## Proposed Changes

July 17, 2019
Log 01 - Section 2. Definitions ..... 2
Log 02 - Section 2. Definitions ..... 2
Log 03 - Section 2. Definitions ..... 3
Log 04 - Section 2. Definitions ..... 3
Log 05 - Section 2. Definitions .....  3
Log 06 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Interior Room Measurements (New) ..... 5
Log 07 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Wall Thickness (New) .....  5
Log 08 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Wall Thickness (New) ..... 5
Log 09 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Use of CAD programs (New) ..... 6
Log 10 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height ..... 6
Log 11 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height ..... 7
Log 12 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height ..... 7
Log 13 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height ..... 8
Log 14 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 8
Log 15 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 9
Log 16 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 9
Log 17 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 10
Log 18 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 10
Log 19 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 10
Log 20 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 11
Log 21 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area ..... 11
Log 22 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Above and Below Grade ..... 12
Log 23 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Above and Below Grade ..... 12
Log 24 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Above and Below Grade ..... 13
Log 25 - Commentary - Flooring Requirements ..... 15
Log 26 - Commentary - Ceiling Height ..... 15

## Section 2. Definitions

| Log 01 - Section 2. Definitions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | Within the definition of Unfinished, and the break-out of such space, a clarification of <br> certain room conditions needs further details. |
| Reason: | Examples; A Utility Room that contains just enough space by bldg code (and function) of <br> let's say 6'x5' and also contains the required floor surface of rough cement (also by <br> code), may be deemed finished by certain enforcement agencies. In contrast, a Utility <br> Room containing the standard Hot Water Tank and Furnace but the room size is 6'x 20', <br> or similar to Figure 3 in the Standard with a rough cement floor could be stated that the <br> function of the room far exceeds it purpose, and therefore is declared as 'unfinished'. <br> The same situation with the flooring condition of a laundry room. As well, a computer <br> controlled climate for a wine cellar is intentionally different than the general conditions <br> of the remaining portion of the home. While full height walk-in fridges are not the <br> normal, they are encountered for large family homes. It is interesting to note that these <br> rooms and features are nonetheless; 'suitable for year round use' (for the purpose they <br> serve). We do like our wine cellar and jam cellar cooler than the remainder of the house <br> on a year round basis! |
| Substantiating  <br> Documents:  | Consensus <br> Committee Action: |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: | Reason: |


| Log 02-Section 2. Definitions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Jean M. McCarty |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | ANSI defines living area as "suitable for year round use". |  |  |
| Reason: | Fannie Mae on the other hand addresses heat but not air conditioning. This leaves a <br> judgment call for the appraisers. A room with a permanent heat source qualifies <br> according to Fannie Mae but possibly not according to ANSI. (I really think the error is <br> on Fannie Mae.but I think it needs addressing in some manner in ANSI, or expanding.) |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| Log 03-Section 2. Definitions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | Declaration of unauthorized or non-compliant space is always a delicate matter for <br> Realtors when supplying measurement information for their MLS Data Input Form. |
| Reason: | Areas such as an illegal suite seems pretty well ignored in the Standard and can be part <br> of the total if it is a) finished b) above/at grade. If there is no floor plan accompanying <br> this data, this would minimize a flag by the enforcement agencies. However, should the <br> topic of a converted attached garage be discussed? If it is finished, and if it is above/at <br> grade, is it included as square footage for that level of the home? Does it matter if the <br> garage door is still visible from the exterior? What if it is cladded over from both the <br> interior and exterior side? What if the overhead door still actually works and with click <br> of a electronic garage door opener, the living room becomes fully exposed? It is not an <br> unusual circumstance when compared to motorized windows that open and same for <br> skylights. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | Consensus <br> Committee Action: |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Log 04 - Section 2. Definitions |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |  |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |  |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | Some Real Estate Boards and other Professionals deem it is important to declare a <br> 'room count'. While the ANSI Standard in discussion, has nothing to do with the labelling <br> of rooms, there is no clear and authoritative definition of a 'room'. |  |  |  |
| Reason: | Must a room contain 4 walls? Can it have two, three or three and a half? Can a room <br> have a sense of enclosure with just a railing or a pony wall divider (half wall)? When a <br> Living Area and Dining Area join together without any structural separation, is that one <br> room, or can it be considered two rooms? |  |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |  |


| Log 05 - Section 2. Definitions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | Definitions: |



Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage

| Log 06 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Interior Room Measurements (New) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | William Bert Craytor |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Optionally, interior rooms shall be measured to within +/-1 inch. However, since some <br> walls are thicker than normal to support plumbing and air ducts, because some old <br> homes have hidden areas that are walled off, because it is difficult to determine the <br> location and depth of some of these areas, adjustments may have to be made on wall <br> thickness as it is displayed on CAD drawings, in order for the interior dimensions plus <br> wall thickness to add up to the exterior dimensions. These adjustments may be <br> additions or deductions to room dimensions. At the very least, after all adjustments <br> have been made, after interior and exterior measurements have been reconciled, <br> interior room dimensions should be +/-3 inches accuracy. |
| Reason: | When appraisers compare sales comparables, adjustments need to be made based on a <br> number of factors including design. Room location, dimensions and area are design <br> issues necessary for making good valuation decisions. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | Consensus <br> Committee Action: |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Log 07 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Wall Thickness (New) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | William Bert Craytor |  |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | A default exterior and interior wall thickness should be specified $+/-1 / 4$ inch <br> accuracy. For example, exterior wall thickness measured at an entrance door jam might <br> be 6.5 inches and interior wall thickness 4.25 inches. |  |
| Reason: | This accuracy is needed to ensure that interior measurements reconcile to exterior <br> measurements on CAD drawings. |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| Log 08 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Wall Thickness (New) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | William Bert Craytor |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Optionally, default exterior and interior wall thickness should be specified $+/-1 / 4$ inch <br> accuracy. For example, exterior wall thickness measured at an entrance door jam might |


|  | be 6.5 inches and interior wall thickness 4.25 inches. If possible, exceptions should be <br> noted, such as where plumbing, air ducts, or hidden areas are known to exist. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reason: | Wall thickness measurements are needed so that interior measurements reconcile to <br> exterior measurements in CAD drawings. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| Log 09 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Use of CAD programs (New) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | William Bert Craytor |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Optionally, standard CAD programs that provide for area calculations should be used to <br> develop floor-plans that fully document area measurements. A list of approved CAD <br> programs could be provided for guidance; with no requirement that they be <br> required. AutoCad, Chief Architect, Home Designer Pro (also Chief Architect) are <br> examples. |
| Reason: | Interior measurements provide an added check on exterior measurements, as CAD <br> programs will quickly indicate discrepancies. CAD programs also provide the best way to <br> calculate living area from room measurements. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | Consensus <br> Committee Action: |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Log $\mathbf{1 0}$ - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Craig Roberts |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | The main problem is homeowners finishing rooms above the garages incorrectly or <br> trying to finish areas that were never really intended to be used as finished living area. <br>  <br> The standard seems to indicate that the 7ft 50\% ceiling height requirement is based on <br> the finished floor area of the room and would include area below 5ft. <br> The diagram appears to indicate that the 7ft 50\% ceiling height requirement would be <br> based on a hypothetical 5 5 ft knee wall. Area below 5 ft not included. <br> Closets- Would the closet be calculated as part of the room. Lets say the actual room <br> meets all the ceiling height requirements to be included in the living area but for <br> whatever reason the ceiling height of the closet would disqualify the room from being <br> include in the living area. |


| Reason: | I've seen appraisers and realtors do it both ways. I guess you could do it based on a <br> hypothetical 5 ft knee wall as long as its disclosed but I don think that's the correct way <br> according to ANSI. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | No |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |  |


| Log 11 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Jean M. McCarty |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | $5^{\prime}$ walls on sloping ceilings |
| Reason: | 95\% of appraisers measure to 5' mark and then add for the studs. ANSI does not <br> specifically address adding for the studs and this needs clarifying. . The American <br> Measurement Standard specifically says you do not add for the studs. Following ANSI <br> principles minutely says they should not add for the studs but it is not specifically stated. <br> The illustrations indicate stopping at the 5' mark and not allowing for the studs The <br> problem with applying ANSI as a valuation tool or even a construction tool is that it <br> penalizes the house when stopping at the 5' level on the ceiling. It penalizes the house <br> on the Cost approach because the cost of construction is still there and on the sales <br> approach because use of space under a 5' wall is still functional. Consumers do not view <br> the space with a ceiling height of less than 5' so dysfunctional as not to add to value. <br> Our problem of creating a line item separate on the sales grid to account for <br> heated/cooled space in this circumstance is more confusing to the consumers and users <br> of appraisals. |


| Log 12-Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Jean M. McCarty |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | How do we account for the room count in our appraisal forms when the only bath or <br> only bedroom or the kitchen does not have a ceiling height of 7'. Do we not count those <br> rooms in the room count that ties to the gla stated? Or do we separate the gla but go <br> ahead and put the full room count in the grid as if the whole house is traditional and <br> counted in the gla? Or do we make an exception for the house that has major rooms <br> with ceiling heights under 7'? |
| Reason: | Appraisers are having a horrible time with older properties that do not have a least a 7' <br> ceiling height, the oddball houses, A-frames, dome houses, tiny houses, etc. Either they <br> need to be excluded from ANSI or clarification made. |


|  | We had an instructor tell us to state what is above ground, what is below ground, what <br> is under the 7' ceiling height, but use the total square footage of all of those 3 scenarios <br> and the full room counts in our grids. This is a good alternative but without that being <br> stated in ANSI, that method is left up to individual interpretation and application. We <br> adopted ANSI so appraisers would get on the same page and our liability and threat of <br> lawsuits over SF would be diminished. Applying ANSI to the letter of the regulation <br> makes appraising extremely hard and it is penalizing houses on their sales prices by <br> separating out square footage as if the market would recognize the difference when it <br> doesn't. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Log 13-Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Ceiling Height |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | When applying the concept of limited ceiling heights with the 5 foot/7 foot/50\% rule, <br> clarify this is applied to the entire floor, or on a room-by-room basis. |  |  |
| Reason: | The 5 foot rule is fairly clear; nothing below that height is included. However, the 7 <br> foot/50\% rule describes the procedure for a room in Figure 5. Since there are non-room <br> heights to consider such as bathrooms, closets and hallways, the outcome will differ <br> depending on whether the rule is applied to individual rooms, or the entire floor. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| Log 14 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Craig Roberts |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | Heated area- half bathrooms, laundry rooms, bathrooms, and large closets. These areas <br> really aren't listed as rooms on appraisals. |
| Reason: | Bathrooms are listed separately. I've seen large closets that should probably be heated <br> but did not have an HVAC return duct that were counted in the living area. I've seen <br> half bathrooms that have HVAC return vents and some that do not, the same thing goes <br> for full bathrooms. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |


| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Modification of |  |
| Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Log 15 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Craig Roberts |  |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |  |
| Proposed Change: | Area under the stairs- I included the area under stairs with the first floor living area even <br> though the area is likely comprised of unfurnished area, but if the area has access and is <br> finished, like a coat closet, then I would include it in the first floor living area. |  |
| Reason: |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| Log 16 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Jean M. McCarty |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | Stairs to no where. |  |  |
| Reason: | We have many new constructed houses that have bonus rooms in the plans but not <br> actually finished out at the time of sale. Only the stairs are finished out to the door of <br> the bonus room. Do you count the stairs as the only finished area on the 2nd level or <br> not? We had a two hour discussion on this the other day with 50-50 either way. Some <br> said ignore the stairs on the 2nd level because they do not descend from finished area. <br> Others said "On the other hand, ANSI says to count the stairs to unfinished basements <br> on the level from which they descend". Why count stairs going down to the unfinished <br> basement when you can't count stairs going up to unfinished space. This needs <br> clarifying. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| Log 17-Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Lily Chien |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | I would like to know if a built-in elevator in a single-family house should be included in <br> the finished area square footage, or if you have any addendum that covers the topic? |
| Reason: | I read through ANSI Z765-2013, and the elevator \& shaft kind of fall under "Openings to <br> Floor Below" as it does not have a floor on each level, but it also seems to fit the <br> situation when "the hearth is on the first level and the chimney extends to the second <br> level without a hearth on the second level, no deduction is made from the finished sqft <br> of the second level." |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Log 18-Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | 1. Treatment of Laundry Chute, based on 2'x2'x3 levels is 12 sq.ft. <br> 2. Treatment of Dumb Waiters, based on the same above is 12 sq.ft. <br> 3. Treatment of Elevators, based on 6'x6'x3 levels is 108 sq.ft. |
| Reason: | In practise, these spaces above, are included in the floor area calculation, in much the <br> same way any vertical duct is included, however, we recommend that this be clearly <br> addressed, and verified it is in fact included in the Standard. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |

Log 19 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area

| Submitter: | Michael Long |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | Specific cut-off point for an Open-to-Below space; should it be inside of railing, outside <br> of railing, or lip of the floor. |
| Reason: | Based on a difference of the two extremes is four inches x 25 linear feet or, about 8 <br> sq.ft. In some cases, we have encountered the difference from the exterior side of a <br> railing to the extended lip of the floor is 8 inches. In other cases, the exterior side of the <br> railing is beyond the lip of the floor due to the method of mounting the railing to a fixed <br> solid structure. |


| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Log $\mathbf{2 0}$ - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |  |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |  |
| Proposed Change: | It is clear that a 'sitting' style Bay Window or Box Window is not included in the area <br> calculation and a 'walk-up' Bay Window or Box Window is included, if the space <br> provides clearance from "floor to ceiling". Clarification is needed in the case of a Bay <br> Window/Box Window protrusion located across from the kitchen sink and counter. |  |
| Reason: | Even if the exterior view of the design and appearance show this is a true walk-up into <br> the bay, the interior view shows structural obstacles such as the counter/sink and built- <br> in cabinets which make it impossible to either be a walk-up, or contain "floor to ceiling" <br> clearance. Photographs and/or diagrams of the assorted types of bay windows would be <br> helpful. |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| Log 21 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Living Area |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | John Hatfield |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | The living area description in the ANSI Standard Z765 needs to be updated on energy <br> efficient homes. |
| Reason: | Since the wall thickness exceeds standard 2X6 framing in energy efficient and <br> PassivHaus design area is added to buildings that is not habitable space. This throws <br> area calculations off and is reported as a larger floor area than present. Example is an <br> ICF house with 18-1/2 inch thick walls with a 42 by 50 interior foot print the exterior <br> measurement is 350 feet larger than actual habitable space. PassiveHaus walls are <br> commonly at 12 inch cavity exterior of the structural wall that is only insulation <br> materials. Real estate professionals list these properties with exterior square footage <br> measurements are liable for over stating the habitable space. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | No |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |


| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: |  |


| Log 22 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Above and Below Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Jean M. McCarty |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | Below grade space |
| Reason: | I had to separate out a whole room from the above grade gla the other day because about 1 foot of it was below grade. The house was tri-level following the grade of the lot that sloped from right to left of the lot. Yet one corner of that space was below grade. That penalized the house by excluding that room from the gla. (It is atypical in the south to have basements. Most houses are 1-3 levels built on sloping lots. The above grade and below grade rule penalizes houses in the appraisal process because so few houses are built that way and appraisers cannot find comparables. Fractious lenders today insist that we find comparables to compare that below grade to and we don't have it. None of this below grade space is recognized as a basement here - just multi-level houses built to grade, with some of it below. Fannie Mae allows us to follow local custom; FHA does not in deciding whether GLA is below grade. ANSI makes us separate it out even if that one foot is all that is below the grade. It's very confusing to the consumer and lenders. A survey of our appraisers here indicate only about $67 \%$ of them separate the GLA. That leaves a significant number of appraisers differing considerably on the GLA in these situations. I think "basement" needs to be more strictly defined, as being under the floor of the living space or significantly under grade. |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |

Log 23 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Above and Below Grade

| Submitter: | Christine Lynn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | The standards as stated in the publication are not specific enough to address some <br> important issues, specifically related to homes built on a steep incline with large <br> portions of above grade building transitioning to portions below grade. |
| Reason: | I do not feel that the current standards properly protect the real estate agents as we <br> strive to accurately and professional measure and list properties on behalf of our clients. <br> I feel that the vagueness in the current standards leaves us open to fines and suspension <br> of our licenses, as well as opens our clients up to legal action if a buyer feels square <br> footage was inaccurately reported. |
| As professionals, we all desire to have standards set before us and to rise to those |  |
| standards with the utmost in integrity and professionalism. Not having a clear guideline |  |
| for the measuring of mixed level above and below grade square footage leaves all |  |
| parties in a precarious position. |  |


|  | I am eager to be a part of a solution to revise the current standards to more specifically <br> reflect and reveal the true conditions of homes in this and other circumstances, so <br> buyers accurately know what they are purchasing and so that sellers know how to <br> accurately reveal the true features and value of their homes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |

Log 24 - Section 3. Calculation of Square Footage - Above and Below Grade

| Submitter: |
| :--- |
| Requested Action: |
| Proposed Change: |
| Reason: |

Susan McVeigh
Request for Clarification/Modification
Above Grade vs Below Grade Finished Areas.
As you probably know, when applying for a home loan or refinance of a home loan, a home appraisal is required for inclusion in the loan application. I am sure that you are also aware that the value of the home depends on, among other things, square footage of the home. In Calculating the value of a home, the square footage is broken down into two halves, above grade and below grade. Above grade square footage is worth more in the calculation than below grade square footage is (I would love to know who came up with this theory). This is where I start to have issues with the process. First, I understand in building the need to differentiate between above and below grades, but when it comes to what is considered above grade and what is considered below grade, well I don't think the line is so black, and white.

My house is located on a mountain, with sweeping views of 3 counties, we can see for miles, almost all the way to Washington DC. The house has a ground entrance front door and a two car garage on the same ground level. The family room and bedroom on the first level all command the same gorgeous views from their tremendous windows, as does the living room and one of the bedrooms on the second floor. The first floor, by no means, looks like a basement. There is one master staircase that leads from the front foyer to the upper level. Because it has the mountain butting up to the back side of the house, the entire floor is considered below grade. But the house is not all below grade, in fact the other 3 sides are above grade. To me, it's like calling a glass half empty instead of half full. Why should the entire ground floor be considered below grade when it is not? Isn't there any compensation when a house does not follow what should be considered the norm of below grade classification?

I realize that this is not within the scope of ANSI, but there is such a large difference in market value of square footage between the two grades when it comes to real estate and the banks that finance their loans. Why wouldn't a finished area on one floor be worth the same as the finished area on another floor? As far as value goes, it makes no sense. I could maybe see the standard value of a lower floor of a house be worth less, maybe, if it didn't have any windows, but then I have seen some pretty awesome homes with actual basements that have a tiny window well, that utilizes the lower level as amazing in home theaters. Why should the value of this type of living space be worth less than any other? Makes no sense, but this is another issue altogether.

|  | What faction came up with the values for above and below grade living space? |
| :--- | :--- |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |

## Commentary

| Log 25-Commentary - Flooring Requirements |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Jean M. McCarty |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Can rooms with either bare or painted concrete floors be included in the calculated } \\ \text { square footage accorded to ANSI Z765-2013? }\end{array}$ |
| Reason: | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The only reference in the Standard to bare and painted concrete floors is found in the } \\ \text { ANNEX, page 4 of 11, in the 8th paragraph. The Committee (our coalition committee } \\ \text { that addresses ANSI questions) spent several hours on this one issue as there were } \\ \text { mixed opinions among the members of the committee as whether or not bare or } \\ \text { painted concrete floors are disqualified as finished components of a house according to }\end{array}$ |
| ANSI z765-2013. The debate centered around the first paragraph of the Annex that |  |
| clearly said the Annex is not considered part of the Standard and that it not intended to |  |
| be enforced. |  |
| We appraisers have encountered painted concrete floors as a decorative finish designed |  |
| to enhance the aesthetic appeal of the décor more than once. We have encountered |  |
| laundry rooms of older homes, especially in rural areas, that are heated and cooled but |  |
| have no floor coverings, dens with bare or painted concrete, recreational homes with |  |
| several rooms with bare or painted concrete. We constantly encounter houses selling |  |
| "as is" where the carpet and floor covering has been removed. Of course we know |  |
| there used to be floor covering there and there will be again, but our job is to appraise it |  |
| "as-is" at that date and measure according to ANSI at the same time. We can't simply |  |
| disregard measuring according to ANSI, because we passed a state law saying that |  |
| appraisers had to measure houses either by the ANSI standard or the American |  |$\}$


| Log 26 - Commentary - Ceiling Height |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Michael Long |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |


| Proposed Change: | The diagram in Figure 5 shows a horizontal marker referring to the 5 foot threshold. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: | Some believe that the limit is closer to 4 foot 7 inch if a wall is placed along this exact <br> threshold of the 5 foot height. While this is not the case, the diagram incorrectly shows <br> the hatch is set back to a lower height from the horizontal marker showing 5 feet. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |

