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## Public Comments

| PC 01 - Section 2.2 Finished Area |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Steve Kahane, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | An enclosed area in a house that is suitable for year-round use based upon its location, embodying walls, floors, and ceilings that conform to or exceed in quality, utility and climate control (HVAC) to the remainder of the house, regardless of use. |
| Reason: | In the public comments, there were concerns about the use of the room (closets, walkin refrigerators, laundry) in determination of GLA. There was also discussion about the need for permanent heating or cooling per locale. One commenter asked if closets on a 2nd story knee wall were included. We wouldn't consider excluding closets on the 1st floor, why would we on the second? While a walk-in freezer is not living area, neither is a the space taken up by a standard refrigerator and we wouldn't consider removing that area. Lastly regarding HVAC. If the space meets the criteria, it is gla, regardless of use. Climate control is a measure of conformity, quality and utility. As long as it meets or exceeds that of the remainder of the house it should be included, assuming all other criteria are met. |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 02 - Section $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ Finished Area |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Tom Blankenship, Steve Gregory Appraisals |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | An enclosed area in a house that is suitable for year-round use based upon <br> its geographic region or location within the country, embodying walls, floors, and <br> ceilings that are similar to the rest of the house. |  |
| Reason: | Provides clarification as to what "location" refers. |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 03 - Section 2.2 Finished Area |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Melissa Bond, Self |
| Requested Action: | Delete without substitution |
| Proposed Change: | Staff Note: Reverses previously approved change, Log 02. <br> based on its location |


| Reason: | By adding the words "based on its location" you have added a tremendous layer of <br> confusion. The definition is clearly understood exactly as it is written. What exactly does <br> "based on its location" mean... in Gross Living Area?, in Gross Building Area?, location on <br> the site?, location in the region of the country?. Please reconsider adding this <br> ambiguous phrase. I am a Continuing Education Provider in multiple states (on-site) and <br> across the country (online) and I can see the plethora of questions that this very <br> unnecessary phrase will cause. Either fully clarify what "based on its location" means or, <br> even better, strike it from the new edition. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
| Consensus |  |
| Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 04 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Robert N. Mossuto Jr |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | I am not revising the ceiling height section of ANSI; but am providing some critical thought as to the usefulness of this document from an appraiser's view when considering upper floor living space. <br> There are millions of homes across this country that were built before international building code development and acceptance of international building code by the US, individual states, and municipalities within individual states in the US. <br> A large number of homes built with second floors in the US have fully finished second floors with bedroom and bathrooms in which no part of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor ceiling is 7 feet from floor to ceiling! <br> So, in essence, ANSI is telling Real Estate Agents, Appraisers, Lenders, and the Consumer that that 500, 600, 700,800 or more square feet and those 2,3 , and 4 bedrooms and many times a bathroom or half bath are all nonexistent in millions of homes across the country if we rely on ANZI standards! <br> As an example, a home built in 1915 with 1,650 square feet of living space is listed and sold. The home has 4 bedrooms and 2 bathrooms. But, 3 of the bedrooms and 1 of the bathrooms are on the 650 square foot second floor. And the max ceiling height of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor is 6 feet. So, by ANZI standards, the home is a 1,000 square foot ( $40 \%$ smaller), 1-bedroom, 1-bathroom home! <br> An appraisal report indicating the smaller scenario would definingly confuse the consumer, tick the real estate agent off, and likely guarantee the appraiser would not get further business form the lender. <br> So why would any of us rely on ANZI standards when listing, selling, appraising, or lending on the millions of homes that fall under this category? In my opinion, this standard kind of defeats the purpose of having a standard at all. <br> Someone needs to rethink this one! |


| Reason: | The ceiling height of 7 feet excludes living area, bedrooms, and bathrooms in literally <br> millions of homes across the united states and does not reflect market reaction. It is a <br> poor standard and one that will confuse consumers, kill sales transactions, and cause <br> significant issues in appraisal reporting. Given this, appraisers would not use ANZI <br> standards when appraising one of these millions of homes. So what is the point of <br> having a standard! You need to rethink this! |
| :--- | :--- |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 05 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Darval Rash, SRA, Self |  |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | Include all stud walls for finished one half story living areas <br> The proposal for a single wall does not make sense due to cost associated with all walls <br> and does not reflect the inclusion of dormers |  |  |  |
| Reason: | Construction cost all knee walls and dormers |  |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |  |


| PC 06 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | James Hollenberg, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | There needs to be some clarification on Measuring upper levels with sloped <br> ceilings. This section on page 10 of the draft is slightly confusing. It will become more <br> confusing since it appears by the diagrams that we measure one outside wall and not <br> the other. Typically if the outside wall goes to the outside and not an attic or open <br> space I measure like the main level to the outside wall but if it goes to an open space in <br> the attic I would only measure to the inside wall. |
| Reason: | This needs verbiage to explain the diagram and the measurements of second levels. Just <br> like the verbiage that states only measure at the 5 foot level and the that half the are <br> must be 7 feet height. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | Consensus <br> Committee Action: |


| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: |  |


| PC $\mathbf{0 7}$ - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Patricia K Fogle, Self |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | Very confusing, adding for exterior wall on one side of sloped ceiling! Need to either <br> add for both or not add at all. |  |  |
| Reason: | Very confusing. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| PC 08 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Calvin Nay, Self |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | Sketch of level with sloped roof seems to indicate that one wall is to be included in the <br> GLA at the 5' level This will increase confusion among appraisers |  |  |
| Reason: | Either add the both imaginary wall thickness or don't add EITHER wall thickness. Add a <br> clarifying statement that clearly indicates what the standard is. Please do not confuse <br> the issue further by adding the thickness of one wall to this measurement. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| PC 09 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Patrick Williams, Williams Appraisal, Inc |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | For a level that extends below a 5' ceiling height, only measure to the 5' line, do NOT <br> include any sidewalls measurements. |
| Reason: | Sidewall dimensions can vary greatly. Including only one sidewall does not make sense, <br> so why do it. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |


| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 10 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Desirae P Gartman, Self |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Delete without substitution |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | I am a residential appraiser. I feel that the change would create more confusion. I think <br> that the standard should clearly state that either the studs are counted on both walls or <br> neither wall. One wall is confusing. |  |  |
| Reason: | I think that this change would cause more inconsistencies between appraisers' <br> measurements of similar properties. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| PC 11 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Brad Bassi, SRA, Self |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | I don't understand the sketch on the left under new figure 5 and why only one exterior <br> stud wall is included. This current configuration will lead to a significant amount of <br> confusion and interpretation. I understand right sketch due to wall and slope of ceiling. <br> Left sketch is confusing and I don't understand the need for just one wall. |  |  |
| Reason: | I am appraising and this revision will lead to confusion. There should be either both <br> sides include exterior wall thickness or neither should have it, not just one side. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |

PC 12 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5

| Submitter: | Thomas Ingersoll, Self |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | The figure shows a knee wall at the 5 ft height. One of the other walls should show a <br> knee wall at a height of less than 5 ft , to indicate if the knee wall thickness is only <br> included for heights of 5 ft and above. |
| Reason: | The sketch as drawn, leaves an ambiguous situation, as to if you are considering one <br> side as finished all of the time. |


| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 13 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Tom Blankenship, Steve Gregory Appraisals |
| Requested Action: | Delete and substitute as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Delete the newly proposed Figure 5 to the annex and add the following language to the <br> end of Section 3.6: |
| In rooms with sloped ceilings where actual side wall height at the ceiling is less than the <br> 5 foot requirement, finished square footage calculations shall assume and add actual <br> wall thickness to the dimension between the points where ceiling height is 5 feet |  |
| Reason: | This will clarify much better than the proposed change. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC $\mathbf{1 4}$ - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Christi Adams, CAdams Real Estate/Louisiana |  |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | Please specify where you start measuring a 5ft ceiling height (as square footage) on a <br> second story. Why would you include the thickness of one wall and not the other? or <br> why not none at all? |  |
| Reason: | Makes a confusing situation, more confusing, as opposed to clarifying the correct way to <br> measure a 2nd story with ceiling heights of less than 5 feet |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 15 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Becky Ruskowski, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |


| Proposed Change: | Add a statement about whether you include or don't include an imaginary knee wall at <br> the 5' height and what that thickness is. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: | on the sloped ceiling, It should say include or not include the imaginary wall at the 5 <br> foot wall. Also, it should say what wall thickness should be used so that we are all <br> consistent. As a Kentucky appraiser, we are required to use ANSI standards for <br> measuring. This needs to be easily interpreted by the users. I don't care which way it <br> reads as long as it is clear so we are all on the same page. Thanks for the consideration. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 16 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Melissa Bond, Self |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Your illustration in regarding the ceiling height and its measurement is perfectly understood and appears to need no further commentary. However, please add clarification regarding the measurement from side-to-side of the room. On the right side (because the wall height is $5^{\prime}$ ) the measurement is from the outside wall (encompassing the studs and exterior building component) BUT, the left side stops at the 5' mark (not accounting for the "invisible stud wall). Please provide additional commentary to the Standard such as When measuring side-to-side in the sloped ceiling room, include any wall and exterior building material when the ceiling height is at least $5^{\prime}$ or greater. When the ceiling height is less than 5' due to a sloped ceiling, do not include an additional measurement for a studded wall or the exterior building materials. |
| Reason: | Currently, there is a confusion for adding an "invisible stud wall" or not when an Appraiser measures sloped ceiling rooms that are less than 5' in some areas. Adding this statement would provide definitive direction and more conformity in use of the Standard. Left as it is, the lack of sufficient commentary causes the user of the Standard to rely on his/her personal judgement for measuring. Thereby, causing a lack on continuity in calculating square footage. All I'm asking for is that commentary be included that aligns with your illustration. |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |
| Consensus Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 17 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Robert Premecz, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |


| Proposed Change: | As both an Emeritus Realtor and SRA designated appraiser, I offer the following: <br> After reviewing the proposed changes, some confusion will remain with how sloped ceilings should be measured based on the revised Figure 5. <br> The five ( $5^{\prime}$ ) foot minimum in Figure 5 considers starting the measurement beyond or on the other side of the supporting five ( $5^{\prime}$ ) foot knee wall. However, it creates an imaginary line at the five ( $5^{\prime}$ ) foot point on the sloped ceiling. This creates a confusing bi-polar approach. In my opinion, this solution fails to follow the spirit of this standard which acknowledges and includes supporting or exterior walls in the balance of the standard. <br> I suggest either two solutions to maintain internal consistency within the standard. <br> 1) Measure from the five ( $5^{\prime}$ ) foot interior point, then add for a typical supporting wall (Preferred). <br> 2) Measure from the five ( $5^{\prime}$ ) foot interior point(similar to the method most condominiums employ - ignores supporting walls). <br> Finally, the edits to abbreviate measurements should not be done as it neither enhances the understanding or clarity of the standard, nor provides a universally accepted abbreviation for these measurements. For example, I personally use "SF" to mean square feet and have never used "sq. ft." in a form report due to space limitations. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reason: | See public comment. Please contact me if what I propose remains unclear. |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 18 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Thomas Harwood, Self |  |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | Add a definition of outside wall. |  |
| Reason: | Clarification |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 19-Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Paul Cooper, Self |  |  |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |  |  |
| Proposed Change: | In order to decrease confusion, when it comes to sloped ceilings, please simplify the <br> process. My suggestion would be to keep the 5' height rule and the 70\% of area rule <br> and measure the area as if it was a condo. Very simple measurement. Measure from <br> the inside at the 5' marks. Then everyone will have the same measurement; no guess <br> work as to the thickness of the outside wall, whether it be one wall or two walls. All <br> appraiser's and realtor's will produce the same square footage for the measured area, <br> provided they do the math correctly. I very much appreciate your consideration of this <br> idea, and thank all of you for your continued effort to standardize these measurements. |  |  |
| Reason: | Change sloped ceiling measurement standards. |  |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |  |


| PC 20 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Glynn M Bergeron, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | I would like to comment on the proposed changes to ANSI. As a Real Estate Appraiser I <br> strongly recommend that you do don't keep the proposed changes to second floor <br> square foot calculation method of splitting the count from inside one wall to the outside <br> of the opposite wall. Either keep it where we include the outside studs in the square <br> footage or not count the studs in the square footage. BUT PLEASE DO NOT MAKE IT <br> HALF AND HALF! This will create much confusion in the real estate industry! As a <br> teacher and trainer of Real Estate Agents and Appraiser Trainees I would highly <br> recommend that you chose one or the other! Counting from one inside wall to the other <br> outside wall studs will not be a good idea! <br> Thank you for your consideration! Please feel free to call on me for input! <br> Glynn Michael Bergeron <br> Glynn Michael Appraisals LLC <br> 985 381-2530 |
| Reason: | The reason for my above statement tot help avoid confusion in the industry!! |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | Consensus <br> Committee Action: |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: | Reason: |


| PC 21 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Patricia A Ploen, Self |  |
| Requested Action: | Delete and substitute as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | When measuring side to side in a sloped ceiling room, include any wall and exterior stud <br> when the ceiling height is at least 5 ft. When the ceiling height is less that 5 ft, do not <br> include additional measurements for stud. |  |
| Reason: | Less confusing |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 22 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Jonathan Harrison, Self |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | The thickness of the structure's exterior walls shall be added to the dimensions <br> beginning at the 5' height below a sloping ceiling. |  |
| Reason: | When measuring regular GLA, we include the exterior wall dimensions. Ditto, the <br> basement dimensions. What if there is, in fact, a physical wall at the 5' height and it is, in <br> fact, an exterior wall. It has to be included for the sake of consistency. |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 23 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Cheryl B. Bella, Self |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Chimneys, windows, and other finished areas that protrude beyond the exterior finished <br> surface of the outside walls and do not have a finished floor on the same level cannot be <br> included in the calculation of square footage. |
| Reason: | When a ceiling slopes to 5', an exterior wall thickness adjustment should be included. <br> This makes the measurement consistent with other measurements taken to the exterior <br> wall. The drawings indicate to exclude perimeter wall fireplaces, however in sec. 3.8 it <br> indicates "Chimneys, windows, and other finished areas that protrude beyond the <br> exterior finished surface of the outside walls AND do not have a floor on the same level <br> cannot be included" A fireplace does have a floor, so this appears to contradict. If the <br> argument is that a fireplace floor is not considered finished, then maybe the word <br> "finished" floor should be included. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | (fing |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Consensus |  |
| Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of |  |
| Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 24 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Kristi D Cox, Kristi D Cox Appraisal Services |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | Regarding the new measurement for the second story. Please make it from the wall to <br> wall or add thickness of the wall, either or but not both. This appears to be very <br> confusing and lacks consistency. Thanks |  |
| Reason: | Please consider this for consistency as a yes or no statement to avoid confusion. |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 25 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Tina Langton, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Log 11, Section 3 <br> Bryan Reynolds: Section 3. Ceiling Height - This proposed change will create more confusion than clarity in the valuation profession and for other users. As a national instructor, I witness many students are already confused with this section and this attempt for clarity will fail. I strongly recommend this change not be made to this section. Darwin Ernst: <br> The drawing I provided in my ballot response is more clear than the one that was provided in the ballot, but I would offer an updated version of this edited figure/image (see attached) to members based on my opinion that the upper floor measurement of all sloped ceiling heights should be restricted to the point of the sloped ceiling at the 5' mark above the floor, regardless of whether there is an exterior wall, pony wall, or any other type of wall beyond the point where the upper floor's ceiling height is $5^{\prime}$ above the floor, so there is consistency in the upper floor measurement by all users of the standards. The proposed modification (see below) in item 11 to include the width of an exterior wall on upper floors beyond where the ceiling height reaches a point on the slope of an upper floor ceiling at a point 5' above the floor will be confusing to many potential users, so I am hopeful that we can discuss this motion further. |
| Reason: | Agree that measuring the actual space within the 5' height requirement is the most logical and easily explained to homeowners, realtors, lenders, etc. |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |


| Consensus |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of |  |
| Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 26 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Jimmy Hudspeth, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | The thickness of the wall should be added for areas with a sloped ceiling having walls at <br> or above the 5 ft. mark. For areas with a sloped ceiling in which the wall is below the 5 <br> ft. mark, the thickness of the wall should not be added. (See New Figure 5) |
| Reason: | There seems to be much confusion and disagreement on whether to add for the <br> thickness of a wall or "imaginary" wall in areas with sloped ceilings when measuring to <br> the 5 ft. mark. I believe the above addition/modification would help to clarify whether <br> or not to add for the thickness of a wall or "imaginary" wall. In my opinion, if a sloped <br> ceiling extends below 5 ft., as required to be considered finished living area, then there <br> should be no addition for an "imaginary" wall. Section 3.6 as it is, leaves a grey area <br> which could be left up to individual interpretation. While New Figure 5 appears to clarify <br> the intent, specific verbiage for clarification in Section 3.6 would help to insure that <br> everyone would be more consistent in the measurement of areas with sloped ceilings. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: | Consensus <br> Committee Action: |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 27 - Section 4.3 Areas Not Considered Finished Square Footage |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Steve Kahane, Self |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Jurisdictional exceptions may apply for finished living areas not connected that <br> otherwise conform in quality and utility to the remainder of the house and that are <br> commonly included in the square footage in both the tax records and MLS listings. |
| Reason: | In my area, there is a subdivision where many of the houses have a detached living area <br> often referred to as a casita. The area usually consists of a bedroom and bath that are <br> similar in quality to the main dwelling. The spaces are usually attached somehow, either <br> by a common wall or roof but are only accessible by walking outside the main dwelling. <br> The houses are bought and sold with this space included in the gla and have been since <br> the houses were new 15-20 years ago. Tax records and MLS typically include the casitas <br> in the gla. I wouldn't typically consider this detached space part of the gla, but I do in <br> this subdivision because the market considers it gla. This is only possible if we have the <br> flexibility to make our own determinations of what is considered gla in a specific market. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |


| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Modification of |  |
| Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 28 - Section 4.3 Areas Not Considered Finished Square Footage |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Steve Kahane, Self |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Areas not connected to the house refer to spaces that are not accessible from a finished <br> area of the primary dwelling. |
| Reason: | "Not connected to the house" lacks specificity. Does "connected" refer to any part of <br> the structure, the roof or just finished common walls? Does a living area conform in <br> utility and appeal if you have to walk outside to get to it? Are quarters that share a <br> common wall and roof connected if you have to exit the main dwelling to get there? <br> What if it is connected only by a breezeway, is that connected? What about a <br> finished/converted garage bay accessible only by walking through an unfinished portion <br> of the garage? |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 29 - Commentary - Finished Stairs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Melissa Bond, Self |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |
| Proposed Change: | ...of the floor from which they descend. |
| Reason: | The statement" Finished stairs suitable for year round use ascending to an unfinished upper area are included in the square footage calculation" is just not a finished thought. Please consider adding the words " of the floor from which they descend" to the end of your sentence. This added phrase would provide a complete clarification of what level the stair calculation is to be added to. So basically, the finished stair calculation is added to an UNFINISHED upper level in the example that you have provided in the Standard Commentary. All I'm asking is that this statement be as clear and definitive as the statement that is before it. |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 30-Commentary - Staircases |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Kelly Jo Kosse, Self |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | We count stairs on the first floor leading to unfinished basement and crawl spaces, <br> therefore we would include stairs on the second floor leading to unfinished bonus <br> rooms or attics on the third floor. |
| Reason: | modify this rule |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 31 - Commentary - Staircase and Elevator |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Kelly Jo Kosse, Self |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | We include staircases as we move among floors, therefore we would include elevators |  |
| Reason: | Revise |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |

## Emails and Letters as Public Comments


degree of subjectivity rather than a precise rule or guideline. This measurement could be a very positive change for ANSI and help to bring about more acceptance throughout the industry.

I authored the ANSI, Home Measurement, and the Power of Price-Per-Square-Foot CE course that is being taught online and live, and one of the most frequent comments we get is about the measurement of rooms with sloped ceilings. I sincerely hope you will take this opportunity to make this measurement simple and consistent and help promote consumer protection through the use of the ANSI Standard 2020. We continue to promote ANSI Z765 and are working to try to get more states to consider mandating the ANSI Standard. This change could help in our quest to bring about one language of real estate among professionals. It seems hard to imagine that one change could make such a dramatic difference, but in this case it could be just the change that tips the scales enough to help ANSI become a nationally mandated measurement standard.

After taking into consideration all the conversations and student comments over many years, I believe the best option is to consider the following text.

## Per ANSI Z765-2013

Section 3 Calculation of Square Footage

## Ceiling Height Requirements

To be included in finished square footage calculations, finished areas must have a ceiling height of at least 7 feet ( 2.13 meters) except under beams, ducts, and other obstructions where the height may be 6 feet 4 inches ( 1.93 meters); under stairs where there is no specified height requirement; or where the ceiling is sloped. If a room's ceiling is sloped, at least one-half of the finished square footage in that room must have a vertical ceiling height of at least 7 feet ( 2.13 meters); no portion of the finished area that has a height of less than 5 feet ( 1.52 meters) may be included in finished square footage.
(Add this line)
The measurement stops at the five-foot point on both sloped walls, without the addition of any exterior dimensions.

I believe this method provides for the most consistent measurements in residential dwellings and especially in older homes where sloped ceilings and measurements can be very complex. This is the method we currently teach in our classes and seems to be the consensus among most agents and appraisers. I urge you to consider the simplification of this measurement and the change that best serves the home buying and selling public. I thank you for your time and efforts and look forward the updated ANSI Standard.

Respectfully,

|  | Hamp Thomas <br> Carolina Appraisers <br> AppraiserELearning.com <br> Whispering Pines, NC 28327 <br> pinehurstappraiser@gmail.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: | See above. |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 33 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  | 10/1/2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Craig Morley, National Association of Appraisers |  |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |  |
| Proposed Change: | 7113 San Pedro Ave, Suite 508 San Antonio, TX 78216 <br> (210) 570-4950 <br> October 1, 2019 <br> TO: Nay Shah <br> Home Innovation Research Labs 400 Prince George's Blvd. <br> Upper Marlboro, MD 20774 <br> 301.430.6233 <br> nshah@Homelnnovation.com <br> RE: Square Footage - Method for Calculating: ANSI 2765 <br> Dear Mr. Shah- <br> The National Association of Appraisers (NAA) is a nonprofit, 506 c (6) association representing more than 1,500 appraisers across the country. While we support many of the changes proposed to ANSI Z765, we write today to express our concern about the changes proposed in Log \#11. <br> The proposal as written creates more confusion than clarity and the treatment of sloped ceilings should remain the same as written in ANSI Z765-2013. The proposal to add the thickness of one hypothetical wall makes little sense and to add the thickness of both walls leads to the question, how thick are the hypothetical walls? It is our belief the intent of the committee was to add value to the Standards by providing clarification and consistency where it can be found. This particular proposal provides neither. <br> We appreciate the efforts made by the committee and support proposed changes to Logs 2, 25, CC01-4 and CCO2-4. We thank you for your consideration of our comments and please feel free to contact us with any questions. <br> Sincerely, <br> Canjondey <br> Craig Morley <br> President, National Association of Appraisers |  |
|  |  |  |
| Reason: | See above. |  |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |  |
| Consensus Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 34 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 | 10/4/2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Kimberly DeFilippis |
| Requested Action: | Request for Clarification/Modification |
| Proposed Change: | Please do not make any confusing changes regarding measurement to determine square <br> footage where a sloped ceiling exists. FNMA is instituting appraisal waivers, or |


|  | inspections by unqualified personnel to measure and photograph homes. Instituting <br> changes that will further confuse individuals who are not properly trained in the first <br> place will result in improper reflects of square footage, therefore further polluting the <br> data pool. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| Submitter: | Michael Long, self |
| :---: | :---: |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: | Suggestions <br> 1. Crop border to make better use of paper space <br> 2. Increase text size for dims <br> 3. Increase text size for explanatory text <br> -Thank you to all for their work - <br> Staff Note: New Figure 5. |
| Reason: |  |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |
| Consensus Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |

## New Public Comments Post Aug 2019 Meeting from CC Members

| PC 36 - Section 3.2 Attached Single-Family Finished Square Footage |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Submitter: | Brian Juedes |
| Requested Action: | Revise the calculation of finished square footage to exclude exterior finishes of siding, stucco, brick and stone masonry, etc. |
| Proposed Change: | Change the words "exterior finished surface" to "exterior edge of the structure". <br> For detached single-family houses, the finished square footage of each level is the sum of finished areas on that level measured at floor level to the exterior finished surface exterior edge of the structure of the outside walls. <br> For attached single-family houses, the finished square footage of each level is the sum of finished areas on that level measured at floor level to the exterior finished surface exterior edge of the structure of the outside walls or from the centerlines between houses, where appropriate. |
| Reason: | To bring the ANSI Z765 finished square footage calculation in alignment with the standard of practice calculation for livable area from Architectural firms working in production housing. <br> Exterior finishes often change for different Elevation Styles offered for the same standard plan. Under ANSI Z765-2013 a change from siding to brick masonry across the front of the home changes the finished square footage for the same standard plan. This creates complexity for the homebuilding industry and confusion for consumers. <br> Under the proposed change, a standard plan would have the same finished square footage regardless of the exterior finishes. |
| Substantiating Documents: | SF Calculation Matrix - 2019-06-25 |
| Consensus Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 37 - Section 3.2 Square Footage - Attached Single-Family Finished Square Footage | $10 / 9 / 2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Brian Juedes |
| Requested Action: | Revise the calculation of finished square footage to add another calculation method for <br> measuring to the common walls of attached single-family homes. |
| Proposed Change: | Add the sentence "When the common wall between houses consists of two separate <br> framed walls (one for each home) the finished area shall be measured to the outer <br> (closest to the centerline) edge of the framed wall for that house". |
| For attached single-family houses, the finished square footage of each level is the sum of <br> finished areas on that level measured at floor level to the exterior finished surface of the <br> outside walls or from the centerlines between houses, where appropriate. When the |  |


|  | common wall between houses consists of two separate framed walls (one for each <br> home) the finished area shall be measured to the outer (closest to the centerline) edge of <br> the framed wall for that house. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reason: | To bring the ANSI Z765 finished square footage calculation in alignment with the <br> standard of practice calculation for livable area from Architectural firms working in <br> production housing. <br> Buildings of more than 2 attached units (houses) will have interior and end units, often <br> with the same standard plan. Under ANSI Z765-2013 an interior unit will have more <br> finished square footage than an end unit of the same standard plan because it measures <br> to the centerline between houses on both sides. This creates complexity for the <br> homebuilding industry and confusion for consumers. |
| Under the proposed change, a standard plan would have the same finished square |  |
| Substantiating <br> footage regardless of if it was an interior unit or end unit. |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: | SF Calculation Matrix - 2019-06-25 |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |


| PC 38 - Section 3.4 Openings to the Floor Below | $10 / 9 / 2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Brian Juedes |
| Requested Action: | Revise the calculation of area at stairs so that the area of both stair treads and landings <br> is included in the finished area of the floor from which the stairs ascend. |
| Proposed Change: | Delete the words "proceeding to the floor below", change the word "descend" to <br> "ascend" and delete the words "not to exceed the area of the opening in the floor". <br> However, the area of both stair treads and landings proceeding to the floor below is <br> included in the finished area of the floor from which the stairs esend ascend, <br> exceed the area of the opening in the floor. |
| Reason: | To bring the ANSI Z765 finished square footage calculation in alignment with standard of <br> practice calculation for livable area from Architectural firms working in production <br> housing. <br> Under ANSI Z765-2013 on a simple 2-story home without a basement, the area of the <br> stair treads and landings is included on the second floor AND the first floor. This varies <br> from current and historical architectural standard of practice. This creates complexity <br> for the homebuilding industry and confusion for consumers. |
| Under the proposed change, the stair calculation could be moved from under "Openings <br> to the Floor Below" to its own "Stairs" heading for added clarity. |  |


| Substantiating <br> Documents: | SF Calculation Matrix-2019-06-25 (Excel Sheet) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |
| Reason: |  |



## Negative Ballot Comments as Public Comments from Ballot I

| PC 40 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Bryan Reynolds |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | Section 3. Ceiling Height - This proposed change will create more confusion than clarity <br> in the valuation profession and for other users. As a national instructor, I witness many <br> students are already confused with this section and this attempt for clarity will fail. I <br> strongly recommend this change not be made to this section. |  |
| Reason: | Negative Ballot Comment |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |
| Associated Log | Log 11 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |


| PC 41 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitter: | Darwin Ernst |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |
| Proposed Change: |  |
|  | The drawing I provided in my ballot response is more clear than the one that was <br> provided in the ballot, but I would offer an updated version of this edited figure/image <br> (see attached) to members based on my opinion that the upper floor measurement of <br> all sloped ceiling heights should be restricted to the point of the sloped ceiling at the 5' <br> mark above the floor, regardless of whether there is an exterior wall, pony wall, or any <br> other type of wall beyond the point where the upper floor's ceiling height is 5' above <br> the floor, so there is consistency in the upper floor measurement by all users of the <br> standards. <br> The proposed modification (see below) in item 11 to include the width of an exterior <br> wall on upper floors beyond where the ceiling height reaches a point on the slope of an <br> upper floor ceiling at a point 5' above the floor will be confusing to many potential <br> users, so I am hopeful that we can discuss this motion further. |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason: | Negative Ballot Comment |  |
| Substantiating Documents: |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: |  |  |
| Modification of Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |
| Associated Log | Log 11 - Section 3.6 Ceiling Height Requirements, Figure 5 |  |

## Non-Responsive

| PC 42 - Commentary - Flooring Requirements |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Kelly Jo Kosse |  |
| Requested Action: | Add new as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | I agree with change |  |
| Reason: | no changes necessary |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: | Non-Responsive |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 43 - Section 4. Statement of Finished Square Footage |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Kelly Jo Kosse |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | I agree with change |  |
| Reason: | no changes necessary |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: | Non-Responsive |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |


| PC 44 - Section 4. Statement of Finished Square Footage |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Submitter: | Kelly Jo Kosse |  |
| Requested Action: | Revise as follows |  |
| Proposed Change: | I agree with change |  |
| Reason: | no changes necessary |  |
| Substantiating <br> Documents: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Consensus <br> Committee Action: | Non-Responsive |  |
| Modification of <br> Proposed Change: |  |  |
| Reason: |  |  |

Substantiating Documents - PC 38
simm moy mider

## SF Calculations



