## National Green Building Standard™ 2015 UPDATE

## **Proposed Changes**

May 19, 2014

TG-1: Administration, Compliance, and Operation & Owner Education	1
Chapter 1: Scope and Administration	1
Chapter 2: Definitions	3
Chapter 3: Compliance Method	7
Chapter 10: Operation, Maintenance, and Building Owner Education	8
Appendix E: Accessory Structures	13
TG-2: Site and Lot Development	14
Chapter 4: Site Design and Development	14
Chapter 5: Lot Design, Preparation and Development	29
TG-3: Resource Efficiency and Indoor Air Quality	43
Chapter 6: Resource Efficiency	43
Chapter 9: Indoor Environmental Quality	68
Appendix B: Ducted Garage Exhaust Fan Sizing Criteria	81
TG-4: Water Efficiency	82
Chapter 8: Water Efficiency	82
TG-5: Energy Efficiency	88
Chapter 7: Energy Efficiency	88
TG-6: Multifamily Proposals	125
Chapter 3: 304 Green Multi-Unit Buildings	125
TG-7: Renovations and Additions	126
Chapter 3: 305 Green Remodeling	126
Chapter 11: Remodeling	128
Chapter 12: Remodeling of Functional Areas	139

## TG-4: Water Efficiency

## Chapter 8: Water Efficiency

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5164	801.2 Water-conserving appliances
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkere	n, USEPA
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	S
Proposed Change:	(3) washing mac	hine with a water factor of 6.0 4.0 or less
Reason:	The maximum water factor for an ENERGY STAR qualified washing machine is 6.0. (a lower value is more water efficient) It would seem that the highest number of points should go to more efficient washing machines. There are 494 labeled ENERGY STAR models of clothes washers and 360 have a water factor of 4.0 or less.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5165	801.3 Showerheads
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkeren	, USEPA
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	801.3(1) and all st (a) 2.0 to less	npartments in the dwelling unit(s) and common areas meet the requirements of howerheads are in accordance with one of the following: than 2.5 gpm. 11 Additional WaterSense labeled 11 points than 2.0 gpm WaterSense labeled and flow rate of 1.7 gpm or less 14 points
Reason:	simplify by recogn of 2.0 gpm. This w	ant showerheads that flowed at 2.5 or less would receive points under (1). They could hizing high efficiency showerheads labeled by WaterSense which have a maximum flow would ensure that performance criteria would be met – allowing the floor of 1.6 gpm ed. Provide additional points for WaterSense labeled showerheads that flow at 1.7 gpm
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5138 801.3 Showerheads	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, Home Innovation Research Labs	
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	801.3 (1) The total maximum combined flow rate of all showerheads controlled by a single valve at any point in time in a shower compartment is 1.6 to less than 2.45 gpm. Maximum of two valves are installed per shower compartment. The flow rate is tested at80 psi (552 kPa) in accordance with ASME A112.18.1. Showerheads are served by an automatic compensating valve that complies with ASSE 1016 or ASME A112.18.1 and specifically designed to provide thermal shock and scald protection at the flowrate of the showerhead.	
Reason:	The federal minimum rate is 2.5 gpm. With the practice worded at " to less than 2.5 gpm" makes it too easy for someone to quickly read it and assume that a 2.5 gpm showerhead complies. The "less than" should be defined to be substantial enough to be rewarded with points. A showerhead at 2.49 gpm would get the points but is that really worth 4 points. The upper limit of 2.4 is merely a suggestion. The committee is encouraged to set a value that represents a practical reduction over the current federal minimum worthy of the points.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5139	801.4.1 Lavatory faucets
Submitter:	Robert Hill, Hom	e Innovation Research Labs
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	s
Proposed Change:		fficient lavatory faucets with a maximum flow rate of 1.5 gpm (5.68 L/m), tested at 60 psi ordance with ASME A112.18.1, are installed:
	(Points awarded	for 801.4.1 or801.4.2, not both).
Reason:		o make it consistent with the treatment for all the toilets in the home meeting 801.5.2. Or be made to 801.5 to be consistent with 801.4.
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5166	801.4.1 Lavatory faucets
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkeren	, USEPA
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	WaterSense label	ed water-efficiency lavatory faucets
Reason:	We recommend re	eferencing WaterSense labeled lavatory faucets which flow at 1.5 gpm or less.
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5167	801.4.1 Lavatory faucets
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkere	n, USEPA
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	s
Proposed Change:	Replace "an 801.4.3 Wat	all lavatory faucets in the dwelling unit(s) and common areas and common areas with new text: eer-efficient lavatory faucets with a maximum flow rate of 0.5 gpm (1.89 L/m), tested at 60 a) in accordance with ASME A112.18.1, are installed in all common areas. — 3 points
Reason:	In a public use or common area, they should not use private use lavatory faucets (which WaterSense labels at 1.5 gpm or less). The commonly accepted flow rate for public use lavatory faucets is 0.5 gpm, so giving points for a faucet that flows at 1.5 gpm is counter to the "greening" intent of the standard.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5168	801.5 Water closets and urinals
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkeren	, USEPA
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	accordance with A	is installed with an effective flush volume of 1.28 gallons (4.85 L) or less when tested in ASME A112.19.2/CSA B45.1 or ASME A112.18.14 as applicable, and is in accordance ense labeled Tank-Type Toilets.
Reason:	gpf. As currently of	to ensure that products are certified as meeting the WaterSense specification of 1.28 drafted, it could suggest that a product that met the specification but had not been so could earn the points.
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5169 801.5 Water closets and urinals	
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkeren, USEPA	
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	<b>(4)(b)</b> One or more <u>WaterSense labeled</u> urinals with a flush volume of 0.5 gallons (tested in accordance with ASME A112.19.2.	1.9L) or less when
Reason:	Simplify language to ensure that products are certified as meeting the WaterSense allows a maximum volume of 0.5 gpf. Although not a comment, there does not app value for this subsection as there is for water closets.	• •
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5140	801.6.2 Drip irrigation is installed
Submitter:	Robert Hill, Hom	e Innovation Research Labs
Requested Action:	Revise as follow	s
Proposed Change:	(1) Drip irrigation (2) Subsurface of (3) Drip irrigation	pation is installed.  In is installed for <u>all landscape beds.</u> Irip is installed for <u>all turf grass areas.</u> In zones specifications show plant type by name and water use/need for each warded only if specifications are implemented.)
Reason:		of how much drip irrigation is needed for the points should be included in the practice. but of place when it should be connected to 801.6.2. If this change is done the "8 Max" eted.
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5141	801.6.3 Landscape plan and implementation
Submitter:	Robert Hill, Home	Innovation Research Labs
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	· ·	eplan and implementation are executed by a certified WaterSense Professional or roved by Adopting Entity. 5 Additional.
Reason:	It is not clear what how many are rec	t these points are in addition to. Are points required in 801.6.1 and/or 801.6.2 and if so quired.
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5170	801.6.3 Landscape plan and implementation
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkeren, USEPA	
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	Landscape <u>irrigation</u> plan and implementation are executed by a <del>certified WaterSense Professional or professional certified by a WaterSense labeled program</del> or equivalent as approved by Adopting Entity.	
Reason:	WaterSense does not have a professional certification category for landscape planning – only for irrigation design, installation and audits. Language has been changed to reflect irrigation focus and also to reflect pending changes to the WaterSense program that will require changes in how we talk about certified professionals.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5142	801.6.4 Drip irrigation zones specifications show plant type
Submitter:	Robert Hill, Home Innovation Research Labs	
Requested Action:	Delete without substitution	
Proposed Change:	801.6.4delete without replacement	
Reason:	Another proposed change has been submitted to include this practice as part of 801.6.2.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5067	801.6.5 Irrigation system(s) smart controller or no irrigation is installed
Submitter:	Philip LaRocque, LaRocque Business Management Services, LLC	
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	801.6.5 (2) No irrigation is installed-and a landscape plan is developed in accordance with Section503.5, as-applicable-	
Reason:	We need to return to the 2008 NGBS on this practice. A builder should be rewarded for simply not having an irrigation system with no requirement to have a landscape plan. We should be motivating the conservation of water thru no irrigation system installation without the builder adding the expense of a landscape plan with two practices.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5052	801.6.5 Irrigation system(s) smart controller or no irrigation is installed	
Submitter:	Robert Hill, Home Innovation Research Labs		
Requested Action:	Revise as follow	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	(2) No irrigation is installed and a landscape plan is developed <u>and implemented</u> in accordance with Section 503.5 <del>, as applicable.(1)-(4)</del> and achieving at minimum of X points from (1)-(4).		
Reason:	The 2012 NGBS is not clear if all or only some of the 503.5 practices must be met. Some of the 503.5 practices do not really impact water usage. The task group should recommend the appropriate number of points.		
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):			
Modification of Proposed Change:			
TG Reason:			
TG Vote:			

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5171 801.6.5 Irrigation system(s) smart controller or no irrigation is installed	
Submitter:	Brett VanAkkeren, USEPA	
Requested Action:	Revise as follows	
Proposed Change:	(1) Evapotranspiration (ET) based irrigation controller with a rain sensor or soil moisture sensor based irrigation controller 8 points	
	(2) WaterSense labeled irrigation controller 10 points	
	(3) (2) No irrigation is installed	
Reason:	EPA WaterSense now has a specification to label weather-based irrigation controllers and is in the process of developing a similar specification for soil moisture based irrigation controllers. We suggest providing points for those controllers.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		

Proposal ID TBD	LogID 5153	Other for Chapter 8 (include section number and title below)
Submitter:	Stephen J Holzer, eM8s, LLC	
Requested Action:	Add new as follows	
Proposed Change:	802.6 Building Information Modeling (BIM)  Project Teamuses BIM to develop a whole house model and applies that model to optimizewater	
	efficiency requirer	
Reason:	Building Information Modeling (BIM) is a computer generated model based process that simulates planning, design, construction and operations for buildings. It is a single repository for both three-dimensional, two-dimensional, and material properties information that allows data interoperability of all stakeholders to better inform design and construction decisions with the goal of producing the best product possible. This information technology will increase design and construction efficiencies and decrease costs for builders and end users. BIM may also facilitate better communication, collaboration and coordination among building industry professionals and trades working on the same project. Credit should be given to Builders utilizing the open industry standards as defined in the National Building Information Modeling Standard.	
TG Recommendation (AS or AM or D):		
Modification of Proposed Change:		
TG Reason:		
TG Vote:		