an ice barrier is installed in accordance with the ICC IRC or IBC at roof eaves of pitched roofs and extends at a minimum of 24 inches (610 mm) inside the exterior wall line of the building.	Mandatory
11.602.10b Re-Work - Ice Barrier. <u>When the existing building</u> has a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a backup of water, an ice barrier is installed in accordance with the ICC IRC or IBC at roof eaves and extends at a minimum of 24 inches (610 mm) inside the exterior wall line of the building.	Mandatory
11.602.11 New Work - Foundation waterproofing. Enhanced foundation waterproofing is installed: (1) rubberized coating, or (2) drainage mat	4
11.602.12 New Work - Flashing. Flashing details are shown on the plans and flashing is installed at all of the following locations, as applicable: (1) around exterior fenestrations, skylights and doors (2) roof valleys (3) deck/balcony to building intersections (4) at roof-to-wall intersection and at roof-to-chimney intersections (5) a drip cap is provided above windows and doors that are not flashed or protected by covering in accordance with Section 602.1	6
11.602.13 Roof surfaces. A minimum of 90 percent of roof surfaces are constructed of one or both of the following: (1) products that are in accordance with the ENERGY STAR® cool roof certification or equivalent (2) a green (landscaped) roof system	3
11.602.14 Recycling. Recycling by the occupants is facilitated by one or more of the following methods:	6 Points Max
(1) A built-in collection space in each kitchen and an aggregation/pick-up space in a garage, covered outdoor space, or other area for recycling containers	3
(2) Compost facility provided on-site	3

11.603 REUSED OR SALVAGED MATERIALS

11.603.0 Intent. Practices that reuse or modify existing structures, salvage materials for other uses, or use salvaged materials in the building's construction are implemented.	
11.603.1 New Work - Reuse of existing building. Major elements of existing buildings and structures are reused, modified, or deconstructed for later use in lieu of demolition. Possibly calculate by percentage of materials re-used (Points awarded for every 200 square feet (18.5 m²) of floor area.)	1 12 Points Max
11.603.2 Salvaged materials. Reclaimed and/or salvaged materials and components are used. The total material value and labor cost of salvaged materials is equal to or exceeds 1 percent of the total construction cost.	3
11.603.3 Scrap materials. Facilitation for sorting and reuse of scrap building material (e.g., provide a central storage area or dedicated bins) are provided on site and used during construction.	4

11.604 RECYCLED-CONTENT BUILDING MATERIALS

11.604.1 Recycled content. Newly installed building materials with recycled content are used for two minor and/or two major components of the building.	Points per Table 604.1												
Table 604.1 Recycled Content <table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Material Percentage Recycled Content</th> <th>Points Per 2 Minor</th> <th>Points Per 2 Major</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25% to less than 50%</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50% to less than 75%</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>more than 75%</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Material Percentage Recycled Content	Points Per 2 Minor	Points Per 2 Major	25% to less than 50%	1	2	50% to less than 75%	2	4	more than 75%	3	6
Material Percentage Recycled Content	Points Per 2 Minor	Points Per 2 Major											
25% to less than 50%	1	2											
50% to less than 75%	2	4											
more than 75%	3	6											

11.605 RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE

11.605.0 All waste classified as hazardous shall be properly handled and disposed.	Mandatory
11.605.1 Construction waste management plan. A construction waste management plan is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented with a goal of recycling or salvaging a minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste.	6
	7
11.605.3 Recycled construction materials. Construction materials (e.g., wood, cardboard, metals, drywall, plastic, asphalt roofing shingles, or concrete) are recycled offsite.	6 Points Max
(1) a minimum of two types of materials are recycled	3

(2) for each additional recycled material	1
11.605.4 Hazardous materials outside of the basic scope of the project are removed.	Points TBD

11.606 RENEWABLE MATERIALS

11.606.0 Intent. Newly installed building materials derived from renewable resources are used.	
11.606.1 Biobased products. The following biobased products are used:	8 Points Max
(a) certified solid wood in accordance with Section 606.2 (b) engineered wood (c) bamboo (d) cotton (e) cork (f) straw (g) natural fiber products made from crops (soy-based, corn-based) (h) products with the minimum biobased contents of the USDA 7 CFR Part 2902 (i) other biobased materials with a minimum of 50 percent biobased content (by weight or volume)	
11.606.1(1) Two types of biobased materials are used, each for more than 0.5 percent of the project's projected building material cost.	3
11.606.1(2) Two types of biobased materials are used, each for more than 1 percent of the project's projected building material cost.	6
11.606.1(3) For each additional biobased material used for more than 0.5 percent of the project's projected building material cost.	1 2 Points Max
11.606.2 Wood-based products. Newly installed wood or wood-based products are certified to the requirements of one of the following recognized product programs:	
(a) AFF American Tree Farm System® (b) Canadian Standards Association's Sustainable Forest Management System Standards (CSA Z809) (c) Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) (d) Program for Endorsement of Forest Certification Systems (PEFC) (e) Sustainable Forestry Initiative® Program (SFI) (f) other product programs mutually recognized by PEFC	
11.606.2(1) Where a minimum of two certified wood-based products are used for minor elements of the building, such as all trim, cabinetry, or millwork.	3
11.606.2(2) Where a minimum of two certified wood-based products are used in major elements of the building, such as walls, floors, or roof.	4
11.606.3 Manufacturing energy. Newly installed materials are used for major components of the building that are manufactured using a minimum of 33 percent of the primary manufacturing process energy derived from renewable sources, combustible waste sources, or renewable energy credits (RECs). (2 points awarded per material.)	6 Points Max

11.607 RESOURCE-EFFICIENT MATERIALS

11.607.1 Newly installed Products containing fewer raw materials but still meeting the same end-use requirements as conventional products are used for a major element of the building, including but not limited to: (3 points awarded for each material.)	9 Points Max
--	---------------------

(1) lighter, thinner brick with bed depth less than 3 inches and/or brick with coring of more than 25 percent (2) engineered wood or engineered steel products (3) roof or floor trusses	
--	--

608 INDIGENOUS MATERIALS

11.608.1 Indigenous materials are used for major elements of the building.	10 Points Max
(1) one type of material	2
(2) for each additional material	2
11.609.1 A more environmentally preferable product or assembly is selected for an application based upon the use of a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tool compliant with ISO 14044 or other recognized standards that compare the environmental impact of at least two approaches for building materials, assemblies, or the whole building.	15 Points Max
(1) per product/system analysis	3
(2) whole building LCA analysis	15

610 INNOVATIVE PRACTICES

11.610.1 Manufacturer's environmental management system concepts. Product manufacturer's operations and business practices include environmental management system concepts, and the production facility is certified to ISO 14001 or equivalent. The aggregate value of building products from certified ISO 14001 or equivalent production facilities is 1 percent or more of the estimated total building materials cost. (1 point awarded per percent.)	10 points Max
--	----------------------

11.701.4 .1 HVAC systems.	
11.701.4.1.1a New Work. Space heating and cooling system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent.	Mandatory
11.701.4.1.1b Re-Work. When the HVAC system is modified, space heating and cooling system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent.	

11.701.4.1.2 HVAC Systems TG 7 will need to see what the task group on this section changes in order to complete this. New Work. Where installed as a primary heat source in the building, radiant or hydronic space heating system is designed using industry-approved guidelines (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H-22, or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations). Re-Work. Where an existing radiant or hydronic space heating system serves as the primary heat source in the existing portion of the building and it is modified, the modified system is designed using industry-approved guidelines (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H-22, or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations).	Mandatory
--	------------------

11.701.4.2.1 Duct systems.	
New Work. Ducts are sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9, to reduce leakage.	Mandatory

Re-Work. Ducts that are modified as part of the remodel are sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9, to reduce leakage.	
11.701.4.2.2 Supply Duct Systems. New Work. Building cavities are not used as supply ducts. Re-Work. No additional building cavities are used as supply ducts.	Mandatory
11.701.4.3.1(1) Insulation and air sealing. New Work. General. Insulation and air sealing is in accordance with the following: Insulation. Insulation is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions or local code, as applicable. Re-Work. General. Insulation and air sealing is in accordance with the following: Insulation. Newly installed Insulation is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions or local code, as applicable.	Mandatory
11.701.4.3.1(2) Shafts (duct shaft, piping shaft/penetrations, flue shaft). New Work. Openings to unconditioned space are fully sealed with solid blocking or flashing and any remaining gaps are sealed with caulk or foam. Fire-rated collars and caulking are installed where required. Re-Work. Openings to unconditioned space that become accessible during the remodeling are fully sealed with solid blocking or flashing and any remaining gaps are sealed with caulk or foam. Fire-rated collars and caulking are installed where required.	Mandatory
11.701.4.3.2 (1) Floors, foundations, and crawlspaces New Work. (including insulated floors above garages and cantilevered floors) (a) Insulation is installed to maintain permanent contact with the underside of the subfloor decking, enveloping any attached ductwork within the thermal envelope without compression or air gaps in the insulation. This practice does not apply to ducts or other mechanical equipment that is adjacent to the underside of the subfloor. (b) Batt and loose-fill insulation is held in place by permanent attachments or systems in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Re-Work. (including insulated floors above garages and cantilevered floors) (a) Newly installed Insulation is installed to maintain permanent contact with the underside of the subfloor decking, enveloping any attached ductwork within the thermal envelope without compression or air gaps in the insulation. This practice does not apply to ducts or other mechanical equipment that is adjacent to the underside of the subfloor. (b) Newly installed Batt and loose-fill insulation is held in place by permanent attachments or systems in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.	
11.701.4.3.2 (2) Crawlspace. New and Re-Work. Where insulated, crawlspace wall insulation is permanently attached to the walls. Exposed earth in unvented crawlspaces is covered with continuous vapor retarder with overlapping joints that are taped or masticed.	Mandatory
11.701.4.3.3(1) Windows and doors. New Work. Caulking, gasketing, adhesive flashing tape, foam sealant, or weatherstripping is installed forming a complete air barrier. Re-Work. Newly installed doors and windows have caulking, gasketing, adhesive flashing tape, foam sealant, or weather stripping installed forming a complete air barrier. Existing windows and doors are inspected and any air barrier weaknesses	Mandatory Mandatory

are corrected.							
11.701.4.3.3(2) Band joist and rim joists. New Work. Band and rim joists are insulated and air sealed. Re-Work. Band and rim joists which become accessible during the remodeling are insulated and air sealed.	Mandatory						
11.701.4.3.3(3) Between foundation and sill plate bottom plate. New Work. (a) Sill sealer or other material that will expand and contract is installed between foundation and sill plate and (b) Caulk or the equivalent is installed to seal the bottom plate of exterior walls. Re-Work. (a) When the bottom plate of exterior walls is exposed during the remodeling caulk or the equivalent is installed to seal the bottom plate of exterior walls.	Mandatory						
11.701.4.3.3(4) Skylights and knee walls. New Work. Skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls. Re-Work. Newly installed skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls.	Mandatory						
11.701.4.3.3(5) Exterior architectural features. New Work. Code required building envelope insulation and air sealing are not disrupted at exterior architectural features such as stairs and decks.	Mandatory						
11.701.4.3.4(1) Ceilings and attics. Attic access (except unvented attics). New and Re-Work. Attic access, knee wall door, or drop-down stair is covered with insulation and gasketed. Knee wall door is an insulated unit or is covered with insulation.	Mandatory						
11.701.4.3.4(2) Ceilings and attics. Recessed lighting. New Work. Recessed light fixtures that penetrate the thermal envelope are airtight, IC-rated, and sealed with gasket, caulk, or foam. Re-Work. Recessed light fixtures that penetrate the thermal envelope that can be accessed during the remodeling are airtight, IC-rated, and sealed with gasket, caulk, or foam.	Mandatory						
11.701.4.3.4(3) Ceilings and attics. Eave vents. New Work. Where ceiling/attic assemblies or designs have eave vents, baffles or other means are implemented to minimize air movement into or under the insulation.	Mandatory						
11.701.4.4.1 Fenestration New Work. NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m ²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice. Table 701.4.4.1 Fenestration Specifications	Mandatory						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Climate Zones</td> <td>U-Factor</td> <td>SHGC</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="2">Windows and Exterior Doors</td> </tr> </table>	Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC		Windows and Exterior Doors		
Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC					
	Windows and Exterior Doors						

	(maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.65	0.40
3	0.40	0.40
4 to 8	0.35	Any
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 to 3	0.75	0.40
4 to 8	0.60	Any

Re-Work. Newly installed windows, doors and TDDs are NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.

**Table 701.4.4.1
Fenestration Specifications**

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.65	0.40
3	0.40	0.40
4 to 8	0.35	Any
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 to 3	0.75	0.40
4 to 8	0.60	Any

Mandatory

11.704.4.1 Ducts

New Work. Duct system is sized, designed, and installed in accordance with ACCA Manual D or equivalent.

Mandatory

Re-Work. Modifications to the existing duct system are sized, designed, and installed in accordance with ACCA Manual D or equivalent.

11.901.1.1 Space and water heating options

11.26.1 New Work. Natural draft space heating or water heating equipment is not located in conditioned spaces, including conditioned crawlspaces. Natural draft equipment is permitted to be installed within the conditioned spaces if located in a mechanical room that has an outdoor air source, and is otherwise sealed and insulated to separate it from the conditioned space(s).

Mandatory

11.901.1.2 Air handling equipment or return ducts are not located in the garage, unless placed in isolated, air-sealed mechanical rooms with an outside air source.

5

11.901.1.3 The following combustion space heating and water heating equipment is installed within conditioned space:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| (1) direct vent furnace or boiler | 5 |
| (2) water heater | |

- | | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| (a) power vent water heater | 3 |
| (b) direct vent water heater | 5 |

11.901.1.4 The following electric equipment is installed:

- | | |
|--|----------|
| (1) heat pump air handler in unconditioned space | 2 |
| (2) heat pump air handler in conditioned space | 5 |

11.901.2 Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances. Fireplaces and fuel-burning appliances (except cooking appliances, clothes dryers, water heaters, and furnaces) located in conditioned space are in accordance with the following:

[Section 901.2.1(2)(a) is not mandatory.]

Mandatory

11.901.2.1 New Work. Fireplaces and natural draft fuel-burning appliances are code compliant, vented to the outdoors, and have adequate combustion and ventilation air provided to minimize spillage or back-drafting, in accordance with the following, as applicable.

(1) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA [Z21.88a/CSA 2.33a](#) or CSA Z21.50/CSA 2.22. **Mandatory**

(2) Solid fuel-burning appliances are in accordance with the following requirements:

(a) Wood-burning fireplaces are equipped with gasketed doors designed to operate with the doors closed, outside combustion air, and a means is provided for sealing the flue to minimize interior air (heat) loss when not in operation. **4**

(b) Factory-built, wood-burning fireplaces are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 127 and are EPA certified. **Mandatory**

(c) Wood stove and fireplace inserts, as defined in UL 1482 Section 3.8, are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 1482 and are in accordance with the emission requirements of the EPA Certification and the State of Washington [WAC 173-433-100\(3\)](#). **Mandatory**

(d) Pellet (biomass) stoves and furnaces are in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E1509 or are EPA certified. **Mandatory**

(e) Masonry heaters are in accordance with the definitions in ASTM E1602 and ICC IBC, Section 2112.1. **Mandatory**

Re-Work Removal of or rendering permanently unusable an existing fireplace and/or other fuel-burning appliances that are not in accordance with Section 901.2.1. **2**

Re-Work Replacement of each existing fireplace that is not in accordance with Section 901.2.1 with a fireplace that is in accordance with Section 901.2.1. **2**

11.901.2.2 Fireplaces, woodstoves, pellet stoves, or masonry heaters are not in the dwelling unit. **7**

11.901.3 Garages.

11.28 .1 Garages are in accordance with the following:

- (1) Attached garage

(a) Where installed in the common wall between the attached garage and conditioned space, the door is tightly sealed and gasketed.	Mandatory
(b) A continuous air barrier is provided between walls and ceilings separating the garage space from the conditioned living spaces.	Mandatory
(c) For one and two-family dwelling units, a 100 cfm (47 L/s) or greater ducted, or 70 cfm (33 L/s) cfm or greater unducted wall exhaust fan is installed and vented to the outdoors, designed and installed for continuous operation, or has controls (e.g., motion detectors, pressure switches) that activate operation for a minimum of 1-hour when either human passage door or roll-up automatic doors are operated. For ducted exhaust fans, the fan airflow rating and duct sizing are in accordance with Appendix A.	4
(2) A carport is installed, the garage is detached from the building, or no garage is installed.	10
11.901.4 Wood materials. A minimum of 85 percent of newly installed material within a product group (i.e., wood structural panels, countertops, composite trim/doors, custom woodwork, and/or component closet shelving) is manufactured in accordance with the following.	
(1) Structural plywood used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing is compliant with DOC PS 1 and/or DOC PS 2. OSB used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing is compliant with DOC PS 2. The panels are made with moisture-resistant adhesives. The trademark indicates these adhesives as follows: Exposure 1 or Exterior for plywood, and Exposure 1 for OSB.	Mandatory 10 Points Max
(2) Particleboard and MDF (medium density fiberboard) is manufactured and labeled in accordance with CPA A208.1 and CPA A208.2, respectively. (Points awarded per product group.)	2
(3) Hardwood plywood in accordance with HPVA HP-1 and HUD Title 24, Part 3280. (Points awarded per product group.)	2
(4) Particleboard, MDF, or hardwood plywood is in accordance with CPA 2. (Points awarded per product group.)	3
(5) Composite wood or agrifiber panel products contain no added urea-formaldehyde or are in accordance with the CARB <i>Composite Wood Air Toxic Contaminant Measure Standard</i> . (Points awarded per product group.)	4
(6) Non-emitting products. (Points awarded per product group.)	4
11.901.5 Carpets. Carpets are in accordance with the following:	
(1) Wall-to-wall carpeting is not adjacent to water closets and bathing fixtures.	Mandatory
(2) A minimum of 85 percent of newly installed carpet area, carpet cushion (padding), and carpet adhesives are in accordance with the emission levels of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Carpet and Rug Institute's (CRI) <i>Green Label Plus Indoor Air Quality Program</i> .	

(a) Carpet	6
(b) carpet cushion	2
(c) carpet adhesives	2
11.901.6 Hard-surface flooring. At least 25% of the newly installed flooring is hardsurface flooring and a minimum of 85 percent of newly installed hard-surface flooring is in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350 (using the office scenario), as certified by a third-party program, such as the Resilient Floor Covering Institute's <i>FloorScore Indoor Air Certification Program</i> or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i> .	6
11.901.7 Wall coverings. At least one typical room has newly installed wall coverings and minimum of 85 percent of newly installed wall coverings are in accordance with the emission concentration limits of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the Scientific Certification Systems (SCS) <i>Indoor Advantage Gold Program</i> or the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i> .	4
11.901.8 Architectural coatings. A minimum of 85 percent of the newly applied architectural coatings are in accordance with either Section 901.8.1 or Section 901.8.2, not both:	
11.901.8.1 Site-applied interior products are in accordance with one or more of the following standards:	5
(1) Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method)	
(2) CARB <i>Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings</i>	
(3) GS-11	
(4) VOC limits in accordance with: (a) 50 grams/liter flat (b) 100 grams/liter non flat (c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish (d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer	
11.901.8.2 Site-applied interior products are in accordance with the emissions levels of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i> or the Scientific Certification Systems <i>Indoor Advantage Gold Program</i> .	8
When the building is occupied during the renovation a minimum of 85 percent of the newly applied architectural coatings are in accordance with either Section 901.8.1 or Section 901.8.2	Mandatory 1
11.901.9 Adhesives and sealants. A minimum of 85 percent of newly applied site-applied adhesives and sealants are in accordance with Section 901.9.1 and/or Section 901.9.2.	
11.901.9.1 Exterior low-VOC adhesives and sealants: A minimum of 85 percent of site-applied products used for the installation of subfloors and on the exterior of the project are in accordance with one of the following:	5
(1) The California Air Resources Board consumer products regulation as follows: (a) Construction Adhesives: VOC content not to exceed 7 percent by weight or 75 grams/liter, whichever is greater. (b) The VOC content of reactive sealants (i.e., silicones, polyurethanes, and hybrids, such as MS Polymer and silylated polyurethane resin or SPUR) not to exceed 4 percent by weight or 50 grams/liter, whichever is greater. (c) The VOC content of all other caulks and sealants not to exceed 2 percent by weight or 30 grams/liter, whichever is greater. (d) The VOC content of contact adhesives not to exceed 55 percent by weight or 480	

grams/liter, whichever is greater.	
(2) GS-36	
11.901.9.2 Interior low-VOC adhesives and sealants. A minimum of 85 percent of site-applied products used within the interior of the building are in accordance with one of the following, as applicable.	5
(1) CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i> or the Scientific Certifications Systems <i>Indoor Advantage Gold Program</i> .	
(2) GS-36	
11.901.10 Cabinets. All new kitchen and bath cabinets are in accordance with one of the following. (Where more than one of the following practices is used, the practice with the fewer number of points is awarded.)	
(1) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets in accordance with KCMA ESP 01, or equivalent, are installed.	2
(2) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets in accordance with CARB <i>Composite Wood Air Toxic Contaminant Measure Standard</i> are installed.	3
(3) Kitchen and bath vanity cabinets are installed that contain no added urea formaldehyde or are in accordance with GGPS.EC.010.R0 , ASTM D 6670, or equivalent.	5
11.901.11 Insulation. Newly installed Insulation is in accordance with the following.	
(1) Formaldehyde emissions of wall, ceiling, and floor insulation materials are in accordance with the emissions levels of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i> or the Scientific Certifications Systems <i>Indoor Advantage Gold Program</i> .	4
(2) Formaldehyde emissions of duct insulation materials are in accordance with the emissions levels of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third-party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's <i>Children and Schools Certification Program</i> or the Scientific Certifications Systems <i>Indoor Advantage Gold Program</i> .	1
11.901.12 Carbon monoxide (CO) alarms. A carbon monoxide (CO) alarm is installed in a central location outside of each separate sleeping area in the immediate vicinity of the bedrooms. The CO alarm(s) is located in accordance with NFPA 720 and is hard-wired with a battery back-up. The alarm device(s) is certified by a third-party for conformance with either CSA 6.19 or UL 2034.	3
11.901.14 Non-smoking areas. All interior common areas of a multi-unit building are designated as non-smoking areas with posted signage.	1
11.901. For building constructed prior to 1978, lead-safe work practices are used during renovation, remodeling, painting, and demolition.	Mandatory

11.902.1 New Work. Spot ventilation is in accordance with the following:	
(1) Bathrooms are vented to the outdoors. The minimum ventilation rate is 50 cfm (23.6 L/s) for intermittent operation or 20 cfm (9.4 L/s) for continuous operation in bathrooms.	Mandatory
(2) Clothes dryers are vented to the outdoors.	Mandatory
Re-Work. Spot ventilation is in accordance with the following:	
(2) Clothes dryers are vented to the outdoors.	Mandatory
(3) Kitchen exhaust units and/or range hoods are ducted to the outdoors and have a minimum ventilation rate of 100 cfm (47.2 L/s) for intermittent operation or 25 cfm (11.8 L/s) for continuous operation.	8
11.902.1.2 Bathroom and/or laundry exhaust fan is provided with an automatic timer and/or humidistat: for first device for each additional device	9 Points Max 5 2
11.902.1.3 Kitchen range, bathroom, and laundry exhaust are verified to specification. Ventilation airflow at the point of exhaust is tested to a minimum of 100 cfm (47.2 L/s) intermittent or 25 cfm (11.8 L/s) continuous for kitchens, and 50 cfm (23.6 L/s) intermittent or 20 cfm (9.4 L/s) continuous for bathrooms and/or laundry.	8
11.902.1.4 Exhaust fans are ENERGY STAR, as applicable.	6 Points Max
ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, fans (Points awarded per fan.)	2
ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, fans operating at or below 1 sone (Points awarded per fan.)	3
11.902.4 HVAC system protection. One of the following HVAC system protection measures is performed.	3
(1) HVAC supply registers (boots), return grilles, and rough-ins are covered during construction activities to prevent dust and other pollutants from entering the system .	
(2) Prior to owner occupancy, HVAC supply registers (boots), return grilles, and duct terminations are inspected and vacuumed. In addition, the coils are inspected and cleaned and the filter is replaced if necessary.	
(2) The addition or renovation area are sealed off from the occupied portion of the building or dwelling unit. The same HVAC system for conditioning the air in renovated and occupied space is not used.	
(3) The building or dwelling unit is not occupied during the entire construction period and Sections 902.4(1) and 902.4(2) are implemented.	

11.902.5 Central vacuum systems. Central vacuum system is installed and vented to the outside.	5
11.902.6 Living space contaminants. The living space is sealed to prevent unwanted contaminants.	
(1) Attic access, knee wall door, or drop down stair is caulked, gasketed, or otherwise sealed.	2
(2) All penetrations, (e.g., top plates, HVAC register boots, recessed can lights), are sealed in the following areas:	
(a) attic/ceiling	2
(b) wall	2
(c) floors	2

11.903.1 Tile backing materials.	
11.36.1 New Work. Tile backing materials installed under tiled surfaces in wet areas are in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325.	
11.36.2 Re-Work. Existing tiled surfaces in wet areas are inspected and any areas with evidence of moisture damaged are repaired with tile backing materials installed under tiled surfaces are in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325.	Mandatory

11.903.2.1 Capillary breaks	
11.37.1 New Work. A capillary break and vapor retarder are installed at all concrete slabs in accordance with Sections 903.2.1(1) or 903.2.1(2), as modified by Section 903.2.1(3):	
(1) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) bed of ½-inch (13 mm) diameter or greater clean aggregate, covered with polyethylene or polystyrene sheeting in direct contact with the concrete slab, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.	
(2) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) uniform layer of sand, overlain with a layer or strips of geotextile drainage matting, covered with polyethylene sheeting, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.	
(3) Modification:	
(a) In areas with free-draining soils, identified as Group 1 in the ICC IRC by a certified hydrologist, soil scientist, or engineer through a site visit, a gravel bed or geotextile matting is not required.	
(b) In Dry climate locations, as defined by Figure 6(1), polyethylene sheeting is not required unless required for radon resistance (Section 902.3).	
11.37.2 Re-Work. A capillary break and vapor retarder are installed at newly installed concrete slabs in accordance with Sections 903.2.1(1) or 903.2.1(2), as modified by Section 903.2.1(3):	Mandatory
(1) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) bed of ½-inch (13 mm) diameter or greater clean aggregate, covered with polyethylene or polystyrene sheeting in direct contact with the concrete slab, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.	
(2) A minimum 4-inch-thick (102 mm) uniform layer of sand, overlain with a layer or strips of geotextile drainage matting, covered with polyethylene sheeting, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.	
(3) Modification:	
(a) In areas with free-draining soils, identified as Group 1 in the ICC IRC by a certified hydrologist, soil scientist, or engineer through a site visit, a gravel bed or geotextile matting is not required.	
(b) In Dry climate locations, as defined by Figure 6(1), polyethylene sheeting is not required unless required for radon resistance (Section 902.3).	

11.903.2.2 a capillary break is installed on new footings to prevent moisture migration into foundation wall.	3
--	----------

11.903.3.1 Crawlspace	
New Work. Crawlspace vapor retarder is in accordance with the following, as applicable. Joints of vapor retarder overlap a minimum of 6 inches (152 mm) and are taped. Walls. Damp-proof walls are provided below finished grade.	
Re-Work. Existing crawlspace is inspected and when there is evidence of a moisture problem a crawlspace vapor retarder is installed in accordance with the following, as applicable. Joints of vapor retarder overlap a minimum of 6 inches (152 mm) and are taped. Damp-proof walls are provided below finished grade.	Mandatory
11.903.3.2 Crawl space that is built as a conditioned area is sealed to prevent outside air infiltration and provided with conditioned air at a rate not less than 0.02 cfm (.009 L/s) per square foot of horizontal area and one of the following is implemented.	
(1) a concrete slab over lapped 6 mil polyethylene or polystyrene	10
(2) 6 mil polyethylene sheeting, lapped a minimum of 6 inches (152 mm), and taped at the seams	8

11.903.4.1 Moisture control measures	
New and Re-Work. Walls are not enclosed (e.g., with drywall) if the insulation has a high moisture content. Wet insulation products are dry before enclosing.	Mandatory

11.903.4.2 Moisture control measures.	
Moisture content of subfloor, substrate, or concrete slabs is in accordance with the appropriate industry standard for the new finish flooring to be applied.	Mandatory
(1) Building materials with visible mold are not installed or are cleaned or encapsulated prior to concealment and closing.	2
(3) The moisture content of lumber is sampled to ensure it does not exceed 19 percent prior to the surface and/or wall cavity enclosure.	4

11.903.6 Duct insulation.	
New Work. All HVAC ducts, plenums, and trunks in unconditioned attics, basements, and crawl spaces are insulated to a minimum of R-6. Outdoor air supplies to ventilation systems are insulated to a minimum of R-6.	
Re-Work. All HVAC ducts, plenums, and trunks in unconditioned attics, basements, and crawl spaces that become accessible during the remodeling are insulated to a minimum of R-6. Outdoor air supplies to ventilation systems are insulated to a minimum of R-6.	Mandatory

11.903.5 Plumbing	
11.903.5.1 Plumbing distribution lines are not installed in newly constructed exterior wall cavities.	2
(1) A minimum of 50 percent of exterior wall piping is removed.	3

(2) A minimum of 50 percent of exterior wall piping is insulated.	2
11.903.5.2 Cold water pipes in unconditioned spaces are insulated to a minimum of R-4 with pipe insulation or other covering that adequately prevents condensation.	2
11.903.5.3 Plumbing is not installed in unconditioned spaces.	5
11.903.7 Relative humidity. In climate zones 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, and 5A as defined by Figure 6(1), equipment is installed to maintain relative humidity (RH) at or below 60 percent using one of the following: (Points not awarded in remaining climate zones.)	8
(1) additional dehumidification system(s)	
(2) central HVAC system equipped with additional controls to operate in dehumidification mode	
11.904.1 Humidity monitoring system. A humidity monitoring system is installed with a mobile base unit that displays a reading of temperature and relative humidity at the base unit with a minimum of two remote units. One remote unit that is placed permanently inside the conditioned space in a central location, excluding attachment to exterior walls, and another remote unit is placed permanently outside of the conditioned space.	2
11.904.2 Kitchen exhaust. Kitchen exhaust unit(s) that equal or exceeds 400 cfm (189 L/s), and make-up air is provided.	2
11.904.3	
11.43.1 New and Re-Work. All gas dryer vents are sealed and vented outdoors.	Mandatory

11.1001.1 For Single Family homes An building owner's manual is provided that includes a minimum of at least 9 of the following, as available and applicable. (Points awarded per two items. Points awarded for both mandatory and non-mandatory items.)	1
(1) A green building program certificate or completion document.	Mandatory
(2) List of green building features included in the scope of the remodeling project.	Mandatory
(3) Product manufacturer's manuals or product data sheet for newly installed major equipment, fixtures, and appliances. If product data sheet is in the building owners' manual, manufacturer's manual may be attached to the appliance in lieu of inclusion in the building owners' manual.	Mandatory
(4) Information on local recycling programs.	
(5) Information on available local utility programs that purchase a portion of energy from renewable energy providers.	
(6) Explanation of the benefits of using energy efficient lighting systems (e.g., compact fluorescent light bulbs, light emitting diode (LED)) in high usage areas	
(7) A list of practices to conserve water and energy.	
(8) Local public transportation options.	

(9) A diagram showing the location of safety valves and controls for major building systems.	
(10) Where frost-protected shallow foundations are used, owner is informed of precautions including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> instructions to not remove or damage insulation when modifying landscaping providing heat to the building as required by the ICC IRC or IBC keeping base materials beneath and around the building free from moisture due to broken water pipes or other water sources 	
(11) A list of local service providers that offer regularly scheduled service and maintenance contracts to assure proper performance of equipment and the structure (e.g., HVAC, water heating equipment, sealants, caulks, gutter and downspout system, shower and/or tub surrounds, irrigation system).	
(12) A photo record of framing with utilities installed. Photos are taken prior to installing insulation, clearly labeled, and included as part of the building owners' manual.	
(13) Maintenance checklist.	
(14) List of common hazardous materials often used around the building and instructions for proper handling and disposal of these materials.	
(15) Information on organic pest control, fertilizers, deicers, and cleaning products.	
(16) Information on native landscape materials and/or those that have low-water requirements.	
(17) Information on methods of maintaining the building's relative humidity in the range of 30 percent to 60 percent.	
(18) Instructions for inspecting the building for termite infestation.	
(19) Instructions for maintaining gutters and downspouts and importance of diverting water a minimum of five feet away from foundation.	
(20) A narrative detailing the importance of maintenance and operation in retaining the attributes of a green-built building.	
(21) For buildings originally built before 1978, the EPA publications "Reducing Lead Hazards When Remodeling Your Home" and "Asbestos in Your Home: A Homeowner's Guide"	

11.1002.1 Training of Building Owners	
11.46.1 Building owners/occupants are familiarized with the green building goals and strategies implemented and the impacts of the occupants' practices on the costs of operating the building. Training is provided to the responsible party(ies) regarding all newly installed equipment operation and control systems. Systems include, but are not limited to, the following: HVAC filters, thermostat, appliances, water heater, and fan controls.	Mandatory
11.1003 Multi-unit Building Operations	
Maintenance and operations Manuals: The operations and maintenance manuals for multi-family buildings are updated to reflect the remodeling changes and are provided to the responsible parties.	Mandatory

11.1003.1 A building construction manual, including five or more of the following, is compiled and distributed in accordance with Section 1003.0.	1
---	---

(Points awarded per two items. Points awarded for both mandatory and non-mandatory items.)	
(1) A narrative detailing the importance of constructing a green building, including a list of green building attributes included in the building. This narrative is included in all responsible parties' manuals.	Mandatory
(2) A local green building program certificate, and the individual measures achieved by the building.	Mandatory
(3) Warranty, operation, and maintenance instructions for all newly installed equipment, fixtures, appliances, and finishes.	Mandatory
(4) Record drawings of the building used in the remodeling.	
(5) A record drawing of the site including stormwater management plans, utility lines, landscaping with common name and genus/species of plantings.	
(6) A diagram showing the location of safety valves and controls for major building systems.	
(7) A list of the type and wattage of light bulbs installed in light fixtures.	
(8) A photo record of framing with utilities installed. Photos are taken prior to installing insulation and clearly labeled.	

11.1003.2 Operations manuals are created and distributed to the responsible parties in accordance with Section 1003.0. Between all of the operation manuals, six or more of the following options are included. <i>(Points awarded per two items. Points awarded for both mandatory and non-mandatory items.)</i>	1
(1) A narrative detailing the importance of operating and living in a green building. This narrative is included in all responsible parties' manuals.	Mandatory
(2) A list of practices to conserve water and energy (e.g., turning off lights when not in use, switching the rotation of ceiling fans in changing seasons, purchasing ENERGY STAR appliances and electronics).	Mandatory
(3) Information on methods of maintaining the building's relative humidity in the range of 30 percent to 60 percent.	Mandatory
(4) Information on opportunities to purchase renewable energy from local utilities or national green power providers and information on utility and tax incentives for the installation of on-site renewable energy systems.	
(5) Information on local and on-site recycling and hazardous waste disposal programs and, if applicable, building recycling and hazardous waste handling and disposal procedures.	
(6) Local public transportation options.	
(7) Explanation of the benefits of using compact fluorescent light bulbs, LEDs, or other high-efficiency lighting.	
(8) Information on native landscape materials and/or those that have low water requirements.	
(9) Information on the radon mitigation system, where applicable.	
(10) A procedure for educating tenants in rental properties on the proper use, benefits, and maintenance of green building systems including a maintenance staff notification	

process for improperly functioning equipment.	
---	--

11.1003.3 Maintenance manuals are created and distributed to the responsible parties in accordance with Section 1003.0. Between all of the maintenance manuals, six or more of the following options are included. <i>(Points awarded per two items. Points awarded for both mandatory and non-mandatory items.)</i>	1
(1) A narrative detailing the importance of maintaining a green building. This narrative is included in all responsible parties' manuals.	Mandatory
(2) A list of local service providers that offer regularly scheduled service and maintenance contracts to assure proper performance of equipment and the structure (e.g., HVAC, water heating equipment, sealants, caulks, gutter and downspout system, shower and/or tub surrounds, irrigation system).	
(3) User-friendly maintenance checklist that includes: (a) HVAC filters (b) thermostat operation and programming (c) lighting controls (d) appliances and settings (e) water heater settings (f) fan controls	
(4) List of common hazardous materials often used around the building and instructions for proper handling and disposal of these materials.	
(5) Information on organic pest control, fertilizers, deicers, and cleaning products.	
(6) Instructions for maintaining gutters and downspouts and importance of diverting water a minimum of five feet away from foundation.	
(7) Instructions for inspecting the building for termite infestation.	
(8) A procedure for rental tenant occupancy turnover that preserves the green features.	
(9) An outline of a formal green building training program for maintenance staff.	

Chapter 12 – Small Renovations

Intent – This chapter defines the green practices that are appropriate for small renovations.

12.1 Bathroom Renovations

12.1.1 Mandatory Practices for Bathroom Renovations

12.1.1.1 Resource Efficiency

12.1.1.1 (a) Recycled content. Building materials with recycled content are used for two minor or major components of the renovation.

12.1.1.1(b) Demolition Waste. All waste classified as hazardous generated during demolition shall be properly handled and disposed.

12.1.1.1(c) Demolition Waste. At least 50% of demolition waste not classified as hazardous is diverted from landfill.

12.1.1.1(d) Wood-based products. All newly installed rough framing materials are certified to the requirements of one of the following recognized product programs:

- AFF American Tree Farm System®
- Canadian Standards Association’s Sustainable Forest Management System Standards (CSA Z809)
- Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)
- Program for Endorsement of Forest Certification Systems (PEFC)
- Sustainable Forestry Initiative® Program (SFI)
- other product programs mutually recognized by PEFC

12.1.1.1(e) Recycled content. Building materials with at least 25% recycled content are used in the renovation. The cost of these materials exceeds 3% of the project contract price.

12.1.1.1(d) Newly installed finish flooring materials have manufacturer’s recommendation for use in bathrooms.

12.1.1.2 Energy Efficiency

12.1.1.2(a) Fenestration. NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.

Table 701.4.4.1
Fenestration Specifications

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.65	0.40
3	0.40	0.40
4 to 8	0.35	Any
Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)		
1 to 3	0.75	0.40
4 to 8	0.60	Any

12.1.1.2(b) Building Envelope. When the renovation involves exposing the wall cavity such that insulation can be upgraded and the UA is less than required by ICC IECC, Section 402.1.4, the UA of the exposed envelope is increase by at least 50%.

12.1.1.2(c) Lighting. A minimum of 50 percent of the newly installed hard-wired lighting fixtures qualify as ENERGY STAR or equivalent and a minimum of 50 percent of the bulbs in existing hard-wired lighting fixtures qualify as ENERGY STAR or equivalent.

12.1.1.2(d) All washing machines, if installed, are ENERGY STAR or equivalent.

12.1.1.3 Water Efficiency

12.1.1.3(a) The water consumption of bathroom fixtures complies with:

Showerheads. The total showerhead flow rate at any point in time in each shower compartment is in accordance is less than 2.5 gpm. The total flow rate is tested at 80 psi (552 kPa) in accordance with ASME A112.18.1. Showers are equipped with an automatic compensating valve that complies with ASSE 1016 or ASME A112.18.1 and specifically designed to provide thermal shock and scald protection at the flow rate of the showerhead.

Faucets. Water-efficient lavatory faucets with 1.5 gpm (5.68 L/m) or less maximum flow rate when tested at 60 psi (414 kPa) in accordance with ASME A112.18.1 are installed.

Water Closets. A water closet is installed with an effective flush volume of 1.28 gallons (4.85 L) or less when tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.2 (all water closets) and ASME A112.19.14 (all dual flush water closets), and is in accordance with EPA WaterSense *Tank-Type High-Efficiency Toilet*.

12.1.1.4 Indoor Environmental Quality

12.1.1.4(a) Wall-to-wall carpeting is not installed adjacent to water closets and bathing fixtures.

12.1.1.4(b) Newly applied interior products are in accordance with one or more of the following standards:

- Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method)
- CARB *Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings*
- GS-11

VOC limits in accordance with:

- (a) 50 grams/liter flat
- (b) 100 grams/liter non flat
- (c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish
- (d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer

CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute’s *Children and Schools Certification Program* or the Scientific Certification Systems *Indoor Advantage Gold Program*

12.1.1.4(c) Interior low-VOC adhesives and sealants. A minimum of 85 percent of newly applied products used within the interior of the building are in accordance with one of the following, as applicable.

- CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute’s *Children and Schools Certification Program* or the Scientific Certifications Systems *Indoor Advantage Gold Program*.
- GS-36

12.1.1.4(d) Bathrooms are vented to the outdoors. The minimum ventilation rate is 50 cfm (23.6 L/s) for intermittent operation or 20 cfm (9.4 L/s) for continuous operation in bathrooms.

12.1.1.4(e) HVAC System Protection. *The renovation area is sealed off from the occupied portion of the building or dwelling unit. The same HVAC system for conditioning the air in renovated and occupied space is not used.* HVAC supply registers (boots), return grilles, and rough-ins in the renovation area are covered during construction activities to prevent dust and other pollutants from entering the system.

12.1.1.5(f) Tile backing materials. Newly installed tile backing materials installed under tiled surfaces in wet areas are in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325.

12.1.1.5(g) Moisture Control. Building materials with visible mold are not installed or utilized or are cleaned or encapsulated prior to concealment and closing. Any water damaged materials replaced or repaired prior to enclosing.

12.1.1.6 Home Owner Education

12.1.1.6 (a) Building owners/occupants are familiarized with the green building goals and strategies implemented during the renovation and the impacts of the occupants’ practices on the costs of operating the building. Training is provided to the responsible party(ies) regarding all equipment operation and control systems in the bathroom.

12.1.2 Optional Practices for Bathroom Renovations

12.1.2.1 Resource Efficiency

12.1.2.1(a) Wood-based products. Wood based materials that are certified to the requirements of one of the following recognized product programs are used for:

12.1.2.1(a)(i) Newly installed cabinets

(a) (ii) Newly installed trim

AFF American Tree Farm System®

Canadian Standards Association's Sustainable Forest Management System Standards (CSA Z809)

Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)

Program for Endorsement of Forest Certification Systems (PEFC)

Sustainable Forestry Initiative® Program (SFI)

other product programs mutually recognized by PEFC

12.1.2.1(b) Recycled content. Building materials with recycled content are used in the renovation meeting one of the criteria in Table 12.1.2.1(a). These materials are in excess of those required to meet 12.1.1.1(e).

Table 12.1.2.1(a)

Recycled Content	Cost of Materials
25% or more	5% of project contract price
50% or more	4% of project contract price
75% or more	3% of project contract price

12.1.2.1(c) Salvaged materials. Reclaimed and/or salvaged materials and components are used. The value of the material and labor cost of salvaged materials is equal to or exceeds 1 percent of the project contract price.

12.1.2.2 Indoor Environmental Quality

12.1.2.2(a) Cabinets. Bath vanity cabinets in accordance with one of the following are installed:

KCMA ESP 01, or equivalent

CARB Composite Wood Air Toxic Contaminant Measure Standard

Containing no added urea formaldehyde or are in accordance with [GGPS.EC.010.R0](#), ASTM D 6670, or equivalent

12.1.2.2(b) Drywall materials. All newly installed drywall materials are moisture and mildew resistant.

12.2 Green Kitchen Remodel

All applicable requirements must be met.

12.2.1 At least 75% of all major kitchen appliances must be energy star.

12.2.2 Newly applied interior paint products are in accordance with one or more of the following standards:

Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method)

CARB Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings

GS-11

VOC limits in accordance with:

(a) 50 grams/liter flat

(b) 100 grams/liter non flat

(c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish

(d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer

CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's

Children and Schools Certification Program or the Scientific Certification Systems Indoor Advantage Gold Program

12.2.3 Fenestration. Newly installed windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.

Table 701.4.4.1
Fenestration Specifications

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.65	0.40
3	0.40	0.40
4 to 8	0.35	Any
Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)		
1 to 3	0.75	0.40
4 to 8	0.60	Any

12.2.3 Newly installed doors and windows have caulking, gasketing, adhesive flashing tape, foam sealant, or weather stripping installed forming a complete air barrier. Existing windows and doors are inspected and any air barrier weaknesses are corrected.

12.2.4 All gutted or newly constructed exterior walls and exterior ceilings must be insulated to a minimum R-value for the climate zone per table: "Can we insert values based on current code?"

Minimum R-value

Climate Zone	1	2	3	4	5	6	7+
Walls							
Ceiling/attic							

12.2.5 Insulation and wall framing must be dry with no evidence of mold prior to enclosing the wall with new drywall.

12.2.6 At least 50% finished materials installed must be pre-finished.

12.2.7 Cabinets must be KCMA ESP01 or equivalent.

12.2.8 A place for recycling of household items (glass, paper, plastic, etc) must be provided or 50% of newly installed building materials must contain at least 35% recycled content.

12.2.9 Interior low-VOC adhesives and sealants. All newly applied products used within the interior of the building are in accordance with one of the following, as applicable.

CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program, such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program or the Scientific Certifications Systems Indoor Advantage Gold Program.

GS-36

12.2.10 Kitchen exhaust fan must be vented outside.

12.2.11 A garbage disposal must be installed in the kitchen sink unless local regulations prohibit installation.

12.2.12 All hazardous material that is removed or disturbed must be properly handled and disposed.

12.2.13 Lighting – practice details TBD

12.2.13 Disposal of Existing Kitchen – practice details TBD

12.2.14 Water Usage – practice details TBD

12.3 Basement Remodeling

12.3.1 Design and Planning

12.3.1.1 Concrete moisture test – practice details TBD

12.3.1.2 Moisture intrusion assessment

Space below grade has exterior drain tile installed or other moisture mitigation system installed where required by the ICC IRC or IBC if there is evidence of moisture issues in the space.

12.3.1.3 Radon test – if above 4.0ppl add mitigation and verify it is functioning.

12.3.2 Framing

12.3.2.1 Maintain 1” gap between exterior block or poured concrete wall and new interior framing.

12.3.2.2 Framing lumber is from one of the following certified programs or framing lumber is reused or reclaimed materials:

AFF American Tree Farm System®
Canadian Standards Association's Sustainable Forest Management System Standards (CSA Z809)
Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)
Program for Endorsement of Forest Certification Systems (PEFC)
Sustainable Forestry Initiative® Program (SFI)
other product programs mutually recognized by PEFC

12.3.2 HVAC

12.3.2.1 No transite heat.

12.3.2.2 **Exposed or newly installed** Ducts are sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9, to reduce leakage.

12.3.4 Plumbing

12.3.4.1 Bathroom – Bathroom installation or remodeling that is part of a basement remodel must comply with the section 12.1

12.3.4.2 Accessible hot water lines are insulated to a minimum of R-4.

12.3.5 Electrical

12.3.5.1 CFL, LED, or dimmers. – practice details TBD

12.3.6 Insulation

12.3.6.1 Exterior walls are insulated to a minimum of R-13.

12.3.6.2 Rim joists are insulated to a minimum of R – TBD.

12.3.6.2 Air Sealing – practice details TBD

12.3.6.3 Vapor barrier – practice details TBD

12.3.7 Sheetrock

12.3.7.1 Walls are enclosed with mold resistant sheetrock or other mold resistant material.

12.3.8 Trim and Cabinets

Cabinet and trim materials are from one of the following certified sources or are reclaimed or reused materials:

AFF American Tree Farm System®
Canadian Standards Association's Sustainable Forest Management System Standards (CSA Z809)
Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)
Program for Endorsement of Forest Certification Systems (PEFC)
Sustainable Forestry Initiative® Program (SFI)
other product programs mutually recognized by PEFC

12.3.8 Cabinet and trim materials contain no added urea formaldehyde.

12.3.9 Countertops

Recycled content, reused, reclaimed, or locally sourced. – practice details TBD.

12.3.10 Tile

Recycled content, reused, reclaimed, or locally sourced. – practice details TBD.

12.3.11 Appliances

When there is an Energy Star appliance available, Energy Star appliances are installed.

12.3.12 Floorcovering

Floors are not covered with carpet.

12.3.13 Paint and Stain

Newly applied interior paint or stain products are in accordance with one or more of the following standards:

Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method)

CARB Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings

GS-11

VOC limits in accordance with:

(a) 50 grams/liter flat

(b) 100 grams/liter non flat

(c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish

(d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer

CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's Children and Schools Certification Program or the Scientific Certification Systems Indoor Advantage Gold Program

12.4 Small Addition

12.4.0.1 A small addition that includes a kitchen shall also comply with section 12.2

12.4.0.2 A small addition that also includes a bathroom shall also comply with section 12.1

12.4.1 LOT DESIGN, PREPARATION, AND DEVELOPMENT

12.4.1.1 A tree preservation plan is provided and implemented for any tree larger than 8” diameter breast high, whose dripline extends over the area of disturbance.

12.4.1.2 Sediment control measures which prevent the flow of silt from the work area and stockpiles are established prior to land disturbing activities.

12.4.1.3 Low impact development measures are provided, to prevent an increased flow of stormwater runoff¹ into public rights-of-way, or adjacent properties or natural watersheds.

12.4.2 RESOURCE EFFICIENCY

12.4.2.1 Finished grade: Finish grade at all sides of the addition is sloped to provide a minimum of 6 inches (150 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the edge of the addition. Where lot lines, walls, slopes, or other physical barriers prohibit 6 inches (152 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048 mm), the final grade is sloped away from the edge of the addition at a minimum slope of 5 percent and the water is directed to drains or swales to ensure drainage away from the structure.

12.4.2.2 Water-resistive barrier: Where required by the ICC IRC or IBC, a water-resistive barrier and/or drainage plane system is installed behind exterior veneer and/or siding of the addition.

12.4.2.3 Ice barrier: In areas where there has been a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a backup of water, an ice barrier is installed in accordance with the ICC IRC or IBC at roof eaves and extends at a minimum of 24 inches (610 mm) inside the exterior wall line of the addition.

12.4.2.5 Construction waste management plan: A construction waste management plan is developed, posted at the jobsite, and implemented with a goal of recycling or salvaging a minimum of 50 percent (by weight) of construction and land-clearing waste. The construction waste management plan includes information on the proper handling and disposal of hazardous wastes

1 Relative to flow rates prior to construction

12.4.2.6Hazardous waste: All waste classified as hazardous waste is properly handled and disposed of.

12.4.3 ENERGY EFFICIENCY

12.4.3.1Space heating and cooling:

(1) *Where new space heating and cooling system/equipment is installed to serve existing space and the addition, or to serve the addition independently*, the system/equipment is sized according to heating and cooling loads calculated using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent. Where installed as a primary heat source in the building, radiant or hydronic space heating system is designed using industry-approved guidelines (e.g., ACCA Manual J, GAMA H-22, or an accredited design professional's and manufacturer's recommendations).

(2) *Where existing space heating and cooling system/equipment is extended to serve the addition, the capacity of the existing system is adequate for the additional loads, as determined by using ACCA Manual J, or equivalent.*

12.4.3.2 Duct system in new space: Newly installed ducts are sealed with tape complying with UL 181, mastic, gaskets, or an approved system as required by the ICC IRC, Section M1601.3.1, or ICC IMC, Section 603.9 to reduce leakage. Building cavities in the addition are not used as supply ducts.

12.4.3.3Insulation and air sealing :

(1) Insulation for the addition is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions or local code, as applicable.

(2) Openings from the addition into unconditioned space are fully sealed with solid blocking or flashing and any remaining gaps are sealed with caulk or foam. Fire-rated collars and caulking are installed where required.

(3) Where insulated, wall insulation in the new crawlspace is permanently attached to the walls. Exposed earth in new unvented crawlspaces is covered with continuous vapor retarder with overlapping joints that are taped or masticed.

(4) Caulking, gasketing, adhesive flashing tape, foam sealant, or weatherstripping is installed forming a complete air barrier for newly installed windows and doors.

(5) Newly installed band and rim joists are insulated and air sealed.

(6) Sill sealer or other material that will expand and contract is installed between new foundation and sill plate. Caulk or the equivalent is installed to seal the bottom plate of new exterior walls.

(7) New skylight shafts and knee walls are insulated to the same level as the exterior walls.

(8) Code required building envelope insulation and air sealing for the addition are not disrupted at exterior architectural features such as stairs and decks.

(9) Attic access, knee wall door, or drop-down stair in the addition is covered with insulation and gasketed. Knee wall door is insulated unit or is covered with insulation.

(10) Recessed light fixtures that penetrate the thermal envelope of the addition are airtight, IC-rated, and sealed with gasket, caulk, or foam

(11) Where ceiling/attic assemblies or designs for the addition have eave vents, baffles or other means are implemented to minimize air movement into or under the insulation.

12.4.3.4Fenestration (per 701.4.4.1)

NFRC-certified U-factor and SHGC windows, exterior doors, skylights, and tubular daylighting devices (TDDs) are in accordance with ENERGY STAR, or equivalent, or Table 701.4.4.1. Decorative fenestration elements with a maximum area of 15 square feet (1.39 m²) or 10 percent of the total glazing area, whichever is less, are not required to comply with this practice.

**Table 701.4.4.1
Fenestration Specifications**

Climate Zones	U-Factor	SHGC
	Windows and Exterior Doors (maximum certified ratings)	
1 and 2	0.65	0.40
3	0.40	0.40
4 to 8	0.35	Any
	Skylights and TDDs (maximum certified ratings)	
1 to 3	0.75	0.40
4 to 8	0.60	Any

12.4.3.5U/A is 15% less than the minimum required by the current IECC or prevailing code for the jurisdiction, whichever is less restrictive.

12.4.3. 6 Duct system sizing (per 704.4.1) Duct system in the addition is sized, designed, and installed in accordance with ACCA Manual D or equivalent.

12.4.4 INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

12.4.4.1Natural draft equipment (per 901.1.1) Natural draft space heating or water heating equipment is not located in conditioned spaces of the addition, including conditioned crawlspaces. Natural draft equipment is permitted to be installed within the conditioned spaces if located in a mechanical room that has an outdoor air source, and is otherwise sealed and insulated to separate it from the conditioned space(s).

12.4.4.2Fireplaces, etc (per 901.2.1)

Fireplaces and natural draft fuel-burning appliances are code compliant, vented to the outdoors, and have adequate combustion and ventilation air provided to minimize spillage or back-drafting, in accordance with the following, as applicable.

(1) Natural gas and propane fireplaces that are power vented or direct vented, are equipped with permanently fixed glass fronts or gasketed doors, and comply with CSA [Z21.88a/CSA 2.33a](#) or CSA Z21.50/CSA 2.22.

(2) Solid fuel burning appliances are in accordance with the following requirements:

(b) Factory-built, wood-burning fireplaces are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 127 and are EPA certified.

(c) Wood stove and fireplace inserts, as defined in UL 1482, Section 3.8 are in accordance with the certification requirements of UL 1482 and are in accordance with the emission requirements of the EPA Certification and the State of Washington [WAC 173-433-100](#)(3).

(d) Pellet (biomass) stoves and furnaces are in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E1509 or are EPA certified.

(e) Masonry heaters are in accordance with the definitions in ASTM E1602 and ICC IBC, Section 2112.1.

12.4.4.3Garages (per 901.3.1 (a) and (b)) Where installed in the common wall between the attached garage and conditioned space in the addition, the door is tightly-sealed and gasketed. A continuous air barrier is provided between walls and ceilings of the addition separating the garage space from the conditioned living spaces.

12.4.4.4 Plywood and sheathing (per 901.4 (1)) A minimum of 85% of the structural plywood used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing of the addition is compliant with DOC PS 1 and/or DOC PS 2. OSB used for floor, wall, and/or roof sheathing is compliant with DOC PS 2. The panels are made with moisture-resistant adhesives. The trademark indicates these adhesives as follows: Exposure 1 or Exterior for plywood, and Exposure 1 for OSB.

12.4.4.5 Carpet (per 901.5 (1)) Wall-to-wall carpeting is not installed adjacent to water closets and bathing fixtures.

12.4.4.6 Arch Coatings when building is occupied (per 901.8)

Architectural coatings. When the building is occupied during the construction of the addition a minimum of 85 percent of the architectural coatings are in accordance with either Section 901.8.1 or Section 901.8.2, not both:

901.8.1 Site-applied interior products are in accordance with one or more of the following standards:

- (1) Zero VOC as determined by EPA Method 24 (VOC content below the detection limit for the method)
- (2) CARB *Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings*
- (3) GS-11
- (4) VOC limits in accordance with:
 - (a) 50 grams/liter flat
 - (b) 100 grams/liter non flat
 - (c) 350 grams/liter clear wood varnish
 - (d) 550 grams/liter clear wood lacquer

901.8.2 Site-applied interior products are in accordance with the emissions levels of CDPH 01350, as certified by a third party program such as the GREENGUARD Environmental Institute's *Children and Schools Certification Program* or the Scientific Certification Systems *Indoor Advantage Gold Program*.

12.4.4.6 Adhesives and sealant when building is occupied (per 901.9)

Adhesives and sealants. When the building is occupied during the construction of the addition, a minimum of 85 percent of site-applied adhesives and sealants are in accordance with Section 901.9.1 and/or Section 901.9.2.

901.9.1 Exterior low-VOC adhesives and sealants: A minimum of 85 percent of site-applied products used for the installation of subfloors and on the exterior of the project are in accordance with one of the following:

- (1) The California Air Resources Board consumer products regulation as follows:
 - (a) Construction Adhesives: VOC content not to exceed 7 percent by weight or 75 grams/liter, whichever is greater.
 - (b) The VOC content of reactive sealants (i.e., silicones, polyurethanes, and hybrids, such as MS Polymer and silylated polyurethane resin or SPUR) not to exceed 4 percent by weight or 50 grams/liter, whichever is greater.
 - (c) The VOC content of all other caulks and sealants not to exceed 2 percent by weight or 30 grams/liter, whichever is greater.
 - (d) The VOC content of contact adhesives not to exceed 55 percent by weight or 480 grams/liter, whichever is greater.
- (2) GS-36

12.4.4.8 Lead safe (per 901.15) *For building constructed prior to 1978, lead-safe work practices are used during renovation, remodeling, painting, and demolition.*

12.4.4.9 Spot ventilation (per 902.1.1 (1) and (2))

Spot ventilation for the addition is in accordance with the following:

- (1) Bathrooms are vented to the outdoors. The minimum ventilation rate is 50 cfm (23.6 L/s) for intermittent operation or 20 cfm (9.4 L/s) for continuous operation in bathrooms.
- (2) Clothes dryers are vented to the outdoors.

12.4.4.10 Radon control measures are in accordance with ICC IRC Appendix F

12.4.4.11 HVAC system protection (per 902.4 – select one measure)

HVAC system protection. One of the following HVAC system protection measures is performed.	
(1)	HVAC supply registers (boots), return grilles, and rough-ins are covered during construction activities to prevent dust and other pollutants from entering the system.
Addition and Renovation Note: Section 902.4(1) does not apply to additions and renovations except as noted in Addition and Renovation Note (3) below.	
(2)	Prior to owner occupancy, HVAC supply registers (boots), return grilles, and duct terminations are inspected and vacuumed. In addition, the coils are inspected and cleaned and the filter is replaced if necessary.
Addition and Renovation Note: As an alternative to Section 902.4(2), one of the following options is implemented:	
(1)	During construction, a construction indoor air quality (IAQ) schedule is developed that includes, at minimum, all of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) type of construction activity (b) ability to occupy the building or dwelling unit (c) IAQ protections for occupant(s) of the building or dwelling unit (d) hazardous waste removal (e) name and age of occupants of the building or dwelling unit at a specific time
(2)	The addition or renovation area are sealed off from the occupied portion of the building or dwelling unit. The same HVAC system for conditioning the air in renovated and occupied space is not used.
(3)	The building or dwelling unit is not occupied during the entire construction period and Sections 902.4(1) and 902.4(2) are implemented.

12.4.4.12 Tile backing (per 903.1) Tile backing materials installed under tiled surfaces in wet areas are in accordance with ASTM C1178, C1278, C1288, or C1325

12.4.4.13 Capillary breaks (per 903.2.1)

A capillary break and vapor retarder are installed at all concrete slabs in the addition in accordance with Sections 903.2.1(1) or 903.2.1(2), as modified by Section 903.2.1(3):

- (1) A minimum 4-inch (102 mm) thick bed of ½-inch (13 mm) diameter or greater clean aggregate, covered with polyethylene or polystyrene sheeting in direct contact with the concrete slab, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.
- (2) A minimum 4-inch (102 mm) thick uniform layer of sand, overlain with a layer or strips of geotextile drainage matting, covered with polyethylene sheeting, with the sheeting joints lapped in accordance with Section 903.3.
- (3) Modification:
 - (a) In areas with free-draining soils, identified as Group 1 in the ICC IRC by a certified hydrologist, soil scientist, or engineer through a site visit, a gravel bed or geotextile matting is not required.
 - (b) In Dry climate locations, as defined by Figure 6(1), polyethylene sheeting is not required unless required for radon resistance (Section 902.3).

12.4.4.14 Crawlspace vapor retarder and damp proof (per 903.3.1)

Addition crawlspace vapor retarder is in accordance with the following, as applicable. Joints of vapor retarder overlap a minimum of 6 inches (152 mm) and are taped.

- (1) Floors. Minimum 6 mil vapor retarder installed on the crawlspace floor and extended up the wall sufficient to allow the material to be affixed with glue and furring strips.
- (2) Walls. Damp-proof walls are provided below finished grade.

- 12.4.4.15 Moisture in walls not yet enclosed (per 903.4.1 (2)) Walls of the addition are not enclosed (e.g. with drywall) if the insulation has a high moisture content. Wet insulation products are dry before enclosing.
- 12.4.4.16 Moisture content of substrates (per 903.4.2) Moisture content of subfloor, substrate, or concrete slabs in the addition is in accordance with the appropriate industry standard for the finish flooring to be applied.
- 12.4.4.17 Duct insulation in unconditioned space (per 903.6 (1)) All HVAC ducts, plenums, and trunks in unconditioned attics, basements, and crawl spaces of the addition are insulated to a minimum of R-6. Outdoor air supplies to ventilation systems are insulated to a minimum of R-6.

12.4.5 OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND BUILDING OWNER EDUCATION

A building owner's manual is provided that includes the following, as available and applicable.	
(1)	A green building program certificate or completion document.
(2)	List of green building features in the addition (can include the national green building checklist).
(3)	Product manufacturer's manuals or product data sheet for installed major equipment, fixtures, and appliances in the addition. If product data sheet is in the building owners' manual, manufacturer's manual may be attached to the appliance in lieu of inclusion in the building owners' manual.